

# State and Local Tax Considerations Under Current Federal Tax Law

TUESDAY, MAY 7, 2019, 1:00-2:50 pm Eastern

## IMPORTANT INFORMATION FOR THE LIVE PROGRAM

This program is approved for 2 CPE credit hours. To earn credit you must:

- **Participate in the program on your own computer connection (no sharing)** - if you need to register additional people, please call customer service at 1-800-926-7926 ext.1 (or 404-881-1141 ext. 1). Strafford accepts American Express, Visa, MasterCard, Discover.
- Listen on-line via your computer speakers.
- Respond to five prompts during the program plus a single verification code.
- To earn full credit, you must remain connected for the entire program.

## WHO TO CONTACT DURING THE LIVE EVENT

For Additional Registrations:

-Call Strafford Customer Service 1-800-926-7926 x1 (or 404-881-1141 x1)

For Assistance During the Live Program:

-On the web, use the chat box at the bottom left of the screen

If you get disconnected during the program, you can simply log in using your original instructions and PIN.

## *Tips for Optimal Quality*

FOR LIVE PROGRAM ONLY

---

### Sound Quality

When listening via your computer speakers, please note that the quality of your sound will vary depending on the speed and quality of your internet connection.

If the sound quality is not satisfactory, please e-mail [sound@straffordpub.com](mailto:sound@straffordpub.com) immediately so we can address the problem.

# State and Local Tax Considerations Under Current Federal Tax Law

---

May 7, 2019

---

Michael J. Cataldo, Shareholder  
Cataldo Tax Law, Lafayette, California  
[michael@cataldotaxlaw.com](mailto:michael@cataldotaxlaw.com)

Jeffrey S. Reed, Partner  
Kilpatrick Townsend & Stockton, New York  
[jsreed@kilpatricktownsend.com](mailto:jsreed@kilpatricktownsend.com)

# Notice

---

ANY TAX ADVICE IN THIS COMMUNICATION IS NOT INTENDED OR WRITTEN BY THE SPEAKERS' FIRMS TO BE USED, AND CANNOT BE USED, BY A CLIENT OR ANY OTHER PERSON OR ENTITY FOR THE PURPOSE OF (i) AVOIDING PENALTIES THAT MAY BE IMPOSED ON ANY TAXPAYER OR (ii) PROMOTING, MARKETING OR RECOMMENDING TO ANOTHER PARTY ANY MATTERS ADDRESSED HEREIN.

You (and your employees, representatives, or agents) may disclose to any and all persons, without limitation, the tax treatment or tax structure, or both, of any transaction described in the associated materials we provide to you, including, but not limited to, any tax opinions, memoranda, or other tax analyses contained in those materials.

The information contained herein is of a general nature and based on authorities that are subject to change. Applicability of the information to specific situations should be determined through consultation with your tax adviser.

CATALDO  
TAX  
LAW



# State and Local Tax Considerations Under Current Federal Tax Law

By Michael J. Cataldo and Jeffrey S. Reed

# Agenda

- Introduction / Conformity
- International Provisions
- Business Tax Reform Provisions
- \$10,000 SALT Deduction Limitation Workarounds
- Other TCJA Provisions and State Conformity Issues
- Questions

# Introduction to Tax Cuts and Jobs Act

- Transition tax under IRC section 965
- Global Intangible Low-Taxed Income (GILTI) under IRC section 951A
- Interest Expense Limitation under IRC section 162(j)
- Expensing under IRC section 168(k)
- Like-Kind Exchanges
- Net Operating Losses
- Domestic and Foreign Dividends Received Deductions

# State Conformity

- States define income by reference to the IRC in several different ways:
  - Static – conform as of certain date.
  - Rolling – conform to IRC changes as made.
  - Selective – incorporate static versions of certain sections.
  - Choice – example, Michigan allows either 1/1/2018 IRC or current version.
- Consequences
  - If static, need legislation to incorporate new provisions.
  - If rolling, need legislation if want to decouple from IRC.
- Line 28 or Line 30 of Form 1120 (take into account NOLs and special deductions)

# Impact on State Filing Methods

## Separate company reporting

- Starting point federal taxable income
- Line 28 or line 30 of Form 1120

## Combined reporting

- Composition of unitary group
- Water's edge or worldwide
- Federal consolidated return rules different than state combined reporting rules.

