CONTRACT DOCTRINE AND MARITAL AGREEMENTS IN NEW YORK

FIFTH EDITION

ELLIOTT SCHEINBERG, ESQ.

New York State Bar Association publications are intended to provide current and accurate information to help attorneys maintain their professional competence. Publications are distributed with the understanding that NYSBA does not render any legal, accounting or other professional service. Attorneys using publications or orally conveyed information in dealing with a specific client's or their own legal matters should also research original sources of authority.

We consider the publication of any NYSBA practice book as the beginning of a dialogue with our readers. Periodic updates to this book will give us the opportunity to incorporate your suggestions regarding additions or corrections. Please send your comments to: Publications Director, New York State Bar Association, One Elk Street, Albany, NY 12207.

Copyright 2023 New York State Bar Association All rights reserved ISBN: 978-1-57969-XXX-X Product Number: 415923

SKU: 4104159002301

TABLE OF CONTENTS

VOLUME ONE

| Chapter 1 | Agreements in General: Principles of Contract Doctrine |
|------------|---|
| Chapter 2 | Consideration |
| Chapter 3 | Failure to Read an Agreement |
| Chapter 4 | Acknowledgments |
| Chapter 5 | Merger and Survival of an Agreement |
| Chapter 6 | Arbitration |
| Chapter 7 | Severability Clauses |
| Chapter 8 | DRL § 240(1-b)(h) Opt-Out Recitals From Statutory Child Support |
| Chapter 9 | Modification of Contractual Child Support |
| Chapter 10 | Modification of Contractual Spousal Maintenance |
| Chapter 11 | Custody, Parenting Time, Religious Upbringing, Artificial Insemination, Name Changes |
| Chapter 12 | Pensions and QDROs |
| Chapter 13 | Death, Settlement Agreements, and Property Distribution |
| Chapter 14 | Agreements to Pay Spousal Maintenance After Remarriage and Death |
| Chapter 15 | Third Parties and Third-Party Beneficiaries |
| Chapter 16 | Acceleration Clauses, Confidentiality Agreements, Consequential Damages, Lost Profits, and Contractual Interest Rates |

| Chapter 17 | Liquidated Damage Clauses |
|------------|---|
| Chapter 18 | Oral Agreements, Part Performance, Oral Modification of Agreements |
| Chapter 19 | Options |
| Chapter 20 | Specific Performance |
| Chapter 21 | Reconciliation and Repudiation of Agreements |
| Chapter 22 | Accord and Satisfaction, Substitution of Agreements |
| Chapter 23 | Releases, Indemnification, and Exculpatory Clauses |
| Chapter 24 | Unconscionability: Procedural Unconscionability and Substantive Unconscionability |
| Chapter 25 | Fiduciary Relationships Among Spouses and Affianced Couples |
| Chapter 26 | Conversion Divorces |
| | VOLUME TWO |
| Chapter 27 | Ambiguous Agreements |
| Chapter 28 | Rescission |
| Chapter 29 | Reformation |
| Chapter 30 | Statutes of Limitations |
| Chapter 31 | Equitable Estoppel, Laches |
| Chapter 32 | General and Specific Mergers Clauses |
| Chapter 33 | Plenary Actions |
| Chapter 34 | Family Court |
| Chapter 35 | Waivers |

| Cha | pter 36 | Independent Counsel |
|-----|---------|---|
| Cha | pter 37 | Ratification |
| Cha | • | Comity and Bilateral Foreign Divorce Judgments |
| Cha | pter 39 | Counsel Fees |
| Cha | pter 40 | Allegations in Vacatur Proceedings |
| Cha | pter 41 | Prenuptial Agreements |
| Cha | _ | Express Oral Agreements Between Cohabiting Partners |
| Cha | • | Unjust Enrichment, Quantum Meruit, Quasi Contract, Implied Contract |
| Cha | • | Contractual Obligations and Tort, Intentional Affliction of Emotional Distress |
| Cha | | How Courts Have Construed Contractual Language |

DETAILED TABLE OF CONTENTS

VOLUME ONE

| Dedicati | ions | lx | V | |
|----------|--|---|------|--|
| Acknow | ledgm | nents 1x2 | xiii | |
| Introduc | ction | | XV | |
| About tl | ne Aut | hor | xvii | |
| Chapte | Chapter 1 Agreements in General: Principles of Contract Doctrine | | | |
| [1.0] | I. | Understanding Advantages of Negotiated | | |
| [1.0] | 1. | Agreements; Public Policy Favors Agreement | | |
| | | Over Litigation | | |
| [1.1] | | A. Premarital Planning Helps Alleviate | | |
| [1.1] | | Disputes Throughout Marriage 1-3 | | |
| [1.2] | | B. Postmarital Planning 1-4 | | |
| [1.3] | | C. Documenting Counsel's File Concerning | | |
| [1.5] | | Client's Understanding of Agreement; the | | |
| | | Client's Emotional Stress 1-4 | | |
| [1.4] | | D. Allocution of the Parties 1-5 | | |
| [1.5] | II. | The State's Interest in the Marital | | |
| [1.0] | 11. | Relationship, Governed By State Law, Not | | |
| | | Federal Law | | |
| [1.6] | III. | Marriage as a Creature of the | | |
| [1.0] | 111. | Legislature; Requirement for Strict Statutory | | |
| | | Construction | 2 | |
| [1.7] | IV. | The Purpose of a Contract, Stipulations 1-1- | | |
| [1.7] | V. | The Essence of a Contract | | |
| [1.9] | ٧. | A. Meeting of the Minds, Unsigned | 3 | |
| [1.9] | | Agreements, Actual Acceptance; A So- | | |
| | | Ordered Stipulation Is a Binding | | |
| | | | 5 | |
| [1 10] | | | 3 | |
| [1.10] | | B. A Forged Signature Renders a Contract | | |
| | | Void Ab Initio, There Can Be No | 0 | |
| Γ1 111 | | Meeting of the Minds | U | |
| [1.11] | | C. Elements of a Pleading for Breach of | 0 | |
| [1 12] | | Contract 1-20 | | |
| [1.12] | | D. Elements of an Oral Agreement | _ | |
| [1.13] | | E. Effective Times of Acceptance and | | |
| | | Revocation, The "Mailbox Rule" of | 2 | |
| | | Acceptance 1-2 | 3 | |

| [1.14] | | F. The Burden of Proof that a Contract | |
|--------|-------|---|------|
| | | Was Made | 1-24 |
| [1.15] | | G. Course of Conduct | 1-26 |
| [1.16] | | H. Unilateral Contracts | 1-27 |
| [1.17] | | I. Actual Acceptance of an Agreement | 1-28 |
| [1.18] | | J. Voluntary Payment of the Other Spouse's | |
| | | Debt Does Not Create Contractual Debt | 1-29 |
| [1.19] | | K. Retroactive Effect of an Agreement | 1-30 |
| [1.20] | | L. Parties Are Not Bound to a Contract | |
| | | That Was Not Delivered Fully Executed | 1-30 |
| [1.21] | VI. | Express Conditions Must Be Literally | |
| | | Performed; Substantial Performance Will Not | |
| | | Satisfy Precedent Conditions | 1-31 |
| [1.22] | VII. | Competency to Enter Into an Agreement | 1-36 |
| [1.23] | VIII. | Implied Covenant of Good Faith | 1-36 |
| [1.24] | IX. | Bad Faith | 1-41 |
| [1.25] | X. | Marriage as a Civil Contract That | |
| | | Results in a Status; Void and Voidable | |
| | | Marriages | 1-42 |
| [1.26] | XI. | Minority and Separation Agreements | 1-49 |
| [1.27] | XII. | No-Fault Divorce Law Grants a Divorce | |
| | | Without a Trial | 1-49 |
| [1.28] | XIII. | When is the Marriage Contract Over? | |
| | | Annulment Versus Divorce | 1-51 |
| [1.29] | XIV. | The Validity of a Marriage is Governed | |
| | | Under the Laws of the Jurisdiction | |
| | | Where It is to be celebrated | 1-52 |
| [1.30] | XV. | Common-Law Marriages, Oral Declarations | 1-53 |
| [1.31] | XVI. | U.S. Supreme Court Upholds Same-Sex | |
| | | Marriage as a Constitutional Right, the | |
| | | Defense of Marriage Acts Have Been | |
| | | Superseded | 1-59 |
| [1.32] | XVII | . Contract Doctrine and the Common Law; | |
| | | Stare Decisis | 1-59 |
| [1.33] | XVII | I.Statutes and the Common Law | 1-66 |
| [1.34] | XIX. | Prenuptial Agreement Signed Out of State | |
| | | Where Parties Always Lived in New | |
| | | York, But the Agreement is Silent as | |
| | | to Governing Law | 1-67 |
| [1.35] | XX. | An Agreement Negotiated Between Two | |
| | | States Occurs in the State of Acceptance | 1-69 |

| [1.36] | XXI. Settlement Agreements Signed in a Foreign | |
|--------|--|-------|
| | Consulate; the Law of Unintended | |
| | Consequences | 1-70 |
| [1.37] | XXII. Existing Statutes Are Read Into the | |
| | Contract | 1-71 |
| [1.38] | XXIII.Illegal Contracts | 1-77 |
| [1.39] | A. Malum in Se, Malum Prohibitum | 1-80 |
| [1.40] | B. Not All Invalid Agreements Are Illegal | 1-84 |
| [1.41] | C. Agreements With Legal and Incidental | |
| | Illegal Provisions | 1-85 |
| [1.42] | XXIV.Public Policy and Agreements | 1-86 |
| [1.43] | XXV. Public Policy and Void, Invalid and | |
| | Illegal Agreements | 1-103 |
| [1.44] | XXVI.Subject Matter Jurisdiction | 1-105 |
| [1.45] | A. Supreme Court Is a Court of Original | |
| | Unlimited Jurisdiction | 1-107 |
| [1.46] | XXVII.An Agreement That a Spouse Will Not | |
| | Reside in a Specific Town or County | 1-109 |
| [1.47] | XXVIII.The Role and Purpose of Equity | 1-110 |
| [1.48] | XXIX.Parties May Chart the Course of Their | |
| | Litigation Re: Facts, Rules of Law, and | |
| | Waivers of Rights | 1-111 |
| [1.49] | XXX. Waiver of Constitutional and Statutory | |
| | Rights | 1-115 |
| [1.50] | A. Parties May Shape the Facts of Their | |
| | Case | 1-118 |
| [1.51] | B. Parties May Chart the Law of Their | |
| | Case | 1-118 |
| [1.52] | XXXI.Parties May Stipulate to Procedure, | |
| | Jurisdiction, Choice of Law, Standards of | |
| | Review, Evidence and Other Rights | 1-121 |
| [1.53] | XXXII.Parties May Not Consent to Enlarge | |
| | Time to Take an Appeal | 1-129 |
| [1.54] | XXXIII.Choice of Law | 1-129 |
| [1.55] | A. New York | 1-129 |
| [1.56] | B. Other States | 1-136 |
| [1.57] | XXXIV.Forum Selection Clauses | 1-139 |
| [1.58] | A. Automatic Right to Designate New York | |
| | Law and New York as the Forum, | |
| | General Obligations Law §§ 5-1401, | |
| | 5-1402 | 1-149 |

| [1.59] | XXXV.Negotiation and Execution of an | |
|---------|--|-------|
| | Agreement in New York Confers Personal | |
| | Jurisdiction; New York Maintains | |
| | Jurisdiction over Disputed Property Even | |
| | Though the Property Is Located Abroad, | |
| | When Enforcement of a So-Ordered | |
| | Stipulation of Settlement is in Connection | |
| | With a New York Matrimonial Action | 1-153 |
| [1.60] | XXXVI. "Acts of God, Frustration of Purpose, | |
| | Impossibility of Performance"; Force Majeure | |
| | or Vis Major | 1-156 |
| [1.61] | A. Frustration of Purpose | 1-160 |
| [1.62] | XXXVII.Third-party Liability and Obligations | 1-162 |
| [1.63] | XXXVIII.Conversion Divorces, Invalid Provisions, | |
| | and Malum Prohibitum | 1-163 |
| [1.64] | XXXIX.Agreements to Alter or Dissolve a | |
| | Marriage or to Procure a Divorce | 1-164 |
| [1.65] | A. In re Fleischmann's Estate | 1-170 |
| [1.66] | B. A Contractual Obligation to Obtain a | |
| | Religious Divorce Does Not Violate the | |
| | GOL | 1-172 |
| [1.67] | C. Surrogate Parenting Agreements, DRL | |
| | § 122 | 1-172 |
| [1.68] | D. Marriage Brokerage Contracts | 1-173 |
| [1.69] | XL. A Stipulation Made During the Preliminary | |
| | Conference Not to Challenge Grounds for | |
| | Divorce is Enforceable | 1-174 |
| [1.70] | XLI. Molestation Clauses as Independent | |
| | Covenants Except Where There is | |
| | Egregious Conduct; Implied Covenant of | |
| | Good Faith | 1-175 |
| [1.71] | XLII. A Breach of Non-interference Clause May | |
| | Not be Used as a Defense in | |
| | Enforcement Proceedings | 1-179 |
| [1.72] | XLIII.N.Y. Equitable Distribution Law | 1-179 |
| [1.73] | A. Effect of Equitable Distribution: Not | |
| | Applicable to Pre-July 19, 1980, | |
| E1 = 43 | Stipulations During Trial | 1-179 |
| [1.74] | B. Specific Waiver of Equitable Distribution | |
| | Not Needed | 1-181 |

| [1.75] | XLIV | | ovisions on Child Custody and itation; Child Support and Spousal | |
|--------|------|-------|--|-------|
| | | | intenance | 1-182 |
| [1.76] | XLV | | L § 236B(3), Statutory Vigilance Over | |
| . , | | | ntractual Spousal Maintenance | 1-183 |
| [1.77] | XLV | | igins and Purpose of a Statute Of | |
| | | | uuds | 1-184 |
| [1.78] | | A. | | |
| | | | Performance Within One Year by Both | |
| | | | Parties | 1-191 |
| [1.79] | | B. | DRL § 236(B)(3) Statutory Requirements. | 1-193 |
| [1.80] | XLV | II.St | atute of Frauds: Affirmative Defenses | |
| | | M | ust be Pleaded Or are Waived | 1-197 |
| [1.81] | XLV | III.A | Acknowledgment Requirements and | |
| | | P | Procedural Formalities of DRL § 236B(3) | 1-198 |
| [1.82] | | A. | General Requirements | 1-198 |
| [1.83] | | B. | Waiver of Right of Election Must Be in | |
| | | | Writing and Acknowledged | 1-199 |
| [1.84] | XLIX | CO. | ntracts in Consideration of Marriage | 1-200 |
| [1.85] | L. | The | e Effect of Integration of Several | |
| | | Do | cuments on Writing Requirement, Statute | |
| | | of I | Frauds | 1-201 |
| [1.86] | | A. | Counterpart Agreements | 1-201 |
| [1.87] | | В. | Multiple Related Agreements by the Same | |
| | | | Parties | 1-204 |
| [1.88] | | C. | Applehead Pictures LLC v. Perelman | 1-207 |
| [1.89] | LI. | Cou | urt Treatment of Oral Agreements | |
| | | | tated Into the Record in Open | |
| | | Co | urt—First and Second Departments | 1-208 |
| [1.90] | | A. | | |
| | | | Court" Refers to Judicial Proceedings | 1-209 |
| [1.91] | | В. | Stipulations of Settlement and Stipulations | |
| | | | of Management of Litigation | 1-216 |
| [1.92] | LII. | Tre | atment of Unacknowledged, On-the-record | |
| | | Ag | reements | 1-216 |
| [1.93] | | A. | The Second Department | 1-216 |
| [1.94] | | В. | The First Department | 1-219 |
| [1.95] | | C. | The Third and Fourth Departments—Strict | |
| | | | Compliance With Formalities Generally Is | |
| | | | Required | 1-222 |
| [1.96] | | D. | Inconsistent Applications of DRL § 236B(3) | |
| | | | in the Third and Fourth Departments | 1-224 |

