Metadata

Version 1
Created by Translators without Borders

Uploaded on Mar-21

Notes and caveats All data is drawn from government survey results and is subject to any associated limitations or distortions present in the source data.

Literacy was measured as the ability to read and write in any language.

As a data protection measure, the language dataset provider, IPUMS, has merged various second administrative units in order to prepopulation threshold required for public dissemination of the data. This has resulted in combined figures for different zones in the one row in this dataset and the language data can only apply across these combined zones. Attempts to disaggregate these location Languages with population shares less than 0.01% or under 1,000 people (whichever is greater) have been aggregated into the "Otl The language dataset provider, IPUMS, classified Guinea's Prefecture territorial boundaries as Admin1 level division and Sub-prefecture as Admin2 and Admin3. In order to be consistent with OCHA's p-codes, this dataset adopts OCHA's classification, while showing the

protection of individuals.

All decimal values have been rounded to a maximum of 3 decimal places. As a result language shares may not total 100%.

Copyright and terms of use

Empty values represent non-existent data and should not be treated as zero values.

Data is available under an Attribution NonCommercial ShareAlike 4.0 International license (CC BY NC SA 4.0)

You are free to share and adapt the data subject to requirements for attribution and non commercial use. Any derivative work mus https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by nc sa/4.0/.

Source name Last accessed Source URL

2014 Troisieme Recansement General de la Population et de la Population et de L'Habitation (RGPH3), via IPUMS

27-Feb-21 https://international.ipums.org/

OCHA administrative boundary and P-code data via HDX (version at 27 February 2021)

27-Feb-21 https://data.humdata.org/dataset/guinea-geodatabase

Field heading	HXL code	<u>Description</u>
admin2_name	#adm2+name	Name of administrative unit
admin2_pcode	#adm2+code	Unique geographic identification code
admin1_name	#adm1+name	Name of administrative unit
admin1_pcode	#adm1+code	Unique geographic identification code
admin0_name	#country+name	Name of administrative unit
admin0_pcode	#country+code	Unique geographic identification code
number_of_named_l		Number of named (non Other) languages reported in area (excluding
anguages	#indicator+lang+num	those with less than 0.1 coverage in this area)
main_language	#indicator+lang+main	Name of reported language with highest attributed population in area
main_language_share	#indicator+lang+main+pct	Percentage share of population in area attributed to main language
Language fields	#indicator+lang+pct+iso639 3_ABC	communication in their households
Other language	#indicator+lang+pct+iso639 3_unknown	communication in their households is not a named language
speaks_eng_	#indicator+lang+understand+pct+iso639 3_ABC	Percentage of population aged 15 and over who can speak english
illiteracy_	#population+total+pct+illiterate+age15up	Percentage of population aged 15 and over who are illiterate
illiteracymale	#population+m+pct+illiterate+age15up	Percentage of male population aged 15 and over who are illiterate
illiteracyfemale	#population+f+pct+illiterate+age15up	Percentage of female population aged 15 and over who are illiterate
pop_total	#population+total	Number of total population
pop_male	#population+m	Number of male population
pop_female	#population+f	Number of female population
language_data_yn	#meta+data+bool	record
data_confidence	#meta+confidence	Assessment of data quality (high/medium/low)
notes	#meta+text	Notes and clarifications on data

Data confidence desci Examples

High Data from well regarded government census or other specialist organisation.

Medium Data from quality source but without clear methodology, or inverse.

Low Data known to be erroneous, or based on non statistical or imprecise data.

Factors increasing con Data is corroborated. Data can be directly applied to administrative areas without aggregation or manipulation.

Factors decreasing cor Data is disputed. Data requires transformation that may affect accuracy, for example combining admin 2 data to form admin 1 dat

<u>Language</u>	ISO 639 3 code	Alternative names and spellings
Susu	sus	Soussou
Pular	ful	Poular, Fula, Fulfulde
Maninka	emk	Malinké, Eastern Maninka
Jahnka	jad	Diakanka
Baga	bgo	
Nalu	naj	Nalou
Mixifore	mfg	Mikiforè
Landoma	ldm	Landouma
Badyara	pbp	Badiaranke
Bassari	bsc	
Wamey	cou	Koniagui
Yalunka	yal	Djalonke
Soninke	snk	Sarakole, Marka
Kuranko	knk	Kouranko
Kissi	kqs	
Lele	IIc	Lélé
Toma	tod	Loma
Konyanka Maninka	mku	Konyanka, Koniaka
Manya	mzj	Tomamania
Kpele	gkp	Kpèlè
Mano	mev	
Kono	knu	

ata.

rovide spatio-temporally harmonized units that meet their 20,000 dataset. Tougnifili and Mankountan, for example, have been combined into is would likely result in decreased data accuracy.

ner" field.

:tures as Admin2, while OCHA classified those same administrative divisions e only data made available by IPUMS, without any prejudice to the

 $t\,be\,distributed\,under\,the\,same\,license\,as\,the\,original.$ Full terms at

<u>Sources</u>

OCHA administrative boundary and pcode data OCHA administrative boundary and pcode data

Calculated field

Calculated field

Calculated field

..

2014 census data

2014 census data

..

2014 census data

2014 census data