

Kankakee County GIS Committee Meeting Minutes Meeting of July 12, 2013

Members in Attendance

Mike Lammey, Kankakee County Planning Department (Alternate)
Zack Newton, City of Kankakee (Alternate)
Mark Rogers, Kankakee County Highway Department
John Shoopman, Kankakee County Supervisor of Assessments
James Skutt, Kankakee County Board
George Washington, Kankakee County Board

Others in Attendance

Roger Diercks, Kankakee County GIS Manager
Rachel Howe, Kankakee County GIS Intern

The meeting was called to order at 9:02am.

There was no public comment

Mr. Rogers moved to approve the minutes of the Committee's April 5, 2013 meeting, seconded by Mr. Skutt. The motion carried.

Mr. Diercks reported that there had been one new private renewal to the website along with one association renewal. He said that the one private cancellation indicated on the spreadsheet was an error and should read zero.

Mr. Diercks introduced Ms. Howe. He said she had been spending the bulk of her time on updating the site address layer.

Mr. Diercks said that he had talked with Jason Krueger at Ayres and Associates about potential 2014 flyover scenarios. Mr. Diercks was pleased with the costs that had been quoted for 6" orthophotography and LiDAR sufficient for the creation of 2' contours. He noted that they had come down considerably since 2010. He also said that obtaining LiDAR was a top priority for the next flyover. Mr. Krueger had also given Mr. Diercks

some buy-up options, such 3” photography for the City of Kankakee. Mr. Diercks said he would like to sit down with the Foundation Members to determine the level of interest in a buy-up.

Mr. Shoopman asked how the photography would correspond with LiDAR in terms of accuracy. Mr. Diercks replied that it was hard to state an exact number because the standards used to measure accuracy are statistical tests and are not hard and firm numbers.

Mr. Rogers asked if the flyover would need to go out to bid. Mr. Diercks said that he didn't believe so since he believed it fell under the scope of professional services and because the County has an existing satisfactory relationship with Ayres.

Mr. Shoopman asked if 3” photography were obtained whether there would be overlapping 6” photography. Mr. Diercks said he believed there would be, but that he would want that to be the case in any project.

Mr. Newton gave a brief summary of GIS activities at the City of Kankakee.

There was no old business to come before the committee.

There was no new business to come before the committee.

Mr. Rogers moved to adjourn, seconded by Mr. Lammey. The motion carried.