| [1.97] | | E. | The Importance of <i>Matisoff v. Dobi</i> and | |
|------------------|-------|------|--|--------|
| | | | Its Construction | 1-226 |
| [1.98] | | | 1. <i>Matisoff</i> on Remand | 1-229 |
| [1.99] | | | 2. Other Implications Arising From | |
| _ | | | Matisoff | 1-230 |
| [1.100] | | G. | The Departmental Rift on Validity of Oral | |
| | | | Stipulations Continues After Matisoff | 1-232 |
| [1.101] | | | 1. Second Department | 1-232 |
| [1.102] | | | 2. The First Department Remains Allied | |
| . , | | | With the Second | 1-235 |
| [1.103] | | H. | Questions Raised by Pre-Matisoff Cases in | |
| . , | | | the First Department | 1-236 |
| [1.104] | | I. | The Canons of Statutory Construction | |
| [| | | Support the Third and Fourth Departments | 1-237 |
| [1.105] | | J. | Mandatory, Directory Statutes | 1-240 |
| [1.106] | LIII. | | changed Emails Between Counsel and CPLR | 1 |
| [1.100] | 2111 | |)4 | 1-245 |
| [1.107] | | Α. | | 1-248 |
| [1.108] | | 1 1. | 1. The First Department's Analysis | 1-249 |
| [1.109] | | | 2. <i>Phila</i> .: Settlement Negotiations via | 1 2 17 |
| [1.107] | | | Email Are a "Specific Subcategory of | |
| | | | Emails" Because They Are "Freighted | |
| | | | With Ethical Obligations" | 1-252 |
| [1.110] | | | 3. <i>Phila</i> . Notwithstanding, Settlement | 1-232 |
| [1.110] | | | Offers May Be Challenged on Grounds | |
| | | | of Authentication and the Existence of | |
| | | | Material Terms | 1-253 |
| [1.111] | | B. | Rawald v. Dormitory Auth. of the State | 1-233 |
| [1.111] | | ъ. | of N.Y | 1-253 |
| [1.112] | | C. | Stipulations During the Preliminary | 1-233 |
| [1,11,2] | | C. | Conference, Apparent Authority | 1-254 |
| [1.113] | | D. | Where Counsel's Apparent Authority | 1-234 |
| [1.113] | | υ. | Exceeds the Actual Authority or Where | |
| | | | • | |
| | | | Client | 1-255 |
| Γ1 11 <i>4</i> 1 | | Б | Client | 1-233 |
| [1.114] | | E. | The Impact of No-Fault Divorce on | 1 257 |
| F1 11 5 7 | | Б | Pappas | 1-257 |
| [1.115] | | F. | No Appeal Lies From a Consent Order | |
| | | | or Judgment, Including Consent to Grounds | 1 050 |
| F1 1163 | 1 137 | D: | for Divorce | 1-259 |
| 11.116 | LIV. | D1S | sclosure Before Entering Into Agreements | 1-260 |

| [1.117] | LV. | Oral Stipulations Requiring Subsequent Written | |
|---------|------------|--|-------|
| | | Agreements | 1-261 |
| [1.118] | LVI. | Agreements to Agree, Matters Left for Future | |
| | | Determination | 1-262 |
| [1.119] | LVII. | Agreements to Negotiate; Agreements to | |
| | | Mediate | 1-268 |
| [1.120] | LVIII | .Recitals | 1-270 |
| [1.121] | LIX. | Statement of Intent Is Not Binding; Non- | |
| | | Binding Framework Agreements Dictated Into | |
| | | the Record Are Illusory | 1-272 |
| [1.122] | | A. Oral Agreements Not Reduced to Writings, | |
| | | When Parties Do Not Intend To Be | |
| | | Bound Until Their Agreement Is Reduced | |
| | | to Writing and Signed | 1-275 |
| [1.123] | | B. Agreements Not Binding Where Parties | |
| | | First Require a Signed Agreement; | |
| | | Memorandum of Understanding | 1-276 |
| [1.124] | LX. | Allocution During Settlements, Defense to | |
| | | Action in Legal Malpractice | 1-282 |
| [1.125] | LXI. | | |
| | | Judicata | 1-286 |
| [1.126] | | A. Res Judicata | 1-286 |
| [1.127] | | B. A Stipulation of Dismissal Can Have a | |
| | | Res Judicata Effect | 1-289 |
| [1.128] | LXII. | Contingency Fee Retainers in Matrimonial | |
| | | Actions Are Violative of Public Policy | 1-291 |
| Appendi | ix A | Auten v. Auten | 1-293 |
| Appendi | | General Overview of the Evolution of Divorce | |
| • • | | Law in New York State | 1-301 |
| Appendi | ix C | Common-Law Marriage States | 1-307 |
| Appendi | | States That Have Repealed Common-Law | |
| • • | | Marriage | 1-311 |
| Appendi | ix E | Definitions | 1-315 |
| Appendi | | Selected Case Text | 1-319 |
| rr. | | | |
| Chapter | r 2 | Consideration | |
| [2.0] | I. | Consideration | 2-3 |
| [2.1] | II. | Promise and Consideration Must Each Be the | |
| - | | Motive For the Other | 2-6 |
| [2.2] | III. | Prenuptial Agreements and Postnuptial | |
| - | | Agreements Are Governed Under Ordinary | |
| | | Contract Law | 2-10 |
| | | | |

| [2.3] | IV. | Elements of Consideration | 2-14 |
|--------|-------|--|------|
| [2.4] | V. | The Adequacy and Value of Consideration | 2-17 |
| [2.5] | VI. | Contractual Forbearance From Commencing a | |
| | | Divorce Action | 2-26 |
| [2.6] | | A. Consideration | 2-28 |
| [2.7] | | B. Forbearance for a Reasonable Period of | |
| | | Time | 2-29 |
| [2.8] | | C. Adequacy of Consideration | 2-29 |
| [2.9] | | D. Dissolution of Marriage | 2-31 |
| [2.10] | | E. N.Y. Statutes §§ 177, 240; DRL § | |
| | | 236B(3) | 2-31 |
| [2.11] | | F. Unconscionability and Wrongdoing | 2-33 |
| [2.12] | | G. Kneettle, Hurley and Avco | 2-34 |
| [2.13] | | H. Filstein v. Bromberg | 2-35 |
| [2.14] | | I. Conclusion | 2-36 |
| [2.15] | VII. | Transfer of Title to the Marital | |
| | | Residence During the Marriage | 2-36 |
| [2.16] | VIII. | Moral Obligations and Equitable Obligations | |
| | | as Consideration | 2-36 |
| [2.17] | IX. | Illegal Consideration | 2-38 |
| [2.18] | X. | Love and Affection do Not Constitute | |
| | | Consideration | 2-40 |
| [2.19] | XI. | Consideration Does Not Need Any Particular | |
| | | Terms; It May Be Implied or Inferred | 2-42 |
| [2.20] | XII. | Want of Consideration; Failure of | |
| | | Consideration | 2-44 |
| [2.21] | | A. Want of Consideration | 2-44 |
| [2.22] | | B. Transfer of Custody as Valid Consideration; | |
| | | Failure of Consideration | 2-47 |
| [2.23] | | C. Annulment; Failure of Consideration | 2-48 |
| [2.24] | | D. Want of Consideration and Parol | |
| | | Evidence | 2-49 |
| [2.25] | XIII. | Past Consideration | 2-50 |
| [2.26] | XIV. | Discontinuance of an Action is Valid | |
| | | Consideration | 2-51 |
| [2.27] | XV. | Resumption of Marital Relations, Termination | |
| | | of a Pending Divorce Action | 2-51 |
| [2.28] | XVI. | Modifications, Waivers and Releases | 2-54 |
| [2.29] | XVII | . Option Contracts Require Consideration | 2-60 |
| [2.30] | | I.Conditional Promises as Consideration for | |
| _ | | a Return Promise | 2-60 |

| [2.31] | XIX. | Thir | d Parties Do Not Have Standing to | |
|--------|-------|--------|--|------|
| | | Chal | llenge Agreements Based on Lack of | |
| | | | sideration | 2-62 |
| [2.32] | XX. | | eficiaries of Insurance Policies | 2-64 |
| [2.33] | XXI. | Gua | rantors | 2-64 |
| [2.34] | | | tor and Creditor Law, "Fair | |
| | | | sideration" Versus Common-Law | |
| | | | sideration | 2-65 |
| [2.35] | | | Intrafamily Transfers | 2-67 |
| [2.36] | | | Federal Deposit Insurance Co. v. Malin | 2-69 |
| [2.37] | | | American Surety Co. of New York v. | 2 0) |
| [2.37] | | | Conner | 2-70 |
| [2.38] | | | In re Manshul Construction Corp | 2-70 |
| [2.39] | | | _ | 2-71 |
| | | | Rampello v. Cioffi | 2-12 |
| [2.40] | | | Debtor and Creditor Law, Fair | |
| | | | Consideration, and Criminally Derived | 0.72 |
| FO 417 | 37371 | | Proceeds | 2-73 |
| [2.41] | XXI | | nsideration Is Not Necessary in a | |
| | | | insel Fee Application Where Security Is | |
| | | | ght Under 22 N.Y.C.R.R. § 1400.5 | 2-74 |
| [2.42] | XXI | _ | gagement Rings and the Civil Rights | |
| | | | v: Presumption That Gifts Are in | |
| | | | nsideration of Marriage | 2-76 |
| [2.43] | | _ | agement Ring; Contract to Marry | 2-81 |
| [2.44] | XXV | | conveyance of Title to Real Property | |
| | | | en As an Engagement Gift | 2-82 |
| [2.45] | XXV | II.Wł | hen Ring is Given While One Party | |
| | | Re | mains Married to Another Spouse | 2-85 |
| [2.46] | XXV | III.Eı | ngagement Gifts Other Than a Ring | 2-88 |
| [2.47] | XXI | X.Eng | gagement Gifts From Third Parties | 2-89 |
| [2.48] | XXX | .Sect | ion 80-b Actions following the Death of | |
| | | | Donor | 2-90 |
| Chapte | r 3 | Fail | ure to Read an Agreement | |
| | I. | | ure to Read an Agreement: Imputation | |
| [5.0] | 1. | | nowledge | 3-3 |
| [3.1] | II. | | eracy in the English Language and | 3-3 |
| [3.1] | 11. | | | |
| | | | dness Do Not Mandate Vacatur, the | |
| | | | abled Party Bears the Burden to Have the | |
| | | _ | eement Read to Him; Did Defendant | |
| | | | vent Plaintiff From Reading the | 0.10 |
| | | Agre | eement | 3-12 |

| Chapter 4 | | Acknowledgments | |
|-----------|------|--|------|
| [4.0] | I. | Late-Date Acknowledgments | 4-3 |
| [4.1] | II. | Parallel Scheme in the Estates, Powers & | |
| | | Trusts Law and other Statutes; Mandatory, | |
| | | Directory Statutes | 4-7 |
| [4.2] | III. | Acknowledgment: A Two-Step Procedure | 4-10 |
| [4.3] | | A. Acknowledgment May Take Place | |
| | | Anywhere Within the State | 4-13 |
| [4.4] | | B. Alternate Method of Acknowledgment, by | |
| | | Witnesses | 4-13 |
| [4.5] | IV. | The Role and Purpose of an | |
| | | Acknowledgment | 4-14 |
| [4.6] | V. | Matisoff v. Dobi | 4-18 |
| [4.7] | | A. The Court of Appeals Held That the | |
| | | Procedural Requirements in DRL § | |
| | | 236B(3) Are a Bright Line | 4-18 |
| [4.8] | | B. What Mr. Dobi Could Have Done, | |
| | | Even Mid-Trial, to Salvage the | |
| | | Agreement 13 Years After It Was | |
| | | Signed | 4-22 |
| [4.9] | | C. Court of Appeals Cites Precedent Authority, | |
| | | Which Upheld Late-Date | |
| | | Acknowledgments | 4-24 |
| [4.10] | | D. Decisions Cited in Matisoff v. Dobi | 4-24 |
| [4.11] | VI. | Maul and Warren | 4-25 |
| [4.12] | | A. In re Maul's Estate | 4-26 |
| [4.13] | | B. In re Warren's Estate | 4-27 |
| [4.14] | | C. Warren Illogically Allowed a Late-Date | |
| | | Acknowledgment by Subscribing Witnesses | |
| | | but Prohibited It Directly by a Party | 4-28 |
| [4.15] | | D. Warren's Prohibition Against Involuntary | |
| | | Acknowledgments Cannot Persist | |
| | | Following the Conclusion in <i>Matisoff</i> | 4-29 |
| [4.16] | | E. Matisoff's Bright Line Notwithstanding The | |
| | | Second Department Held that an | |
| | | Unacknowledged Agreement May Be | |
| | | Enforceable by Ratification and Delay | 4-30 |
| [4.17] | VII. | The Court of Appeals has Previously | |
| | | Permitted Late-Date Acknowledgments | 4-30 |
| [4.18] | | A. Other Decisions on Late-Date | |
| | | Acknowledgments Cited in <i>Matisoff v</i> . | |
| | | Dohi | 4-31 |

| [4.19] | B. | The First Department | 4-31 |
|--------|----|--|------|
| [4.20] | | 1. In re Stegman's Estate | 4-31 |
| [4.21] | | 2. Londin v. Londin | 4-32 |
| [4.22] | | 3. Arizin v. Covello | 4-33 |
| [4.23] | | 4. Anonymous v. Anonymous | 4-34 |
| [4.24] | | 5. Application of <i>Saperstein</i> | 4-34 |
| [4.25] | | 6. Schoeman, Marsh & Updike v. Dobi— | |
| | | First Department Summarily Rejects | |
| | | Belated Acknowledgments | 4-35 |
| [4.26] | | 7. Leighton v. Leighton; Kerner-Puritz v. | |
| | | Puritz | 4-37 |
| [4.27] | C. | The Second Department | 4-37 |
| [4.28] | | 1. Pacchiana v. Pacchiana | 4-37 |
| [4.29] | | 2. In re Will of Henken | 4-38 |
| [4.30] | | 3. Detmer v. Detmer | 4-38 |
| [4.31] | | 4. <i>D'Elia v. D'Elia</i> | 4-39 |
| [4.32] | D. | The Third Department | 4-39 |
| [4.33] | | 1. Hurley v. Johnson | 4-39 |
| [4.34] | | 2. In re Estate of Levinson | 4-40 |
| [4.35] | E. | The Fourth Department, Galetta v. Galetta, | |
| | | Filkins v. Filkins | 4-40 |
| [4.36] | | 1. Galetta v. Galetta | 4-40 |
| [4.37] | | a. The Rush to Summary Judgment | |
| | | With No New Elucidations | 4-40 |
| [4.38] | | (1) The Court of Appeals | 4-42 |
| [4.39] | | (2) The "Bade Deliberate, Check | |
| | | Haste, and Foster Reflection" | |
| | | Concern | 4-44 |
| [4.40] | | (3) Who <i>Should</i> Have Standing to | |
| | | Assert an Incomplete | |
| | | Acknowledgment? | 4-45 |
| [4.41] | | (4) "The Acknowledgment [In] DRI | _ |
| | | § 236B Is Onerous and In | |
| | | Some Respects More Exacting | |
| | | Than the Burden When a Deed | |
| 54.407 | | Is Signed" | 4-46 |
| [4.42] | | (5) The Methodology of | |
| | | Acknowledgment by a Subscribi | ng |
| | | Witness Is Unrelated to the | |
| | | Proffering of Evidence of | |
| | | Compliance | 4-46 |

| [4.43] | | (6) The Court Conceded That the | |
|-----------------|-----------|---|------|
| | | Typographical Error Did Not | |
| | | Mean That the Notary Had Not | |
| | | Fully Discharged His Task | 4-49 |
| [4.44] | | (7) Flexible Standard Applied to | |
| | | Opposition to Summary Judgmen | |
| | | Motions | 4-50 |
| [4.45] | | (8) The Court of Appeals Denied | |
| | | Mr. Galetta the Full Opportunity | |
| | | to Establish Evidence of the | |
| | | Notary's Custom and | |
| | | Practice | 4-52 |
| [4.46] | | b. Denying Mr. Galetta the Opportunity | |
| | | to Prove Full Compliance Under | |
| | | These Circumstances Amounted to a | |
| | | Denial of His Due Process | |
| | | Rights | 4-54 |
| [4.47] | | c. Conclusion | 4-55 |
| [4.48] | | 2. In re Koegel | 4-56 |
| [4.49] | | 3. In re Howland | 4-56 |
| [4.50] | | 4. The Court of Appeals Finally Settles | |
| | | the Issues of Contemporaneous and | 4.50 |
| F 4 5 1 3 | | Defective Acknowledgments | 4-58 |
| [4.51] | | a. Anderson v. Anderson | 4-58 |
| [4.52] | | b. Koegel | 4-59 |
| [4.53] | | 5. CPLR 2309(c), Real Property Law | 4.60 |
| F 4 . 5 . 4 . 1 | X / T T T | § 299–a | 4-60 |
| [4.54] | VIII. | Absence of Specific Time Frame in Real | |
| | | Property Law and Canons of Statutory | 1.62 |
| [4.55] | 137 | Construction | 4-63 |
| [4.55] | IX. | A Prenuptial Agreement May Be | 1 (1 |
| [4.56] | 37 | Acknowledged in a Foreign Country | 4-64 |
| [4.56] | X. | The Laws In Effect | 4-67 |
| [4.57] | | A. CPLR 4538 | 4-67 |
| [4.58] | | B. The Legislature Is Presumed to Know the | 1.60 |
| [4.50] | WI | Laws in Effect | 4-68 |
| [4.59] | XI. | Unacknowledged Agreements Remain | |
| | | Enforceable Between the Parties in Other | 1 60 |
| [4 60] | | Actions | 4-68 |
| [4.60] | | A. Ratification of an Improperly Acknowledged Agreement | 4-73 |
| | | ACKHOWIEGPEG APTEEHIEHL | 4-/) |

