



PORTFOLIO TITLE: Rescue and Public Protection Equipment

LEAD STATE: State of South Carolina

OVERVIEW:

The Master Agreement in this portfolio will allow state and local governments across the country to have access to Rescue and Public Protection Equipment from a qualified and competitively priced manufacturer.

INITIAL TERM: 1 year (12/23/2025 – 12/22/2026)

RENEWALS: 4 additional one-year renewal periods

TOTAL TERM POSSIBLE: 5 years

AVAILABLE CATEGORIES:

1. Category 1 – Rescue Equipment
2. Category 2 – Hazmat Equipment
3. Category 3 – Intervention Equipment
4. Category 4 – Decontamination Equipment



SUPPLIERS AVAILABLE:

- Safeware
 - Category 1 – Resuce Equipment
 - Category 2 – Hazmat Equipment
 - Category 3 – Intervention Equipment
 - Category 4 – Decontamination Equipment



KEY BENEFITS:

- Provides a wide range of supplies and products not currently available on a NASPO portfolio, where those products and supplies are used frequently within each NASPO member state.
- The new NASPO portfolio creates a path for member states to purchase common products and equipment for rescue and public protection not currently available under a NASPO portfolio.

PRICING:

Master Agreement pricing is based on the price after a % MSRP discount. All offering and price adjustments are subject to the lead state's review, negotiation, or rejection prior to any catalog updates in the Master Agreement.

PROCUREMENT BACKGROUND:

These contracts are the result of the publicly posted solicitation number RFP 5400028525 led by the State of South Carolina. The NASPO Executive Council approved conducting a solicitation for Rescue and Public Protection Equipment to offer a new portfolio to state and local entities.

DISCLAIMER: This document is provided by NASPO ValuePoint and is for informational purposes only. While NASPO ValuePoint has worked to ensure the validity of the information provided, the user should not rely solely on the information contained in this document. Official records are maintained by the procurement office of the state that led the solicitation and should be reviewed prior to making any decision.



<u>STATES ON SOURCING TEAM:</u> ★ South Carolina – Lead State ★ Kansas ★ Florida 	<u>RFP INFORMATION:</u> Date Released: September 3, 2025 Date Closed: October 22, 2025 Number of Amendments Posted: 1 Posting Period: 49 Days				
<u>PUBLIC POSTING OF SOLICITATION:</u> Publicly posted by the lead State of South Carolina. Posting Link: http://www.procurement.sc.gov	<u>PUBLIC OPENING</u> Sealed proposals were opened by the Lead State following the closing date. 				
<u>VENDOR RESPONSES:</u> • Number of Vendor Responses Received: 3 • Number of Non-Responsive Vendor Responses: 1 • Number of Vendor Responses Evaluated: 2	<u>EVALUATIONS:</u> In-person technical evaluation meetings were held with the Sourcing Team on November 13, 2025. Final scoring was completed on November 14, 2025.				
<u>EVALUATION CRITERIA:</u> Proposals that met or exceed the Mandatory Minimum Requirements were evaluated against the following criteria, out of a total of 1,200 points: <table> <tr> <td data-bbox="175 1234 787 1522"> <u>Technical Evaluation Category (65%)</u> Experience & Qualifications Ability to Meet Scope of Work Customer Service Product Selection Availability Implementation & Promotion of NASPO ValuePoint Master Agreement Number of Categories Offered </td><td data-bbox="912 1234 1380 1522"> <u>Maximum Points Possible (1000 points)</u> 200 points 300 points 100 points 150 points 50 points 200 Points </td></tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="175 1543 581 1680"> <u>Cost Evaluation Category (35%)</u> Market Basket – By Category (1-4) Discount % Off Evaluation Volume Discount Evaluation </td><td data-bbox="912 1543 1367 1680"> <u>Maximum Points Possible (540 points)</u> 180 points 180 points 180 points </td></tr> </table>		<u>Technical Evaluation Category (65%)</u> Experience & Qualifications Ability to Meet Scope of Work Customer Service Product Selection Availability Implementation & Promotion of NASPO ValuePoint Master Agreement Number of Categories Offered	<u>Maximum Points Possible (1000 points)</u> 200 points 300 points 100 points 150 points 50 points 200 Points	<u>Cost Evaluation Category (35%)</u> Market Basket – By Category (1-4) Discount % Off Evaluation Volume Discount Evaluation	<u>Maximum Points Possible (540 points)</u> 180 points 180 points 180 points
<u>Technical Evaluation Category (65%)</u> Experience & Qualifications Ability to Meet Scope of Work Customer Service Product Selection Availability Implementation & Promotion of NASPO ValuePoint Master Agreement Number of Categories Offered	<u>Maximum Points Possible (1000 points)</u> 200 points 300 points 100 points 150 points 50 points 200 Points				
<u>Cost Evaluation Category (35%)</u> Market Basket – By Category (1-4) Discount % Off Evaluation Volume Discount Evaluation	<u>Maximum Points Possible (540 points)</u> 180 points 180 points 180 points				

DISCLAIMER: This document is provided by NASPO ValuePoint and is for informational purposes only. While NASPO ValuePoint has worked to ensure the validity of the information provided, the user should not rely solely on the information contained in this document. Official records are maintained by the procurement office of the state that led the solicitation and should be reviewed prior to making any decision.



SCORING:

Offeror's proposals were scored according to the RFP's Evaluation Criteria under Attachment C – RFP Evaluation Plan.

AWARD:

The RFP allowed for one vendor award.
The sourcing team recommended an award to one (1) supplier.



	Rescue and Public Protection Equipment Awardee by Category	Safeware
1	Rescue Equipment	✓
2	Hazmat Equipment	✓
3	Intervention Equipment	✓
4	Decontamination Equipment	✓

DISCLAIMER: This document is provided by NASPO ValuePoint and is for informational purposes only. While NASPO ValuePoint has worked to ensure the validity of the information provided, the user should not rely solely on the information contained in this document. Official records are maintained by the procurement office of the state that led the solicitation and should be reviewed prior to making any decision.