



PORTFOLIO TITLE: POLICE RADAR/LIDAR SPEED ENFORCEMENT & ACCIDENT SCENE RECONSTRUCTION

OVERVIEW:

The State of Washington, in partnership with NASPO ValuePoint has awarded Police Radar/Lidar Speed Enforcement & Accident Scene Reconstruction Good & Services.

The Master Agreements in this portfolio allows state and local governments across the country to source police radar/lidar speed measuring devices approved by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), and accident scene reconstruction goods and services from qualified and competitively priced suppliers.

INITIAL TERM: 3 years

RENEWALS: one (1) additional renewal periods of two (2) years.

TOTAL TERM POSSIBLE: 5 years

PRODUCT CATEGORIES:

Category One: Includes speed enforcement, speed advisory and accident and crime scene re-construction goods and services that meet/exceed the Standard of Quality (SOQ) established in the solicitation. Additionally, Group A Speed Enforcement products must be approved by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration's (NHTSA) and shown on the NHTSA Conforming Products List (CPL).

Group A: Speed Enforcement – This category includes vehicle-mounted and hand-held radar and lidar speed measuring devices and software.

Group B: Speed Advisory Systems - This category includes automated/unmanned pole and trailer-mounted radar and lidar speed advisory systems and software.

Group C: Accident & Crime Scene Re-Construction - This category includes lidar measuring equipment and software used for crime and accident scene re-construction.

Category Two: Includes speed enforcement goods and services that meet/exceed the product description in Category One but are not approved or shown on the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration's (NHTSA) Conforming Products List (CPL). In alignment with the requirements of the solicitation, products in Category Two must be able to demonstrate an endorsement for law enforcement use. This includes, but is not limited to, evidence of product holding up in court to enforce a citation, a written endorsement of product by a law enforcement official or organization, or evidence of use by a law enforcement organization.

Group A: Speed Enforcement – This category includes vehicle-mounted and hand-held radar and lidar speed measuring devices and software that meet/exceed the product description in Category One but are not approved or shown on the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration's (NHTSA) Conforming Products List (CPL)



SOLICITATION INFORMATION:

- Type: Request for Proposals (RFP)
- Date Released: January 22, 2024
- Date Closed: March 27, 2024
- Number of Amendments Posted: 1

STATES ON SOURCING TEAM:

- Washington – Lead State
- California
- Nevada
- Oklahoma
- South Carolina

EVALUATION INFORMATION:

The Sourcing Team met in person April 4-5, 2024, and finalized evaluation upon receipt of clarifying information from proposals by the prescribed deadline(s). Final scoring was completed on June 11, 2024.

VENDOR RESPONSES:

- Number of Vendor Responses Received: 10
- Number of Non-Responsive Vendor Responses: 1
- Number of Vendor Responses Evaluated: 9

Responses were evaluated based on the following Evaluation Criteria:

Initial Responsiveness

Administrative review was completed by the lead state of Washington, reviewing proposals for completeness and initial responsiveness against the mandatory minimum requirements outlined in the RFP.

Technical Evaluation

<u>Technical Evaluation Category</u>	<u>Points Possible</u>
Experience and Qualifications	120 points
Ability to meet SOW	80 points
Quality Assurance & Distribution	150 points
Promotion of the NASPO ValuePoint Master Agreement	100 points
Business Profile	50 points
Total Technical Points Available	500 points

Cost Evaluation

<u>Cost Evaluation Category</u>	<u>Points Possible</u>
Market Basket Evaluation	500 points
Total Cost Points Available	500 points

State Procurement Priority

<u>State Procurement Priority Category</u>	<u>Points</u>
<u>Possible</u> Exec. Order 18-03 (no mandatory arbitration w/employees)	10 points



SCORING & AWARD:

The RFP allowed for multiple vendor awards. The sourcing team recommended awards to a total of nine (9) suppliers across the two categories and associated groups in alignment with the criteria set forth in the RFP:

CATEGORY 1									
Speed enforcement, speed advisory and accident and crime scene re-construction goods and services that meet/exceed the Standard of Quality (SOQ) as outlined in the RFP. Additionally, all products in Category 1A – Speed Enforcement must be approved and shown on the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration's (NHTSA) Conforming Products List (CPL)									
Group	All Traffic Solutions	Applied Concepts dba Stalker	DragonEye Technology	FARO Tech	Kustom Signals	LaserTech	Leica Geosystems	MPH Industries	Traffic Logix
A - Speed Enforcement		✓	✓		✓	✓		✓	
B - Speed Advisory Systems	✓	✓			✓			✓	✓
C - Accident & Crime Scene Re-Construction				✓		✓	✓		

CATEGORY 2									
Speed enforcement goods and services that meet the Standard of Quality (SOQ) and law enforcement endorsement requirement in Category One but that are not approved or shown on the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration's (NHTSA) Conforming Product List (CPL)									
Group	All Traffic Solutions	Applied Concepts dba Stalker	DragonEye Technology	FARO Tech	Kustom Signals	LaserTech	Leica Geosystems	MPH Industries	Traffic Logix
A - Speed Enforcement	NO BIDS RECEIVED FOR CATEGORY 2								

PRICING:

Master Agreement pricing reflects minimum catalog discount percentages per authorized Category. See the supplier's current pricing on their NASPO ValuePoint portfolio website page by following the link in the "SUPPLIERS AVAILABLE" section of this document.

Suppliers Available:

- ✓ All Traffic Solutions
- ✓ Applied Concepts dba Stalker Radar
- ✓ DragonEye Technology
- ✓ FARO Technology
- ✓ Kustom Signals
- ✓ LaserTech
- ✓ Leica Geosystems
- ✓ MPH Industries
- ✓ Traffic Logix

DISCLAIMER: This document is provided by NASPO ValuePoint and is for informational purposes only. While NASPO ValuePoint has worked to ensure the validity of the information provided, the user should not rely solely on the information contained in this document. Official records are maintained by the procurement office of the state that led the solicitation and should be reviewed prior to making any decision.