| [4.61] | XII. | Acknowledgments and Agreements Under | |
|--------|-------|--|------|
| | | Pre-Equitable Distribution Law | 4-73 |
| [4.62] | XIII. | Agreement Acknowledged Using Pre-1997 | |
| | | Amendment Language Held in Substantial | |
| | | Compliance With the Statute | 4-75 |
| Append | ix A | Real Property Law § 309-a | 4-79 |
| Append | ix B | Executive Law § 142-a | 4-81 |
| Chapte | r 5 | Merger and Survival of an Agreement | |
| [5.0] | I. | Merger Versus Survival | 5-3 |
| [5.1] | | A. Lack of Decisional Uniformity When an | |
| | | Agreement Is Nonspecific as to Merger | |
| | | or Survival | 5-4 |
| [5.2] | | B. Second Department | 5-4 |
| [5.3] | | C. Third Department: From Merger to | |
| | | Survival | 5-5 |
| [5.4] | II. | Conflicts Between Settlement Agreements and | |
| | | Subsequent Divorce Judgments | 5-7 |
| [5.5] | III. | Surviving Agreements and Modification of | |
| | | Divorce Decrees | 5-9 |
| [5.6] | IV. | Correction of a Judgment and Post- | |
| . , | | Judgment Agreements | 5-10 |
| [5.7] | V. | Retroactivity of Agreement Incorporated Into | |
| | | Amended Judgment of Divorce | 5-12 |
| [5.8] | VI. | Estoppel By Conduct Where the Judgment | |
| | | Misstates Intent of the Parties | 5-13 |
| Chapte | r 6 | Arbitration | |
| [6.0] | I. | Arbitration—Desirability | 6-3 |
| [6.1] | | A. Arbitration and Mediation Are Now | |
| | | Statutorily Permitted on Saturday and | |
| | | Sunday | 6-11 |
| [6.2] | II. | Narrow Grounds for Vacatur of an Arbitration | |
| | | Award; Arbitration on Sundays | 6-11 |
| [6.3] | III. | Arbitration and Res Judicata | 6-14 |
| [6.4] | IV. | The Ability to Arbitrate Child Support Is | |
| | | Subject to Public Policy | 6-15 |
| [6.5] | | A. Where Unmerged Child Support Provision | |
| - | | Contains Arbitration Provision Family Court | |
| | | Is Deprived Of Jurisdiction, Even If | |
| | | Referred By Supreme Court | 6-16 |

| [6.6] | V. | Agreements to Bring Disputes to Religious | |
|--------|------|---|------|
| | | Tribunals Are Enforceable | 6-16 |
| [6.7] | VI. | Broad Arbitration, Akin to Beth Dins, is | |
| | | Permissible | 6-19 |
| [6.8] | VII. | Express and Unequivocal Language Is | |
| | | Required | 6-19 |
| [6.9] | | A. Conditions to Arbitration | 6-26 |
| [6.10] | | VIII.Arbitration Contemplates a Broad Range of | |
| | | Remedies by the Arbitration Panel; Vacatur | |
| | | of an Arbitration Award; Unconscionability | |
| | | Is Not a Basis for Setting Aside an | |
| | | Arbitration Award | 6-27 |
| [6.11] | IX. | Flexibility of Arbitration, Public Policy, Judicial | |
| | | Role; an Irrational Award | 6-29 |
| [6.12] | Χ. | Agreements With Provisions to Arbitrate | |
| | | and to Litigate | 6-34 |
| [6.13] | XI. | Arbitration May Not Alter the Underlying | |
| | | Agreement | 6-35 |
| [6.14] | | A. Choice of Law in an Arbitration | |
| | | Agreement without More Does Not Include | |
| | | Enforcement of the Agreement | 6-38 |
| [6.15] | XII. | Absolute Right to Counsel During | |
| | | Arbitration Proceeding, Waivers of Right to | |
| | | Counsel | 6-39 |
| [6.16] | | Arbitration and Time-barred Claims | 6-41 |
| [6.17] | XIV. | Child Custody and Visitation Issues May Not | |
| | | Be Arbitrated | 6-42 |
| [6.18] | XV. | Arbitration and Personal Jurisdiction | 6-46 |
| [6.19] | XVI. | Arbitration, Attorney Fees, Punitive | |
| | | Damages | 6-46 |
| [6.20] | XVII | . The Right to Arbitration Is Subject to | |
| | | Modification, Waiver, or Abandonment | 6-48 |
| [6.21] | XVII | I.Standard Retention Language in Divorce | |
| | | Judgment Does Not Invalidate Arbitration | |
| | | Provisions | 6-51 |
| [6.22] | XIX. | Stays of Arbitration Proceedings | 6-52 |
| [6.23] | XX. | Impartiality of a Party Designated Panelist | |
| | | In Tripartite Panels | 6-55 |
| [6.24] | | A. The Appropriate Standard of Conferencing | |
| | | Among Arbitrators | 6-61 |
| [6.25] | | B. Disqualification of an Arbitrator Before | |
| | | an Award Is Rendered | 6-61 |

| [6.26] | | C. Waiver of Right to Object to an Arbitrator | 6-62 |
|--------|------|---|--------|
| Chapt | er 7 | Severability Clauses | |
| [7.0] | I. | Severability Clauses: a Valid Severability | |
| [,,, | | Clause Prevents Failure of Entire | |
| | | Agreement | 7-3 |
| Chapt | er 8 | DRL § 240(1-b)(h) Opt-Out Recitals From Sta | tutory |
| | | Child Support | |
| [8.0] | I. | Lack of Uniform Enforcement of the | |
| | | Recital Requirements in DRL § 240(1-B)(h) | |
| | | in Opt-Out Agreements | 8-3 |
| [8.1] | | A. Public Policy and Purpose | 8-3 |
| [8.2] | | B. Mandatory Recitals Where Support | |
| | | Deviates From the CSSA; Upward | |
| | | Modifications of Child Support | |
| | | Provisions—Nichols v. Nichols | 8-5 |
| [8.3] | II. | Canons of Statutory Construction: Legislative | |
| | | Intent and Statutory Directive as to | |
| | | Performance of an Act in a Specified | |
| | | Manner | 8-10 |
| [8.4] | III. | The Role of the Bright-line Rule Is to | |
| | | Put Parties On Notice | 8-12 |
| [8.5] | IV. | The Absence of Uniformity in the | |
| | | Enforcement of DRL § 240(1-b)(h) in | |
| | | the Second and Third Departments | 8-13 |
| [8.6] | | A. The Second Department | 8-13 |
| [8.7] | | 1. Hearings to Determine "Awareness" of | |
| | | the CSSA | 8-13 |
| [8.8] | | a. Savini v. Burgaleta | 8-15 |
| [8.9] | | b. Burgaleta v. Burgaleta | 8-17 |
| [8.10] | | c. Georgette D.W. v. Gary N.R | 8-18 |
| [8.11] | | d. Castaneda v. Castaneda | 8-18 |
| [8.12] | | e. Express Waivers of Future Child | |
| | | Support and DRL § 240(1-b)(h) | 8-18 |
| [8.13] | | (1) O'Connor v. Curcio and | |
| _ | | Williams v. Chapman | 8-18 |
| [8.14] | | (2) Savini v. Burgaleta | 8-21 |
| [8.15] | | f. Child Support Provisions in the | |
| | | Savini Divorce Judgment Were Also | |
| | | Invalid | 8-22 |

| [8.16] | | | | g. Jefferson v. Jefferson Correctly | |
|--------|----|-----|------|--------------------------------------|------|
| | | | | Determined the Method of | |
| | | | | Calculating Child Support De | |
| | | | | Novo When the Agreement Violates | |
| | | | | § 240(1-b)(h) | 8-24 |
| [8.17] | | | | h. Luisi v. Luisi | 8-25 |
| [8.18] | | | | i. Schaller v. Schaller | 8-26 |
| [8.19] | | | | j. McDermott v. McDermott | 8-27 |
| [8.20] | | | | k. Victorio v. McBratney | 8-28 |
| [8.21] | | | | 1. Nordgren v. Nordgren | 8-28 |
| [8.22] | | | | m. Calian v. Calian | 8-29 |
| [8.23] | | | 2. | DRL § 240(1-b)(h): Affirmance by | |
| | | | | Judicial Estoppel? | 8-29 |
| [8.24] | | | | a. Warnecke v. Warnecke | 8-29 |
| [8.25] | | | | b. Barany v. Barany | 8-30 |
| [8.26] | | | 3. | COLA Clauses and DRL § 240 | |
| | | | | (1-b)(h) | 8-31 |
| [8.27] | | В. | Th | e Third Department | 8-32 |
| [8.28] | | | 1. | | |
| | | | | Agreements in the Third Department | 8-32 |
| [8.29] | | | 2. | McKenna v. McKenna | 8-33 |
| [8.30] | | | 3. | Young v. Young | 8-34 |
| [8.31] | | | 4. | Harbour v. Harbour | 8-36 |
| [8.32] | | | 5. | Clark v. Liska | 8-37 |
| [8.33] | | | 6. | McColl v. McColl | 8-39 |
| [8.34] | | | 7. | Du Bois v. Swisher | 8-40 |
| [8.35] | | | 8. | | 8-41 |
| [8.36] | | | 9. | 2 | 8-43 |
| [8.37] | | | 10. | Usenza v. Swift, De Novo Hearing for | |
| | | | | Failure to Recite the Statutory | |
| | | | | "Catechism" | 8-44 |
| [8.38] | | C. | | mily Court's Implied Authority to | |
| | | | | termine an Agreement's Validity | 8-46 |
| [8.39] | | D. | | mmary of the Second and Third | |
| | | | | partments | 8-48 |
| [8.40] | V. | | | Sua Sponte Affirmative Relief, Not | |
| | | | | ted By Either Party, Violated Due | |
| | | | | and Subject Matter Jurisdiction, | |
| | | | | ing Any Relief Void and | 0.40 |
| | | Une | enfo | rceable | 8-48 |

| [8.41] | VI. | In Addition to Being Jurisdictionally | |
|-----------|-------|---|------|
| | | Defective, an Invalid Agreement Constitutes | |
| | | a Per Se Failed Burden of Proof | 8-51 |
| [8.42] | VII. | Alternate Methods of Establishing Awareness | |
| . , | | of the CSSA | 8-54 |
| [8.43] | | A. The Fourth Department | 8-54 |
| [8.44] | | B. The First Department | 8-55 |
| [8.45] | | C. The Second Department: Showing the | |
| . , | | Parties the CSSA Chart and the Payee's | |
| | | Concession of Distribution of Marital | |
| | | Assets | 8-56 |
| [8.46] | | D. The Judgment of Divorce Does Not Need | |
| . , | | to Explicitly Set Forth The Statutory Opt- | |
| | | Out Provisions, Only the Agreement Must | |
| | | Itemize Them | 8-56 |
| [8.47] | VIII. | Child Support Provisions In Compliance | |
| [] | | With CSSA Do Not Require Recitals | 8-57 |
| [8.48] | IX. | Where an Agreement Stipulates to Court- | |
| [- · ·] | | Awarded Child Support Following a Trial | 8-58 |
| [8.49] | X. | Compliance With § 240(1-b)(h) When | |
| L 3 | | Child Support Deviates Upward From the | |
| | | Guidelines | 8-59 |
| [8.50] | XI. | Preserving Arguments of Noncompliance for | |
| . , | | Appeal | 8-59 |
| [8.51] | XII. | Formulaic Recitation of DRL § 240(1-b)(h) | |
| | | Is Not Key; It Is the Substance of | |
| | | the Statute That Must Be Captured | 8-60 |
| [8.52] | XIII. | - | |
| | | Out in the Agreement | 8-63 |
| [8.53] | XIV. | A Stipulation Must Deduct Maintenance or | |
| L J | | Set Forth the Reason Therefor | 8-64 |
| [8.54] | XV. | An Inaccurate Statement Does Not | |
| . , | | Disqualify the Child Support Provision | 8-64 |
| [8.55] | XVI. | Noncomplying Child Support Provisions Do | |
| L J | | Not Vitiate the Entire Settlement | |
| | | Agreement | 8-65 |
| [8.56] | XVII | . Child Care and Medical Care Add-Ons | |
| | | Fall Under DRL § 240(1-b)(h) | 8-67 |
| | | | |

| [8.57] | | A. The Educational Add-On is Treated Differently From Health and Child Care | |
|---------|------|---|---|
| | | In That It Is Not Mandatory but Rather | |
| | | Is Subject to the Court's Discretion; | |
| | | Failure to Comply With DRL § 240 | |
| | | (1-b)(h) Does Not Automatically Vitiate | |
| | | the Education Provisions in an Agreement, | |
| | | Education Expenses Are Not Directly | |
| | | Connected to the Basic Child Support | |
| | | Calculation 8-6 | 9 |
| [8.58] | | B. An Invalid Add-On Does Not Vitiate the | |
| | | Entire Child Support Provision 8-7 | 4 |
| [8.59] | | C. College Tuition Versus College Expenses, | |
| | | Room and Board, Basic Child Support; | |
| | | the Absence of a Valid Opt-out Provision | |
| | | Does Not Invalidate the Support Provisions | |
| | | which Address Educational Expenses, | |
| | | Religious Expenses, and Extracurricular | |
| | | Activities 8-7 | 5 |
| [8.60] | XVII | I.When the Reason for the Deviation No | |
| | | Longer Exists 8-7 | 5 |
| [8.61] | XIX. | Continuation of Child Support Beyond | |
| | | Statutory Period 8-7 | 6 |
| [8.62] | | A. Continuation of Support Beyond Death of | |
| | | Supporting Parent 8-7 | 6 |
| [8.63] | | B. Continuation of Support Beyond the Age | |
| | | of 21 Years 8-7 | 7 |
| [8.64] | | C. Payment of College-Related Expenses 8-7 | 7 |
| [8.65] | XX. | Availability of Upward Modification of | |
| | | Contractual Child Support 8-7 | |
| Appendi | ix | More Cases Pertaining to DRL § 240(1-b)(h) 8-8 | 1 |
| Chapter | r 9 | Modification of Contractual Child Support | |
| [9.0] | I. | Upward Modification of Contractual Child | |
| | | Support; Burden of Proof | , |
| [9.1] | II. | Upward Modification in Face of a Surviving | |
| | | Agreement 9-3 | , |
| [9.2] | | A. Boden v. Boden 9-5 | , |
| [9.3] | | B. Proposition in <i>Boden</i> 9-7 | , |
| [9.4] | III. | Brescia v. Fitts | , |
| [9.5] | | A. Upward Modifications of Child Support 9-7 | , |
| | | | |

| [9.6] | | B. The "Unreasonable and Unanticipated Standard" Applies Only to Upward Modifications and Not to Downward | |
|---------|-------|---|------|
| | | Modifications | 9-14 |
| [9.7] | IV. | 2010 Legislation | 9-20 |
| [9.8] | V. | Applicable Standard Where Child Support | |
| | | Provision Is Set Forth in the Judgment | |
| | | But Not the Agreement | 9-24 |
| [9.9] | VI. | Burden of Proof | 9-24 |
| [9.10] | VII. | "Parties May Stipulate to The Standards | |
| | | to Apply In Modification Proceedings" | 9-24 |
| [9.11] | VIII. | The Factors For an Upward Modification | |
| | | of Child Support Derived From Order or | |
| | | Judgment | 9-28 |
| [9.12] | IX. | When Agreement Is Silent Re: Payment | |
| | | For Private and College Education | 9-28 |
| [9.13] | X. | Amendments to the Drl and the FCA | |
| | | Confirm Unavailability of Downward | |
| | | Modification Of Child Support in Face | |
| | | of Surviving Agreement Unless Otherwise | |
| | | Provided in Agreement | 9-29 |
| [9.14] | | A. Erroneous Argument re: Contiguity of First | |
| | | Two Sentences in DRL § 236B(9)(b) | 9-30 |
| [9.15] | | B. Courts May Not Amend a Statute by | |
| | | Inserting Words That Are Omitted by the | |
| | | Legislature | 9-31 |
| [9.16] | | C. The Payor's Remedy | 9-33 |
| [9.17] | XI. | When Eventuality of Termination of Child | |
| | | Support Is Not Included In the Agreement, | |
| | | Early Case Law Strictly Upholds Contractual | |
| | | Rights | 9-34 |
| [9.18] | XII. | A Child's Right to Support Supersedes | |
| | | the Contractual Rights of Parents | 9-36 |
| [9.19] | XIII. | Waiver of Child Support in <i>Dox V</i> . | |
| | | Tynon: Express Waiver of Child Support; | |
| | | Implied Waiver is Forbidden | 9-42 |
| Chapter | r 10 | Modification of Contractual Spousal Maintena | ance |
| [10.0] | I. | Modification of Contractual Spousal | |
| | | Maintenance: Showing of Extreme Hardship | |
| | | If Judgment Incorporates Surviving | |
| | | Agreement | 10-3 |

| [10.1] | II. | Contractual Maintenance When Spouse Is In Danger of Becoming a Public Charge | 10-4 |
|---------|-------|--|-------|
| [10.2] | III. | Public Charge, Fair and Reasonable At Time of Making of the Agreement and | 10-4 |
| | | Not Unconscionable At Time of Entry of | |
| | | Final Judgment | 10-5 |
| [10.3] | IV. | Standard of Modification When Supreme | |
| | | Court Judgment Is Presented in Family | |
| | | Court | 10-6 |
| Chapte | r 11 | Custody, Parenting Time, Religious Upbringin | ıg, |
| | | Artificial Insemination, Name Changes | |
| [11.0] | I. | Child Custody and Parenting Time | |
| | | Provisions | 11-3 |
| [11.1] | II. | Standing in Custody and Visitation May | |
| | | Not Be Stipulated Away | 11-5 |
| [11.2] | III. | Addressing Specific Custody and Access Issues, | |
| | | Radius Clauses | 11-6 |
| [11.3] | IV. | Radius Clause, Joint Custody Agreement | |
| | | Implies Radius Clause to Prevent | |
| | | Relocation | 11-7 |
| [11.4] | V. | Agreements Regarding Upbringing Are | |
| | | Enforceable | 11-9 |
| [11.5] | VI. | Custodial Parent Generally Decides Religious | |
| | | Upbringing Of Children | 11-12 |
| [11.6] | VII. | Burden of Proof | 11-15 |
| [11.7] | VIII. | Custodial Agreements Entitled to Less | |
| 544.03 | | Weight Than Judicial Determinations | 11-15 |
| [11.8] | IX. | Breach Of Trust in a Post-Stipulation | 11 10 |
| [11.0] | v | Custody Case | 11-19 |
| [11.9] | X. | Agreements to Artificial Insemination | 11-19 |
| [11.10] | XI. | Agreements to Dispose of "Stored Pre- | 11.20 |
| Г11 111 | VII | Embryos" Are Specifically Enforceable | 11-20 |
| [11.11] | AII. | Name Changes, Hyphenated Names | 11-23 |
| Chapte | | Pensions and QDROs | |
| [12.0] | I. | Pension Benefits and Death Benefits are | |
| | | Distinct: Federal Law Preemption | 12-3 |
| [12.1] | II. | Benefits, Election of Benefits, Per the | |
| | | Agreement Only | 12-13 |