# International Provisions

# Transition Tax

## Income inclusion under IRC section 965

- US shareholders must pay a one-time transition tax on accumulated untaxed foreign earnings of certain controlled foreign corporations.
- Transition tax calculated separately from federal taxable income. (IRS Publication 5292).
- Increase in Subpart F income under IRC section 952.
- Reduction for certain foreign affiliates with negative E&P.
- Deduction under 965(c).

# Transition Tax

## Included in state tax base

- Conformity to IRC
- State legislation

## State-level deduction

- Deduction under IRC section 965(c)
- Foreign-source income subtraction or dividends received deduction

## Combined reporting

- Prior year worldwide combined returns included foreign earnings
- DRD or elimination
- Different federal consolidated and state combined group composition

# Transition Tax

## Income Classification

- Business or nonbusiness income

## Apportionment of Business Income

- Deemed amounts included in sales factor?
- How are they assigned (COP, market-based, excluded)?
- Inclusion of foreign subsidiary factors?
- Distortion – multi-year factor relief?

# Transition Tax

## Actual repatriation

- 100% DRD under IRC section 245A
  - *Kraft* foreign commerce clause issues
- Exclusion of previously taxed income
- State specific DRD or foreign income exclusion
- Foreign investment interest offset
- Business/nonbusiness income classification
- Apportionment

# Transition Tax

- Illinois – Income included in tax base; foreign dividend subtraction excludes portion of the income.
- California – Income excluded from worldwide combined returns. No impact on water's edge inclusion ratio which uses Subpart F income as defined in IRC section 952.
- Oregon – Income included in tax base; no IRC section 965(c) deduction; 70-80% state-level DRD. Special exclusion for amounts previously taxed in combined return from tax havens.
- Florida – Income excluded because it does not flow into federal taxable income.
- New Jersey – Income included in the tax base; partial dividends received deduction; exclusion if subsidiary paid New Jersey tax on same earnings; special allocation formula that is the lesser of the three-year average 2014 through 2016 allocation factor or 3.5%.
- New York – Income is considered gross exempt CFC income excluded from tax base.
- Pennsylvania – Income included in tax base; state-level DRD.

# Global Intangible Low-Taxed Income (GILTI)

- What the reform does
  - Basic idea: tax income earned by foreign subsidiaries of US corporations. This way it does not escape taxation. But tax it at a lower rate.
- Computation
  - IRC section 951A: taxes income earned by foreign subsidiaries, using assumptions about how much income is intangible.
  - IRC section 250(a)(1)(B): provides a deduction that lowers the tax rate.
  - Credits: corporations can claim a credit for 80% of tax paid to foreign jurisdictions on GILTI income.

# GILTI - State Tax Treatment of the Income

- Conceptual Issues
  - States have to address the income inclusion and the deduction.
  - If the income is included, but not the deduction (it is a special deduction), at cross-purposes with federal tax treatment.
  - If the income is excluded, but the deduction is granted, taxpayers receive a windfall compared to federal income tax treatment.
  - If credit not granted, may be taxing something that is not deemed “GILTI” for federal income tax purposes.
  - Should states be taxing this income at all? Unitary?
- Majority approach
  - Exclude GILTI – 95% or more (mechanics can vary from state to state).

# GILTI – New Jersey

- New Jersey approach
  - Include the income as computed under IRC 951A.
  - Adopts the special deduction under IRC 250(a)(1)(B).
  - Cannot take into account foreign tax credits.
  - Cannot take the special deduction unless income inclusion.
  - Apportionment – ratio of NJ GDP / total US GDP in which the taxpayer has economic nexus. 3.1% apportionment if nexus in all 50 states.
  - Mechanism to prevent double-counting (tax on GILTI and also if the entity is taxed in a worldwide combined return).

# GILTI – New York

- New York approach
  - Include the income as computed under IRC 951A.
  - Adopts the special deduction under IRC 250(a)(1)(B).
  - Apportionment: net GILTI included in the denominator and not in the numerator.



# Business Tax Reform Provisions

# Interest Deductibility

- IRC section 163(j) interest expense deduction generally limited to 30 percent of federal adjusted gross income.
- Indefinite carryover of unused interest to future years, subject to IRC 381.
- Proposed federal regulations under IRC section 163(j) make calculation on consolidated basis.

