

**THE NEW YORK
CIVIL APPELLATE
CITATOR**

ELLIOTT SCHEINBERG, ESQ.

New York State Bar Association publications are intended to provide current and accurate information to help attorneys maintain their professional competence. Publications are distributed with the understanding that NYSBA does not render any legal, accounting or other professional service. Attorneys using publications or orally conveyed information in dealing with a specific client's or their own legal matters should also research original sources of authority.

We consider the publication of any NYSBA practice book as the beginning of a dialogue with our readers. Periodic updates to this book will give us the opportunity to incorporate your suggestions regarding additions or corrections. Please send your comments to: Publications Director, New York State Bar Association, One Elk Street, Albany, NY 12207.

Copyright 2019
New York State Bar Association
All rights reserved
ISBN: 978-1-57969-576-7
Book Product Number: 401819

SKU:4104018001901

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Elliott Scheinberg is an appellate attorney who also consults with practitioners on appeals, memoranda, briefs and motions.

Mr. Scheinberg is the author of the two-volume treatise, *Contract Doctrine and Marital Agreements in New York*, published by the New York State Bar Association [4th ed. 2020]. He has also written several chapters for Matthew Bender's *New York Civil Practice* and is the author of "Grandparental Visitation: Its Evolution in New York State," published in the *Cardoza Public Law, Policy & Ethics Journal* [2004].

Mr. Scheinberg has been a contributing author of numerous articles in the *New York Law Journal* and the *New York State Bar Association's Family Law Review*. His articles have been cited, inter alia, in *McKinney's Consolidated Laws of New York Annotated* and *New York Civil Appellate Practice*, Mark Davies, Marianne Stecich, Risa I. Gold [West 2018]. Mr. Scheinberg has written many amicus curiae briefs to the New York State Court of Appeals, having been cited in one decision, with others adopting arguments advanced in his brief. His many lectures include presentations in the First, Second and Third Departments, the Judicial Institute, and bar associations.

Mr. Scheinberg is a member of and lecturer for NYSBA's Committee on Court of Appellate Jurisdiction. He also serves on the Board of Managers of the American Academy of Matrimonial Lawyers and co-chairs its Amicus Curiae Committee; he is co-chair emeritus of its CLE Committee. He is on NYSBA's Executive Committee of the Family Law Section and is co-chair emeritus of the section's Amicus Committee.

A graduate of the Benjamin N. Cardozo School of Law in New York City, Mr. Scheinberg, prior to pursuing a career in law, was a doctoral candidate in Romance Philology at the University of Chicago, and a teaching fellow in the French Department, Romance Linguistics Program, at the University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI. He received his Bachelor of Science in Mathematics and French from Brooklyn College, Brooklyn, N.Y.

DETAILED TABLE OF CONTENTS

VOLUME I

Dedications	iii
Acknowledgments	xi
Introduction.....	xiii
About the Author	xv
1. General Foundational Principles of Appellate Practice.....	1
Appellate advocacy, briefing arguments	2
The “inherent powers doctrine” vests the Appellate Division with all powers reasonably required to enable it to “perform efficiently its judicial functions”	3
Judicial errors in trials are inevitable.....	4
The right to appeal is not inherent; it is dependent upon express constitutional or statutory authorization	5
The requirements of statutes which regulate the right to appeal are to be strictly construed.....	6
The age of a case does not detract from its validity	7
It is the unfettered duty of the courts to articulate and to refine the common law; an appellate court need not seek a briefing when it contemplates a refinement	8
Due process and institutional vindictiveness: due process prohibits a court from penalizing a party who exercised their right to appeal	9
Judicial opinions generally should be read in light of their facts.....	11
Courts must be mindful of future consequences of their rulings	13
The Appellate Division may only review orders from trial-level courts; it may not affirm its own orders and judgments	14

A party may be adjudicated in contempt for disobedience of a court order even if it is later overturned on appeal, reversal or modification does not render the order academic	15
A party may not disobey an order; the party must resort to the appellate process	16
Federal rulings and state courts: res judicata, collateral estoppel, relitigation in state court following a determination in federal court	18
A decision to proceed pro se involves a measure of risk, no greater rights are conferred than those afforded to other litigants.....	21
2. Applicable Law on Appeal	23
[a] Cases on Appeal Will Generally Be Decided in Accordance with the Law at the Time the Appeal is Decided	24
Unless specified otherwise by the legislature, the law applied on appeal is the new law, not the law at the time of the original determination	24
The three-prong test for determining whether a ruling should be applied is prospectively only	26
[b] Appellate Courts Have a Duty to Reconcile Statutes with the Constitution	27
A strong but rebuttable presumption of constitutionality accompanies legislative actions	27
An appellate court is obligated to pursue every reasonable path to reconcile a challenged statute consonant with the constitution rather than setting it aside, every presumption in favor of the statute will be indulged	29
Courts are bound by principles of judicial restraint not to decide constitutional questions unless their disposition is necessary to the appeal	30

Constitutional adherence and public policy require that the Appellate Division guard against courts, including itself, from acting outside of their subject matter jurisdiction, even if they do so unwittingly, in good faith, or in furtherance of judicial economy.....	31
[c] Judicial Discretion	32
What is the meaning of judicial discretion?	32
How far judicial decision may be dictated by individual notion of expediency and justice has been the subject of much discussion.....	32
Is there a difference between abuse of discretion and improvident exercise of discretion?.....	34
The Court of Appeals makes such a distinction without defining it;.....	34
“Substantial questions of abuse as a matter of law”; “outrageous results that shock the conscience”; “extraordinary circumstances, factual or procedural”	34
Instances of abuse of discretion, improvident exercise of discretion, improvident abuse of discretion, and cases that applied both in the same decisions within the same Departments.....	37
– Adjournment, application for, abuse of discretion, improvident exercise of discretion	37
– Attorney’s fees, abuse of discretion, “abuse or improvident exercise of discretion”	38
– Attorney’s fees, “improvident abuse of discretion,” improvident exercise of discretion	38
– Complaint, motion for leave to amend, abuse of discretion, improvident exercise of discretion	39
– Complaint, dismissal of, abuse of discretion, improvident exercise of discretion.....	40

– Contempt, improvident exercise of discretion, abuse of discretion.....	42
– Default, abuse of discretion, improvident exercise of discretion, “abuse or improvident exercise of discretion” ...	43
– Disclosure, abuse of discretion, improvident exercise of discretion.....	45
– Disclosure, sanctions, abuse of discretion and improvident exercise of discretion in the same decision.....	46
– Disclosure, CPLR 3126 penalties, “abuse or improvident exercise of discretion”	47
– Disclosure, CPLR 3126 penalties, abuse of discretion.....	48
– Disclosure, CPLR 3126 penalties, abuse of discretion, improvident exercise of discretion.....	49
– Disqualification of counsel, provident exercise of discretion, abuse of discretion	49
– Equitable distribution, abuse of discretion, improvident exercise of discretion; although the Court of Appeals held that property distribution is scrutinized against the standard of abuse of discretion, subsequent appellate decisions still apply the improvident exercise of discretion standard	50
– Evidentiary issues, provident exercise of discretion.....	53
– Exclusive occupancy, improvident exercise of discretion...	54
– Expert opinion, preclusion of, abuse of discretion, provident exercise of discretion	55
– Fiduciary, revoking letters pursuant to the SCPA, abuse of discretion.....	58
– Fixing compensation pursuant to the guidelines in the SCPA, “improvident abuse discretion”	58
– Income, imputation of, improvident exercise of discretion.....	59

– Interest awards, abuse of discretion, improvident exercise of discretion	59
– Joint trials, improvident exercise of discretion, abuse of discretion	62
– Motion to intervene, First and Second Departments, improvident exercise of discretion	63
– Intervene, motion to, Third and Fourth Departments, abuse of discretion	64
– Motions for leave to renew, improvident exercise of discretion	65
– Motion to strike a note of issue, improvident exercise its discretion	65
– Notice of claim, extension of time to serve, abuse of discretion, improvident exercise of discretion.....	65
– Sanctions, improvident exercise of discretion.....	67
– Spoliation of Evidence, improvident exercise of discretion; substitution of judgment “only if its discretion was exercised improvidently”	67
– Spousal maintenance, abuse of discretion, provident exercise of discretion	68
– Valuation of assets, equitable distribution, abuse of discretion, provident exercise of discretion.....	69
– Valuation dates of assets, equitable distribution, improvident exercise of discretion, abuse of discretion.....	69
– Venue, motion to change, abuse of discretion, improvident exercise of discretion	71

Neither case law nor statute directly answers this question. Courts, including the Court of Appeals, try to distinguish them, with the improvident exercise of discretion seemingly being the lower threshold

The Appellate Division may review a determination for abuse of discretion; it may also substitute its own discretion even in the absence of abuse. 78

Case law occasionally uses “abuse of discretion” and “improvident exercise of discretion” interchangeably 79

- Applications for continuances and adjournments..... 79
- Probate Proceedings..... 79

Instances where the Appellate Division affirmed the lower court’s discretion absent an abuse of discretion 80

- Accounting, Fiduciaries..... 80
- Adjournments 80
- Attorney’s Fees..... 81
- Equitable Distribution, Spousal Maintenance 82
- Child Placement..... 83
- Child relocation cases must be based on a sound and substantial record..... 83
- Claim, service of late notice of claim 84
- Claims, severance of..... 84
- Bifurcated trials 84
- Claims, Reopening..... 85
- Contempt..... 85
- Continuance 85
- Default judgment, vacatur of 86
- Disclosure, trial court vested with broad discretion 86
- Disclosure, striking pleadings..... 87

- Evidence, trial courts have broad discretion, rebuttal evidence 87
- Evidence, discretionary relief from spoliation of evidence. 88
- Experts 88
- Forum non conveniens..... 89
- Judgments, setting aside, CPLR 4404(a), (b) 89
- Judicial recusal 89
- Pleadings, amendments of 89
- Probate, dismissal of objections 90
- Record, settlement of 90
- Sanctions, frivolous conduct..... 90
- Undertaking, fixing of 91
- Venue, change of 91

[d] In Bench Trials the Power and Authority of the Appellate Division Are as Broad as Those of the Trial Court 92

In bench trials the Appellate Division has the same broad powers as the lower court and may substitute its own discretion; following a bench trial the Appellate Division may render judgment warranted by the facts even absent an abuse of discretion below 92

Where the trial court proceeded with a full hearing the Appellate Division has an adequate record to proceed on the merits 95

In a nonjury case the Appellate Division should not exercise its judgment where the record is voluminous and the issues complex without findings of fact and unaddressed issues of credibility 96

Discovery determinations are discretionary, and deference should be given to a trial court’s determinations 97

An order directing pretrial discovery is not directly appealable	98
3. Appellate Review	99
[a] Reviewability and Appealability	100
Appealability and reviewability	100
Reviewability	100
[b] CPLR 5712: the Appellate Division’s Modification on Law, Facts	102
[c] An Appellate Court May Affirm for Reasons Different from Those Asserted by Nisi Prius or Those Raised by the Parties; Such an Affirmance Is Not Necessarily a Ratification of the Legal Reasoning Below, the Lower Court’s Reasoning Ceases to Stand as a Viable Statement of the Law	104
Affirmance on theories and grounds other than those asserted by nisi prius or argued by the parties	104
An affirmance on different reasoning does not necessarily constitute a ratification of the legal reasoning in the order appealed from the lower court’s reasoning ceases to stand as a viable statement of the law	107
An order issued in one proceeding is not reviewable in another proceeding	108
[d] Interests of Justice	109
The Appellate Division may vacate a prior judgment in the interests of justice; the grounds in CPLR 5015 are neither preemptive nor exhaustive subject to reversal only upon a clear abuse of discretion	109
The general rule is that a stipulation of discontinuance “with prejudice” is afforded res judicata effect and will bar litigation of the discontinued causes of action	111
“Interests of justice jurisdiction” is available in the Appellate Division; it may always reach an issue not preserved at Supreme Court; the Court of Appeals lacks such power	112

[e] The Appellate Division May Independently Weigh Testimonial Evidence Notwithstanding the Lack of Any Specific Trial Objection to Its Admission.....	115
While credibility determinations are for the trier of fact, the Appellate Division may independently weigh testimonial evidence notwithstanding the lack of any specific trial objection to its admission	115
[f] The Authority of the Appellate Division Is as Broad as that of the Hearing Court and It May Make Its Own Factual Determinations.....	116
The authority of the Appellate Division is as broad as that of the hearing court and where the record is sufficiently complete may make its own factual determinations	116
4. Appellate Review from Jury and Nonjury Trials.....	117
[a] Appellate Review from Jury Verdicts.....	118
The Appellate Division must defer to the jury’s assessment of witness credibility	118
Overturing a jury verdict is an act of such significance and impact to the parties and the court system that a trial court should rarely, if ever, foreclose appellate review of its rationale by failing to issue a decision.....	119
A jury verdict may not be set aside as against the weight of the evidence unless the evidence so preponderates in favor of the movant that the jury could not have reached the verdict by any fair interpretation of the evidence.....	120
Setting aside a jury verdict as contrary to the weight of the evidence is not a question of law but rather a discretionary balancing of many factors.....	122
– The jury’s resolution of conflicting expert testimony is entitled to great weight on appeal.....	122

The usual deference paid by courts to jury verdicts is inapplicable in cases involving defamation of a public figure; the Appellate Division must make a de novo review of the entire record to determine proof of actual malice with convincing clarity 124

A challenge to a prospective juror for cause is preserved for appellate review where a party has exhausted all peremptory challenges 125

[b] Appellate Review from Nonjury Trials 126

On appellate review from a nonjury trial the findings of fact should be reviewed in the light most favorable to sustain the judgment 126

In cases that turn almost entirely on credibility and character of witnesses the Appellate Division must accord the greatest respect to the findings of the court or the referee..... 128

When the findings in a nonjury trial are based upon considerations other than witness credibility the appellate court is equally empowered to draw inferences and make findings based upon the evidence 130

Appellate review in a nonjury trial is not limited to whether its findings were supported by credible evidence and may probe if a different finding is not unreasonable 131

The Appellate Division is not required to give credence to testimony so inherently improbable that the court is morally certain it is untrue 132

[c] Weight of the Evidence and Legally Insufficient Evidence 133

Weight of the evidence and legally insufficient evidence “may appear somewhat related” but are very different; when the Appellate Division concludes that the jury has made erroneous factual findings, it is required to order a new trial, since it does not have the power to make new findings of fact in a jury case..... 133

The remedy when a jury verdict is against the weight of the evidence is a remittal for a new trial; to hold that a jury verdict is insufficient as a matter of law the Appellate Division must determine that the verdict is “utterly irrational”; legal insufficiency requires a showing of “no valid line of reasoning and permissible inferences” by which the jury could have rationally reached its verdict	135
An appellate determination that a factual finding is contrary to the weight of the evidence is a new finding of fact and review by the court of appeals is limited.....	137
A weight of the evidence determination is a factual one that may be considered by the Appellate Division but not the court of appeals	138
An appellate court does not have the power to make factual findings in a weight of the evidence analysis in a jury case	139
Is a determination whether a jury verdict is utterly irrational a question of law or one of fact?	140
A determination whether a jury verdict is utterly irrational is a question of law	140
When a verdict can be reconciled with a reasonable view of the evidence the successful party is entitled to the presumption that the jury adopted that view.....	141
The Appellate Division may order a new trial when an unpreserved error in a jury charge is so fundamental that it prevented the jury from fairly considering the issues.....	142
Verdict after a nonjury trial should not be set aside as against the weight of the evidence unless it is clear that the court’s conclusions could not have been reached under any fair interpretation of the evidence	143
The Appellate Division may render the judgment warranted by the facts, although in a close case the trial judge had the advantage of seeing the witnesses	143