| [12.2] | III. | Waivers of Annuities in Separation or Settlement Agreements Must Be Included in a | |
|------------------|-------------|--|----------------|
| | | QDRO | 12-24 |
| [12.3] | | A. In re Christie | 12-25 |
| [12.4] | IV. | Pension Waivers in Prenuptial Agreements | 12-26 |
| [12.5] | V. | Strong v. Dubin: Richards Reversed, Waiver of Rights to Pension Versus Waiver of | |
| | | Rights to Joint and Survivor Benefits | 12-29 |
| [12.6] | VI. | Strong's Reference to Out-of-State Law | 12-31 |
| [12.7] | VII. | Waiver of a 401(K) Savings Plan, Plan Must Be Identified | 12-35 |
| [12.8] | VIII. | Provision to Designate Irrevocable | |
| | | Beneficiary | 12-35 |
| [12.9] | IX. | Variable Supplement Fund (VSF) Benefits | 12-35 |
| Chapte | r 13 | Death, Settlement Agreements, and Property | |
| [12.0] | т | Distribution Letter dustrian | 12.2 |
| [13.0] | I. | Introduction | 13-3 13-4 |
| [13.1] | II. III. | In Re Estate Of Violi | |
| [13.2] | III. IV. | In re Barabash | 13-7 |
| [13.3] | | Passmore v. King | 13-10 |
| [13.4] | V. VI. | In Re Maguire's Estate | 13-12 |
| [13.5] | V 1. | The Third Department | 13-13 |
| [13.6] | | A. Brower v. Brower B. In re Estate of Shatraw | 13-13 13-14 |
| [13.7] [13.8] | | B. In re Estate of Shatraw | 13-14 |
| [13.6] | | | 13-14 |
| [13.9] | VII. | Tacitly Abandon <i>Schiller</i> The First Department: <i>Beudert-Richard v</i> . | 13-14 |
| [13.7] | ۷ 11 . | Richard | 13-15 |
| [13.10] | VIII | Missed Opportunities to Apply Established | 13-13 |
| [13.10] | V 111. | Principles of Contract Interpretation In <i>Violi</i> | |
| | | and Its Progeny | 13-17 |
| [13.11] | IY | Interspousal Transfer of Title to Marital | 13-17 |
| [13.11] | 171. | Residence Does Not Determine Property as | |
| | | Separate or Marital | 13-20 |
| [13.12] | X. | Remarriage By Both Parties Following an | |
| . , | | Invalid Foreign Divorce Converts Tenancy- | |
| | | by-the-entirety Into Tenancy-in- Common | 13-20 |
| [13.13] | XI. | Agreements to Gift or Bequeath | 13-21 |
| [13.14] | | Death of a Spouse Following Execution of | |
| | | an Agreement Without Disclosing the Terminal | |
| | | Illness During the Negotiations | 13-23 |

| Chapter 14 | | Agreements to Pay Spousal Maintenance After Remarriage and Death | | | | |
|------------------|------|---|------------|--|--|--|
| [14.0] | I. | Spousal Maintenance—Determining Appropriate | | | | |
| [14.0] | 1. | Type, Amount, and Duration of | , | | | |
| | | Maintenance | 14-3 | | | |
| [14.1] | II. | The 2015 Maintenance Act | 14-4 | | | |
| [14.2] | III. | Clauses And Conditions | 14-5 | | | |
| [14.2] | 111. | A. Cohabitation Clauses | 14-5 | | | |
| [14.4] | | B. Parties May Condition the Termination | 14-3 | | | |
| [17.7] | | of Support Upon Any Event | 14-5 | | | |
| [14.5] | | C. Undefined Generic Term "Cohabitation"; | 14-3 | | | |
| [14.5] | | No "Financial Unity" Required | 14-8 | | | |
| [14.6] | | D. Separate Residences and the Economics | 14-0 | | | |
| [14.0] | | of Cohabitation | 14-10 | | | |
| [14.7] | | 1. Graev v. Graev | 14-10 | | | |
| [14.7] | | a. The Appellate Division | 14-12 | | | |
| [14.9] | | b. The Dissent | 14-12 | | | |
| [14.10] | | c. Unanswered Questions in the Majorit | | | | |
| [14.10] | | • | у 14-16 | | | |
| [1/ 11] | | Opinion | 14-10 | | | |
| [14.11] | | 2. The Court of Appeals Splits on <i>Graev</i> but Holds That Cohabitation Does Not | | | | |
| | | Mean Economic Unity | 14-19 | | | |
| [14.12] | | 3. Fosina v. Fosina | 14-19 | | | |
| [14.12] | | 4. Vega v. Papaleo | 14-23 | | | |
| [14.14] | | 5. Fecteau v. Fecteau | 14-23 | | | |
| [14.14] | IV. | "Until the Commencement of an Action" | 14-24 | | | |
| [14.15] | | | 14-20 | | | |
| [14.10] | ٧. | Spousal Maintenance After Remarriage of | | | | |
| | | the Payee; General Rule of Termination | | | | |
| | | of Spousal Support On Either Party's | | | | |
| | | Death or Remarriage; Agreements to Pay | 14-27 | | | |
| [14 1 7] | VI | Maintenance After Remarriage | 14-27 | | | |
| [14.17] | V 1. | Continuation of Support After Payor's Death | 14 22 | | | |
| Γ1 <i>I</i> 101 | | | 14-33 | | | |
| [14.18] | | A. Earlier Death of Payor Where Agreement | | | | |
| | | Provides Maintenance Only Until Death or | 14 25 | | | |
| [14 10] | | Remarriage of Payee Spouse | 14-35 | | | |
| [14.19] | | B. Cohen v. Cronin | 14-35 | | | |
| [14.20] | | C. In re Estate of Riconda | 14-37 | | | |
| [14.21] | | D. Payments: Support or Property Distribution | 14-41 | | | |
| [14.22] | | E. Entry of Judgment of Divorce after Death | 1 4 42 | | | |
| | | of a Party | 14-42 | | | |

| [14.23] | VII. | Burden of Proof Rests Upon the | 14.42 |
|------------|--------------|---|----------------|
| [14 24] | 37777 | Claimant | 14-43 |
| [14.24] | | Mutual Releases of Maintenance | 14-44 |
| [14.25] | | Estates, Powers & Trusts Law 5-1.4 | 14-44 |
| Appendi | IX A | Cases Where Maintenance Payments Continued | 14-47 |
| Appendi | v D | After Remarriage | 14-47 14-51 |
| Appendi | X D | EFTL 3-1.4 | 14-31 |
| Chapter | · 15 | Third Parties and Third-Party Beneficiaries | |
| [15.0] | I. | Spouse May Mortgage Interest in Property | |
| 3 | | Held as Tenancy By the Entirety; a | |
| | | Nonparty Lacks Standing to Challenge an | |
| | | Agreement to Which He Was Not a | |
| | | Party and May Not Challenge the | |
| | | Mortgage | 15-3 |
| [15.1] | II. | Enforcement of Support-Related Provisions | |
| | | By Third-party Beneficiaries; Recognition of | |
| | | Third-party Beneficiaries; Intended and | |
| | | Incidental Beneficiaries | 15-6 |
| [15.2] | III. | Children Generally Lack Standing to Enforce | |
| | | Postnuptial Support Provisions | 15-11 |
| [15.3] | IV. | Children and Former Spouses As Third- | |
| | | party Beneficiaries of Life Insurance | 15-13 |
| [15.4] | V. | Children As Third-Party Beneficiaries For | |
| | | Education Costs | 15-14 |
| [15.5] | VI. | Third-Party Beneficiary Annuities Distribution | |
| | | to Former Spouse; Third-Party Beneficiary | |
| | | Status Does Not Impose Fiduciary Duty | |
| | | Upon the Third Party | 15-19 |
| [15.6] | VII. | Repayment of Debt to a Third Party | |
| | | Pursuant to Separation Agreement | 15-20 |
| [15.7] | VIII. | Third-Party Beneficiary, Incidental Beneficiary | 15-20 |
| [15.8] | IX. | Subsequent Superseding Agreements | 15-21 |
| C 1 | 4.0 | | |
| Chapter | r 16 | Acceleration Clauses, Confidentiality Agreeme | |
| | | Consequential Damages, Lost Profits, and Con | tractuai |
| F1 C O1 | т | Interest Rates | 16.2 |
| [16.0] | I. | Acceleration Clauses | 16-3 |
| [16.1] | II. | Consequential Demograph Lost Profits, Unyound | 16-4 |
| [16.2] | III. | Consequential Damages, Lost Profits, Unusual | 16.0 |
| | | Extraordinary Damages | 16-8 |

| [16.3] | IV. | Statutory Interest Rates, CPLR 5001, DRL § 244 | 16-10 |
|--|-----------|--|---|
| [16.4] | V. | The Phrase "Until the Principal Shall Be | |
| . , | | Paid" Locks in the Contractual Higher | |
| | | Interest Rate and Supersedes CPLR 5001 | |
| | | in the Event of a Default | 16-12 |
| Chapte | r 17 | Liquidated Damage Clauses | |
| [17.0] | I. | Liquidated Damage Clauses, Anticipated | |
| | | Damages, Penalty, Compelling Compliance | 17-3 |
| [17.1] | II. | Liquidated Damage Clause in a Retainer | |
| | | Agreement, Nonrefundable Fees and | |
| | | Minimum Fees, 22 N.Y.C.R.R. § 1400.4, | |
| | | Nonrefundable Retainer Fee | 17-16 |
| [17.2] | III. | Specific Performance, Liquidated Damages | |
| . , | | Clause or Penalty For Failure to | |
| | | Participate In Religious Divorce; Uncertain | |
| | | and Unascertainable Damages | 17-17 |
| [17.3] | IV. | Date As of When Damages Are Calculated | 17-21 |
| Chapte | r 18 | Oral Agreements, Part Performance, Oral | |
| | | Modification of Agreements | |
| | | | |
| [18.0] | I. | | 18-3 |
| [18.0] [18.1] | I. II. | GenerallyOral Modification of Written Separation or | 18-3 |
| | | Generally | 18-3 18-3 |
| [18.1] | | Generally Oral Modification of Written Separation or | |
| | | Generally Oral Modification of Written Separation or Settlement Agreements; Partial Performance | |
| [18.1] | | Generally | |
| [18.1] | | Generally | 18-3 |
| [18.1] [18.2] | | Generally | 18-3 |
| [18.1] [18.2] [18.3] | | Generally | 18-3 18-5 |
| [18.1] [18.2] [18.3] [18.4] | | Generally | 18-3 18-5 18-7 |
| [18.1] [18.2] [18.3] | | Generally | 18-3 18-5 18-7 |
| [18.1] [18.2] [18.3] [18.4] [18.5] | | Generally | 18-3 18-5 18-7 18-8 |
| [18.1] [18.2] [18.3] [18.4] | | Generally | 18-3 18-5 18-7 18-8 18-15 |
| [18.1] [18.2] [18.3] [18.4] [18.5] [18.6] | | Generally | 18-3 18-5 18-7 18-8 |
| [18.1] [18.2] [18.3] [18.4] [18.5] [18.6] [18.7] | | Generally | 18-3 18-5 18-7 18-8 18-15 |
| [18.1] [18.2] [18.3] [18.4] [18.5] [18.6] | | Generally | 18-3 18-5 18-7 18-8 18-15 |
| [18.1] [18.2] [18.3] [18.4] [18.5] [18.6] [18.7] | | Generally | 18-3 18-5 18-7 18-8 18-15 18-16 18-26 |

| Chapte | r 19 | Options | |
|--------|-------|--|--------|
| [19.0] | I. | Option Contracts May Not Be Judicially | |
| | | Altered | 19-3 |
| [19.1] | II. | Right of First Refusal | 19-6 |
| Chapte | er 20 | Specific Performance | |
| [20.0] | I. | Specific Performance | 20-3 |
| [20.1] | | A. Specific Performance to Sell Property | |
| | | Not Owned at the Time of Agreement | |
| | | but Later Acquired | 20-5 |
| [20.2] | | B. Specific Performance by Decedent's | |
| | | Estate | 20-6 |
| [20.3] | | C. Specific Performance to Participate in a | |
| | | Religious Divorce Proceeding | 20-6 |
| [20.4] | II. | Enforcement of a Pension Provision Is By | |
| | | QDRO, Not Specific Performance | 20-9 |
| [20.5] | III. | Time Within Which To Perform—"Time-Is-of- | |
| | | the-Essence" Clause | 20-10 |
| Chapte | r 21 | Reconciliation and Repudiation of Agreements | S |
| [21.0] | I. | Reconciliation or Repudiation of an | |
| | | Agreement | 21-3 |
| [21.1] | II. | Repudiation, Mutual Rescission, and Abrogation | |
| | | of Agreement | 21-12 |
| [21.2] | | A. Repudiation by Litigation | 21-15 |
| [21.3] | | B. Repudiation by Self-Help | 21-16 |
| [21.4] | | C. Anticipatory Breach (Anticipatory | |
| | | Repudiation) | 21-16 |
| [21.5] | | D. The Nonrepudiating Party Must Still Show | |
| | | Its Ability, Readiness and Willingness to | |
| | | Perform | 21-20 |
| [21.6] | III. | Rejection and Counteroffer | 21-23 |
| Chapte | er 22 | Accord and Satisfaction, Substitution of Agree | ements |
| [22.0] | I. | Finality of Agreements—Subsequent | |
| | | Agreements | 22-3 |
| [22.1] | II. | Satisfaction of Judgment Extinguishes the | |
| | | Judgment, No Appeal to Vacate the Judgment | |
| | | May Be Had | 22-4 |
| [22.2] | III. | Accord and Satisfaction: Concept Explained | 22-5 |
| [22.3] | IV. | The Existence of a Genuine Controversy Is a | |
| | | Critical Component of Accord and | |
| | | Satisfaction | 22-10 |

| [22.4] | | A. Chappelow v. Savastano | 22-11 |
|----------------------------|-------------|--|---------------------------------------|
| [22.5] | | B. Landau v. Ostrowe | 22-13 |
| [22.6] | V. | Burden of Proof | 22-13 |
| [22.7] | VI. | Substituted Agreement or Novation | 22-13 |
| [22.8] | | A. Novation as Distinguished From Accord | |
| | | and Satisfaction | 22-16 |
| [22.9] | | B. Novation as Exception to Parol Evidence | 22-17 |
| [22.10] | VII. | Acceptance of Payment Conditions Imposed | |
| | | By Payor | 22-17 |
| [22.11] | VIII. | Executory Accord | 22-17 |
| Chapte | r 23 | Releases, Indemnification, and Exculpatory Cl | 211505 |
| [23.0] | I. | Releases | 23-3 |
| [23.1] | II. | Releases and Fraud | 23-11 |
| [23.1] | III. | Releases and Mutual Mistake | 23-11 |
| | III. IV. | Burden of Proof to Vacate a Release | 23-11 |
| [23.3] | | | 23-12 |
| [23.4] | V. | Indemnification, Exculpatory Clauses in Marital | 22 12 |
| | | Agreements—Gottlieb v. Such | 23-12 |
| Chapter 24 | | Unconscionability: Procedural Unconscionabil | itv and |
| • | | Substantive Unconscionability | • |
| [24.0] | I. | Unconscionability: Christian v. Christian | 24-3 |
| [24.1] | | A. Public Policy Favors Upholding | |
| | | Agreements | 24-3 |
| [24.2] | | 1. Facts in <i>Christian</i> | 24-6 |
| [24.3] | | 2. Manifest Unfairness v. | |
| | | TT 1.11. | |
| [24.4] | | Unconscionability | 24-10 |
| | | Unconscionability | 24-10 |
| | | 3. Termination of the Fiduciary | 24-1024-13 |
| [24.5] | | 3. Termination of the Fiduciary Relationship | |
| [24.5] | | 3. Termination of the Fiduciary Relationship4. An Imbalanced Agreement Standing | |
| [24.5] | | 3. Termination of the Fiduciary Relationship | |
| [24.5] | | Termination of the Fiduciary Relationship | 24-13 |
| [24.6] | | Termination of the Fiduciary Relationship | 24-1324-13 |
| [24.6] [24.7] | | Termination of the Fiduciary Relationship | 24-13 24-13 24-21 |
| [24.6] | | Termination of the Fiduciary Relationship | 24-13 24-13 24-21 |
| [24.6] [24.7] [24.8] | | Termination of the Fiduciary Relationship | 24-13 24-13 24-21 24-22 |
| [24.6] [24.7] | | Termination of the Fiduciary Relationship | 24-13 24-13 24-21 24-22 |
| [24.6] [24.7] [24.8] | | Termination of the Fiduciary Relationship | 24-13 24-13 24-21 24-22 |
| [24.6] [24.7] [24.8] | | Termination of the Fiduciary Relationship | 24-13 24-13 24-21 24-22 |
| [24.6] [24.7] [24.8] | | Termination of the Fiduciary Relationship | 24-13 24-13 24-21 24-22 |