# Interest Deductibility

## Conformity/decoupling issues

- Allocation of disallowed interest expense from federal consolidated return
- Combined reporting – limitation applied on group or by entity?
  - Allocation disallowed interest expense?
  - Siloing of disallowed interest carryover?
- State related party interest expense addback
- Nonbusiness income deduction?
- Apportionment of carryover (which year?)
- Interest income included in state tax base of recipient?

# Interest Deductibility

Pennsylvania Corporation Tax Bulletin 2019-03, April 29, 2019:

- Pennsylvania will not impose interest expense deduction limitation for taxpayers included in a federal consolidated return if federal group not subject to interest expense deduction limitation.
- If federal consolidated group has IRC section 163(j) limitation, Pennsylvania taxpayers that are members of the group must determine any 163(j) limitation on a separate company basis.

# Interest Deductibility

## New Jersey Technical Bulletin 87, April 12, 2019

- follow federal proposed regulations requiring calculation on consolidated basis.
- the federal consolidated group limitation must be allocated among group members.
- Related party addback applied after 163(j) limitation.

# Interest Deductibility

Virginia follows IRC section 163(j) and allows a deduction equal to 20% of the amount of disallowed interest.

# Domestic Dividends Received Deduction

Percent Ownership	Deduction % Old Rules	Deduction % New Rules
Less than 20%	70%	50%
20% up to 80%	80%	65%
80% or more	100%	100%

# Foreign Dividends Received Deduction

IRC section 245A permits a 100% DRD for foreign source portion of dividends received from certain foreign subsidiaries.

# Net Operating Losses – TCJA Changes

- Prior Rules
  - Carryback two years.
  - Carryforward 20 years.
  - No NOL limitations.
- TCJA
  - No carryback.
  - Indefinite carryforward.
  - Limitation of 80% of taxable income.
- State approaches
  - Most states eliminated carryback, carryforwards all over the map (5 year to unlimited carryforward, depending on state).

# Overview of Other TCJA Changes

- SALT Deduction Cap
- Deductibility of Fines and Penalties (IRC Section 162(f))
- Expensing under IRC Section 168(k)
- Like-Kind Exchanges
- 20% Deduction for Certain PTE Business Income (IRC Section 199A)
- Partnership Technical Terminations
- Accounting Method Changes

# \$10,000 SALT Deduction Limitation

# \$10,000 SALT Deduction Limitation

- Overview
  - Pre-TCJA: taxpayers could deduct state and local taxes (income taxes, property taxes) on federal income tax returns.
  - Post-TCJA: \$10,000 limitation. Has large impact for some high-income earners in states with significant income and property taxes.
- Strong political opposition from some states
  - Attacked as “economic assault” by NY’s governor and strongly opposed by several state governors.
  - Led to litigation and the proposal of several workarounds.

# Lawsuit Challenging the Limitation

- Summary
  - CT, MD, NJ, NY are challenging the limitation in the SDNY.
  - Argument 1: the limitation interferes with powers reserved to the states by the 10<sup>th</sup> Amendment (e.g., the ability to set their own tax policies).
  - Argument 2: conflicts with enactment of the 16<sup>th</sup> Amendment (violates the terms of the deal – states allowed federal tradeoff in exchange for deduction).
  - Argument 3: coerces states to change their tax policies, without giving the states a seat at the table.
  - All federalism-based arguments.

# Workaround 1: Charitable Contribution

- Idea
  - Allow taxpayers to donate to a state charity.
  - A credit is then allowed against state income or local property tax.
  - For federal income tax purposes, still can deduction the full amount because it is a charitable contribution not subject to a \$10,000 limitation.
  - New York, New Jersey, Connecticut.
- IRS shuts this down
  - Proposed regulations state that the federal charitable contribution deduction must be reduced by any amount that resulted in a state / local credit, unless the credit is 15% or less of the donation amount.

# Workaround 2: Payroll Tax

- Idea

- NY experimented with this. Employer Compensation Expense Tax.
- Idea is that employer can deduct taxes, but employees cannot.
- So shift state and local income tax from employee to employer.
- Genesis of workaround is a law and economics professor.
- Complicated mechanics for doing this (employee paid less, but in same place economically, credit mechanism, etc.). Employer must elect in to this.
- Lots of issues – what about nonresident employees who would be paid less but would not receive credit in home state?
- Few employers made the election.