Setting aside a verdict as contrary to the weight of the evidence involves an intrinsically discretionary judicial function focus should be on “underlying principles” not on “sets of phrases”; the standard is a cautionary reminder to the court	145
A court may set aside a jury verdict if there is no valid line of reasoning and permissible inferences from the evidence which could possibly lead rational people to the conclusion reached by the jury; the evidence must be viewed most favorable to the prevailing party	146
5. The Fugitive Disentitlement Doctrine in Civil Cases, the “Unavailable to Obey” Ground Application, and the Principles Behind the Doctrine	147
It is inequitable to permit a party to benefit from an order or judgment when it has deliberately frustrated appellate review of that determination	148
Fugitive disentitlement applies to family court as to the filing of objections because objections have the status of an appeal in family court.....	157
The appeal remains pending if no application is brought to dismiss the appeal during the fugitive’s flight.....	158
The fugitive disentitlement doctrine is inapplicable to an individual who was deported	160
6. CPLR 5511, Aggrieved Party, Defaults, the Three Jurisdictional Predicates to an Appeal	161
[a] In General.....	162
An appellant must satisfy three jurisdictional predicates before the Appellate Division may entertain the merits of the appeal: Aggrievement (CPLR 5511); Appealable Paper (CPLR 5512) and Timeliness (CPLR 5513).	162
A judicial vacatur of default must be absolute, a court may not impose any conditions except as set forth in the statute	163
Only the party directly affected by the order or judgment may appeal	165

A party may be aggrieved from an order in written “less than proper form” 169

A party is not aggrieved and may not appeal from an order imposing sanctions on their attorney 170

Aggrievement requires an existing right, a direct interest in the controversy a remote or contingent interest does not give right to appeal; disappointed or even having been deprived of a financial benefit does not without more make that party “aggrieved” 172

Aggrievement involves a “two-pronged” definition to be aggrieved a party must have requested or opposed the relief no appeal lies if an application for the specific relief was not made..... 179

Public defender held not aggrieved where Supreme Court declined to appoint the defender as assigned counsel and defender disliked the Supreme Court’s reasoning 181

Aggrievement is jurisdictional; the Appellate Division may sua sponte confirm presence of an aggrieved party 183

The successful party is not aggrieved and has no right to appeal unless he was not granted complete relief 185

A party is not aggrieved where no harm arises from an order... 187

An intervenor seeking appellate resolution must be aggrieved..... 188

A nonparty who joined a motion of petition is deemed aggrieved..... 189

A party is not aggrieved from a stipulation after accepting reduced damages..... 191

A party dissatisfied with the amount of a judgment may be aggrieved even after accepting the benefit of the judgment when the outcome of the appeal could have no effect on the appellant’s right to the benefit he or she accepted 192

No appeal from an assignment of a judgment or rights; no standing and no nunc pro tunc jurisdiction..... 195

Individual rights regarding aggrievement are not the same as corporate rights	196
A defendant was held not aggrieved by Supreme Court’s cautionary footnote directed at defendant’s counsel.....	198
[b] Standing and Aggrievement.....	199
Standing as to relief between third parties.....	204
[c] Consent Orders and Aggrievement.....	206
No appeal lies from a consent order	206
No appeal lies from a consent order except where it differs from the consent	208
No appeal may be had from a consent to a divorce	209
[d] No Relief to a Nonappealing Party; Exceptions to the Rule that Disallows Relief to the Nonappealing Party	210
No relief is available to a nonappealing party; there are exceptions to this rule: the Court of Appeals declined to enumerate the situations where this may occur	210
The Appellate Division’s power to search the record and afford a nonmoving party summary relief is not boundless	216
[e] A Party Who Has Prevailed on One Ground and Not on Other Grounds or Who Disagrees with the Court’s Reasoning Is Not Aggrieved; Consequences in Future Proceedings; Alternative Grounds for Affirmance; Handwritten Language on an Order Not Part of Any Decretal Paragraph; No Aggrievement Where Leave to Replead is Granted	217
No appeal lies when a party prevails but disagrees with the reasoning or where the order or judgment contains language that a prevailing party deems adverse to its interests	217
Where multiple grounds for relief are asserted a favorable judgment or order on one ground does not render the prevailing party aggrieved as to the other grounds	220

A successful party may appeal when a specific finding at trial might be prejudicial in a future proceeding through collateral estoppel and must be corrected in the interests of justice	222
Alternative grounds for affirmance, lack of aggrievement and CPLR 5501(a)	224
– Generally	224
Handwritten language on an order not part of any decretal paragraph does not result in aggrievement	230
A party is not aggrieved from the dismissal of a cause of action with leave to plead or to refile a motion.....	231
– Cf. Party held aggrieved by the dismissal of the petition (Article 3 of the Family Court Act, Juvenile Delinquency) notwithstanding that the petition was dismissed without prejudice	231
Where an opposing party did not submit opposition papers to a motion, the truth of the allegations in the moving papers will be assumed for only that motion	233
[f] Aggrievement and Default	234
An order made on default is not reviewable; the defaulting party must first move to vacate the default.....	234
A decision vacating a default judgment is reviewed on appeal for abuse of discretion	235
The Appellate Division may disregard a technical defect and deem a motion to vacate a default order as one to also vacate the ensuing judgment	236
Disruptive behavior in the courtroom or leaving the courtroom is sufficient to constitute a default.....	238
A remittal is required when it is unclear if the order was granted on default	239
A default admits all factual conclusions of the complaint and all reasonable inferences but does not admit legal conclusions as they are reserved for the court’s determination	240

A party’s default does not automatically entitle the other party to relief; the court must conduct an evidentiary hearing where the other party must satisfy his burden of proof	241
An order entered upon an uncontested inquest after a default is not reviewable on appeal	244
A default order entered pursuant to CPLR 3126 is directly appealable because it is made on notice enabling the defaulter to contest the motion; appeal is the sole remedy, the defaulter may not proceed by way of CPLR 5015.....	245
The CPLR 3126 exception is not applicable where there was no prior application made on notice that would have enabled the defaulting party to contest the facts in the motion	247
Relief granted after a court refuses to consider opposition papers is deemed to have been on default.....	248
CPLR 5511 does not apply where a noncompliant party has defaulted on a motion seeking a conditional order to strike its pleading or consented to the conditional order before noncompliance; an appeal is not the appropriate method of relief from conditional orders, the proper method is a motion to vacate the order under CPLR 5015(a)(1).....	249
The Appellate Division offers instances that are not “exhaustive”.....	251
Appellate review may be had pursuant to the court’s inherent power to prevent excessive damage awards, not to do so “would be tantamount to granting plaintiffs an open season”	253
[g] 22 N.Y.C.R.R. § 202.7 and 22 N.Y.C.R.R. § 202.27	256
Dismissal of an action pursuant to 22 N.Y.C.R.R. § 202.27 constitutes a default which requires a motion to vacate	256
Absence of an order of dismissal under 22 N.Y.C.R.R. 202.27(b) does not mean that there is no default.....	256
Compliance with 22 N.Y.C.R.R. § 202.7 is not required where effort would be “futile”	257

Dismissal of an action pursuant to 22 N.Y.C.R.R. § 202.27 constitutes a default which requires a motion to vacate	260
Absence of an order of dismissal under 22 § N.Y.C.R.R. 202.27(b) does not mean that there is no default.....	262
A court may dismiss an action when a plaintiff fails to appear at a calendar call; relief is available by a motion demonstrate both a reasonable excuse for the default and a potentially meritorious cause of action.....	263
[h] “Genuine Default”	264
An order is appealable where a party is not guilty of a “genuine default”	264
An order entered upon default is appealable where counsel withdrew without giving the client proper notice.....	268
[i] Nonappearance and Default	269
Nonappearance by a party does not necessarily result in a default particularly where counsel appeared upon the absent party’s behalf and offered an explanation for the client’s failure to attend	269
A default results when a party does not appear and counsel neither offers an explanation about the absence nor participates in the proceedings thus precluding the right to appeal	271
A party’s deliberate refusal to appear: “A litigation strategy cannot be a reasonable excuse for a default”	274
Plaintiff’s attorney’s nonappearance at a preliminary conference does not remove the order out of the realm of a default.....	277
A party’s absence and counsel’s failure to participate sustains a finding of default	278
No default lies where a party gave substantial testimony and was cross examined but failed to appear one time or where counsel appeared and participated or sought an adjournment	280

A parent who did not attend a hearing may not argue first time on appeal that she was denied a fair hearing	281
Failure to appear at a conference combined with counsel's inability to explain the absence along with counsel's failure to seek an adjournment amounts to a default.....	282
[j] Defaults and Subject of Contest, Instances of Subject of Contest	284
Defaults and subject of contest	284
Where a party contests the application for entry of a default judgment CPLR 5511 does not apply, the judgment predicated on the default is appealable.....	286
Subject matter jurisdiction as the subject of contest	289
Motion regarding lack of personal jurisdiction as the subject of contest	290
Appellant defaulted in answering complaint but had moved to dismiss the complaint.....	291
Nonfinal orders to amend answers to a complaint as the subject of contest	292
Request for an adjournment as the subject of contest.....	293
Whether a party's lack of readiness to proceed was excusable as the subject of contest.....	296
Failure to state a cause of action as the subject of contest	297
Lack of standing as the subject of contest	298
Denial of a motion to appear by either mail or telephone as the subject of contest	299
Motion for summary judgment as the subject of contest.....	300
Disputed motion for counsel fees as the subject of contest	301
Motion to withdraw as counsel as the subject of contest	302
Motion for recusal as the subject of contest	303

Failure to challenge a motion to confirm a referee’s report as the subject of contest	304
Parties enter into a stipulation to expand plaintiff’s visitation, plaintiff does not appear at the hearing for maintenance; court denies plaintiff expanded visitation and grants defendant maintenance; the expanded visitation and the maintenance were the subject of contest	305
A judgment was held appealable where after the inquest, but prior to judgment, the defendant contested the proposed judgment by letter, review was limited to matters that were the subject of contest	306
Waiver of the right to counsel as the subject of contest	307
Request for assignment of new counsel as the subject of contest	308
Although an issue may have been the subject of contest, a party may nevertheless forfeit the right to appeal it by failing to have raised the objections before the Supreme Court	309
[k] The Law Is Unsettled as to the Aggrievement of Children Following a Custody Determination and as to the Status of the Attorney for the Child.....	310
The law is unsettled as to the aggrievement of children following a custody determination; the status of the attorney for the child	310
It is unsettled whether a child can be aggrieved as a full party or whether the attorney for the child has standing to appeal	313
A child has standing to initiate a paternity proceeding	315
The law favors resolution on the merits in child custody proceedings; defaults are disfavored in child custody and child support cases and the general rule regarding opening defaults in civil actions is not to be rigorously applied	317
The Second Department holds that a child is aggrieved, for appellate purposes, by an order determining custody; the Attorney-for-the-Child has standing and authority to take an appeal on behalf of a child from a custody determination.....	318

7. CPLR 5512: Appealable Paper	323
[a] In General	324
An appeal may be had from orders and judgments only	324
No appeal lies from a decision.....	326
An order without an underlying action does not constitute an appealable paper	328
No appeal lies from a decision directing “submit order,” “settle order”; decisions and orders which direct the prevailing party to “submit order” are decisions from which no appeal lies	329
No appeal lies from findings of fact and conclusions of law.....	330
No appeal lies from a verdict.....	331
No appeal lies from a referee’s report	332
No appeal lies from a notice that a foreign order of support was registered.....	333
No appeal lies from an extract of the clerk’s minutes of trial or from a mere notation in the court’s file	334
No appeal lies from an unsigned paper.....	335
No appeal from an order made on the phone.....	336
No appeal lies from a letter.....	337
A “Stipulation and Order” is not an appealable paper.....	339
Why is a matrimonial judgment granting a divorce without awarding equitable distribution not an appealable paper?.....	340
A matrimonial judgment granting a divorce without awarding equitable distribution is not appealable where it only states the court’s intent to divorce the parties in the future	340
No appeal lies from an order denying a motion to vacate a decision	341
A bill of costs is not an appealable paper	342

An appeal from an order rather than from a judgment is treated as an appeal from a judgment where the order does not differ materially from the judgment	343
An appeal from a decision rather than from an order is treated as an appeal from the order where the decision does not differ materially from the order	344
No appeal lies from an order that has been superseded by a later order	345
No appeal lies from an order denying a motion to vacate an unsigned transcript of an oral decision	346
A “decision and order” that does not order “anything” is not appealable because no appeal lies from a mere decision.....	347
Where the order of the Appellate Division is final, the appeal to the Court of Appeals lies only from that paper and not from the judgment subsequently entered thereon.....	348

[b] Resettlement of Orders; Court’s Continuing Jurisdiction to Reconsider Its Prior Interlocutory Orders During the Pendency of the Action; the Trial Court Has Discretion to Correct an Order or Judgment Containing a Mistake, Defect, or Irregularity Not Affecting a Substantial Right; a Trial Court Has No Revisory or Appellate Jurisdiction to Sua Sponte Vacate Its Own Order or Judgment.....	349
Resettlement of orders and judgments.....	349
Definition of resettlement	350
Irrespective of statutory time limits or post-appellate determinations courts have continuing jurisdiction to entertain motions for leave to renew or to vacate its prior orders or judgments or grant new trials	352
Although a case generally remains within the jurisdiction of the trial court pending its appeal, the trial court may not sua sponte vacate a prior order that is pending appeal	355
A trial court has no revisory or appellate jurisdiction to sua sponte vacate its own order or judgment	356

Substantive changes to an order or judgment are pursued by direct appeal or by motion to vacate under CPLR 5015(a); CPLR 5019(a) is only intended for clerical errors..... 358

- Courts possess inherent power to correct their records relating to clerical mistakes or errors, the clarification of orders, or to conform the record to the truth..... 362

A clerk’s mistake in assessing interest may be corrected by the court of original jurisdiction even after the appellate process is over where the interest rate was not disputed..... 364

No appeal lies from an order denying a motion to resettle or to clarify a substantive portion of an order or the decretal paragraphs of a judgment..... 366

A later order issued in response to a request for clarification of an earlier order is akin to a resettlement of the earlier order 368

An order resettling a prior order is appealable provided it makes material changes to render a new determination thereby creating a corresponding new right to appeal..... 369

The timeliness of an appeal of resettled orders or judgments.... 370

A defect or irregularity in a judgment or order that affects no substantial right of a party may also be corrected at the appellate level 372

The preferred remedy when a judgment does not accurately incorporate the terms of a stipulation or where the judgment contains an inaccurate insertion is by motion in the trial court for resettlement or vacatur of the judgment rather than by appeal..... 373