| [24.10] | | 2. The Standard of Manifest Unfairness: "The Nature and Magnitude of the | |
|---------|------------|--|--------------|
| | | Rights Waived" | 24-27 |
| [24.11] | | 3. As of When Manifest Unfairness Is | , |
| . , | | Measured | 24-27 |
| [24.12] | | 4. Voluntary Assumption of Extremely | |
| | | Onerous Agreements | 24-28 |
| [24.13] | | C. Wrongdoing in the Execution of the | |
| | | Agreement is the Primary Focus of an | |
| | | Agreement Irrespective How Onerous its | |
| | | Terms | 24-30 |
| [24.14] | II. | Substantive and Procedural | |
| | | Unconscionability | 24-36 |
| [24.15] | III. | Bloomfield v. Bloomfield: Court of Appeals | |
| | | Invited The Wife to Pursue a Claim of | |
| | | Unconscionability | 24-40 |
| [24.16] | IV. | Substantive Unconscionability One Party | |
| | | Receives Everything or Almost Everything, | |
| | | Public Charge | 24-42 |
| [24.17] | V. | Hardenburgh and Turk | 24-49 |
| [24.18] | | A. DRL § 236B(3)(3) Only Reviews | |
| | | Unconscionability as to Spousal Maintenance | e |
| | | at the Time of Entry of Final Judgment, | |
| | | the Statute Does Not Review | |
| | | Unconscionability as to Property Distribution | ı; |
| | | Waiver of Maintenance alone Does Not | |
| | | Render an Agreement Unconscionable, | |
| | | Hearing Required; An Agreement that May | |
| | | Not Have Been Unconscionable when | |
| | | Entered into May Become Unconscionable | |
| | | at the Time a Final Judgment Would Be | |
| | | Entered | 24-51 |
| [24.19] | VI. | Burden of Proof | 24-55 |
| [24.20] | | Remittal For a Hearing To Set Aside | |
| | | Financial Provisions of an Agreement Does | |
| | | Not Require Reversal of the Judgment of | |
| | | Divorce | 24-57 |
| Cha4 | 2 <i>E</i> | Eldusiams Dalationakin - Assess - Course | CC: 1 |
| Chapte | г 25 | Fiduciary Relationships Among Spouses and A Couples | manced |
| [25.0] | I. | Fiduciary Relationship Between Spouses— | |
| [23.0] | 1. | Origin of Fiduciary Duty | 25-3 |
| | | Origin of Pluuciary Duty | ∠J-J |

| [25.1] | II. | Interspousal Dealings Require Utmost Good Faith, Confidential Relationship | 25-5 |
|---------|-------|--|-------|
| [25.2] | III. | Duties Arising From a Fiduciary Relationship: | 25-5 |
| [23.2] | 111. | Conflict Between Instinct of the Self and | |
| | | Noble Conduct | 25-14 |
| [25 2] | IV. | | 23-14 |
| [25.3] | 1 V . | Termination of the Fiduciary Relationship When Parties Become Adversaries | 25-19 |
| [25 4] | 17 | | |
| [25.4] | V. | Disclosure By the Fiduciary | 25-20 |
| [25.5] | VI. | Elements of a Cause of Action For | 25.22 |
| 50.7.63 | | Breach of Fiduciary Duty | 25-23 |
| [25.6] | VII. | Statute of Limitations | 25-24 |
| Chapte | er 26 | Conversion Divorces | |
| [26.0] | I. | Conversion Divorce: Importance of Parties | |
| | | Living Separate and Apart | 26-3 |
| [26.1] | II. | Substantial Compliance With the Terms of the | |
| [] | | Agreement Does Not Mean Absolute | |
| | | Compliance, Although It Must Relate to the | |
| | | Essential Duties | 26-7 |
| [26.2] | III. | Does a Parenting Plan Constitute a "written | 20-7 |
| [20.2] | 111. | Agreement of Separation" Under DRL | |
| | | - | 26-11 |
| | | § 170(6)? | 20-11 |
| Chapte | er 27 | Ambiguous Agreements | |
| [27.0] | I. | Contract Doctrine, the Common Law, and | |
| | | Statutory Law | 27-3 |
| [27.1] | II. | Interpretation and Construction of an Agreement | |
| | | Distinguished | 27-5 |
| [27.2] | III. | Doctrine of Definiteness | 27-6 |
| [27.3] | | A. Intent, Clarity and Predictability | 27-8 |
| [27.4] | | B. Imperfectly Expressed and Unexpressed | |
| [-//.] | | Promises | 27-12 |
| [27.5] | | C. To Prevent Litigation over the Intent of | 2, 12 |
| [27.3] | | an Agreement the Court of Appeals | |
| | | - | 27-14 |
| [27.6] | | Recommends Using Form Clauses | 27-14 |
| [27.6] | | D. A Forged Signature Renders a Contract | |
| | | Void Ab Initio, there Can Be No | 27.14 |
| | | Meeting of the Minds | 27-14 |
| [27.7] | | E. Meeting of the Minds, Actual | |
| | | Acceptance | 27-15 |
| [27.8] | | F. Ambiguity, Reasonably Susceptible of | |
| | | Only One Meaning | 27-15 |

| [27.9] | | 1. SERP Provision Ambiguous | 27-21 |
|---------|-------|--|-------|
| [27.10] | | 2. 401(k) Provision Also Ambiguous | 27-22 |
| [27.11] | | G. The Definite Article "The" | 27-23 |
| [27.12] | IV. | The Best Evidence of Intention of Parties | |
| | | In an Unambiguous Agreement Derives | |
| | | From the Four Corners of the Agreement | 27-24 |
| [27.13] | V. | Threshold Determination of Ambiguity and | |
| | | Construction of Ambiguous Agreements Are | |
| | | Issues of Law For the Court; the | |
| | | Interpretation and Construction of an | |
| | | Ambiguous Contract is a Mixed Question of | |
| | | Law and Fact; Ambiguous Provisions Require | |
| | | a Hearing | 27-32 |
| [27.14] | VI. | The Phrase "The Children Shall Continue | |
| | | To Attend School Within [a Specific] | |
| | | School District" May Be Ambiguous | |
| | | Because It Does Not Necessarily Mean | |
| | | That They Must Attend a <i>Public</i> School | |
| | | In That District Rather Than Be Home | |
| | | Schooled | 27-37 |
| [27.15] | VII. | Extrinsic Evidence and Parol Evidence | 27-39 |
| [27.16] | VIII. | The Absence or Failure of Extrinsic | |
| | | Evidence | 27-57 |
| [27.17] | IX | Extrinsic, Parol Evidence: Finding of | |
| | | Ambiguity Is Required Before Rules | |
| | | Governing Construction of Ambiguous | |
| | | Contracts May Be Applied | 27-59 |
| [27.18] | X. | Parol Evidence and Conditional Delivery of | |
| | | an Agreement | 27-60 |
| [27.19] | | A. Conditions Precedent, Conditional Delivery; | |
| | | Delivery of the Contract May Be a | |
| | | Condition Precedent | 27-60 |
| [27.20] | XI. | Reasonably Implied | 27-65 |
| [27.21] | | A Statement of Intent Is Neither | |
| | | Ambiguous Nor Binding | 27-66 |
| [27.22] | | A. Issues Left for Future Determination Do | |
| | | Not Render the Agreement Ambiguous | 27-67 |
| [27.23] | XIII. | Fair Meaning to All Words, Phrases and | |
| • | | Language Employed, All Provisions Must | |
| | | Be Considered; Apparent Meaning, Apparent | |
| | | Purpose, Inferences | 27-71 |

| [27.24] | XIV. | Cou | orts May Not Revise, Redesign, or | |
|---------|------|-------|--|--------|
| | | Fasl | hion an Agreement: Foreseeable | |
| | | Con | ntingencies the Parties Did Not Include | 27-80 |
| [27.25] | | A. | Schonfeld v. Saucedo | 27-97 |
| [27.26] | | XV | .Intent of the Parties, Reasonable | |
| | | | Expectations, Reasonable Person, Practical | |
| | | | Interpretations, Fair Meaning to All | |
| | | | Language in the Agreement | 27-100 |
| [27.27] | XVI. | Abs | surd or Unusual Results to be | |
| | | Reje | ected | 27-110 |
| [27.28] | XVII | | iflicts Between Sections of an Agreement: | |
| | | | ery Provision Should Be Given Effect | 27-113 |
| [27.29] | XVII | I.Lat | ent Ambiguity | 27-115 |
| [27.30] | | | gue Terms, Omission of an Essential Term, | |
| | | and | Indeterminate Time Periods | 27-118 |
| [27.31] | XX. | Sile | ence In an Agreement; Silence During | |
| | | Neg | gotiations | 27-119 |
| [27.32] | | A. | Silence Does Not Create Ambiguity | 27-120 |
| [27.33] | | B. | Silence Regarding Spousal Maintenance, | |
| | | | Disclosure | 27-121 |
| [27.34] | | C. | Standard of Review Where Agreement Is | |
| | | | Silent re: Private Education and College | |
| | | | Costs; Equitable Distribution; Credit for | |
| | | | Room and Board Payments | 27-122 |
| [27.35] | | D. | Silence as to Pensions | 27-123 |
| [27.36] | | E. | Silence as to Carrying Charges of the | |
| | | | Marital Residence Following the | |
| | | | Termination of Exclusive Occupancy | 27-124 |
| [27.37] | | F. | Silence as to Counsel Fees | 27-124 |
| [27.38] | XXI. | The | Role of Recitals In Ambiguous | |
| | | Agr | reements | 27-124 |
| [27.39] | XXII | . Spe | cific Provisions Restrict General | |
| | | Pro | visions | 27-125 |
| [27.40] | XXII | I.Nos | scitur a Sociis, the Company Words | |
| | | Kee | ep | 27-128 |
| [27.41] | | A. | The Last Antecedent Doctrine | 27-129 |
| [27.42] | | В. | Ejusdem Generis, "Language of Excuse" | |
| | | | That Prevents Performance | 27-130 |
| [27.43] | XXIV | /.Wo | ords and Meaning | 27-130 |
| [27.44] | | Α. | Dictionary Definitions | 27-132 |

| [27.45] | B. | The Term "Consummation of the Marriage" | |
|---------|---------|--|--------|
| | | Refers to the Marriage Ceremony, Not to | |
| | | "Marital Sexual Relations" | 27-133 |
| [27.46] | C. | Trumping Language | 27-135 |
| [27.47] | D. | Intent, Punctuation, Grammar | 27-135 |
| [27.48] | E. | Transposition, Rejection, and Addition of | |
| | | Words to Implement the Intent | 27-136 |
| [27.49] | F. | Objective, Subjective, Undisclosed | _, _, |
| [>] | | Meanings | 27-137 |
| [27.50] | G. | Intent From Words Used in a Dictated | _, 10, |
| [27.80] | O. | Stipulation Into the Record | 27-139 |
| [27.51] | H. | Words, Circumstances, and the Nature of | 2, 10, |
| [27.81] | 11. | the Obligation | 27-139 |
| [27.52] | I. | Legal and Technical Terms; Uniquely | 27 137 |
| [27.82] | | Defined Words and Terms | 27-141 |
| [27.53] | J. | Literal Language May Not Be Used if It | 27 111 |
| [27.33] | 3. | Subverts the Purpose of the Agreement | 27-142 |
| [27 54] | XXV Om | nissions and Mistakes or Omitted | 2/ 172 |
| [27.34] | | ntingencies Do Not Constitute an | |
| | | abiguity | 27-145 |
| [27.55] | | mmary Judgment and Ambiguity | 27-146 |
| [27.56] | | he Doctrine of Practical Construction, | 27-140 |
| [27.30] | | e Parties' Course of Performance: | |
| | | mbiguity Is a Prerequisite | 27-148 |
| [27.57] | | Parol Custom and Usage of Language | 27-150 |
| [27.58] | | ontra Proferentem: Ambiguities Are | 27 130 |
| [27.30] | | onstrued Against the Draftor | 27-150 |
| [27.59] | | nen "Divorce" Is Not Mentioned as an | 27 130 |
| [27.37] | | entuality in a Prenuptial Agreement | 27-154 |
| [27.60] | A. | Van Kipnis v. Van Kipnis | 27-154 |
| [27.61] | В. | Van Kipnis: The Court of Appeals | 27-157 |
| [27.62] | | Vendome v. Vendome | 27-158 |
| [27.63] | | Unstated Principles in Van Kipnis, Law in | 27 130 |
| [27.03] | ъ. | Effect at the Time and the Place of the | |
| | | Contract, and Public Policy Changes | 27-159 |
| [27 64] | XXXI Co | ontractual Obligations Are Construed As Of | 27 137 |
| [27.04] | | Law In Effect on the Date Made and, | |
| | | rring Public Policy Concerns, Remain | |
| | | affected By Subsequent Law | 27-161 |
| [27 65] | | andwritten Changes to a Printed | 21°101 |
| [27.03] | | oreement Supersede the Printed Original | 27-164 |

| [27.66] | XXX | III.Uncapped Minimum Amounts In an | | | | |
|---------|---|--|--------|--|--|--|
| | | Agreement | 27-164 | | | |
| [27.67] | [27.67] XXXIV.Trial Court's Interpretation Is Not | | | | | |
| | | Conclusive Upon Appellate Court | 27-165 | | | |
| [27.68] | XXX | V.A Harsh Construction of an Agreement | | | | |
| | | or One That Leaves One Party At | | | | |
| | | the Other's Mercy Is Disfavored | 27-165 | | | |
| | | VOLUME TWO | | | | |
| | | VOLUME 1 WO | | | | |
| Chapte | r 28 | Rescission | | | | |
| [28.0] | I. | Equitable Relief As Sound Judicial | | | | |
| | | Discretion | 28-3 | | | |
| [28.1] | II. | Prevalence of Vacatur Proceedings | 28-3 | | | |
| [28.2] | III. | Sanctions For Frivolous Suits to Vacate | | | | |
| | | Agreements | 28-4 | | | |
| [28.3] | IV. | No Grace Period to Rescind Agreement Once | | | | |
| | | It Is Signed, Disavowal of an Agreement | | | | |
| | | Before Order Is Signed | 28-5 | | | |
| [28.4] | V. | Grounds For Setting Aside Agreements | 28-6 | | | |
| [28.5] | | A. Frustration of Purpose | 28-10 | | | |
| [28.6] | VI. | Voidable Agreements: Fraud, Misrepresentation, | | | | |
| | | Duress | 28-10 | | | |
| [28.7] | VII. | Rescission, Reformation, Equitable Relief; | | | | |
| | | Elementary Duty Between Contracting Parties. | 28-11 | | | |
| [28.8] | | A. Rescission Is Not Available if There Is | | | | |
| | | an Adequate Remedy at Law | 28-13 | | | |
| [28.9] | | B. Right to Financial Disclosure Following | | | | |
| | | an Agreement; Support Fixed by | | | | |
| | | Agreement | 28-14 | | | |
| [28.10] | | C. Rescission for Substantial or Fundamental | | | | |
| | | Breaches | 28-20 | | | |
| [28.11] | | D. Rescission and Executory Agreements | 28-21 | | | |
| [28.12] | | E. Notice to Rescind | 28-22 | | | |
| [28.13] | | F. Restoration of Status Quo, Executed and | | | | |
| | | Executory Agreements | 28-23 | | | |
| [28.14] | | G. Burden of Proof to Rescind an Agreement | 28-27 | | | |
| [28.15] | | H. Burden of Proof; Motions for Summary | | | | |
| | | Judgment to Vacate an Agreement | 28-28 | | | |

