

PORTFOLIO TITLE: [Procurement Assistance & IT Support Services](#)
LEAD STATE: North Carolina

OVERVIEW:

The Master Agreements in this portfolio offer procurement assistance and support services, and IT research, advisory, and consulting services.

INITIAL TERM: January 19, 2026 to January 18, 2029

RENEWALS: Three years

TOTAL TERM POSSIBLE: 6 Years through 11/18/2032

MASTER AGREEMENT CATEGORIES:

The following products may be available under the Master Agreements:


Category 1 PASS services include procurement planning assistance, including market research and procurement strategy recommendation; procurement document development including cost/price estimates, quality assurance surveillance plans, statements for work, synopses, solicitations, price negotiation memoranda, etc.; expert assistance in supporting proposal evaluations, including price/cost analysis or technical proposal analysis; contract administration support services, including assistance reviewing contractor performance, development of contract modifications, and investigating reports of contract discrepancies; contract close-out assistance; competitive sourcing support, including strategic sourcing studies; privatization studies, and public-private partnerships.


Category 2 IT RAC services include information technology research, advisory, and consulting Including but not limited to the following: IT business advice, objective IT research, IT data, access to IT research databases with publications focused on IT and government. Research areas of focus include but are not limited to, Planning and establishing IT policies, procedures, and best practices, establishing and implementing IT governance, review of new and emerging Information Technologies, assistance with IT Procurement and acquisition decisions for IT hardware and software, software licensing and Asset Management, application/system development, data analytics, data management, and business intelligence, Information security, strategic planning and Enterprise Architecture, evaluations of industry issues, products, and major trends in the marketplace

Listed below are examples of additional value add services that Contractors may provide under the Master Agreement. These services are optional.


- Procurement policy and digital procurement transformation.
- Category management.
- Change management and procurement transformation assistance.
- Procurement training.
- Project management; and
- Grants assistance and management.

DISCLAIMER: This document is provided by NASPO ValuePoint and is for informational purposes only. While NASPO ValuePoint has worked to ensure the validity of the information provided, the user should not rely solely on the information contained in this document. Official records are maintained by the procurement office of the state that led the solicitation and should be reviewed prior to making any decision.



<u>STATES ON SOURCING TEAM:</u> ★ North Carolina (Lead) ★ Nevada ★ Nevada ★ Colorado Nevada is listed twice as there were two members from Nevada.	<u>SOLICITATION INFORMATION:</u> Solicitation Type: Request for Proposal (RFP) Solicitation Number: DPC-1428523190-SA Date Released: March 4, 2025 Date Closed: April 24, 2025
<u>PRICING:</u> Pricing is the guaranteed hourly rate as provided in their cost submission and must be guaranteed for a minimum of one year. Any new price adjustment following the one-year guarantee must be guaranteed for an equal period of time (one year). Additionally, some vendors entered 0% as their potential increase. These vendors will have their prices guaranteed for the entire term of the Master Agreement, including renewals.	
<u>PUBLIC POSTING OF SOLICITATION:</u> Website Posted On: North Carolina Electronic Vendor Portal Posting Link: North Carolina Electronic Vendor PortalSolicitations Number of Days Solicitation was Publicly Posted: 51 days Number of Amendments Posted: 2 Proposals Received: 89	
<u>EVALUATION CRITERIA AND STAGES:</u> Evaluation Criteria: • Technical Criteria: 700 points • Cost Evaluation: 300 points <u>Evaluation Stages:</u> Stage 1: Initial Responsiveness Stage 2: Mandatory Minimum Requirements Stage 3: Technical Proposal Evaluation Stage 4: Cost	
<u>EVALUATIONS:</u> Virtual evaluation meetings were held with the Sourcing Team from 6/17/2025 to 6/30/2025. Final Scoring, including cost, was completed on August 27, 2025.	

DISCLAIMER: This document is provided by NASPO ValuePoint and is for informational purposes only. While NASPO ValuePoint has worked to ensure the validity of the information provided, the user should not rely solely on the information contained in this document. Official records are maintained by the procurement office of the state that led the solicitation and should be reviewed prior to making any decision.



Awards:

Contractor	Category 1:	Category 2:
Accenture		X
ASI Government	X	
Avasant	X	X
Avid Systems	X	X
Berry Dunn McNeil	X	X
Business Management Research Assoc.	X	
CACI Inc	X	
Calypus Consulting Group	X	
Capital Group	X	
Center for Applied Innovation	X	X
Civic Initiatives	X	
Crowe	X	X
CSG Government Solutions	X	
Deloitte Consulting		X
Design to Delivery	X	
EL Hamm & Associates	X	
Elyon Enterprise Strategies	X	
Ernst & Young	X	
Flairsoft	X	X
Forrester Research		X
Gartner	X	X
Genesis Consulting Partners	X	
Guidehouse Inc	X	X
Health Management Associates		X
Healthtech Solutions	X	
Ikaso Consulting	X	
Infojini		X
Info-Tech Research Group	X	X
KPMG	X	X
Mathtech	X	
Mission Critical Partners		X
Monterey Consultants	X	X
NTT Data State Health Consulting	X	
Plante & Moran	X	X
Public Consulting Group	X	
Sellers Dorsey Associates	X	
Simplar Sourcing Solutions	X	
Stafford Consulting Company	X	
The Boston Consulting Group	X	X
The North Highland Company	X	X

DISCLAIMER: This document is provided by NASPO ValuePoint and is for informational purposes only. While NASPO ValuePoint has worked to ensure the validity of the information provided, the user should not rely solely on the information contained in this document. Official records are maintained by the procurement office of the state that led the solicitation and should be reviewed prior to making any decision.