The decision controls when the judgment and the underlying decision differ 375

An application for resettlement is not required to be brought pursuant to notice of motion or by order to show cause..... 376

CPLR 5019(a) permits a Court to cure any “mistake, defect or irregularity” in a judgment, including mathematical errors in calculation..... 377

The Appellate Division may deny relief on statutory grounds but nevertheless grant it on its inherent authority.....	379
[c] Amended Orders and Judgments	381
No appeal lies from an order or judgment that has been amended, first judgment is superseded by the amended order or judgment	381
Where an order on appeal was superseded by an amended order the appeal need not be dismissed where the amendment is immaterial to the appeal	383
While an appeal is pending only from the original judgment an amended order or judgment that only clarifies the decision does not require a new notice of appeal	384
A court’s inherent power to exercise control over its judgments is not plenary; once the appellate process has been concluded errors of law which could have been reviewed may not be addressed except as to the grounds for relief in CPLR 5015 or if there has been some other compelling circumstance	385
8. CPLR 5513, Timeliness of an Appeal, Notice of Appeal, Notice of Entry, Cross-Appeal, Motion for Leave to Appeal.....	393
Statutes that regulate the right to appeal are to be strictly construed especially when a party is seeking to limit the time of another to take an appeal	395
When there are multiple parties, whose service of the order or judgment with notice of entry starts the 30-day limitation period?; <i>W. Rogowski Farm, LLC v. County of Orange</i> , 171 A.D.3d 79, 96 N.Y.S.3d 88 (2d Dep’t 2019) held that service by any party starts the 30-day clock	397
CPLR 5513(a) was amended in 1996, (L.1996, c. 214, § 1), effective January 1, 1997, to provide, in pertinent part: “An appeal as of right must be taken within thirty days after service by a party upon the appellant of a copy of the judgment or order appealed from and written notice of its entry ...”	397

Pre 1997 amendment language provided: “CPLR 5513(a) limits the time to appeal by requiring that an appeal as of right ‘be taken within thirty days after service upon the appellant of a copy of the judgment or order appealed from and written notice of its entry.’ ”..... 397

Pre 1977 amendment case law noted that then CPLR 5513 did not explicitly designate the person or the entity who must serve the order or judgment being appealed from for purposes of commencing the 30–day limitation period clock..... 397

Pre-amendment caselaw involving multiple parties 399

The Memorandum of the Bill Sponsor, Bill Number: A10407, Sponsor: Rules (Sidikman): 403

W. Rogowski Farm, LLC v. County of Orange holds that the 1997 Amendment means service by “any party to an action” ... 406

The power of an appellate court to review a judgment is subject to a timely appeal; the CPLR 5513 time for filing is jurisdictional and nonwaivable an appellant is held to strict compliance even for pro se litigants; even one day late is fatal to the appeal 407

Where the untimeliness was occasioned by an act of the other party the untimeliness will not be charged against the appellant..... 410

The presumption that an affidavit of service creates a presumption that proper service was completed upon mailing may be rebutted..... 411

Courts do not possess a general power to revise judgments to revive an expired right of appeal 413

No extensions may be made nor may a notice of appeal be amended once the time to file the notice of appeal has expired 414

Notice of appeal may not be amended to add parties after the time to serve and file the notice has elapsed 415

Notice of filing with the clerk is not a notice of entry; entry does not occur until the clerk files the judgment after signing it 416

The judgment served upon the other party must be a true copy of the original judgment; statutes which regulate the right to appeal are to be strictly construed	417
Where there is no written notice of entry the 30-day period under CPLR 5513(a) does not begin to run; proper notice of entry	418
Motion papers accompanied by a proper copy of the order triggers the 30 time clock	420
Where service of a conformed copy of an order omitted the word “interest,” but service of a later copy included “interest,” the omission was held inconsequential; service of the first copy satisfied the CPLR 5513 time requirements	422
A cover letter can function as a notice of entry only when it includes the requisite information alerting the respondent that the enclosure is an appealable paper.....	423
An appeal from a short form order with notice of entry rather than from the so-ordered transcript with notice of entry starts the 30-day jurisdictional clock.....	425
Failure to file a preargument statement is not jurisdictional	426
Is an order which does not contain a recital of the papers used an appealable order?	427
An order which does not contain a recital of the papers used is an appealable order; no new right of appeal is created by a resettled order correcting such omission	427
Time to appeal from an order that fails to recite the papers relied upon may not be revived by a motion to resettle, resettlement may be sought after appeal taken	428
Amended notice of appeal that clarifies a timely filed notice of appeal; prejudice is a factor	429
CPLR 2001: clerical, typographical errors and the notice of appeal.....	431
Notice of appeal amended pursuant to CPLR 2001 to add or name a proper party	434

Where counsel for a party erroneously signs the notice of appeal as the appellant the CPLR authorizes the Appellate Division to disregard the error	435
9. CPLR 5515, CPLR 2220, Taking an Appeal, the Notice of Appeal	437
The Appellate Division has discretion to construe a notice of appeal liberally	439
CPLR 5515(1), taking an appeal, notice of appeal involves a two-step process, compliance with only one step; CPLR 5515 is jurisdictional	440
An instance of the latitude given to correct an omission	443
Petitioner served a notice of appeal on respondent’s law guardian pursuant to statute; petitioner failed to serve respondent herself as of the time of oral argument; petitioner granted leave to correct the omission	443
An appeal from only part of a judgment or order is a waiver of the right to appeal from the remainder thereof the remainder is deemed waived and abandoned	444
Where a portion of an order appealed from is “inextricably intertwined” with the balance of the unappealed order an appellate court may neither be circumscribed nor precluded from passing upon so much of the order as is necessarily affected by the portion from which an appeal has been taken.....	447
10. CPLR 5520: Omissions, Appeal by Improper Method	449
– Mistakes as to Form and Content of the Notice May Well Be Excused	450
– CPLR 5520 and CPLR 5512(a)	450
– CPLR 5520(b) overlaps CPLR 5014(a).....	450
Minor defects, mere inaccuracies in the notice of appeal which violate no rules of practice and are in immaterial and nonprejudicial are not sufficient to avoid the time to appeal	451

– Where an order is subsumed within a judgment the appeal is from the judgment not the order; the Appellate Division may treat the notice of appeal as one taken from the judgment ..	451
– Notice of appeal treated as a premature notice of appeal from the judgment	452
– While an appeal should be taken from an amended order the notice of appeal may be treated as being from the amended order	453
-- Where the record does not indicate that a notice of appeal was timely filed in the absence of prejudice for the other party	455
– Once a final judgment is entered, an intermediate order is reviewable only in the appeal from that judgment; the failure to appeal from the judgment may be excused where it resulted from an office failure provided it was not prejudicial to the other party	455
– Omission of a docket number	456
– Inaccurate description of an order	456
-- Mere inaccuracies and inconsequential errors in the notice of appeal which violate no rules of practice, such as the failure to include an address, and are in themselves immaterial	458
– Misstatements in the notice of appeal that the appeal is taken from a judgment rather than an order or from a verdict rather than from the judgment may receive discretionary treatment by the Appellate Division	461
– An incorrect date in a notice of appeal is not fatal	462
– Filing the notice of appeal in the wrong county	463
-- A notice of appeal may not be amended to insert an order from which no appeal was ever taken	463
References.....	464

The Appellate Division may exercise its discretion “to reach beyond” the scope of a party’s notice of appeal; prejudice to another party is a factor	465
– The Appellate Division declines review in the interest of justice	466
Defective mailings of notice of appeal and leave for proper service granted	467
Bifurcation of an appeal from the same order is disapproved ...	468
11. CPLR 5514: Four Grounds for an Extension of the 30-Day Time to Appeal	469
CPLR 5514(a) does not authorize a motion for an extension of time to move for leave to appeal	471
The Court of Appeals referred to CPLR 5514(a) as an “unnecessary procedural trap for the unwary”	472
Inconsistent applications of <i>Park East Corp. v. Whalen</i>	475
When an incorrect method is used, the court can deny or fix the time within which to properly file	477
– CPLR 5514(b) does not apply to voluntary discharge of counsel	478
CPLR 5514(b): “otherwise becomes disabled” “connotes a force majeure”	480
CPLR 5514(c), CPLR 1022: Substitution of Parties	482
If an appeal is taken to the wrong court, the wrong court may sua sponte transfer the appeal to the proper court	483

12. CPLR 5513, CPLR 5015 and Finality of Judgments 485

A final judgment or order represents a conclusive adjudication of the parties’ substantive rights, unless it is overturned on appeal; absent the sort of circumstances in CPLR 5015 a judgment becomes final when a timely appeal has not been taken; CPLR 5015 is discretionary and applies to judgments that are still in the appellate process and to those in which appellate review has been exhausted..... 486

13. CPLR 5501(a), Finality, “Necessarily Affects the Final Judgment,” Implied Severance, Doctrine of “Implied Finality” 493

[a] In General..... 494

Finality 494

CPLR 5501(a)(1), appeal from an interlocutory order following a final judgment must “necessarily affect the final judgment” 494

Finality is based on the perception that litigants are best served by a system that prohibits piecemeal appellate review 495

“The concept of finality is complex and cannot be exhaustively defined in a single phrase, sentence or writing” 496

The Court of Appeals has rarely discussed the meaning of the expression “necessarily affects”; the Court of Appeals has declined to give “a generally applicable definition” 497

[b] The Issue of Whether a Nonfinal Order Affects the Judgment 499

“Inevitable and mechanical reversal or modification of the final determination”; a final order or judgment disposes of all of the causes of action between the parties and leaves nothing for further judicial determination 499

An appeal from a final judgment “brings up for review any non-final judgment or order which necessarily affects the final judgment” (CPLR 5501[a][1]), irrespective of whether the order had been made on notice..... 504

An order granting or denying a motion to compel arbitration is a final order	506
When an appeal from a nonfinal order is perfected together with an appeal from a final judgment, the appeal from the nonfinal order is dismissed and errors in the nonfinal order affecting the final judgment are reviewed upon the appeal from the final judgment	507
An appeal from an interlocutory order that is withdrawn before determination may be brought up for review from the final judgment provided it necessarily affects the judgment	508
Finality and ministerial acts	509
An appeal from an order does not bring up for review a prior order	511
The corollary of CPLR 5501(a)(1) is that a final order may not be reviewed on appeal from a later order or judgment	513
An order from a contempt proceeding in a pending action does not finally determine the causes of action	514
An appeal from a jurisdictionally valid contempt order does not bring up for review the prior order	515
An appeal from a nonfinal order or an intermediate order does not bring up for review prior nonfinal orders	516
A decision or verdict upon which no formal judgment has been entered has no conclusive character	518
The scope of the words “final judgment” should not be confined to a final judgment in an action; the essential element of a conclusive adjudication is finality of the proceedings; a judicial decision may be a conclusive adjudication of fact or law	519
The conclusive effect of a final disposition is not to be disturbed by a subsequent change in decisional law.....	521
The right of direct appeal from an intermediate order terminates with the entry of judgment in the action.....	522

Where the order appealed from is a final order, the right of direct appeal does not terminate upon entry of the judgment....	524
A posttrial order is subsumed in the judgment	525
Issues pertaining to disclosure may not be brought up on appeal from the final judgment	526
The notice of appeal from a final order does not need to recite or identify that the appeal is also taken from the nonfinal orders	527
Family court fact finding orders are brought up for review in the dispositional orders under CPLR 5501(a)	528
[c] Implied Severance, Doctrine of Implied Finality.....	529
Implied severance, doctrine of “implied finality”	529
The intent of the amendment to CPLR 5501(c).....	531
14. CPLR 603, CPLR 1010, Severance and Separate Trials	533
[a] In General.....	534
A severed cause of action does not impair the finality of the remaining judgment, it becomes a separate action which may be terminated in a separate judgment; review of the prior order may only be had by direct appeal therefrom or by appeal from a judgment entered thereon.....	534
Consolidation or joint trial hinges upon a finding of common issues of law or fact; severance generally depends upon an absence of such commonality	536
15. Provisional Remedies.....	537
[a] Provisional Remedies to Preserve the Status Quo, Injunctions and Finality	538
Appeals from Pendente Lite Orders in Matrimonial Cases	539
The Court of Appeals held that, in addition to taking an appeal, the appellant must also seek a stay pursuant to CPLR 5519	539

A preliminary injunction even when issued after an evidentiary hearing depends upon probabilities which may be disproven at trial	541
When reviewing an order that denied a preliminary injunction the Appellate Division should review only whether the court abused its discretion.....	542
Injunctions, provisional remedies to preserve status quo do not necessarily affect the final judgment and are not subject to review.....	543
On an appeal from a preliminary injunction an issuing court retains the power to decide motions on an order that has been appealed even where the outcome may impact the pending appeal	544
[b] Appeals from Pendente Lite Orders in Matrimonial Cases	545
Appeals from pendente lite support awards in matrimonial cases are disfavored during the pendency of the action except where the award renders the payor-spouse unable to meet his or her reasonable needs	545
Pendente lite orders in matrimonial cases cease to exist after the final judgment has been entered and are not reviewable on appeal because even if modified they would not affect the final judgment	546
Prof. Siegel equates a pendente lite order of support to a provisional remedy like a temporary injunction during the pendency of an action intended to preserve the status quo	549
When a temporary order of visitation functions like a permanent order the word temporary does not control and the order is appealable	551
Pendente lite awards: noncompliance with 22 N.Y.CRR 202.16(g) does not result in the forfeiture of the right to appeal	552

16. CPLR 5701: Appeals as of Right, Orders Not Appealable as of Right, Appeals by Permission.....	553
[a] In General.....	554
CPLR 5701	554
Appeals to the Appellate Division from Supreme and County Courts.....	554
Instances of nonappealable interlocutory orders	557
– Motion to accelerate the return date of a motion	557
– Denial of a motion to designate a date for trial	557
[b] CPLR 5701[a](2), Motions Made on Notice are Appealable as of Right; the Intersection between CPLR 5701(a)(2) and CPLR 2215(a); Relief Allowed although No Formal Cross Motion Was Served When the Merits of the Application Were Briefed and Argued; Habeas Corpus	558
CPLR 5701[a](2), Motions on notice	558
The intersection between CPLR 5701(a)(2) and CPLR 2215(a) (Cross Motions): instances where relief has been allowed and considered on appeal even though no formal cross motion had been served because the merits of the application were briefed and argued.....	559
– Relief requested without notice of cross motion, the Appellate Division refused to hear the appeal.....	566
Instances where the Appellate Division has granted leave to appeal from an oral motion.....	567
[c] A Petition for Habeas Corpus Does Not Require Notice.....	568
– No appeal lies from intermediate orders in habeas corpus proceedings.....	568
– Purpose and appealability of habeas corpus orders intermediate orders are not appealable	569