| [28.16] | | I. | Rescission Based on Fraud—Elements of Fraud; Date of Accrual of Cause of | |
|---------|-------|------|--|-------|
| | | | Action | 28-30 |
| [28.17] | | J. | Disagreement With the Valuation of the | |
| | | | Other Party's Expert Appraisal Does Not | |
| | | | Constitute Fraud | 28-39 |
| [28.18] | | K. | Fraud in the Factum (Execution) v. Fraud | |
| | | | in the Inducement, Burdens Of Proof: | |
| | | | Preponderance of the Evidence v. Clear | |
| | | | and Convincing Evidence | 28-40 |
| [28.19] | | L. | Aiding and Abetting Fraud | 28-43 |
| [28.20] | | M. | Promisor's State of Mind | 28-43 |
| [28.21] | | N. | The Doctrine of Collateral Contract | 28-49 |
| [28.22] | | O. | Fraud Claims That Only Restate a Breach | |
| | | | of Contract Claim, Allegations of an | |
| | | | Intent Not to Perform or Promissory | |
| | | | Statements, Allegations Must Be Collateral | |
| | | | and Extraneous to the Agreement | 28-50 |
| [28.23] | | P. | Federal Review of New York Decisions | 28-57 |
| [28.24] | | Q. | Pleading Fraud in the Inducement in an | |
| | | | Annulment Action: Ordinary Prudent-Person | |
| | | | Standard | 28-59 |
| [28.25] | | R. | Standard to Establish Fraud in the | |
| | | | Inducement in an Annulment Action, | |
| | | | Ordinary Prudent Person | 28-62 |
| [28.26] | | S. | Parol Evidence and Fraud | 28-62 |
| [28.27] | | T. | Specificity of Allegations Based on | |
| | | | Fraud | 28-63 |
| [28.28] | | | 1. A Party's Failure to Reduce an | |
| | | | Agreement to an Accurate Writing | 28-66 |
| [28.29] | | | 2. Measure of Compensating a Defrauded | |
| | | | Party, Punitive Damages | 28-67 |
| [28.30] | | | 3. Fraud in the Inducement; Burden of | |
| | | | Proof Rests Upon the Party Alleging | |
| | | | Fraud | 28-69 |
| [28.31] | | | 4. No Vacatur of a Judgment on Fraud | |
| | | | Where Knowledge of Fraud Was | |
| | | | Known before Entry of Judgment | 28-70 |
| [28.32] | VIII. | Sub | sequent Conduct Cannot Render an | |
| | | Agr | eement Invalid At Its Inception; Fraud | |
| | | Clai | im May Not Be Based On Same | |
| | | Alle | egations As Breach of Contract | 28-70 |

| [28.33] | IX. | Constructive Fraud: Scienter Distinguishes | |
|---------|-------|---|--------|
| | | Constructive Fraud From Actual Fraud | 28-74 |
| [28.34] | X. | Nondisclosure Alone Is Not Fatal to an | |
| | | Agreement | 28-77 |
| [28.35] | XI. | Concealment As Fraud; Duty to Speak | 28-80 |
| [28.36] | XII. | Fraudulent Concealment Requires Additional | |
| | | Allegations of Duty to Disclose | 28-86 |
| [28.37] | XIII. | Special Facts Doctrine: Knowledge Peculiar to | |
| | | One Party; Fiduciary Relationships Among | |
| | | Spouses and Affianced Couples | 28-87 |
| [28.38] | XIV. | Kojovic v. Goldman: Scienter and Marital | |
| | | Agreements | 28-89 |
| [28.39] | | A. The Court's Reasoning | 28-90 |
| [28.40] | | B. Kojovic's Omissions | 28-93 |
| [28.41] | | C. The Cases Cited in <i>Kojovic</i> Did Not | |
| | | Support Its Conclusion | 28-94 |
| [28.42] | XV. | Paolino v. Paolino: Is the Second | |
| | | Department Following Kojovic? | 28-95 |
| [28.43] | | A. Etzion v. Etzion | 28-96 |
| [28.44] | | B. Depalma v. Depalma | 28-98 |
| [28.45] | | C. Sweeney v. Sweeney; Label v. Label | 28-98 |
| [28.46] | | D. Weddell v. Trichka | 28-100 |
| [28.47] | | E. The Third Department: <i>Flikweert v</i> . | |
| | | Berger | 28-100 |
| [28.48] | XVI. | Remedies For the Defrauded Party | 28-101 |
| [28.49] | | Due Diligence During Contract Negotiations | 28-102 |
| [28.50] | | A. Reliance: Duty to Exercise Ordinary Care, | |
| | | Blind Reliance, Justifiable Reliance | 28-102 |
| [28.51] | | B. Equitable Relief for Mistake or Fraud Is | |
| | | Not Available for Conscious Ignorance or | |
| | | Conscious Negligence | 28-107 |
| [28.52] | XVII | I.Misrepresentation of Law; Fraud; Ignorance of | |
| | | the Law | 28-112 |
| [28.53] | XIX. | Statements By Counsel Dealing With | |
| | | Unrepresented Parties | 28-117 |
| [28.54] | XX. | Duress; Coercion | 28-118 |
| [28.55] | XXI. | Two-Prong Test to Prove Duress | 28-124 |
| [28.56] | | A. Coercion | 28-125 |
| [28.57] | XXII | . A Prompt Challenge Is Required to Vacate | |
| | | an Agreement Procured Under Duress | 28-125 |

| [28.58] | A. Continuing Duress: Statute of Limitations, | | | |
|------------------|---|--------|--|--|
| | Proof of Continuing Wrongdoing | 20 127 | | |
| [20 50] | Required | 28-127 | | |
| [28.59] | B. Burden of Proof to Establish Continuing Duress | 29 120 | | |
| [20 60] | | 28-129 | | |
| [28.60] | XXIII.Threats to Litigate, Yelling, Pressure, | 20 120 | | |
| F20 611 | Refusal to Negotiate | 28-129 | | |
| [28.61] | XXIV.Duress: Hard Bargains, Hard Choices, | 20 121 | | |
| [20,62] | Badgering | 28-131 | | |
| [28.62] | XXV. Last-minute Execution of an Agreement | 28-134 | | |
| [28.63] | XXVI.Threats of Imprisonment or Criminal | 20 125 | | |
| 500 (41 | Prosecution Render an Agreement Voidable | 28-135 | | |
| [28.64] | XXVII.Subjective Fear Absent Any Wrongdoing By | 20.127 | | |
| 500 6 5 3 | the Other Party Does Not Constitute Duress | 28-137 | | |
| [28.65] | A. Judicial Coercion; Effect of Judicial | | | |
| | Pressure to Settle Cases | 28-138 | | |
| [28.66] | B. The Purpose of a Conference With the | | | |
| | Court | 28-140 | | |
| [28.67] | C. Courts May Not Compel Stipulations | 28-143 | | |
| [28.68] | XXVIII.Counsel's Encouragement And Assurances | | | |
| | To Accept The Terms of an Agreement | 28-144 | | |
| [28.69] | XXIX.Undue Influence | 28-145 | | |
| [28.70] | XXX.Overreaching | 28-147 | | |
| [28.71] | XXXI.Challenges to Agreement Based On Lack | | | |
| | of Mental Capacity or Emotional Stress | | | |
| | During Negotiations | 28-151 | | |
| [28.72] | A. "Entry Into an Agreement to Show Love" | | | |
| | for the Other Spouse | 28-161 | | |
| [28.73] | XXXII.Disclaimers of Fraud, Duress, and | | | |
| | Overreaching; Allocutions | 28-162 | | |
| [28.74] | XXXIII.Economic Duress | 28-164 | | |
| [28.75] | XXXIV.Husband's Coercion By Withholding | | | |
| | Religious Divorce, Threats of Religious | | | |
| | Ostracism | 28-167 | | |
| [28.76] | A. Religious Coercion by Withholding a | | | |
| | Religious Divorce | 28-167 | | |
| [28.77] | B. Threats of Religious Ostracism | 28-168 | | |
| [28.78] | XXXV.Standard of Vacatur of a Judgment | | | |
| - | Incorporating an Agreement | 28-169 | | |
| [28.79] | XXXVI.Vacatur of an Agreement Upon a | | | |
| • | Failure to Establish Grounds For | | | |
| | Divorce— Second Department | 28-171 | | |

| [28.80] | XXX | VII.Rescission Is Available, Whether a | | |
|---------|------|--|--------|--|
| | | Mutual or Unilateral Mistake, Only | | |
| | | When the Mistake Goes to Foundation | | |
| | | of Agreement, Time of the Mistake | 28-172 | |
| [28.81] | XXX | VIII.Rescission and Unilateral Mistake, Lack | | |
| | | of Uniformity, Unilateral Mistake, Even | | |
| | | Absent Inequitable Conduct, May Result | | |
| | | In Rescission When the Mistake Leads | | |
| | | to Unconscionable Result or Unjust | | |
| | | Enrichment | 28-174 | |
| [28.82] | XXX | IX.Unjust Enrichment and Unilateral Mistake | 28-175 | |
| [28.83] | XL. | Unconscionability or Other Equitable | | |
| | | Consideration and Unilateral Mistake as a | | |
| | | Basis For Relief | 28-176 | |
| [28.84] | XLI. | Mistake as to Misunderstanding the Law; | | |
| | | Unilateral Mistake By Party's Counsel In | | |
| | | Dictating an Agreement | 28-177 | |
| Append | ix | Selected Case Text | 28-181 | |
| | | | | |
| Chapte | | Reformation | | |
| [29.0] | I. | Nature and Purpose | 29-3 | |
| [29.1] | II. | Mistake, Unilateral and Mutual | 29-4 | |
| [29.2] | III. | Reformation Not Available Due to Attorney | | |
| | | Error | 29-12 | |
| [29.3] | IV. | Reformation Versus Modification | 29-12 | |
| [29.4] | V. | Degree of Proof Necessary For Reformation | 29-12 | |
| [29.5] | | A. Parol and Extrinsic Evidence | 29-12 | |
| [29.6] | | B. CPLR 3005 | 29-19 | |
| [29.7] | VI. | Scrivener Error, Reformation; Statute of | | |
| | | Limitations | 29-22 | |
| [29.8] | VII. | Mutual Mistake: Basis For Rescission, | | |
| | | Reformation | 29-22 | |
| [29.9] | | A. Simkin v. Blank, Bernard Madoff | 29-27 | |
| [29.10] | | B. Simkin: Complaint | 29-28 | |
| [29.11] | | C. Mutual Mistake | 29-29 | |
| [29.12] | | D. Releases, Mutual Mistake | 29-32 | |
| [29.13] | | E. Equal Distribution | 29-33 | |
| [29.14] | | F. Illegal Transactions | 29-34 | |
| [29.15] | | G. CPLR 3016(b): Particularity | 29-34 | |
| [29.16] | | H. Indistinctive Semantics | 29-35 | |
| [29.17] | | I. The Dictionaries | 29-36 | |
| [29.18] | | J. Etzion's Irrelevance | 29-36 | |

| [29.19] | | K. Conclusion | 29-37 |
|---------|-------|--|-------|
| [29.20] | | L. Simkin on Appeal | 29-37 |
| [29.21] | VIII. | Simkin: the Court of Appeals | 29-39 |
| [29.22] | IX. | Fraud Versus Mistake | 29-42 |
| [29.23] | X. | When Mutual Mistake Renders a Portion | |
| | | of an Agreement Impossible or | |
| | | Impracticable | 29-43 |
| [29.24] | XI. | Failure of Counsel to Inform Client of | |
| | | Details is Chargeable to the Client | 29-44 |
| Chapte | r 30 | Statutes of Limitations | |
| [30.0] | I. | Statute of Limitations | 30-3 |
| [30.1] | | A. Statutes of Limitation Are Generally | |
| [] | | Considered Procedural Because They Are | |
| | | Viewed as Pertaining to the Remedy | |
| | | Rather Than the Right; the Expiration of | |
| | | the Statutory Time Period Does Not | |
| | | Extinguish the Underlying Right But | |
| | | Merely Bars the Remedy | 30-8 |
| [30.2] | II. | Societal Interest and Public Policy; Benefits of | |
| . , | | the Statute of Limitations | 30-10 |
| [30.3] | III. | The First, Second and Third Departments | |
| . , | | Hold That the Six-year Limitations Period | |
| | | Does Not Apply When Enforcement of | |
| | | an Agreement Occurs By Motion Rather | |
| | | Than By an Action | 30-12 |
| [30.4] | | A. Fragin v. Fragin | 30-13 |
| [30.5] | | B. Bayen v. Bayen | 30-13 |
| [30.6] | | C. Denaro v. Denaro | 30-14 |
| [30.7] | | D. Kraus v. Kraus | 30-15 |
| [30.8] | | E. The Third Department Joins the First and | |
| | | Second Departments | 30-16 |
| [30.9] | | 1. Holsberger v. Holsberger | 30-16 |
| [30.10] | | 2. Mussbacher v. Mussmacher | 30-17 |
| [30.11] | | F. The Principles of Statutory Construction | |
| | | and Interpretation Prohibit the Conclusion | |
| | | Reached in Fragin and Its Progeny | 30-18 |
| [30.12] | | G. Statutes Law § 111 | 30-20 |
| [30.13] | | H. CPLR 103(c), CPLR 2001 and Post- | |
| | | Judgment Commencement by Order to | |
| | | Show Cause | 30-21 |
| [30.14] | | I. DRL § 244 | 30-25 |

| [30.15] | | 1. DRL § 244 Is a Remedial Statute | 30-26 |
|---------|-------|--|-------|
| [30.16] | | J. Anonymous v. Anonymous | 30-28 |
| [30.17] | IV. | Parties Are Not Entirely Free to Waive | |
| | | or Modify Statute of Limitations; GOL | |
| | | § 17-103 | 30-30 |
| [30.18] | V. | Time of Accrual of the Limitations | |
| | | Period When Final Payment Is Subject to | |
| | | a Condition | 30-33 |
| [30.19] | VI. | Statute of Limitations For Reformation and | |
| . , | | Rescission—Actual Fraud and Constructive | |
| | | Fraud; Six-year Period; Burden of Proof | 30-35 |
| [30.20] | VII. | Wrongful Restraint From Commencing an | |
| [] | | Action | 30-38 |
| [30.21] | VIII. | | |
| [00.21] | , 111 | on Constructive Fraud | 30-40 |
| [30.22] | IX | Statute of Limitations For Reformation; | 20 10 |
| [30.22] | 12 1. | Scrivener's Error | 30-41 |
| [30.23] | X | Determining Limitations Period, Elements | 00 .1 |
| [30.23] | 11. | Alleged Not the Plaintiff's Theory of the | |
| | | Claim | 30-42 |
| [30.24] | | A. Powers Mercantile Corp. and Erbe | 30-42 |
| [30.25] | | B. Chayes v. Chayes | 30-43 |
| [30.26] | | C. Equitable Remedy Not Available to Enforce | 30 43 |
| [30.20] | | Legal That Is Time Barred | 30-45 |
| [30.27] | | D. Motion to Amend a QDRO Is Not | 30 43 |
| [30.27] | | Barred By Six-Year Statute of | |
| | | Limitations | 30-45 |
| [30.28] | | E. Declaratory Judgment to Enforce a | 30-43 |
| [30.20] | | Contract | 30-45 |
| [30.29] | XI. | Escalation Clauses | 30-43 |
| [30.29] | | Revival of Debt | 30-47 |
| | | Recognition of and Intent to Pay a | 30-40 |
| [30.31] | AIII. | Debt | 30-50 |
| [20 22] | VIV | Part Payment | 30-54 |
| | | Application of Debt, Debtor Gets First | 30-34 |
| [30.33] | AV. | Choice; Presumption; Absence of Election; | |
| | | * | |
| | | No Summary Judgment to Creditor in | 20.55 |
| [20 24] | VIII | Absence of Election By Either Party | 30-55 |
| [30.34] | XVI. | | 30-56 |
| [30.35] | | A. N.Y. Domestic Relations Law § 250 | 30-56 |
| [30.36] | | B. History Behind Time-Barred Setoffs | 30-57 |

| [30.37] | | XVII.Diligence in Discovery of Fraud; | |
|----------------|-------|--|--------|
| | | Limitations Period Begins to Run When | |
| | | Defrauded Party Has Sufficient Operative | |
| | | Facts | 30-59 |
| [30.38] | XVII | I.The Burden of Proof, Date of Discovery of | |
| | | Fraud | 30-61 |
| [30.39] | XIX. | Statute of Limitations Versus Integral | |
| | | Statutory Components | 30-61 |
| [30.40] | XX. | Statute of Limitations, Continuing | |
| | | Obligations, Installment or Periodic Payments, | |
| | | Demand Notes, and Guaranties | 30-62 |
| [30.41] | XXI. | Statute of Limitations and Marital | |
| | | Agreements—DRL § 250 | 30-65 |
| [30.42] | XXII | . History Behind DRL § 250: Public | |
| | | Policy, Re-tolling the Statute of Limitations | |
| | | For Prenuptial Agreements, Clash of | |
| | | Policies: Marriage Versus Statute of | |
| | | Limitations | 30-69 |
| [30.43] | XXII | I.Departmental Conflict | 30-71 |
| Append | | The Marriage Toll: Pre-DRL § 250 Tolling of | |
| 11 | | Limitations Period During Marriage | 30-73 |
| Append | ix B | Third Department | 30-103 |
| · ·pp •······· | | Fourth Department | 30-104 |
| Chapte | r 31 | Equitable Estoppel, Laches | |
| [31.0] | I. | Equitable Estoppel | 31-3 |
| [31.1] | II. | Burden of Proof Is on the Plaintiff to Show | 010 |
| [51.1] | 11. | Due Diligence | 31-6 |
| [31.2] | III. | Judicial Estoppel | 31-7 |
| [31.3] | IV. | Judicial Estoppel and Collateral Estoppel | 31-12 |
| [31.4] | V. | Quasi Estoppel | 31-12 |
| [31.5] | VI. | Reliance | 31-13 |
| [31.6] | VII. | Bates v. Long Island Railroad Co | 31-15 |
| [31.7] | VIII. | Laches | 31-15 |
| [31.8] | IX. | Laches and Unclean Hands Are | 01 10 |
| [31.0] | 171. | Inapplicable to Actions in Law | 31-20 |
| [31.9] | | A. The Doctrine of Unclean Hands Is | 31 20 |
| [31.7] | | Inapplicable to an Action of Law | 31-21 |
| Chapte | r 32 | General and Specific Mergers Clauses | |
| [32.0] | I. | General and Specific Mergers—fraud | 32-3 |
| [32.1] | II. | General Merger Clauses and Parol Evidence | 32-5 |
| | | <i>-</i> | - |