# Workaround 3: Pass-through Entity Level Tax

- Idea
  - Impose tax at the entity level, give pass-through owner a personal income tax credit.
  - So state tax shifted from partner to partnership (partnership can deduct tax for federal income tax purposes without limitation).
  - Connecticut and Wisconsin. CT applies to most PTE, WI opt-in.
  - CT credit – roughly 93% of proportionate liability. Can be applied against corporate or personal income tax. Refundable.
  - Other states considering this (NY discussion draft for state-level UBT).
  - Largest issue – what about nonresident partners? Can they claim credit in home state?

# Other Workarounds

- Planning with Trusts
- MOVE!!!!
- High-tax states anticipating lots of high net worth individuals will move to FL, TX, NV.
- Residency audits.
- Other ideas?



# Other Issues

# Deductibility of Fines and Penalties (IRC Section 162(f))

- TCJA Reform and State Tax Issue
  - Under TCJA, new 162(f) disallows a deduction for amounts paid or incurred in relation to the violation of any law or investigation or inquiry by such government or entity into potential violation of the law.
  - 6050X provides for a disclosure requirement.
  - There are safe harbors (payment is restitution and can show that you have come into compliance).
  - State issue: if a payment is made that would be nondeductible under new 162(f), but a state has not adopted new 162(f), still deductible?

# Expensing under IRC Section 168(k)

- TCJA Reform
  - TCJA allows 100% bonus expensing for certain MACRS depreciable property with a recovery period of 20 years or less.
  - Property must be “new to you.”
  - Bonus depreciation gradually phased out between 2023 – 2026.
- State Issue
  - Many states will not allow bonus depreciation.
  - Will have different federal and state depreciation.

# Opportunity Zones

- TCJA reform
  - Unrealized capital gains can be invested in funds that invest in “opportunity zones,” which are economically distressed areas within the United States. Provisions contained in new IRC 1400Z-1 and 1400Z-2.
  - Investors can defer or eliminate capital gains.
- State Tax Issue
  - “Rolling conformity” states would allow for analogous state tax benefit.
  - A few states have opted out (HI and NC)
  - Arkansas has limited conformity only to investments in Arkansas opportunity zones (so Arkansas resident would not receive benefit for non-Arkansas opportunity zone fund).  
*Cutler* issue.

# Like-Kind Exchanges

- IRC section 1031 narrowed to cover only real property.
  - Potential state-only 1031 treatment for eligible non-real property
  - California annual disclosure of 1031 exchanges for out-of-state property

# Repeal of Partnership Technical Terminations

- Technical termination if a sale or exchange of 50% or more of the total interest in partnership capital or profits within a twelve month period.
- Assets and liabilities of the old partnership are deemed contributed into a new partnership in exchange for an interest which is subsequently distributed to the purchasing partners and other remaining partners.
- The new partnership would need to make new entity-level elections, would not be required to use the accounting method of the old partnership, and would need to depreciate any assets contributed from the old partnership as “new” assets.
- Many local real property transfer taxes are imposed on technical terminations of partnerships holding real property.

# Accounting Method Changes

- The \$5 million threshold for eligibility to use cash method by corporations increased to \$25 million.

# 20% Deduction for Certain Business Income (IRC Section 199A)

- Applicable to pass-through entities
- Certain services businesses ineligible for deduction
  - Phase out based on taxable income
  - Limits applied at partner, member, or shareholder level

# Questions

## **Jeffrey S. Reed, Partner**

Kilpatrick Townsend & Stockton LLP

phone: 925.395.4645

email: [JSReed@kilpatricktownsend.com](mailto:JSReed@kilpatricktownsend.com)

[www.kilpatricktownsend.com](http://www.kilpatricktownsend.com)

## **Michael J. Cataldo, Shareholder**

Cataldo Tax Law, P.C.

phone: 925.395.4645

email: [michael@cataldotaxlaw.com](mailto:michael@cataldotaxlaw.com)

[www.cataldotaxlaw.com](http://www.cataldotaxlaw.com)