A writ of habeas corpus is not the proper procedure for seeking review of a family court order of custody and visitation	571
[d] Orders Following Court Conferences Are Not Appealable as of Right	572
[e] Dictum Is Not Appealable	574
Definitions of dictum:.....	574
No appeal lies from dictum.....	575
[f] Failure to Rule on a Motion or on a Branch Thereof; a Decision Must State the Essential Facts; an Order Holding a Decision in Abeyance or Deferring the Motion to Trial.....	576
A court’s failure to decide or rule on a motion or on a branch thereof is deemed a denial of that aspect of the motion and is appealable	576
The decision of the trial court may be oral or in writing and must state the facts it deems essential—the failure to state the essential facts may result in a remand for a new trial or, when the record is complete, the appellate court may make its own findings	578
An order that does not decide a branch of a motion is not appealable where the issue remains pending	579
An order holding a decision in abeyance or deferring the disposition is not appealable as of right.....	581
An order referring a motion to the trial court may constitute a denial rendering the order appealable as of right.....	583
An order directing a trial in response to a motion for summary judgment is appealable because it constitutes a denial of the motion	584
An order that adjourns or reserves a decision is not appealable	585

[g] Appeals from Motions for Summary Judgment	586
Summary judgment presumes a litigated motion; ex parte submission of a motion for summary judgment precludes meaningful appellate review of Supreme Court’s disposition; the absence of any opposition to a motion for summary judgment means there is no theory of recovery for review	586
Although the Court of Appeals may not grant summary judgment to a nonappealing party the Appellate Division may independently search the record to grant complete relief to the appealing party	587
An appellate court may search the record to find an issue of fact to preclude summary judgment; it may not search the record to support a new theory of recovery that was never remotely put forth by the plaintiff	590
The Court of Appeals slams “the sloppy practice” of a midtrial motion for summary judgment in contravention of CPLR 3212(a).....	591
Repetitive motions for summary judgment are disfavored but courts are permitted to consider such motions	593
[h] Appeals from Sua Sponte Orders.....	594
CPLR 5701[a][3]: Sua sponte orders.....	594
Distinction between sua sponte relief not requested by any party and sua sponte reasoning	595
No appeal lies as of right from a sua sponte order as it is not “on notice” and is only appealable by permission; a sua sponte order must be vacated by motion on notice to nisi prius a direct appeal may then be taken from a denial of that motion.....	596
A sua sponte order is appealable if leave to appeal is granted	597
Where sua sponte relief is extraordinary the Appellate Division may deem a notice of appeal as a motion for leave to appeal ..	598
The power to dismiss a complaint, sua sponte, is to be used sparingly and only when extraordinary circumstances exist	600

A court has inherent power to sua sponte reconsider and vacate its prior decision before issuing an order thereon.....	601
A sua sponte order in the final judgment is appealable as of right.....	602
Instances where trial courts may and may not grant sua sponte relief.....	603
Courts may not sua sponte appoint private referees to supervise disclosure.....	606
Instances of sua sponte order of protections.....	608
The Appellate Division may not sua sponte dismiss an appeal without articulating the reason.....	609
[i] Appeals from Orders and Judgments during the Pendency of an Appeal.....	610
Pendency of an appeal does not affect the use of an order or judgment as an estoppel.....	610
A court has inherent power to sua sponte reconsider and vacate its prior decision before issuing an order thereon irrespective of statutory time limits concerning motions to reargue.....	611
17. Instances of Issues Appealable as of Right.....	613
– Issue of self incrimination affects a substantial right.....	614
– Damages.....	614
– The ability to pursue a theory of the case.....	614
– Denial of a motion to bar a party from calling his counsel as a witness is reviewable.....	614
– An order denying a motion “without prejudice to renew” is appealable as of right.....	615

18. Instances of Issues Not Appealable as of Right	617
Where a motion is denied with leave to renew upon proper papers the appropriate remedy is not to appeal but rather to re-move at nisi prius supported by the proper papers.....	618
Orders directing in-camera inspections of documents are not appealable as of right.....	619
No appeal lies as of right from a qualified domestic relations order (QDRO).....	620
19. The Appealability of a “Decision and Order” Following a Trial Is Uniquely Treated in the Second Department	623
The Second Department does not permit a direct appeal from a “decision and order” following a trial	624
The Court of Appeals and the Third Department permit a direct appeal from a “decision and order”	625
20. Appeals From Orders of Reference Directing a Hearing	627
[a] Appellate Courts	628
Appeals from orders of reference directing a hearing; the appellate courts are not quite unanimous.....	628
– First Department: appealable.....	628
– Second and Fourth Departments: non-appealable, with exception.....	628
– Third Department: one decision holds it is not appealable, another decision holds it is not	628
– Fourth Department: not appealable as of right	628
First Department: orders of reference are appealable.....	629
Second Department: an order directing a reference or a conference is not appealable as of right.....	630
Exception in the Second Department, similar to First Department	633

The Third Department is divided.....	634
Fourth Department: a hearing is not appealable as of right.....	635
An order appointing a JHO to hear and report is not appealable as of right	636
An order transferring an action to another judge is not appealable where the order was not made upon notice	637
A party who did not object to a reference may not challenge the reference on appeal as illegal following an adverse ruling..	638
[b] CPLR 5701(c), Permission for Leave to Appeal	639
Instances where the Appellate Division has treated a notice of appeal as a motion for leave to appeal.....	639
CPLR 5701(c): Novel and significant issues.....	639
Instances where the Appellate Division declined to treat a notice of appeal as a motion for leave to appeal.....	640
Citing CPLR 5701(c), the Appellate Division is disinclined to grant leave to parties who took it upon themselves to perfect an appeal without leave	640
A denial of an application for leave to appeal is not equivalent to an affirmance and has no precedential value.....	641
No direct appeal lies as of right from orders of attorney-referee in disclosure matters	642
[c] CPLR 5703, Appeals to the Appellate Division from Appellate Courts	644
No appeal lies as of right from an order of a county court which determines an appeal from an order of a lower court nor may such leave be granted.....	645
[d] CPLR 5704.....	648
CPLR 5704. Review of ex parte orders	648

While no appeal lies as of right from an ex parte order, the Appellate Division may treat the appeal as an application for review pursuant to CPLR 5704(a)	649
How may relief be sought when a court refuses to sign an order to show cause?	651
A purported order that refuses to sign an order to show cause is not an appealable paper; review of such refusal is available pursuant to CPLR 5704; the court may write its reasons for declining to sign.....	651
Supreme Court may give reasons for refusing to sign order to show cause	652
Although no appeal lies as of right from the refusal to sign an ex parte order to show cause, the Appellate Division may, on its own motion, treat the appeal as an application for appellate review	655
A court's refusal to sign an order to show cause has no res judicata or collateral estoppel value	657
A prior justice's refusal to sign an order to show cause is not a court order and does not create law of the case	659
Does the Appellate Division have jurisdiction to review a Supreme Court decision from an ex parte order where there was no adverse party?	660
The Appellate Division may review an order when there is no adverse party pursuant to CPLR 5704(a).....	660
21. Appeals from Rulings.....	663
While judicial errors in trials are inevitable cumulative effect of trial errors may be appealable.....	665
An appeal does not lie as of right or by permission from evidentiary rulings such rulings, are appealable from the final judgment	666
An oral trial ruling which has been memorialized in writing is not appealable either as of right or by permission	668

Decisions made on a motion during trial are nonappealable trial rulings and are only appealable from the final judgment	669
22. Appeals from Motions in Limine.....	671
Orders from motions in limine which limit the issues, scope of issues, merits, or claims	672
A motion in limine that determines merits, scope or theories of a case is the functional equivalent of a summary judgment motion and is appealable	674
Where a court declines to rule on contentions in an in-limine motion the contentions are not preserved for appeal	682
Generally no appeal lies from an order denying a motion to preclude proposed expert testimony	683
Orders granting separate trials	685
23. Appeals from Questions Related to Depositions	687
The approved procedure to an appeal from orders or rulings at an examination before trial is outlined in <i>Tri-State Pipe Lines Corp. v. Sinclair Refining Co.</i>	688
No direct appeal or by permission from rulings made during depositions even if reduced to an order unless such order seeks leave upon a complete record to compel answers or to obtain a protective order	691
– Appellate Division treated the notice of appeal as an application for leave to appeal.....	694
– Appellate Division declined to treat the notice of appeal as an application for leave to appeal	694
No appeal lies as of right from an order directing a party to answer questions propounded at a deposition.....	695
– No direct appeal lies from an order directing a party to answer questions propounded at a deposition except where the order involves the merits affecting a substantial right or the novelty or significance of an issue.....	695

Denial of a protective order preventing further examination of a witness is not appealable as of right as it is in the nature of an order on an application to review objections raised at an examination before trial	697
Where the Supreme Court fails to rule on objections on a deposition the Appellate Division should make the rulings	698
Rulings affecting the scope of pretrial examinations are not appealable as of right even if reduced to an order	700
24. Appeals from Motions to Reargue	701
Generally, no appeal lies as of right from the denial of a motion to reargue	702
No appeal lies from an order made upon reargument that adheres to its prior decision	703
Two exceptions to the rule that the denial of a motion to reargue is not appealable	704
1. Where partial relief is granted:	704
2. Although an order states that it denied reargument, if it reviewed the merits and adhered to its determination the denial of reargument is appealable	704
A party's characterization of a motion as one to renew and reargue is not controlling as the Appellate Division may review its nature and deny appealability if it is in the nature of reargument	706
25. A Court May Not Deprive a Party of the Right to Either Make a Written Motion or Make a Record.....	709
A court may not deprive a party of the right to either make a written motion or make a record.....	710
An oral order is not appealable unless the transcript is so-ordered	712

26. Abandonment of an Appeal	715
[a] Abandonment of an Appeal and then Later in the Litigation Filing a Second Appeal on the Same Issue, the Abandonment Is Deemed to Be on the Merits; the Appellate Division Has Discretion to Hear an Abandoned Appeal.....	716
– Rubeo v. National Grange Mut. Insurance. Co. and Bray v. Cox.....	716
Bray and Rubeo are inapplicable where an issue could not have been raised in prior appeal	720
Rubeo and Bray notwithstanding, courts have discretion to hear an abandoned appeal.....	722
Perfected appeal from an order where the judgment was not appealed	723
[b] Acts that Result in the Abandonment or Waiver of an Issue on Appeal.....	724
Instances of acts that result in the abandonment or waiver of an issue on appeal:.....	724
– A party who agreed not to take a certain position at trial will be held to have waived that position on appeal	724
– Issues not addressed in the main brief on appeal are deemed abandoned.....	724
– Argument held abandoned because it was not included in the table of contents or as a point heading in the main brief pursuant to the rules of the Appellate Division, First Department	727
– A claim first raised after oral argument is deemed abandoned.....	728
Failure to raise issues in a posttrial brief does not constitute an abandonment of a claim.....	729

– However, failure to assert a claim even as late as in a posttrial submission may constitute an abandonment of the claim	730
A memorandum of law has no evidentiary value but may be included in a record on appeal for the sole purpose of establishing preservation of an issue	731
Where an order imposes costs or sanctions on a moving party as a condition of granting the relief sought, acceptance of payment waives the right to appeal even if deposited into escrow account.....	732
27. CPLR 5517, Subsequent Orders	735
No appeal lies from an order that has been superseded by a later order.....	737
A supplemental order which amends, resettles, clarifies or corrects a prior order but makes no substantive change does not revive the time to appeal from the prior order; the notice of appeal, to be timely, must be filed within 30 days from the first order.....	739
An order denying a motion to renew is a subsequent order that is appealable as part of the original order.....	742
28. Preservation of Arguments; Issues that May Be Raised for the First Time on Appeal	743
[a] Issues Raised.....	744
Justice is best served when decisions are made based on arguments considered below	744
An issue raised for the first time on appeal is generally not properly raised before the Appellate Division because the other party did not have an opportunity to address it	746
When an unpreserved error in a jury charge is so fundamental that it prevented the jury from fairly considering the issues the Appellate Division may order a new trial.....	751
– Motion to strike matter raised first time on appeal.....	751

An issue not based on new facts, such as questions of law and legal arguments, may be raised first time on appeal if it is conclusive and could not have been cured by factual showings or legal countersteps	753
Objections made in the unrecorded sidebar or in a charge conference are insufficient to preserve the issue on appeal	757
A non specific generalized exception to a jury recharge does not preserve the issue	759
An argument raised for the first time by amicus curiae that was not raised below is not properly before the Appellate Division.....	760
A defect is jurisdictional if a court lacks power to enter judgment whereby preservation does not matter	761
A question preserved in the court of first instance may be raised to the Court of Appeals even though not suggested in the Appellate Division	762
[b] Generally, Statutory Applicability, Statutory Intent and Interpretation, Legislative Intent and Statutory Construction, and Issues of Law and Legal Questions May Be Raised First Time on Appeal	764
An issue of law that may be raised for the first time on appeal must be supported by a record sufficient to make a determination	764
Preservation of an issue for appellate review is completely distinct from the question of whether a party sustained its burden of proof	765
Instances of issues that may generally be raised first time on appeal	766
Legislative intent, statutory construction, statutory interpretation, legal arguments and questions of law may be raised for the first time on appeal	766
– Legislative intent	766
– “Statutory applicability,” which are, in fact, issues of law .	766

- 12 N.Y.C.R.R. 23-1.21(b)(4)(iv) 766
- 12 N.Y.C.R.R. 23-1.7(e) 767
- Administrative codes 767
- CPLR 3404 767
- Labor Law § 241(6)..... 768
- SCPA 706 768
- Statutory intent 768
- Statutory construction..... 769
- Statutory interpretation 770
- Legal arguments, legal questions, questions of law, issues of law 770
- 302.3 of the 2007 Property Maintenance Code of the State of New York (19 N.Y.C.R.R. § 1226.1 and its impact on General Municipal Law § 205-e liability) 770
- Administrative codes 771
- An insurer’s attempt to modify a judgment based on the near exhaustion of a policy’s limits sought to change the judgment substantively rather than to correct a mere clerical error 771
- CPLR 302 772
- CPLR 321(c), Attorney’s Disability 772
- Compliance with CPLR 3217, Voluntary Discontinuance.. 773
- General Construction Law § 25-a (1): 773
- When the time period within which to act ends on a Saturday 773

– Compliance with the Notice of Claim requirements of General Municipal Law §§ 50–e, 50-h and 50–I and County Law § 52	774
– General Obligations Law § 5–701(a)(1).....	775
– General Obligations Law § 5–701(a)(10)	776
– Judiciary Law § 14 is jurisdictional.....	776
– Judiciary Law § 49, fee splitting with nonattorneys.....	776
– Labor Law § 240(1)	777
– Whether Military Law § 308 and its federal counterpart toll the six-month period during which to “claim parental rights”	777
– A precedent condition in a statute	777
– Subject matter jurisdiction.....	779
– Town codes and municipal rules	779
– Waiver of collateral estoppel is a question of law	780
– Other instances of matters held to be questions of law, such as when there are no new facts	781
Failure to prove compliance with statutory service requirements may be raised first time on appeal	786
Sovereign immunity goes to the jurisdiction of the court and may be raised first time on appeal; whether Port Authority waived sovereign immunity is a question of law that may be raised first time on appeal.....	788
That the city violated the Public Officers Law in terminating a party’s employment based on residency may be raised first time on appeal	789