| [32.2] | III. | The Transparent Contention of Fraudulent | |
|---------|------|--|-------|
| | | Inducement: "He Promised to Rip Up the | |
| | | Agreement If We Were Married More | |
| | | Than 10 Years" or Upon the Occurrence | |
| | | of Some Other Event; Sunset Clauses | 32-8 |
| [32.3] | | A. Cioffi-Petrakis v. Petrakis | 32-8 |
| [32.4] | | 1. Lorenc v. Lorenc | 32-10 |
| [32.5] | | 2. DuBow v. Century Realty, Inc | 32-10 |
| [32.6] | | 3. Isaly v. Devlin | 32-11 |
| [32.7] | | B. Merger Clauses Since <i>Petrakis</i> | 32-11 |
| [32.8] | | 1. Anonymous v. Anonymous | 32-11 |
| [32.9] | | 2. Sabowitz v. Sabowitz | 32-12 |
| [32.10] | | 3. Lombardi v. Lombardi | 32-12 |
| [32.11] | | C. The Solution: A Specific Merger Clause | |
| | | That No Representations Were Relied Upon | |
| | | Regarding the Sunset of the Agreement, | |
| | | and That the General Merger Clause Shall | |
| | | Be Deemed to Have the Same Evidentiary | |
| | | Force and Effect As Though It Were a | |
| | | Specific Merger Clause | 32-13 |
| [32.12] | IV. | Specific Merger Clauses, Extrinsic Evidence, | |
| | | Disclaimer of Reliance | 32-14 |
| [32.13] | | Merger Clause and Mistake | 32-19 |
| [32.14] | VI. | Merger Exception Subject to Exercise of | |
| | | Ordinary Intelligence, Peculiarly Not Within | |
| | | the Party's Knowledge | 32-20 |
| Chapte | r 33 | Plenary Actions | |
| [33.0] | I. | Action and Order to Show Cause | |
| | | Distinguished | 33-3 |
| [33.1] | II. | Incorporated and Unmerged Agreements | 33-4 |
| [33.2] | III. | Agreements That Are Merged Into the | |
| | | Judgment of Divorce and Agreements That | |
| | | Survive the Judgment of Divorce | 33-6 |
| [33.3] | IV. | Challenges to And Enforceability of | |
| | | Agreements That Are Incorporated Into But | |
| | | Not Merged Into Judgments of Divorce | |
| | | Have Traditionally Required a Plenary | |
| | | Action | 33-8 |
| [33.4] | | A. Postjudgment Agreements Must Be | |
| | | Enforced in a Plenary Action | 33_14 |

| [33.3] | | A. In Personalii Jurisulction Acquired by | |
|---------|------|---|-------|
| | | Summons and Complaint | 33-14 |
| [33.6] | | B. CPLR 103(c), CPLR 2001 and Post- | |
| | | Judgment Commencement By Order to | 22.4 |
| | | Show Cause | 33-15 |
| [33.7] | | C. Case Law Also Holds that the Failure | |
| | | to File a Summons Is a Jurisdictional | |
| | | Defect Which Renders the Action or | |
| | | Proceeding a Nullity; Objections to | |
| | | Filing Errors | 33-22 |
| [33.8] | | D. A Remedy For Fraud in the Course of | |
| | | a Proceeding Lies Exclusively in That | |
| | | Lawsuit, Not in a Second Plenary Action; | |
| | | Claims That an Agreement Is Voidable or | |
| | | Unconscionable Require a Plenary | |
| | | Action | 33-25 |
| [33.9] | V. | Enforcement of a QDRO Does Not Require | |
| | | a Separate Action | 33-26 |
| [33.10] | VI. | Enforcement of a Charging Lien Does | |
| | | Not Require a Plenary Action | 33-27 |
| [33.11] | VII. | Expedited Challenges to and Enforcement | |
| | | of Settlement Agreements, Right to an | |
| | | Expedited Challenge to Agreements Within | |
| | | the Action | 33-28 |
| [33.12] | | A. Historical Perspective | 33-29 |
| [33.13] | | B. Action Not Terminated by Agreement | |
| | | Absent an Express Discontinuance or Entry | |
| | | of Judgment Pursuant to Stipulation | 33-30 |
| [33.14] | | C. Challenges and Enforcements | 33-35 |
| [33.15] | VII. | Enforcement By DRL § 244 | 33-36 |
| Append | ix | Selected Cases | 33-41 |
| Chamta | 24 | Family Count | |
| Chapte | | Family Court As a Court of Limited | |
| [34.0] | I. | Family Court As a Court of Limited | 24.2 |
| F2 / 11 | | Jurisdiction | 34-3 |
| [34.1] | | A. Subject Matter Jurisdiction | 34-3 |
| [34.2] | | B. Restrictions | 34-3 |
| [34.3] | | C. Family Court May Not Reform, Vacate, | |
| | | Modify, Invalidate, Enforce, Interpret an | |
| | | Agreement, Declare the Validity of an | |
| | | Oral Modification, or Examine for Breach | 24. |
| | | of Contract or Fraud | 34-4 |
| | | | |

| [34.4] | | D. | Family Court May Not Invalidate | |
|-------------------|-----|------------|---|--------------------|
| | | | Agreements | 34-13 |
| [34.5] | | E. | Independent Contracts Remain Distinct, | |
| | | | Unaffected by Family Court Proceedings | |
| | | | and Are Enforceable in Contract Actions | |
| | | | in the Supreme Court; Family Court May | |
| | | | Only Enforce or Modify Provisions | |
| | | | Contained in an Order or Judgment | 34-15 |
| [34.6] | | F. | Family Court Lacks Authority to Enforce | |
| <u>.</u> <u>.</u> | | | Contractual Provisions Which Pertain to the | |
| | | | Distribution of Property | 34-18 |
| [34.7] | | G. | An Agreement Made in the Family Court | |
| [] | | | May Only Be Challenged in the Supreme | |
| | | | Court | 34-19 |
| [34.8] | | Н. | Family Court May Not Authorize or | 0.17 |
| [0] | | | Direct Name Changes | 34-21 |
| [34.9] | | I. | An Agreement Regarding the Name By | |
| [] | | | Which a Child Shall Be Called | 34-22 |
| [34.10] | | J. | "The Irrational Jurisdictional Dichotomy" | · |
| [00] | | ٠. | Between the Supreme Court and Family | |
| | | | Court | 34-22 |
| [34.11] | | K. | Improper Attempts to Confer Subject Matter | 5.22 |
| [5] | | 11. | Jurisdiction Upon the Family Court | 34-24 |
| [34.12] | | L. | Barnett v. Burger: Family Court May | |
| [32] | | ٠. | Rule on Alternate Permissible Grounds and | |
| | | | Avoid Jurisdictionally Defective Grounds. | 34-25 |
| [34.13] | | М | Determination of Tax Consequences Outside | 0.20 |
| [5 1.15] | | 1,1. | of Family Court's Jurisdiction | 34-26 |
| [34.14] | II. | Can | nons of Statutory Construction; Family | 3120 |
| [5] | 11. | | art Does Not Have Authority to | |
| | | | erpret Agreements or Determine the | |
| | | | ent of Its Parties | 34-27 |
| [34.15] | | A. | Statutes Law § 92, Legislative Intent as | 3121 |
| [34.13] | | 11. | Primary Consideration | 34-28 |
| [34.16] | | B. | Statutes Law § 74, Implications From | J T -20 |
| [34.10] | | ъ. | Legislative Silence | 34-29 |
| [34.17] | | C. | Statutes Law § 363, Omissions From | 34-27 |
| [37.17] | | C . | Statute | 34-30 |
| [34.18] | | D. | Interpretation and Construction of an | JT-30 |
| [37.10] | | D . | Agreement Distinguished | 34-30 |
| | | | | |

| [34.19] | III. | Article VI, § 13 of the New York State | |
|---------|------|--|-------|
| | | Constitution, FCA § 115 and the Canons | |
| | | of Statutory Construction Notwithstanding, | |
| | | Appellate Courts Authorize Family Court | |
| | | To Interpret and Construe Agreements | 34-31 |
| [34.20] | A. | The First Department | 34-32 |
| [34.21] | | 1. <i>Alan P. v. Charlotte E.</i> , Interpretation . | 34-32 |
| [34.22] | | 2. <i>Falguni P. v. Pinakin P.</i> , Determination | 0.02 |
| [8=2] | | of Intent from the Agreement | 34-32 |
| [34.23] | В. | The Second Department | 34-32 |
| [34.24] | ٥. | 1. Barnett v. Burger, A Family Court | 0.02 |
| [] | | Hearing Examiner who Correctly Stated | |
| | | that Family Court Has No Jurisdiction | |
| | | to Interpret Agreements | 34-33 |
| [34.25] | | 2. Louie v. Plissner, Ambiguous Terms | 34-33 |
| [34.26] | | 3. <i>Murphy v. Murphy</i> , Failure to | 0.00 |
| [] | | Demonstrate Proof of Consideration, | |
| | | Unequivocal Reference | 34-34 |
| [34.27] | | 4. Korosh v. Korosh, Ambiguity | 34-35 |
| [34.28] | | 5. Abramson v. Hasson, Ambiguity | 34-35 |
| [34.29] | | 6. Napolitano v. Napolitano | 34-37 |
| [34.30] | | 7. Block-Iaconetti v. Iaconetti, | |
| [- ·- · | | Construction and Interpretation | 34-38 |
| [34.31] | | 8. <i>Gucker v. Gucker</i> , Interpretation and | |
| , | | Construction | 34-38 |
| [34.32] | | 9. Crawley v. Crawley, Construction and | |
| | | Interpretation | 34-39 |
| [34.33] | | 10. Gucker v. Gucker, Construction and | |
| , | | Practical Interpretation | 34-39 |
| [34.34] | | 11. McCarthy v. McCarthy, Construction | |
| | | and Interpretation | 34-40 |
| [34.35] | | 12. Pizzuto v. Pizzuto, "Practical | |
| | | Interpretation of the Expressions of the | |
| | | Parties" Consistent With What Should | |
| | | Have Been Their "Reasonable | |
| | | Expectations" | 34-40 |
| [34.36] | | 13. Moss v. Moss, Construction and | |
| , | | Interpretation | 34-40 |
| [34.37] | | 14. <i>Katz v. Dotan</i> , Construction and | |
| | | Interpretation | 34-41 |
| [34.38] | | 15. Schiano v. Hirsch, Construction and | |
| _ | | Interpretation | 34-41 |

| [34.39] | | 16. Abidi v. Antohi, Determination of the | |
|---------|----|---|-------|
| | | Father's Ratification of a Settlement | 34-42 |
| [34.40] | | 17. Mahoney v. Goggins, Ambiguity | 34-42 |
| [34.41] | | 18. Freundlich v. Freundlich, | |
| | | Interpretation | 34-43 |
| [34.42] | | 19. Mancini v. Mormile, Allowed | |
| | | Enforcement of an Agreement | 34-43 |
| [34.43] | C. | The Third Department | 34-44 |
| [34.44] | | 1. John U. v. Sara U., Intent of the | |
| | | Parties | 34-44 |
| [34.45] | | 2. Shkaf v. Shkaf, Interpretation, Discerning | |
| | | the Intent of the Parties | 34-46 |
| [34.46] | | 3. Dillon v. Dillon, Construction and | |
| | | Interpretation | 34-47 |
| [34.47] | | 4. Brennan v. Kestner, Construction and | |
| | | Interpretation | 34-47 |
| [34.48] | | 5. Drake v. Drake, Interpretation, | |
| | | Ambiguity | 34-47 |
| [34.49] | | 6. Stearns v. Stearns, Family Court's | |
| _ | | Finding of Vagueness in the | |
| | | Agreement | 34-48 |
| [34.50] | | 7. Kristina P. v. Joseph Q., Family Court | |
| _ | | Has No Jurisdiction to Enforce an | |
| | | Independent Contract | 34-49 |
| [34.51] | | 8. Saidel v. Wolk, Jurisdiction | 34-49 |
| [34.52] | | 9. Reeves v. Samson, Ambiguity, | |
| _ | | Jurisdiction | 34-50 |
| [34.53] | | 10. Clune v. Clune, Jurisdiction, Ambiguity, | |
| _ | | Construction and Enforcement | 34-51 |
| [34.54] | | 11. Berry v. Berry, Interpretation of an | |
| _ | | Agreement by Family Court Held to | |
| | | Have Collateral Estoppel Effect | 34-51 |
| [34.55] | D. | The Fourth Department | 34-52 |
| [34.56] | | 1. Pethick v. Pethick, Subject matter | |
| | | jurisdiction | 34-52 |
| [34.57] | | 2. <i>Wheeler v. Wheeler</i> , Intent of the | |
| _ | | Parties | 34-52 |
| [34.58] | | 3. In re Dustin K.R., Ambiguity, | |
| | | Interpretation | 34-53 |
| [34.59] | | 4. In re Adoption of Mya V.P., | |
| | | Interpretation | 34-54 |

| [34.60] | | 5. <i>Somogye v. Somogye</i> , Equitable Relief | 34-55 |
|---------|-------|---|-------|
| [34.61] | | E. Indemnification Clauses, Family Court's | 34-33 |
| | | Limited Jurisdiction | 34-55 |
| [34.62] | IV. | Enforcement of Separation Agreements In | |
| | | Civil Court | 34-57 |
| Chapte | r 35 | Waivers | |
| [35.0] | I. | Waivers, Generally; Waiver of Discovery | |
| | | and Recitation of Knowledge of Right to | |
| | | Counsel | 35-3 |
| [35.1] | II. | Waivers, Express or Implied, Silence and | |
| | | Inaction May Be Determinative | 35-4 |
| [35.2] | III. | A Waiver Must Be a Voluntary and | |
| | | Intentional Abandonment of a Known Right | 35-14 |
| [35.3] | IV. | Acceptance of Late Payment Waives Right to | |
| . , | | Strict Compliance | 35-18 |
| [35.4] | V. | Waiver of Nonwaiver Clauses | 35-20 |
| [35.5] | VI. | Abandonment In Easements and Real Estate Is | |
| [· -] | | Instructive | 35-22 |
| [35.6] | VII. | Distinction Between Waiver and Estoppel | 35-24 |
| [35.7] | VIII. | Modification and Waiver Distinguished | 35-25 |
| [35.8] | IX. | Provisions of First Agreement Survive a | |
| [] | | Subsequent Agreement If Not Specifically | |
| | | Modified In the Subsequent Agreement | 35-29 |
| Chapte | r 36 | Independent Counsel | |
| [36.0] | I. | Effect of Independent Counsel; Counsel Is | |
| [00.0] | | Not Required For Valid Agreement; Failure | |
| | | To Seek Counsel Does Not Provide | |
| | | Ground For Vacatur | 36-3 |
| [36.1] | II. | Self-Representation, Agreement Binding, No | 30 3 |
| [50.1] | 11. | Overreaching | 36-11 |
| [36.2] | III. | The Effect of One Attorney Representing Both | 30 11 |
| [30.2] | 111. | Parties, Overreaching | 36-16 |
| [36.3] | IV. | Appellate Division "condemns" Dual | 30-10 |
| [30.3] | 1 V . | Representation; Representation By the Other | |
| | | Spouse's Friend | 36-19 |
| [36.4] | V. | Selection and Payment of the Other Party's | 30-19 |
| [30.4] | ٧. | Attorney | 36-20 |
| [36.5] | VI. | Direct Communication With Formerly | 30-20 |
| [30.3] | v 1. | Represented Party | 36-20 |

| [30.0] | V 11. | Deconciliation Agreement West Void Where | |
|------------|-------|---|-------|
| | | Reconciliation Agreement Was Void Where | 26 21 |
| [27] | 37111 | Counsel Was Not Present | 36-21 |
| [36.7] | VIII. | Ineffective Representation | 36-21 |
| [36.8] | IX. | Representation Does Not Preclude Claim For | 26.25 |
| F2.C 01 | 37 | Duress or Overreaching | 36-25 |
| [36.9] | X. | Actual Representation Generally Precludes Later | |
| | | Claims of Wrongdoing or Lack of | 26.26 |
| F2C 101 | VI | Comprehension of the Agreement | 36-26 |
| [36.10] | XI. | Opposing Counsel's Recommendation of an | 26 20 |
| [26 11] | | Attorney Is Not Fatal to the Agreement | 36-30 |
| [36.11] | | | 26.20 |
| [26 12] | | Agreement | 36-30 |
| [36.12] | | B. Paying for Other Party's Counsel Does | 26 21 |
| | | Not Per Se Constitute Overreaching | 36-31 |
| Chapte | r 37 | Ratification | |
| [37.0] | I. | Void and Voidable Agreements, Ratification | 37-3 |
| [37.1] | II. | Ratification of Agreement As Affirmative | 5, 5 |
| [] | | Defense; Nature of Ratification, Methods of | |
| | | Ratification, Meaningful Benefits, Substantial | |
| | | Benefits | 37-4 |
| [37.2] | III. | Ratification of a Voidable Marriage | 37-12 |
| [37.3] | IV. | No Ratification If a Party's Mental Disability | |
| [- · · ·] | | Is Attributable to Overreaching and Abuse By | |
| | | the Other Party | 37-13 |
| [37.4] | V. | Ratification of an Improperly Acknowledged | |
| . , | | Agreement | 37-13 |
| [37.5] | VI. | Ratification of Void or Voidable Agreements | |
| . , | | Due to Fraud or Mistake | 37-14 |
| [37.6] | | A. Ratification | 37-15 |
| [37.7] | | B. Continuing Duress | 37-15 |
| [37.8] | VII. | Timeliness Of Challenge to Void or Voidable | |
| | | Agreements; Prompt Challenge Generally | |
| | | Required | 37-18 |
| [37.9] | | A. Spiegel v. Spiegel | 37-20 |
| [37.10] | VIII. | Unequal and Improvident Agreements; Changes | |
| | | of Heart | 37-22 |
| [37.11] | IX. | Ratification Following an Anticipatory | |
| | | Breach | 37-26 |
| Append | ix A | Ratification and a Failure to Timely Seek Its | |
| | | Nullification | 37-27 |
| | | | |

