model can be relevant to address the selected clinical issue and link the model's elements with

Points 20

model to a specific clinical problem from assignment one. Students will choose a model

An E-Poster (20%) Due Week 7

Due Jun 25 by 17:59

17:59 8 months

Submitting a file upload

they have learned in this unit, justify how this

Available Nov 8, 2020 at 17:00 - Jun 25 at

Students will create an E-Poster to show their understanding of the application of a nursing

the Codes of Conduct and Nursing Standards. Students may use 6C's when creating the e-

Assessment Instructions

for this paper.

poster.

- Identify a clinical issue and select ONE nursing model that can be used to address that issue.
 - Minimum four references are required. These references must be \leq 10 years old.
 - Define the model, justify how it can be used to address your topic, and link its elements to the nursing standards for practice and

codes of conduct in Australia

- and USE APA FORMAT for referencing. · Information cited from unreliable websites, pamphlets or magazines is not acceptable
- Your poster needs to be created in a single document, using graphic software such as Canva, Adobe, Piktochart, or any other
- helpful tool, and submitted to the Canvas along with the word document. Students have to submit a word document
 - with all information in the poster into CANVAS to check similarity.
- Therefore, students will be submitting two files when they are submitting the assignment. One is the word document (with the same information in the e-poster) and the other one is the e-poster.
- Please refer the marking rubric in unit guide

Design and Structure	links made to the nursing standards and codes of conduct. 5 to >4.0 pts High Distinction Poster has an excellent design and	the nursing standards and codes of conduct. 4 to >3.0 pts Distinction Poster has a nice design and layout. It reflects
	layout; all the element is clearly visible and creatively organised and very easy to follow.	student's creativity and It is neat and easy to read.
Academic		

Clinical Project E-Poster 20%

High

10 to >8.0 pts

Distinction

An excellent

demonstration

understanding

8 to >7.0 pts

Distinction

A very good

demonstration

understanding

of the

Criteria

Knowledge

application

Style/

References

High

Αll

Distinction

references

are credible

and relevant.

Accurate use

referencing

style on all

occasions.

There are no

errors with

spelling and

punctuation

that impact

readability.

grammar,

of A.P.A.

relevant.		
Accurate use		
of A.P.A.		
referencing		
style on most		

Distinction

Majority of

references are

credible and

occasions.

There are

spelling or

punctuation

that impact

readability.

minimal errors

with grammar,

standards and

Ratings

7 to >6.0 pts

demonstration

understanding

concepts and

relation to the

selected topic

and clear links

made to the

nursing

codes of

conduct.

3 to >2.5 pts

Poster is well

designed,

and all the

visible.

elements are

3.5 to >2.5 pts

references are

relevant. Some

inaccuracies in

style. There are

with grammar,

referencing

some errors

spelling or

punctuation

that impact

readability.

credible and

Credit

Most

A.P.A.

Credit

theories in

Credit

A good

of the

links made to the nursing standards and codes of conduct. 2.5 to >2.0 pts Pass Poster has an acceptable

design and

layout and

to make a

Pass

Some

improvement

2.5 to >2.0 pts

references are

inaccuracies in

style. There are

several errors

with grammar,

spelling or

punctuation

that impact

readability.

referencing

credible and

relevant.

Several

A.P.A.

needs

6 to >5.0 pts

demonstration

understanding

concepts and

relation to the

selected topic

and adequate

theories in

Pass

A basic

of the

5 to >0 pts

demonstration

understanding

concepts and

relation to the

selected topic

and limited or

no links made to the nursing

standards and

codes of

conduct.

theories in

Fail

A poor

of the

2 to >0 pts Fail clearer visibility

Poster needs significant improvement. It is not easy to read. The elements are not clearly visible. 2 to >0 pts

No marks

references

credibility

relevance.

Significant

inaccuracies

with A.P.A.

referencing

that impact

Most

lack

and

Pts

10 pts

5 pts

style. There substantial errors with grammar, spelling or punctuation

5 pts

readability.

Total Points: 20