[c] Case Law Denying Consideration of Issues of Law, Statutory Applicability and Legislative Intent First Time on Appeal	790
Case law denying consideration of issues of law, statutory applicability and legislative intent first time on appeal.....	790
– 12 N.Y.C.R.R. 23–1.5(a).....	790
– 12 N.Y.C.R.R. 23-1.7(b)(1).....	790
– 12 N.Y.C.R.R. 23–1.16	790
– 12 N.Y.C.R.R. 23–1.21(d)(2)	791
– 12 N.Y.C.R.R. 23–5.17	791
– 12 N.Y.C.R.R. 23–5.18(g) and (h)	791
--Agriculture and Markets Law	791
– Business Corporation Law § 720	792
--Business Corporation Law § 724(c)	792
– Civil Rights Law § 50–a	792
– Education Law § 3019-a, Silence and Acceptance.....	792
– Family Court Act § 413(1)(g)	793
– Federal Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA)	793
– General Business Law § 777–a(4)	793
– General Obligations Law § 9-103	794
– General Municipal Law § 50–e[1][a]	794
– General Municipal Law §§ 50–a and 50–b	794
– General Municipal Law § 50–I.....	794
– Insurance Law § 3420(a)(2)	794

– Judiciary Law § 756	795
– Labor Law § 191(3)	795
– Labor Law § 241-a.....	795
– Labor Management Relations Act	796
– New York City Administrative Code § 20–387	796
– New York City Administrative Code §§ 27–127, 27–128 ..	796
– RPAPL § 1301(3)	797
– Real Property Law § 234	797
– Tax Law § 210(3)(a)(2)(B)	797
– Town Code.....	797
– Transportation Corporations Law § 27	797
– Workers’ Compensation Law § 11	798

The Appellate Division rejected issues of legislative intent and jurisdiction as first raised on appeal	799
---	-----

Notice of claim issues declined first time on appeal notwithstanding their status as a statutory condition precedent.....	800
---	-----

[d] Instances of Issues of Law Held Properly Raised First Time on Appeal	801
– A claim for contribution rather than indemnification	801
– An order directing a spouse to “irrevocably designate” the other as beneficiary of pension preretirement death benefits.....	801
– ERISA issue is a question of law.....	802

– Family Court Act requirement that an order incorporating an agreement that deviates from the basic child support obligation must contain the court’s reasons for approving the deviation	802
– Injury to an employee of the insured during the course of employment and the exclusion of coverage for third party claims for contribution and indemnity related to such injury.....	803
– Rules that are repugnant to the law	803
– Precommencement filing of a notice of pendency pursuant to CPLR 6512 involves an issue of law.....	803
– Timely notice of impending termination from employment pursuant to Civil Service Law § 71	804
– Whether the county that can recover the cost of medical assistance from a parent for treatment incurred on behalf of a child under 21	804
– Noncompliance with 90-day notice requirement in CPLR 3216[b].....	805
– Lack of jurisdiction pursuant to CPLR 5015(a)(4).....	805
– Damages in quantum meruit in face of an express contract is an issue of law which may be raised first time on appeal; however, a party cannot recover in quantum meruit where there is an express agreement that covers the same subject matter	806
While it is impermissible to seek damages in an action in quasi contract where the suing party fully performed on a valid written agreement, the issue may be raised first time on appeal.....	807
Whether New York recognizes a separate cause of action to pierce the corporate veil.....	808
Issue regarding service of process held as properly raised first time on appeal	809

Failure to meet an initial burden is an issue of law that may be raised first time on appeal	810
Entitlement to injunctive relief may be raised first time on appeal as it is an issue of law	811
Objections raised in a pretrial motion, but not raised thereafter during trial, are preserved for appeal	812
Argument considered first time on appeal based on judicial economy where the argument was fundamental to a party's recovery	814
Withdrawal of a waiver by commencement of litigation is an issue of law that may be raised first time on appeal	815
Whether a motion court lacked authority to extend a notice of pendency may be raised first time on appeal.....	816
The timeliness of a motion for leave to renew a petition involves a question of law and may be raised first time on appeal	817
Court error in declaring a mistrial after the jury was discharged based on unsworn testimony of the jury foreperson involves question of whether the court exceeded its power which may be raised first time on appeal.....	818
Appeal from an oral order granting a mistrial based on a violation of Judiciary Law § 510(1) was considered where the motion was made after the verdict had been rendered	819
Timeliness of the commencement of an action against the Metropolitan Transportation Authority may be raised first time on appeal	820
Retroactive cancellation of an insurance policy despite any misrepresentations of the policy's procurment may be raised first time on appeal.....	821
Whether a phone call to a municipal body that was reduced to a writing satisfies the prior written notice requirement is an issue of law	822

Ultra vires authority by local community boards may be raised first time on appeal	823
Stare decisis may be raised first time on appeal	824
The posting of an undertaking may be raised first time on appeal.....	825
Time of the essence is an issue of law which may be raised first time on appeal	826
An argument raised first time in a motion for leave to reargue, although not properly on appeal after reargument, was denied, and the appeal from the denial of reargument was dismissed; the argument may nevertheless be raised first time on appeal	827
Legal deficiencies in a nonparty judicial subpoena duces tecum may be heard first time on appeal.....	828
Whether there is a statutory or regulatory requirement that a transcript be reviewed by the commissioner or his designee before making a determination is a question of law	829
Continued prosecution of an action despite the transfer of property is a question of law that may be raised first time on appeal	830
The economic loss rule may be reviewed first time on appeal	831
A subpoena facially defective and subject to being quashed as noncompliant with CPLR 3101(a)(4) may be reviewed first time on appeal.....	832
No objection is necessary to preserve a point of law for appellate review when court procedure was at basic variance with statutory or constitutional law	833
29. Issues that Have Not Been Considered First Time on Appeal	931
[a] In General.....	932
That a motion was one to renew and not reargue may not be raised for the first time on appeal	932

An application to conform pleadings to the proof may not be made first time on appeal.....	933
The argument that the motion court impermissibly decided an issue that was not before it may not be raised for the first time on appeal	934
The qualifications of a referee may not be challenged first time on appeal	935
The contention that transcripts of a hearing and deposition testimony are not in proper form may not be raised for the first time on appeal.....	937
Conflict of interest may not be raised for the first time on appeal.....	938
That a party is not entitled to equitable relief because it has an adequate remedy at law may not be raised for the first time on appeal.....	939
Subrogation may not be raised for the first time on appeal	940
Presumptions are not properly raised first time on appeal	941
Postargument submissions to the Appellate Division are not properly before the court	942
A defense of lack of consideration and oral modification may not be raised for the first time on appeal	943
Whether the Supreme Court erred in not performing a conflicts-of-law analysis may not be raised for the first time on appeal.....	944
The interpretation of a statute presents a pure question of law, and collateral estoppel thus does not apply to Supreme Court's determination	945
The contention that a party is barred from appealing the denial of a dismissal motion because of a prior denial of an identical motion is fatal on appeal where it was not raised in Supreme Court	946

Pendente lite counsel fees or child support may not be raised first time on appeal	947
The contention that a verdict should be set aside as against the weight of the evidence may not be raised for the first time on appeal.....	948
Res ipsa loquitor does not involve a question of law and thus may not be raised first time on appeal	949
The issue of respondeat superior may not be raised for the first time on appeal.....	950
Rescission, unconscionability, reformation, unjust enrichment may not be raised first time on appeal	951
Failure to object to a proposed judgment renders the objection unpreserved.....	952
The contention that the prevailing party abandoned a favorable determination by failing to submit an order within 60 days pursuant to 22 N.Y.C.R.R. § 202.48(a) should be raised in the Supreme Court and not first on appeal	953
Ratification may not be raised first time on appeal	954
Whether a jury was confused by the verdict sheet presents issues of fact that may not be raised first time on appeal	955
Issues pertaining to lack of personal jurisdiction due to improper service of process may not be raised for the first time on appeal.....	956
That deposition testimony should not have been considered by the court may not be raised first time on appeal	957
“Retaliation by landlord against tenant” pursuant to Real Property Law § 223–b may not be raised for the first time on appeal.....	958
The contention that consent to a finding of permanent neglect was not given voluntarily, freely, and intelligently may not be raised for the first time on appeal	959

Contention that a person is not legally responsible for a specific child may not be raised for the first time on appeal	960
Objections to the verdict sheet must be timely made to be preserved for review; a challenge to a verdict sheet must be raised before the jury begins its deliberations	961
The rescuer doctrine may not be raised first time on appeal	962
Third party beneficiary status may not be raised first time on appeal	963
Whether encroachment was permissible is not a purely legal issue under the doctrine of lateral support and may not be raised first time on appeal	964
A challenge to a joint trial in a post-trial motion is insufficient to preserve an issue for appeal	965
Failure to appoint a guardian ad litem may not be raised for the first time on appeal	966
Prejudgment interest and interest rates may not be raised first time on appeal	967
Qualified immunity may not be raised for the first time on appeal	968
Arguments and issues not raised at the administrative level may not be raised for the first time in an article 78 proceeding	969
Whether bifurcation of the liability and damages phases of a trial is an abuse of discretion may not be raised first time on appeal	973
Joint venture may not be raised first time on appeal	974
Contention of aiding and abetting fraud may not be raised first time on appeal	975
The contention that a motion for summary judgment was not supported by an affidavit by a person having knowledge of the facts, pursuant to CPLR 3212(b), may not be raised first time on appeal	976

Failure to provide proof of service of a default notice pursuant in RPAPL 1304 proceedings may not be raised first time on appeal.....	977
Res judicata and collateral estoppel may not be raised for the first time on appeal	978
Collateral estoppel considered first time on appeal if the argument was apparent on the face of the record and if the record on appeal is sufficient.....	980
Contractual indemnification may not be raised for the first time on appeal	981
Contention of usury may not be raised first time on appeal	982
An argument of underinsured coverage may not be raised for the first time on appeal	983
Unpreserved objections to a court’s procedural errors may not be raised for the first time on appeal	984
Unpreserved objections to an ex parte “confidential report” submitted by a law guardian may not be raised for the first time on appeal	985
A claim of privilege cannot be raised first time on appeal	986
– Generally	986
– Qualified privilege.....	987
The contention of “paper streets” may not be raised for the first time on appeal	988
That objections to an order from a hearing examiner were not timely filed with the family court may not be raised for the first time on appeal	989
The contention that an assignment of a security interest in collateral securing a loan is invalid may not be considered when raised for the first time on appeal	990

A contention that a party is ineligible for excess no-fault coverage does not raise a pure question of law and may not be made first time on appeal	991
The contention that an action should be dismissed as premature may not be raised first time on appeal as it does not present a pure question of law.....	992
The contention that a motion should be denied as premature may not be raised first time on appeal	993
Whether a motion for summary judgment was premature may not be raised for the first time on appeal	994
Primary jurisdiction may not be raised for the first time on appeal	995
The contention that a policy provision should be limited to officers or employees of the corporation may not be raised for the first time on appeal.....	996
Lack of informed consent may not be raised for the first time on appeal	997
Fraudulent conveyance may not be raised for the first time on appeal.....	998
The issue of a special relationship between parties may not be raised for the first time on appeal because it involves issues of fact	999
Contention that counterclaims should have been severed may not be raised for the first time on appeal	1000
While the contention of bias by the lower court may not be raised for the first time on appeal the Appellate Division may elect to hear it in the interests of justice.....	1001
The contention that a court improperly acted as an advocate during the trial must be preserved for review	1003
Contentions of discriminatory stop and checks by police must be preserved for appeal.....	1004

A parent who did not attend a hearing may not argue first time on appeal of being denied a fair hearing.....	1005
That a motion to enter a default judgment was untimely may not be raised for the first time on appeal	1006
Anticipatory breach may not be raised for the first time on appeal; it does not present an issue of law that could have been avoided.....	1007
Whether testimony constituted admissible evidence because of young age may not be raised for the first time on appeal because it does not present a pure issue of law	1008
The rule against perpetuities may not be raised first time on appeal	1009
Assumption of the risk may not be raised for the first time on appeal because it does not involve a question of pure law	1010
Revocation of an election to accelerate a mortgage by voluntarily discontinuance of an action may not be raised for the first time on appeal	1011
Whether the election law was violated was improperly raised first time on appeal	1012
The contention that the record is incomplete must be preserved.....	1013
A party may not raise first time on appeal that the Supreme Court should have drawn a negative inference against another party	1014
A claim that a party has a first amendment retaliation claim may not be raised for the first time on appeal	1015
That relief granted by the Supreme Court exceeded the scope of plaintiff's pleadings may not be raised first time on appeal.....	1016
[b] Challenges to the Constitutionality and Due Process First Time on Appeal	1017
A challenge to the constitutionality of a statute must be preserved and may not be raised for the first time on appeal ...	1017

Due process may not be raised for the first time on appeal..... 1020

A court’s direction to a party not to consult with counsel during recesses may be heard first time on appeal in the interests of justice because it violated the party’s fundamental due process rights where the party was unable to speak to counsel over extended periods 1022

VOLUME II

30. Issues that Have Been Both Considered and Precluded First Time on Appeal 1025

[a] Case Law Considering and Precluding the Contention First Time on Appeal that a Complaint Fails to State a Cause of Action 1026

The contention that a complaint fails to state a cause of action may not be raised for the first time on appeal 1026

A party may assert the failure to state a cause of action first time on appeal..... 1027

[b] Consideration of Entitlement to Summary Judgment Considered and Precluded First Time on Appeal 1028

Entitlement to summary judgment considered first time on appeal 1028

Consideration of entitlement to summary judgment precluded first time on appeal 1029

[c] Issues Related to Contract Construction Held Proper First Time on Appeal and also Precluded First Time on Appeal 1030

Instances of contract related issues reviewed first time on appeal 1030

Implications of contractual language are a question of law that may be raised first time on appeal 1030

What is the standard of review of a contract?..... 1033

The appellate court must examine the contract’s language de novo to learn the intention of the parties	1033
Right to automatically accelerate a mortgage involves a question of law that may be raised first time on appeal	1034
Questions of contractual ambiguity may be raised first time on appeal even sua sponte	1035
The defense of absence of a valid acceleration clause is a question of law which may be raised first time on appeal	1037
The interpretation of an insurance policy is a question of law which may be raised first time on appeal	1038
Construction of language in a trust is reviewable first time on appeal	1039
The overbreadth of a non-solicitation covenant may be raised first time on appeal	1040
Instances of contract matters held improper first time on appeal.....	1041
– The broadness of an agreement	1041
– Whether a contract is one of adhesion	1041
– Whether a party signed a counterpart agreement	1041
– Whether a contract is enforceable pursuant to statute	1042
– Whether a contract was formed due to a lack of consideration.....	1042
– Whether a contract requires arbitration	1042
Case law holding that ambiguity, vagueness and indefiniteness may not be raised for the first time on appeal	1043
The contention that a contract requires judicial approval may not be raised for the first time on appeal	1045

[d] Issue of Illegality of a Contract Considered and also Precluded First Time on Appeal.....	1046
Illegality of an agreement may be raised first time on appeal, even sua sponte	1046
Case law barring arguments of illegality of a contract raised first time on appeal.....	1047
[e] Issues Related to Statute of Limitations Considered and also Precluded First Time on Appeal.....	1048
Statute of limitations raised first time on appeal held reviewable as an issue of law	1048
Cases barring the statute of limitations first time on appeal	1050
– CPLR 208, Infancy, Insanity	1051
– Foreign object toll.....	1052
The relation back doctrine considered first time on appeal	1053
The issue of the continuous treatment doctrine does not present an issue of law and may not be raised first time on appeal	1054
The issue of a continuing wrong may not be raised for the first time on appeal	1055
[f] “Near Privity,” “Functional Equivalent of Privity” and “Equitable Subrogee” Considered and also Precluded First Time on Appeal	1056
The issues of “near privity” and “equitable subrogee” may be raised first time on appeal	1056
The issue of “functional equivalent of privity” may not be raised first time on appeal	1057
[g] Whether the Clerk Had the Authority to Enter a Clerk’s Judgment Considered and also Precluded First Time on Appeal	1058
Whether the clerk had the authority to enter a clerk’s judgment may be raised first time on appeal	1058

Whether the clerk had the authority to enter a clerk’s judgment may not be raised first time on appeal	1060
[h] Review of Counsel Fees Considered Even When Raised in Reply Papers and also Precluded First Time on Appeal	1061
Counsel fees may be raised first time on appeal in reply papers as the courts have special obligation to scrutinize fee arrangements	1061
Attorney’s fees may not be raised first time on appeal; nor may they be raised by the other party	1062
Validity of a retainer agreement precluded first time on appeal	1064
Statute of frauds defense considered and also precluded first time on appeal; a statute of frauds defense may not be raised first time on appeal	1065
Contention that a contract was taken out of the statute of frauds by part performance may not be raised for the first time on appeal	1066
Case law holding that a statute of frauds defense may be raised first time on appeal	1067
[i] Duty, Duty of Care Considered and also Precluded First Time on Appeal	1068
Duty, duty of care may be reviewed first time on appeal.....	1068
Cases disallowing duty first time on appeal	1070
Faithless performance of a duty owed to a principal.....	1073
[j] Status of Fiduciary Considered and also Precluded First Time on Appeal	1074
Status as fiduciary may not be raised first time on appeal because it is fact based.....	1074
Case law barring fiduciary duty from being raised first time on appeal	1075

The issue of whether the fiduciary tolling rules apply involves a question of law and may be raised first time on appeal	1076
[k] Arguments First Raised in Reply Papers to Supreme Court Considered and also Precluded First Time on Appeal.....	1077
Legal issues first raised in reply papers considered.....	1077
Arguments first raised in reply papers should not be considered on appeal	1079
Arguments first raised in reply papers considered where other party submitted surreply or opposed them in oral argument	1081
Argument first raised in reply papers that the action was improperly dismissed because issue had not been joined could be heard since the reply papers did not present new facts	1084
[l] Plaintiff’s Failure to Establish a Prima Facie Case Considered and also Precluded First Time on Appeal	1085
Legal arguments attacking plaintiff’s prima facie showing may be raised first time on appeal	1085
Case law disallowing a contention raised first time on appeal that a plaintiff failed to establish a prima facie case.....	1086
[m] Joinder of Parties First Time on Appeal Considered and also Precluded First Time on Appeal	1087
“Nonjoinder of parties may even be raised by the court sua sponte including for the first time on appeal the defense is never waived”	1089
Case law considering “nonjoinder” first time on appeal	1094
[n] Proximate Cause Considered and also Precluded First Time on Appeal.....	1096
Proximate cause may be raised first time on appeal as it presents an issue of law	1096
Case law disallowing proximate cause from being raised first time on appeal.....	1097

[o] The Emergency Doctrine Considered and also Precluded First Time on Appeal	1098
The emergency doctrine may not be asserted first time on appeal	1098
Although the emergency doctrine, which examines the reasonableness of a party’s response, ordinarily presents questions of fact, it may under proper circumstances be determinable as a matter of law	1100
[p] Change of Venue Considered and also Precluded First Time on Appeal	1101
Change of venue may be raised first time on appeal	1101
Discretionary change of venue considered first time on appeal where motion to change venue was filed in wrong county	1103
Case law disallowing challenges to venue first time on appeal	1104
Forum non conveniens may not be raised first time on appeal	1105
[q] Covenant of Good Faith and Bad Faith Considered and also Precluded First Time on Appeal	1106
Covenant of good faith and bad faith may not be considered first time on appeal	1106
Contention of bad faith reviewed first time on appeal	1108
[r] Whether Causes of Action Are Duplicative Considered and also Precluded First Time on Appeal	1109
Whether causes of action are duplicative is a question of law which may be raised first time on appeal	1109
Case law disallowing the issue of duplicative causes of action from being raised first time on appeal	1110

[s] Whether a Statute Creates a Private Right of Action Considered and also Precluded First Time on Appeal.....	1111
Whether a statute creates a private right of action considered first time on appeal	1111
Whether a statute creates a private right of action precluded first time on appeal	1112
[t] Dangerous Conditions Considered and also Precluded First Time on Appeal	1113
Dangerous conditions may be raised first time on appeal	1113
Case law precluding dangerous conditions from being first raised on appeal	1114
Claim that a party cannot recover for injuries caused by a dangerous condition he was trying to repair may be raised first time on appeal	1115
[u] Easement Issues Considered and also Precluded First Time on Appeal	1116
Whether access is a covenant or easement is a question of law which may be raised first time on appeal	1116
Case law precluding consideration of an easement from being raised first time on appeal	1117
[v] Unclean Hands and Pari Delicto Considered and also Precluded First Time on Appeal.....	1118
Unclean hands and pari delicto may be raised sua sponte first time on appeal as a matter of public policy	1118
Case law precluding unclean hands and equitable estoppel from being raised first time on appeal	1119
[w] Piercing the Corporate Veil Considered and also Precluded First Time on Appeal.....	1120
Piercing the corporate veil considered first time on appeal.....	1120

That a party is not a natural person may not be raised for the first time on appeal	1121
Piercing the corporate veil precluded first time on appeal	1122
[x] Laches, Waiver and Judicial Estoppel Considered and also Precluded First Time on Appeal	1123
Laches, waiver and judicial estoppel first time on appeal	1123
The contention that laches may not be imputed to state agencies may not be raised for the first time on appeal	1124
The argument of laches considered first time on appeal	1125
[y] Arbitration Issues that May and May Not Be Raised First Time on Appeal	1126
A contention that a dispute is subject to arbitration may not be raised for the first time on appeal	1126
Insured’s arbitration demand that did not advise the insurer of its right to stay arbitration within 20 days after service of the demand may be raised first time on appeal	1127
Whether an application to stay arbitration is “made” (CPLR 7503(c)) when the petition is filed rather than when it is served is purely legal may be raised first time on appeal	1128
Party’s new argument first time on appeal that it acted to stay arbitration is not a dispositive issue of law discernible on the record	1129
The invalidity of an arbitration clause because contractor was unlicensed may not be raised for the first time on appeal	1130
That a dispute is governed by the Federal Arbitration Act and the convention on the recognition and enforcement of foreign arbitral awards in favor of arbitration may not be raised for the first time on appeal	1131

[z] Penalties, Punitive Damages Considered and also Precluded First Time on Appeal	1132
Punitive damages may be raised first time on appeal because it involves a question of law	1132
Punitive damages precluded first time on appeal	1133
Whether a payment is a penalty rather than liquidated damages is a question of law that may be raised first time on appeal	1134
The contention that liquidated damages are greater than the damages may not be raised for the first time on appeal.....	1135
[aa] The Issue of Whether the Commissioner Specified Its Diligent Efforts to Strengthen the Parental Relationship Has Been Considered and also Precluded First Time on Appeal.....	1136
The contention that the petition of the commissioner of social services is jurisdictionally defective for not specifying its diligent efforts to strengthen the parental relationship may not be raised for the first time on appeal	1136
– Issues arising from neglect and abandonment proceedings....	1136
The contention that the petition of the child care agency is jurisdictionally defective for not specifying diligent efforts to strengthen the parental relationship may be raised for the first time on appeal	1138
[ab] Prior Knowledge of Animal’s Dangerous Propensities Considered and also Precluded First Time on Appeal	1139
A dog’s known propensity to attack or to play enthusiastically considered first time on appeal as it is readily apparent upon the face of the record and could not have been avoided	1139
Lack of prior knowledge of animal’s dangerous propensities may not be raised for the first time on appeal	1140

[ac] Failure to Satisfy CPLR 3016(a) by Reciting the Slanderous or Libelous Words Considered and also Precluded First Time on Appeal	1141
Failure to satisfy CPLR 3016(a) by reciting the slanderous or libelous words considered first time on appeal	1141
Failure to satisfy CPLR 3016(a) by reciting the slanderous or libelous words precluded first time on appeal	1142
[ad] Whether a Mortgage Loan Was a “Home Loan” pursuant to RPAPL § 1304 Considered and also Precluded First Time on Appeal.....	1143
Whether a mortgage loan was not a “home loan” for purposes of RPAPL § 1304 may be raised first time on appeal as it involves a question of law	1143
Whether a mortgage loan was not a “home loan” for purposes of RPAPL § 1304 may not be raised first time on appeal	1144
[ae] Special Employment as a Matter of Fact and as a Matter of Law	1145
Special employment is generally a question of fact but may be made a question of law	1145
When special employment does not raise a question of fact a determination as to such employment status may be made as a matter of law	1146
31. Issues of Public Policy Considered First Time on Appeal ...	1147
Where public policy is found.....	1148
Arbitration as it relates to the public policy considered and precluded first time on appeal	1150
Whether arbitration of a dispute is contrary to public policy may be raised by a party or sua sponte first time on appeal	1151
Arbitration issues precluded first time on appeal notwithstanding public policy assertion	1152

Contention that a contract violates public policy may be raised first time on appeal	1153
The prohibition against the indefinite suspension of the alienation of real property is a matter of state public policy which may be raised first time on appeal	1155
Claims sounding in intentional infliction of emotional distress against a governmental entity are barred as a matter of public policy and may be raised first time on appeal	1156
Cases Disallowing Public Policy Contentions from Being Raised First Time on Appeal	1157
32. Cases Disallowing Public Policy Contentions from Being Raised First Time on Appeal	1159
[a] In General	1160
Whether the enforceability of a Yellowstone waiver violates public policy must be preserved for appeal	1160
The contention that a reduction of a parent’s child support obligation will render the other parent and the child public charges may not be raised first time on appeal	1161
The contention that a waiver provision in a separation agreement violated public policy held not preserved for appellate review .	1162
Public policy challenges against policy provisions must be preserved for appeal	1163
[b] Issues Relating to the Child Support Standards Act, a Matter of Public Policy Considered and Precluded First Time on Appeal	1164
Although child support is a matter of public policy issues relating to the child support have been precluded first time on appeal	1164
– An agreement’s compliance with the Child Support Standards Act has been considered first time on appeal	1168

33. Article 78 First Time on Appeal	1171
An Article 78 proceeding is not an appropriate vehicle for asking review of an issue that is reviewable on direct appeal ...	1172
Summary punishment not appealable by direct appeal but by Article 78	1174
34. Legislative Immunity First Time on Appeal	1175
Whether legislative immunity extends to legislators in their individual capacities declined first time on appeal.....	1176
35. Contentions that May Not First Be Made in a Reply Brief on Appeal	1177
Generally.....	1178
Statute of limitations may not be asserted in the reply brief	1179
The relation back doctrine may not be asserted in reply brief ...	1180
Issues regarding attorney’s fees may not be asserted in the reply brief.....	1181
Judicial estoppel may not be asserted in the reply brief	1182
Presumption of payment may not be asserted in the reply brief	1183
That a party is conclusively presumed to know the contents of an insurance policy may not be asserted in the reply brief	1184
Unconscionability may not be asserted in the reply brief	1185
Excessive interest rate may not be asserted in reply brief	1186
That a party is not a natural person may not be raised for the first time on appeal	1187
Fifth amendment privilege against future prosecution may not be raised for the first time on appeal in the reply brief	1188
That a proceeding be converted into an Article 78 proceeding may not be raised for the first time in a reply brief	1189

Quasi judicial immunity may not first be made in a reply brief	1190
Recusal may not be raised in a reply brief first time on appeal	1191
To the extent that a party seeks to challenge the denial of part of a motion may not first be made in a reply brief on appeal	1192
A contention as to standard of proof may not first be made in a reply brief on appeal	1193
Effective assistance of counsel may not first be made in a reply brief on appeal	1194
The presence of a justiciable controversy may not first be made in a reply brief on appeal	1195
The contention that the court improperly restricted the cross-examination of a witness may not first be raised in a reply brief	1196
36. Issues Relating to Children	1197
[a] Issues Relating to Children that May Not Be Raised First Time on Appeal	1198
Issues arising from neglect and abandonment proceedings may not be raised for the first time on appeal	1198
In re Darryl Clayton T., III, 95 A.D.3d 562, 562, 944 N.Y.S.2d 519 (1st Dep't 2012):.....	1199
Challenges related to the attorney for the child have not been considered for the first time on appeal.....	1200
Constructive abandonment by a child may not be raised for the first time on appeal	1201
The propriety of prejudgment interest on child support arrears may not be raised for the first time on appeal.....	1202

[b] Issues Related to Custody and Visitation Precluded First Time on Appeal	1203
Issues related to custody and visitation may not be raised for the first time on appeal	1203
The Indian Child Welfare Act of 1978	1205
[c] Issues Related to Custody and Visitation Considered First Time on Appeal	1206
Issues related to custody and visitation considered first time on appeal	1206
37. Standing, Subject Matter Jurisdiction, Waiver, Justiciability	1207
CPLR 3211. Motion to dismiss:	1208
Standing to sue is a threshold issue: if standing is denied, the pathway to the courthouse is blocked	1209
The difference between standing and capacity to sue	1210
The First Department notes that it uses the terms standing and capacity to sue interchangeably	1212
The Court of Appeals has held that standing may be waived, making it unlike subject matter jurisdiction, which may never be waived	1213
First Department:	1215
The First Department has held that standing does and does not go to subject matter jurisdiction and standing may be raised by the court sua sponte	1215
– Citing the US Supreme Court: “Standing goes to the jurisdictional basis of a court’s authority to adjudicate a dispute” and may not be waived	1215
– First Department decisions that standing is a question of law that may be raised first time on appeal	1216

Subsequent decisions from the First Department hold that standing does not go to subject matter jurisdiction and may be waived and may not be raised first time on appeal.....	1217
The Second Department.....	1219
– The Second Department’s analysis of the “unsettled question” concludes that standing is not jurisdictional and may thus be waived and not timely raised first time on appeal	1219
– Within one week the Second Department issued inconsistent decisions regarding standing first time on appeal.....	1223
The Third Department	1224
Third Department decisions hold that standing may and may not be waived and may and may not be raised for the first time on appeal.....	1224
– Recent decisions hold that standing may be waived and does not go to subject matter jurisdiction	1224
– Prior Third Department cases cite the U.S. Supreme Court that standing is jurisdictional and may thus be raised first time on appeal	1225
The Fourth Department	1227
Standing is an element of the larger question of justiciability which implicates subject matter jurisdiction	1229
Justiciability and ripeness implicate subject matter jurisdiction	1233
38. CPLR 4401, 4404: Preservation and Motions for a Directed Verdict.....	1235
Preservation and motions for a directed verdict	1236
An order denying a motion for a directed verdict embodies determinations in the nature of midtrial rulings and is not appealable either as of right or by permission	1238
Whether the Supreme Court improperly entertained a motion for summary judgment midtrial must be preserved.....	1239

The grant of a CPLR 4401 motion prior to the close of the opposing party’s case generally will be reversed as premature even if the ultimate success of the opposing party in the action is improbable	1240
39. CPLR 4404, Post-trial Motion for Judgment and New Trial in Jury Trials and in Nonjury Trials	1243
Setting aside a jury verdict or the judgment entered thereon ...	1244
– CPLR 4404 motions are reserved for those parties who took part in the trial.....	1244
A directed verdict grants judgment as a matter of law a court may not weigh the evidence but must determine “that by no rational process could the trier of the facts base a finding in favor of the defendant upon the evidence presented”	1246
A challenger to the sufficiency of pleadings must have first moved for a directed verdict under CPLR 4401	1248
Objecting only that a verdict was contrary to the weight of the evidence without claiming that the evidence of damages was legally insufficient disqualifies the sufficiency contention from appellate review	1250
Judicial considerations in determining a motion for a directed verdict; failure to move for judgment as a matter of law at the close of evidence implicitly concedes that the issue is for the trier of fact to determine and is not preserved for appellate review	1251
Objections to a verdict on the ground of inconsistency must be raised before the jury is discharged or it is not preserved for review	1252
That the number of jurors who agreed to the verdict as reported on the verdict sheet was inconsistent with the number of jurors who agreed to the verdict when polled must be preserved.....	1254
Consent that alternate jurors deliberate with the regular jurors fails to preserve the argument that the court committed reversible error in submitting the case to a jury of eight persons rather than six	1255

That a verdict was rendered by less than five-sixths of the jurors must be preserved	1256
May a party submit posttrial affidavits on appeal from jurors to explore its deliberative process and impeach its verdict?	1257
Such submissions are patently improper	1257
A court may set aside a jury verdict if there is no valid line of reasoning and permissible inferences from the evidence which could possibly lead rational people to the conclusion reached by the jury; the evidence must be viewed most favorable to the prevailing party	1258
40. Applications for Mistrials	1259
An untimely motion for a mistrial (before the jury returns its verdict) leaves the error unpreserved and “may limit appellate review”	1260
A party’s failure to seek curative instructions or moving for a mistrial for improper comments during opening and closing statements leaves the challenge unpreserved for appellate review	1262
The contention that a verdict is against the weight of the evidence requires a timely motion to set aside the verdict on that ground	1263
The Appellate Division may order a new trial in the interests of justice where fundamental error would cause gross injustice to uphold the verdict	1264
Under what circumstances is the failure to object to an erroneous jury charge is not fatal?	1266
It is not fatal where the error is so fundamental that it precludes consideration of the central issue of the action thus allowing review first time on appeal in the interests of justice	1266
41. Jurisdiction of the Family Court, Civil Court, and Housing Part of Civil Court	1269
Family Court is a court of limited jurisdiction	1270

Civil Court is a court of limited jurisdiction.....	1272
Civil court loses jurisdiction over an action upon its transfer to supreme court; however, any appeal before the appellate term prior to the transfer remains	1273
Civil Court has no power to direct a landlord to legalize an apartment; provisional remedies are statutorily limited	1274
Civil Court has jurisdiction over any counterclaim that falls within its jurisdiction if sued upon separately; it may not hear a counterclaim for loss of income	1275
The Housing Part of the Civil Court has broad authority to execute its constitutional mandate to enforce housing standards	1276
42. Subject Matter Jurisdiction	1277
Subject matter jurisdiction may be raised at any time by any party or sua sponte by any court at any level; subject matter jurisdiction cannot be born of waiver, consent or estoppel	1278
Sovereign immunity raises the issue of subject matter jurisdiction	1284
The Appellate Division may decide whether a juvenile delinquency petition is jurisdictionally deficient.....	1285
The rule that subject matter jurisdiction otherwise nonexistent may not come into being through waiver or estoppel does not apply when the court had jurisdiction of the general subject matter, but a contention is made after judgment that the court did not have power to act in the particular case or as to a particular question in the case	1286
– A challenge to a court’s exceeding its jurisdiction was precluded first time on appeal	1287
Strict procedure to recover damages for personal injuries caused by the negligence of an officer or employee of the state goes to subject matter jurisdiction.....	1288

43. Advisory, Hypothetical, Moot, and Remote Opinions or Otherwise Abstract Questions.....	1289
Advisory opinions, hypothetical questions, remote or abstract questions	1292
44. Mootness	1295
[a] In General.....	1296
Mootness is related to subject matter jurisdiction and thus must be considered by the court sua sponte; the mootness doctrine enjoins appellate review of academic questions	1296
The doctrine of mootness is invoked where a change in circumstances or passage of time prevents a court from rendering a decision that would effectively determine an actual controversy.....	1297
Does an amended complaint which adds new causes of action but does not substantively alter the existing causes of action in the amended complaint render an appeal from the original complaint moot or academic?	1304
Such appeal from the original complaint does not become moot or academic since the rights of the parties will be directly affected by the outcome of the appeal	1304
Exceptions to the mootness doctrine and advisory opinions include: likelihood of repetition/recurrence between the parties or among others a phenomenon typically evading review and significant or important questions not previously passed on.....	1306
Although the order appealed from was superseded by a final order, the order still implicated substantial and novel issues which may evade review where family court left a mother with no recourse to challenge the support magistrate’s decision that delayed issuing a recommendation to incarcerate the father for his willful noncompliance with a support order	1308
Recurring issues, likelihood of repetition, due process rights arising from Mental Hygiene Law § 9.60 (Kendra’s Law)	1311

The “spawning of legal consequences or precedent” exception in mootness	1313
Although it is general policy to dismiss an appeal that has been rendered moot or academic, a court may, in appropriate circumstances, also vacate the order to prevent the spawning of any legal consequences or precedent.....	1313
An appeal is not moot notwithstanding modification of a judgment when appellant has not relinquished the right to appeal the remaining unmodified branches of the judgment	1318
Compliance with an order or a demand renders an appeal moot except where the order has potential continuing practical consequences to respondents and their appeal is not moot.....	1322
Are enduring consequences an additional exception to the mootness doctrine?	1324
Mootness of an order notwithstanding an appeal will be heard in matters of enduring consequences, the Court of Appeals offers instances of such consequences	1324
An appeal from an order revoking a suspended sentence following commitment is moot for the court to consider any enduring consequences appellant must also appeal from the underlying order that was violated	1329
[b] Mootness May Be Raised Anytime Including in a Reply Brief Even if It Is Grounded in Documents Dehors the Record; Counsel Is “Obligated to Raise the Issue”	1330
Mootness may be raised anytime, including in a reply brief, even if it is grounded in material dehors the record; counsel is “obligated to raise the issue”	1330
Instances where the Appellate Division declined to address an issue notwithstanding that mootness may be raised at any time	1331
45. Ripeness	1333
Ripeness pertains to the subject matter jurisdiction of a court which may thus be raised at any time.....	1334

A declaratory judgment requires an actual controversy involving a genuine nonacademic dispute	1335
An amended pleading takes the place of the original pleading, rendering an appeal from an order based on the prior pleading academic	1336
Are speculative issues reviewable on appeal?	1339
Harm sought to be enjoined which is contingent upon events beyond the control of the parties and may not come to pass is nonjusticiable as speculative and abstract	1339
46. CPLR 4511: Judicial Notice	1343
[a] In General	1344
Judicial notice may be taken by any court at any stage of the litigation, including on appeal	1346
A court may take judicial notice of its records and files	1347
The Appellate Division may take judicial notice of papers in another appeal to complete the record	1349
A court may not take judicial notice of a ‘fact’ which is controverted by virtue of its mere presence in the court file	1350
How broadly may a court look when taking judicial notice of matters in its court?.....	1351
Courts may take judicial notice of a record in the same court of either the pending matter or of some other action	1351
May a court take judicial notice of matters outside the record? What else?.....	1354
It is improper to seek discovery of documents where the documents were subject to judicial notice	1357
CPLR 4511(c), the Appellate Division may take judicial notice of information published on official government websites	1358
The contents of a minute book of the clerk of the court are an appropriate subject of judicial notice.....	1360

A court may not take judicial notice of a statute of limitations.	1361
[b] Judicial Notice of Self Authenticating Bank Records in the Ponzi Scheme Era	1362
Domestic and international bank records are deemed “self authenticating” and “inherently trustworthy” thus not requiring foundation testimony such records should be challenged in the Ponzi scheme era	1362
47. Misrepresentations of Law	1365
[a] Courts “Will Not Tolerate Attempts to Mislead the Court through Inaccurate Renditions of Controlling Authorities or Facts”	1366
Courts “will not tolerate attempts to mislead the court through inaccurate renditions of controlling authorities or facts”	1366
[b] Counsel’s Obligation to Cite Adverse Authority	1367
[c] Admonitions against Inappropriate Appellate Practice	1369
Undue delay in perfecting an appeal	1369
The function of the appellate brief is to present good faith arguments; counsel are strongly warned against misleading briefs 1371	
A party must alert the court if the same argument was unsuccessfully raised in a prior appeal or even before a trial court	1375
Attorneys in an appellate court have an obligation to keep the court informed of all matters pertinent to the disposition of a pending appeal and cannot, by agreement between them predetermine the scope of its review	1376
22 N.Y.C.R.R. 1250, Unified Practice Rules of the Appellate Division, eff. Sept. 17, 2018	1377

[d] The Appellate Division May Seek to Impose Sanctions Sua Sponte	1378
The Appellate Division may seek to impose sanctions sua sponte pursuant to 22 N.Y.C.R.R. § 130-1.1	1378
Failure to personally sign a brief is not sanctionable.....	1381
22 N.Y.C.R.R. 1250, Unified Practice Rules of the Appellate Division, eff. Sept. 17, 2018	1381
1250.1. General Provisions and Definitions:.....	1381
[e] Appellant Is “Obligated to Assemble a Proper Record on Appeal” to “Enable an Informed Determination on the Merits”	1382
Appellant is “obligated to assemble a proper record on appeal” to “enable an informed determination on the merits”	1382
Parties may not stipulate to submit a record that is inadequate....	1388
Appellant’s failure to assemble a proper record is “highly unprofessional” and “can only be deplored” appellant must suffer the consequences	1389
How may a party enlarge the record on appeal?.....	1391
Reference to a supplemental record is improper and sanctionable where no motion for enlargement of the record has been granted	1391
Although all factual assertions in a brief should reference the record the failure to do so is not a ground for dismissal.....	1394
The appendix must include material excerpts from papers involving a motion and material excerpts from transcripts of testimony	1395
An appeal that necessarily involves questions of fact is not appropriate without a transcript	1397
A respondent is not entitled to its costs for supplementing appellant’s defective appendix where the supplement failed to cure the deficiencies in the appendix.....	1398

48. Matters Dehors-the-Record	1399
[a] Matters Dehors-the-Record Are Generally Disregarded	1400
Courts “severely condemn” the inclusion of dehors-the-record documents in the record on appeal	1402
[b] Exceptions to the General Rule that Documents Not Submitted to Nisi Prius May Not Be Considered on Appeal: Incontrovertible Dehors-the-Record Official Documents May Be Used to Affirm or Sustain Judgments; Undisputedly Accurate Reliable Documents May Be Used Even to Modify or Reverse an Order under Review	1403
– Such documents may also be used to reverse a judgment.....	1406
Another exception to the dehors-the-record rule: postjudgment changed circumstances in child custody matters where the record has become no longer adequate and sufficient thereby necessitating a new trial	1407
49. Settlement of the Record	1409
[a] In General.....	1410
Every appellant has a clear legal right to settlement of the record	1410
Law guardian is a party who must be served with transcript before motion to settle the transcript	1412
[b] An Otherwise Appealable Judgment Is Dismissible if Appellant Does Not Order and Settle the Transcript; a Party Does Not Need a Court Order to Obtain a Copy of a Transcript.....	1413
An otherwise appealable judgment is dismissible if appellant does not order and settle the transcript	1413
A Party Does Not Need a Court Order to Obtain a Copy of a Transcript.....	1414

50. CPLR 5525: Reconstruction of a Transcript; Judiciary Law § 7-a	1415
The trial judge is the “final arbiter of the record” certified to the appellate courts even after out of office.....	1416
Judiciary Law § 7-a, Vacancies or changes in judges; power of judge out of office.....	1417
51. Motion to Strike the Record	1419
Submissions after the filing of the record without leave, the untimely filing of a brief or that the brief does not comply with form and content must be pursued by motion to strike.....	1420
Forfeiture of costs for improper briefs.....	1421
52. Doctrine of Law of the Case.....	1423
The doctrine of the law of the case, historical usage and evolution its relationship to res judicata and collateral estoppel	1426
What qualifies a legal determination as law of the case?	1430
The doctrine of law of the case applies only to legal determinations that were necessarily resolved on the merits in a prior decision including a prior appeal and to the same questions presented in the same case	1430
Does the doctrine of the law of the case apply to ex parte orders?.....	1433
Doctrine of the law of the case does not apply to ex parte orders such as an order to show cause	1433
Does a vacated judgment have any precedential value at all? ...	1434
A vacated judgment has no preclusive force either as a matter of collateral, direct estoppel or as law of the case	1434
Is the law of the case doctrine implicated where a court has only altered its own ruling?	1435

The law of the case doctrine is not implicated where the court only altered its own ruling not the ruling of another court of coordinate jurisdiction	1435
Is the Appellate Division bound by the law of the case doctrine?	1436
The Appellate Division is not bound by the doctrine of law of the case and may make its own determinations.....	1436
May expert testimony be heard on an issue after the Appellate Division has ruled on it?	1438
Subsequent expert testimony is precluded by the doctrine of law of the case after an appellate court has already ruled on the issue	1438
Do the law of the case doctrine and res judicata apply to nonfinal orders?	1439
Law of the case and res judicata do not apply to nonfinal orders	1439
Why is the law of the case doctrine inapplicable where a motion for summary judgment follows a motion to dismiss? ...	1440
Because the scope of review of the two motions differs, the motion to dismiss examines the sufficiency of the pleadings; summary judgment tests the sufficiency of the evidence underlying the pleadings.....	1440
Doctrine of the law of the case does not apply to the granting of a temporary injunction and does not bind a court of coordinate jurisdiction from subsequently reviewing its merits	1442
When the Appellate Division reviews an order made in violation of the doctrine of law of the case, must it also review the merits of the appeal?.....	1443
The Appellate Division may reverse an order made in violation of the law of the case for that reason alone without regard to the merits	1443
Is an appellate court bound by a prior unappealed order?	1444

An appellate court is not bound by a prior unappealed order and may affirm an order which is substantively correct even if the effect of a prior unappealed order will possibly be undermined	1444
To ignore the doctrine of law of the case, not only must the circumstances be extraordinary, but the error to be corrected must be so plain that it would require the court to grant a reargument of a cause	1445
53. Mountain View Coach Lines, Inc. v. Storms Interdepartmental Stare Decisis	1447
Is a trial court in one Department required to follow the rulings of another Department where the trial court’s Department has not ruled on the issue?	1448
Mountain View Coach Lines, Inc. v. Storms, Interdepartmental Stare Decisis	1448
Which law applies when an appeal is transferred from one appellate court to another?	1450
The law of the originating intermediate appellate court governs	1450
54. Remittitur	1451
[a] In General	1452
The lower court must strictly conform to the remittitur on remand	1452
In order to avoid an unjust result upon remittitur a court may in cases of equity mold its procedure and adapt its relief to the exigencies of any new facts or conditions that were not before the appellate court that made and entered the remittitur	1456
[b] Reversal on Appeal Leaves the Parties Wholly Unaffected by any Previous Adjudication Unless the Appellate Court Limits the Scope of the Remand	1459
What is the significance of a reversal on appeal—how does it affect the parties?	1459

Reversal on appeal leaves the parties wholly unaffected by any previous adjudication unless the appellate court limits the scope of the remand 1459

What happens on remand when the higher court does not limit the scope of the remand? 1460

In the absence of an express direction for a limited trial, the granting of a new trial should be construed to require a new trial generally 1460

An order of the Appellate Division that reverses a judgment upon questions of fact and law determines the scope of the new trial it has granted 1462

The term “insofar as appealed from” in a reversal of a judgment is not limiting language as to the issues to be heard on retrial .. 1464

Definition of remand: “in accordance herewith”..... 1465

What is the remedy if the remittitur is erroneous or unclear? ... 1466

The appropriate remedy if the remittitur is erroneous or unclear is an application to amend it 1466

Does a remittitur to make detailed findings to supplement the trial court’s determination expand the evidentiary basis to make more detailed findings?..... 1468

A remittitur to make detailed findings to supplement the trial court’s determination does not expand the evidentiary basis to make more detailed findings..... 1468

Does a remittitur to make detailed findings to supplement the trial court’s determination expand the evidentiary basis to make more detailed findings?..... 1468

A remittitur to make detailed findings to supplement the trial court’s determination does not expand the evidentiary basis to make more detailed findings..... 1468

55. CPLR 4017: The Reviewability of General Objections and Specific Objections.....	1469
[a] In General.....	1470
The obligation falls on the objecting party to state the ground for its objection	1471
How critical is the timeliness of an objection at trial?.....	1473
Failure to timely object or move to strike inadmissible evidence is fatal and places such error beyond the scope of review on appeal; a timely objection is a necessary predicate of preservation	1473
What are the consequences from evidence that was received that is not competent?	1476
Evidence though not competent that is received without objection may be relied upon to establish a fact in controversy and may not be challenged on appeal	1476
Objection must be clear to apprise the court of the nature of the objection.....	1478
An objection is not necessary where the court could not have corrected its error	1479
[b] General Objections: Sustained or Overruled; Specific Objections: Sustained or Overruled	1481
Must a trial objection be specific to preserve a question of law or is a general objection sufficient?	1482
An objection must be specific to preserve a question of law; the word “objection” alone fails to preserve the question for appeal	1482
Where a party objected to the admissibility of evidence on one ground no new grounds may be considered on appeal	1484
When can a general objection be good? What are the consequences on appeal?	1486

A general objection is good only if it is sustained; it will be upheld if any ground existed for its exclusion the ruling will be assumed to have been on the proper ground; subject to the exception of inherent incompetency of the proffered evidence, a general objection is to no avail when overruled if not followed by a specific objection directing the court and the adversary to the particular infirmity of the evidence	1486
If a specific objection is sustained and the evidence is excluded, may the Appellate Division consider other possible grounds on appeal?	1488
If a specific objection is sustained, the ruling must be sustained upon that ground unless the evidence excluded was in no aspect of the case competent, or could not be made so; if the ground at trial was invalid, the objector will lose even if another valid ground existed.....	1488
If a specific objection is overruled and the evidence is admitted, may the Appellate Division consider other possible grounds on appeal?	1490
If a specific objection on one ground is overruled and the evidence is admitted other possible grounds cannot be considered	1490
May an objector argue a specific ground on appeal if a general objection was overruled, meaning that the evidence was received?	1492
Once a general objection has been overruled and the evidence is received the objector cannot argue a specific ground on appeal	1492
Continuing Objections	1497
Rebutting evidence does not constitute a waiver of the objection	1499
[c] Offer of Proof	1500
Failure to make an offer of proof leaves the issue not preserved for appeal	1500
An offer of proof must be clear and unambiguous when a court sustains an objection to exclude evidence	1502

When and how an offer of proof is made	1504
56. Decedents: Commencement of Actions and Appellate Review.....	1505
The dead cannot sue nor be sued, rendering such an action a nullity from its inception, an appellate court has no jurisdiction to entertain an appeal	1506
Death of a party divests a court of jurisdiction and stays proceedings pending the substitution of a representative for the decedent; any determination prior to substitution is generally a nullity; the decedent’s attorney has no standing to bring an appeal	1507
Death of a party renders academic his or her motion for a preference due to age	1509
A motion for substitution pursuant to CPLR 1021 is the method by which the court acquires jurisdiction” over the deceased party’s personal representative; an order issued before such substitution is a nullity and must be vacated, the Appellate Division has no jurisdiction to hear an appeal from the order	1510
57. An Article 78 Application which Is in the Nature of Prohibition Is Unavailable where an Adequate Remedy Exists by Way of an Appeal	1513
Nature of the Writ of Prohibition.....	1514
An Article 78 application for relief in the nature of prohibition may not be had where an adequate remedy exists by way of an appeal; writs of mandamus, prohibition, and certiorari do not lie to review an appealable order or to correct an alleged error of law, the proper remedy is to appeal the final order or judgment to the proper appellate court	1518
58. The Intervenor on Appeal.....	1521
An intervenor becomes a party to the action even after original appellant has discontinued the appeal but the intervenor acquires no rights greater than a party	1522

59. Appeals Involving Administrative Agencies	1523
What is the standard of review of an administrative determination made after a hearing limited to?	1524
Review of an administrative determination made after a hearing is limited to whether it is supported by substantial evidence	1524
The scope of judicial review of administrative sanctions tests whether the sanction shocks the judicial conscience, if it does it constitutes an “abuse of discretion”; the Appellate Division has no discretionary authority or interest of justice jurisdiction to review a penalty imposed in an Article 78 proceeding.....	1526
Definition of substantial evidence, substantial evidence is “a minimal standard”	1530
When does an administrative determination become “final and binding”? When does it become final for appellate review?.....	1532
An administrative determination becomes “final and binding” when the petitioner seeking review has been aggrieved by it; that a determination is final for the purpose of its present execution does not mean it is final for judicial review purposes	1532
Under Article 78, does an appellate court have broad review or is it circumscribed?.....	1534
Judicial review of the acts of an administrative agency under Article 78 is limited to questions expressly identified by statute	1534
What must a petitioner show in order to be entitled to standing to challenge administrative action?	1535
Where standing is claimed based upon administrative action a petitioner must show harm greater than that to public at large and that the injury falls within the zone of interest contemplated by the statute	1535
60. Amicus Curiae.....	1537
Definition of amicus curiae; intervenor	1538

What may an amicus present and what may an amicus not present?	1539
An amicus has no status to present new issues in a case it may however point out that a court lacks jurisdiction	1539
If intervention is denied, does the intervening party have any other options to take a position before the court?	1540
Even when intervention is denied the intended intervenor may be permitted to appear as amicus curiae	1540
61. Appellate Practice and Family Court	1541
[a] Family Court Act §§ 365.1, 1111, 1112; Appeals to the Appellate Division from Family Court Proceedings.....	1542
FCA §§ 1111, 1112.....	1542
Appeals to the Appellate Division from Family Court Proceedings.....	1542
[b] The Family Court Act § 1113, Time of Appeal; Family Court Act § 1118, Applicability of Civil Practice Law and Rules.....	1543
Does the CPLR apply to all Family Court proceedings?.....	1543
The CPLR does not automatically apply to the Family Court act but rather only in appropriate situations where Family Court Act Article 11 (Appeals) is silent	1543
In what significant way does taking of an appeal from the Family Court differ from taking an appeal from the Supreme Court?.....	1544
Family Court Act § 1113 does not state that service of a notice of entry is necessary to start the appeal time to run	1544
While Family Court orders that are not entered are subject to dismissal, the Third Department deems such orders entered when it has been a Family Court’s practice not to enter orders	1547

[c] Dispositional Orders and Nondispositional Orders.....	1549
Definition and instances of dispositional orders and nondispositional orders.....	1549
When is a nondispositional order appealable as of right?	1551
A nondispositional order in an abuse case is appealable as of right	1551
May a party appeal as of right from fact finding, nondispositional orders in permanent neglect proceeding?.....	1552
No appeal lies as of right from fact finding nondispositional orders in permanent neglect proceeding	1552
The Appellate Division may grant permission to appeal sua sponte from a nondispositional order	1553
Is the denial of a motion for summary judgment or motion to dismiss “an order of disposition” that is appealable as of right?	1555
“An order of disposition” under FCA § 1112(a); denial of a motion for summary judgment or motion to dismiss not “an order of disposition” that is appealable as of right	1555
An order staying a petition is not appealable as of right	1556
A reference to a hearing is not a final order of disposition that is appealable as of right	1557
[d] Instances of Orders that Are Not Appealable as of Right under FCA § 1112(a) because They Do Not Constitute “An Order of Disposition”	1558
– Orders relating to venue are not appealable as of right	1558
– An Appeal Does Not Lie as of Right from a Temporary Order of Support	1558
– No appeal lies as of right from a nonfinal order in a family offense proceeding	1559
– Intermediate orders of visitation in Family Court are not appealable as of right.....	1560

- Family Court’s order modifying visitation pending a hearing on all issues before the court is not a final order 1561
- An order barring a party from filing future petitions without permission regarding custody and visitation is not appealable as of right 1561
- A finding of contempt scheduled for “continued dispositional hearing” is not appealable as of right 1561
- An order settling a transcript is a nonfinal order which is not appealable as of right in the Family Court 1562

[e] Family Court Act § 1116 and CPLR 5525(a), Appeals from the Family Court Records Need Not Be Printed but Must Be Transcribed 1563

[f] Recommendations by Support Magistrates 1564

A recommendation of commitment by a support magistrate is not a final order and is not appealable as of right the sole remedy is to appeal from the final order..... 1564

Instances of recommendations by support magistrates that are not enforceable until confirmed by a family court judge..... 1564

- Written objections may not be filed from a recommendation of commitment by support magistrate the sole remedy is to appeal from the final order 1565

[g] Orders by Support Magistrates that Do Not Require Confirmation by a Judge May Be Challenged by Objections; Such Orders Remain in Full Force during the Objections.. 1567

A support magistrate’s failure to adequately articulate its discretionary reasons behind a child support award requires a remand 1568

[h] Only Issues Objected to May Be Reviewed on Appeal, Matters Objected to Do Not Extend to Other Matters	1569
[i] A Party’s Default before a Support Magistrate Precludes the Defaulting Party from Filing Objections	1570
[j] A Support Magistrate Lacks Jurisdiction to Determine Certain Defenses to a Finding of Contempt Such as Lack of Ability to Pay, Such Issues May Only Be Determined by a Family Court Judge	1571
[k] Other Nonappealable Orders from the Family Court	1572
Family Court’s order directing a psychiatric evaluation is not a final order and is not appealable as of right	1572
The issue of the father’s failure to establish paternity may not be raised for the first time on appeal	1573
A filiation order that also seeks support in the petition is not appealable as of right	1574
No appeal lies as of right from orders that either denied a motion to vacate a prior order that disposed of a proceeding or that denied a motion to reopen a paternity proceeding	1576
[l] An Order from the Family Court that Joins Issues of Custody and Neglect or Abuse is Appealable as of Right ...	1577
An order that joins issues of custody and neglect or abuse is appealable as of right	1577
[m] Family Court Act § 439(e), Specific Written Objections	1578
Family Court Act § 439(e)	1578
Time to file objections from the determination of a support magistrate;	1578
The determination of a support magistrate shall include findings of fact a final order; Legislative intent regarding timeliness	1578

How important is the filing of objections to an order from a Support Magistrate as a predicate to an appeal?.....	1582
Objections to a support magistrate’s determination under FCA § 439(e) are tantamount to appellate review requiring specific objections failure to raise the issues in the objections renders the issues unpreserved and waived for later appeal	1582
An order of a support magistrate is not appealable unless it was first reviewed by the Family Court	1584
An order of a support magistrate is not appealable after the order is superceded by an order of the Family Court	1585
Are the procedural requirements to filing objections the same as those necessary to start the running of the appeal time clock?....	1586
The procedural predicate to filing objections under FCA § 439(e) differs from the steps necessary to start the appeal time running	1586
[n] Appellate Decisions Are Inconsistent Regarding Defective and Late Filings of Proof of Service of Objections.....	1587
The First Department.....	1587
The Second Department: Family Court Act § 439(e) requires the filing of objections together with proof of service as a condition precedent to appellate review failure to comply waives the right to appeal	1589
– The Second Department also examines the circumstances of the case	1590
The Third Department: Family Court Act § 439[e] is not jurisdictional and does not require strict adherence Family Court has discretion to overlook minor noncompliance	1592
– The Third Department also strictly adheres to FCA § 439(e)	1594
The Fourth Department.....	1596

[o] Service upon a Party’s Attorney and Family Court Act § 439(e)	1597
Service of objections upon a party’s attorney rather than on the party satisfies Family Court Act § 439(e).....	1597
[p] Where Objections Are Mailed to an Incorrect Address the Objectant Has Failed to Fulfill a Condition Precedent Thereby Failing to Exhaust Family Court Procedure for Review of Objections	1599
[q] A Matter Referred to the Family Court by the Supreme Court Becomes a Family Court Proceeding Subject to Its Procedures	1600
When the Supreme Court refers a support matter to the Family Court it becomes a Family Court proceeding subject to its procedures	1600
[r] Family Court Is Not Bound by the Amount of Support Requested in the Petition; Family Court May Award an Amount Appropriate to the Proof Adduced	1601
[s] A Waiver of the Right to Appeal in the Family Court Requires an Explanation of Such Waiver or Its Consequences, that the Party Understood Their Appellate Rights and that the Waiver Was Not an Automatic Consequence of the Party’s Admission	1602
62. Assignment of New Counsel, Anders v. California	1603
When Assigned Counsel Does Not Demonstrate Having Acted “As an Active Advocate on His Client’s Behalf”	1604
An Anders application to be relieved as appellate counsel	1605
A Family Court order will not be allowed to stand where a proceeding was so affected by errors and representation was so deficient that it was prejudicial.....	1608
63. Cost Sharing of an Appeal	1611
22 N.Y.C.R.R. 1250.9, Unified Practice Rules of the Appellate Division, eff. Sept. 17, 2018	1612

Pre-unified practice case law cost sharing by cross-appellant...	1613
– First Department	1613
– Second Department	1613
– Third Department	1614
– Fourth Department	1615
22 N.Y.C.R.R. 1000.4 (b)(1), Rules of the Appellate Division, Fourth Department.....	1615
Index	IND-1