| Appendix B | | Vac | catu | Unavailable Over Regrets for | | |
|-----------------------|-------|----------------------|--|--|-------|--|
| Appendix C Chapter 38 | | | | ident or Unwise Bargaining | 37-29 | |
| | | Ratification by Time | | | | |
| | | Co | Comity and Bilateral Foreign Divorce Judgments | | | |
| [38.0] | I. | Co | mity | and Collateral Attacks On Bilateral | | |
| | | | _ | Divorce Judgments | 38-3 | |
| [38.1] | II. | Intı | rinsi | c and Extrinsic Fraud | 38-7 | |
| [38.2] | | A. | Fic | kling v. Fickling | 38-9 | |
| [38.3] | | В. | | aguela v. Fraguela | 38-10 | |
| [38.4] | | C. | | naeffer v. Schaeffer | 38-10 | |
| [38.5] | | D. | | nis v. Denis | 38-11 | |
| [38.6] | | E. | | fresi v. Cofresi | 38-11 | |
| [38.7] | | F. | Alt | man v. Altman | 38-11 | |
| Chapte | er 39 | Co | unse | el Fees | | |
| [39.0] | I. | Co | unse | l Fees As Creatures of the | | |
| | | Leg | gisla | ture; Strict Construction; Counsel Fee | | |
| | | Aw | ards | Are Unavailable In Actions to | | |
| | | Res | scino | d Agreements | 39-3 | |
| [39.1] | | A. | Co | unsel Fees May Not Be Awarded to | | |
| | | | Re | scind an Agreement | 39-5 | |
| [39.2] | | B. | Co | unsel Fee Awards to Enforce or | | |
| | | | Op | pose a Prenuptial Agreement | 39-8 | |
| [39.3] | | | 1. | First Department, Karg v. Kern (2015): | | |
| | | | | Fees Awarded to Oppose Affirmative | | |
| | | | | Defense of an Existing Prenuptial | | |
| | | | | Agreement and to Enforce | | |
| | | | | Agreements | 39-9 | |
| [39.4] | | | 2. | First Department, Stephenson v. | | |
| | | | | Stephenson (1986): Upheld a Counsel | | |
| | | | | Fee Award to Enforce an Agreement | 39-9 | |
| [39.5] | | | 3. | Second Department, Rosenthal v. | | |
| | | | | Rosenthal (2017): Party who Defended | | |
| | | | | an Agreement Denied Counsel Fees | 39-11 | |
| [39.6] | | | 4. | Second Department, <i>Hof v. Hof</i> (2015): | | |
| | | | | No Counsel Fees to Enforce or | | |
| | | | | Rescind an Agreement | 39-11 | |
| [39.7] | | | 5. | Second Department, Hackett v. Hackett | | |
| | | | | (2014): Awarded Counsel Fees to | | |
| | | | | Enforce an Agreement | 39-12 | |

| [39.8] | 6. | Second Department, Ventimiglia v. | |
|---------|-----------|--|-------|
| | | Ventimiglia (2007): Fees May Be | |
| | | Awarded to Oppose an Affirmative | |
| | | Defense of an Antenuptial Agreement | |
| | | Rather Than to Rescind the | |
| | | Agreement | 39-13 |
| [39.9] | 7. | Second Department, Fine v. Fine | |
| | | (2006): Counsel Fees May Be Awarded | |
| | | to Enforce a Separation Agreement | 39-13 |
| [39.10] | 8. | Second Department, Gyory v. Schaffer | |
| . , | | (1981): Fees Awarded to Defend a | |
| | | Reformation Suit | 39-14 |
| [39.11] | 9. | Van Kipnis v. Van Kipnis (2008): The | |
| . , | | Court of Appeals Authorized Counsel | |
| | | Fee Awards to Oppose an Affirmative | |
| | | Defense of a Prenuptial Agreement | 39-14 |
| [39.12] | 10. | Summation | 39-15 |
| [39.13] | | The Structure of the CPLR Militates | |
| [] | | Against Counsel Fee Awards to Oppose | |
| | | an Affirmative Defense of an Existing | |
| | | Agreement and Favors Fee Awards to | |
| | | Enforce or Uphold Agreement Pleadings, | |
| | | CPLR 3011 | 39-15 |
| [39.14] | 12. | The Rulings in the First and Second | -, |
| [0711.] | | Departments and the Court of Appeals | |
| | | Provide a Blueprint of Counsel Fee | |
| | | Awards to the Party Who Has Not | |
| | | Disclosed the Existence of an | |
| | | Agreement | 39-16 |
| [39.15] | 13. | Although Absent from DRL § 237, | 0, 10 |
| [57.15] | 15. | DRL § 236B(3) Expressly Contemplates | |
| | | Enforcement of Marital Agreements | |
| | | Within theContext of Matrimonial | |
| | | Actions | 39-19 |
| [39.16] | 14 | Additional Actions and Proceedings | 37 17 |
| [57.10] | 17. | Wherein Counsel Fees May Not Be | |
| | | Awarded | 39-19 |
| [39.17] | II Counse | l Fees In the Face of a | J) 1) |
| [37.17] | | tual Provision | 30_23 |

| [39.18] | | A. | Counsel Fees to the "Prevailing" or | |
|----------|------|----|---|---------------|
| | | | "Successful" Party; Definition of | |
| | | | "Prevailing", "Successful"; Indemnification | |
| | | | May Be Gleaned From the Overall | |
| | | | Language | 39-30 |
| [39.19] | | B. | Enforcement of an Agreement Does Not | |
| . , | | | Include Defending a Separate Action | |
| | | | Commenced By a Third-Party To Foreclose | |
| | | | a Tax Lien on a Residence For Which | |
| | | | Reason Contractual Counsel Fees Do Not | |
| | | | Apply | 39-32 |
| [39.20] | | C. | Agreements Indemnifying Counsel Fees and | 0, 0_ |
| [57.20] | | О. | Family Court's Limited Jurisdiction | 39-33 |
| [39.21] | | D. | An Agreement to Obtain an "Amicable" | 37 33 |
| [57.21] | | Σ. | Divorce With "No Further Litigation on | |
| | | | Either Side" | 39-35 |
| [39.22] | | E. | Kessler v. Kessler: Counsel Fees in the | 37 33 |
| [37.22] | | L. | Face of a Prenuptial Agreement | 39-35 |
| [39.23] | | F. | The Clash Between Two Public Policies: | 37 33 |
| [37.23] | | 1. | Resolution by Agreement and Leveling | |
| | | | Financial Disparity | 39-37 |
| [39.24] | | G. | The Two-Tier Test in Kessler | 39-38 |
| [39.24] | | Н. | Construing Kessler | 39-40 |
| [39.26] | | I. | Anonymous v. Anonymous | 39-40 |
| [39.20] | | J. | Contractual Provisions and Contractual | <i>39</i> -40 |
| [39.27] | | J. | SilenceRegarding Counsel Fees | 39-41 |
| 120 201 | | K. | Gentile v. Gentile | 39-41 |
| [39.28] | | L. | | 39-43 |
| [39.29] | | L. | Provisions in Prenuptial Agreements Partining to Child Polated Matters Are | |
| | | | Pertaining to Child Related Matters Are | 20.46 |
| 120 201 | | N | Not Enforceable | 39-46 |
| [39.30] | | M. | C | 20.46 |
| [20, 21] | TTT | C | Counsel Fees, Hearing or Submission | 39-46 |
| [39.31] | III. | _ | ecificity of a Retainer Agreement | 39-48 |
| [39.32] | IV. | | s On Fees | 39-49 |
| [39.33] | V. | | tlement Agreement Including Provisions to | |
| | | - | Own Attorney Fees; Duty of an | 20.50 |
| F20 2 43 | | | orney to Deal Honestly | 39-50 |
| [39.34] | | A. | , E | 39-52 |
| [39.35] | | В. | Discharged Counsel, With and Without | 20. 7- |
| | | | Cause | 39-55 |

| Chapter 40 | | Allegations In Vacatur Proceedings | | | | | |
|------------|------|---|-------|--|--|--|--|
| [40.0] | I. | The Prima Facie Case and Evidentiary | | | | | |
| | | Burden in Setting Aside an Agreement | 40-3 | | | | |
| [40.1] | II. | Benefits of Defending Vacatur Action By | | | | | |
| - | | Summary Judgment Motion | 40-5 | | | | |
| [40.2] | III. | Courts Must Examine Evidentiary Facts In | | | | | |
| - | | Ruling On Summary Judgment | 40-6 | | | | |
| [40.3] | IV. | Treatment of a Motion To Dismiss For | | | | | |
| - | | Failure to State a Claim As a Motion For | | | | | |
| | | Summary Judgment | 40-9 | | | | |
| | | • | | | | | |
| Chapte | | Prenuptial Agreements | | | | | |
| [41.0] | I. | Prenuptial Agreements | 41-3 | | | | |
| [41.1] | | A. Recognition of Prenuptial Agreements as | | | | | |
| | | Binding Contracts | 41-6 | | | | |
| [41.2] | | B. Provisions in Prenuptial Agreements | | | | | |
| | | Pertaining to Children Are Not | | | | | |
| | | Enforceable | 41-11 | | | | |
| [41.3] | | B. Interpreting the Agreement | 41-12 | | | | |
| [41.4] | Ii. | Procedural Requirements of Domestic Relations | | | | | |
| | | Law § 236B(3) (DRL) Must Be Met; the | | | | | |
| | | Validity of the Marriage; a Prenuptial | | | | | |
| | | Agreement May Not Be the Basis Of a | | | | | |
| | | Conversion Divorce | 41-14 | | | | |
| [41.5] | III. | The Effect of Lack of Independent | | | | | |
| | | Representation By Counsel | 41-16 | | | | |
| [41.6] | | A. Spiegel v. Spiegel | 41-16 | | | | |
| [41.7] | IV. | Insistence On Financial Terms At the Pain of | | | | | |
| | | Canceling the Wedding; Threat Not to Marry | | | | | |
| | | a Pregnant Woman | 41-18 | | | | |
| [41.8] | V. | The Effective Date of a Marital Agreement | 41-19 | | | | |
| [41.9] | VI. | Vacatur of a Prenuptial Agreement Due to | | | | | |
| | | Fraud, Concealment, or Other Deception; | | | | | |
| | | Evidentiary Burden of Proof Rests Upon the | | | | | |
| | | Party Seeking Vacatur | 41-21 | | | | |
| [41.10] | | A. <i>In re Greiff</i> : There Is No Shift in | | | | | |
| | | the Burden of Proof to Vacate a | | | | | |
| | | Prenuptial Agreement | 41-24 | | | | |
| [41.11] | | B. Termination of the Fiduciary Relationship | | | | | |
| | | Between Spouses and Affianced Parties | 41-28 | | | | |
| [41.12] | | C. Burden of Proof | 41-28 | | | | |
| [41 13] | | D. Greiff on Remand | 41-34 | | | | |

| [41.14] | | E. Gottlieb v. Gottlieb, Facts | 41-37 |
|-------------|-------|---|-------|
| [41.15] | | F. Gottlieb, the Majority | 41-38 |
| [41.16] | VII. | Criteria For Probable Undue Influence and | |
| | | Unfair Advantage | 41-40 |
| [41.17] | VIII. | Waivers of Equitable Distribution; Waivers of | |
| | | Maintenance; Waivers of "any and All" | |
| | | Maintenance and "any and All" Counsel | |
| | | Fees | 41-41 |
| [41.18] | | Waiver Of Estates Rights | 41-46 |
| [41.19] | X. | Failure to Disclose Income or Assets; | |
| | | Unclear or Unspecific Disclosure | 41-48 |
| [41.20] | XI. | Estate Tax Consequences From Gifts In | |
| | | Prenuptial Agreements | 41-53 |
| [41.21] | | A. Sufficient Time to Review the | |
| | | Agreement | 41-53 |
| [41.22] | | B. Paying for Other Party's Counsel Does | |
| | | Not Per Se Constitute Overreaching | 41-53 |
| [41.23] | | C. Sunset Clauses | 41-53 |
| [41.24] | | D. New York Enforces Prenuptial Agreements | |
| | | Signed In Other Jurisdictions Whose | |
| | | Procedural Formalities Are Different From | |
| | | New York's | 41-54 |
| [41.25] | | E. Prenuptial Agreements Prepared In Notaire/ | |
| | | Notar Countries Are Enforceable | 41-54 |
| [41.26] | | 1. Van Kipnis v. Van Kipnis | 41-54 |
| [41.27] | | 2. Stawski v. Stawski, Upheld Agreement | |
| 544 203 | | Prepared by a German Notar | 41-55 |
| [41.28] | | 3. Karg v. Kern | 41-55 |
| [41.29] | | 4. Van Kipnis And Stawski Emphasized | |
| | | Public Policy Which Favors Parties' | |
| | | "Ordering Their Own Interests Via | 41.56 |
| E 4 4 2 0 3 | | Agreements" | 41-56 |
| [41.30] | | 5. Stein-Sapir v. Stein-Sapir | 41-57 |
| [41.31] | | 6. Lezama v. Pedraza | 41-58 |
| Chapter | r 42 | Express Oral Agreements Between Cohabiting | , |
| Chapte | T4 | Partners | • |
| [42.0] | I. | Express Oral Agreements Between Cohabiting | |
| [] | | Partners | 42-3 |
| [42.1] | | A. Morone v. Morone | 42-4 |
| [42.2] | | B. The Court of Appeals Reversed | 42-5 |
| [42.3] | | C. Implied Contract Is Not Valid | 42-6 |
| [] | | r | 0 |

| [42.4] | II. | No Interim Support For Nonmarried Parties | 42-9 | | | | |
|----------------|-------|---|----------|--|--|--|--|
| [42.5] | III. | "I Will Always Take Care of You" | | | | | |
| [42.6] | | A. Lawful and Unlawful Objectives; Distilling | | | | | |
| | | Lawful From Unlawful Purposes | 42-11 | | | | |
| [42.7] | | B. Donnell v. Stogel | 42-18 | | | | |
| [42.8] | | 1. The Plaintiff's Extensive | | | | | |
| | | Contributions | 42-18 | | | | |
| [42.9] | | 2. Written Compensation Package | 42-19 | | | | |
| [42.10] | | 3. Illegality of Consideration Was | | | | | |
| | | Small | 42-19 | | | | |
| [42.11] | IV. | Past Consideration | 42-20 | | | | |
| [42.12] | V. | Burden of Proof to Establish Express | | | | | |
| | | Agreement | 42-21 | | | | |
| [42.13] | VI. | Constructive Trust, Unjust Enrichment, | | | | | |
| | | Cohabiting Partners | 42-22 | | | | |
| [42.14] | VII. | Quantum Meruit For Domestic Services | 42-29 | | | | |
| [42.15] | VIII. | Constructive Trust Also Applies to | | | | | |
| | | Contributions of Time, Effort, and Money | 42-31 | | | | |
| [42.16] | IX. | Distinction Between Constructive Trusts and | | | | | |
| | | Resulting Trusts | 42-33 | | | | |
| [42.17] | X. | Statute of Frauds: Partnership Agreement, | | | | | |
| | | Partial Performance | 42-34 | | | | |
| [42.18] | XI. | Partnership At Will | 42-34 | | | | |
| [42.19] | XII. | Written Agreements Among Domestic | | | | | |
| | | Partners—Gonzalez v. Green: the Facts | 42-37 | | | | |
| [42.20] | | A. The Defendant's Theory | 42-38 | | | | |
| [42.21] | | B. Ruling, Express Agreement | 42-38 | | | | |
| [42.22] | | C. Mutual Mistake | 42-40 | | | | |
| [42.23] | | D. Conclusion | 42-42 | | | | |
| Chapte | r 43 | Unjust Enrichment, Quantum Meruit, Quasi C | Contract | | | | |
| I | | Implied Contract | | | | | |
| [43.0] | I. | Unjust Enrichment, Quantum Meruit, Quasi | | | | | |
| [] | | Contract, Implied Contract | 43-3 | | | | |
| [43.1] | II. | Implied Contracts | 43-9 | | | | |
| [43.2] | III. | "Money Had And Received" Is Premised | | | | | |
| - J | | On Unjust Enrichment | 43-12 | | | | |
| [43.3] | IV. | The Party Invoking the Existence of an | - | | | | |
| [] | | Implied-in-Fact Covenant Has a Heavy | | | | | |
| | | Burden of Proof | 43-13 | | | | |

| Chapter 44 | | Contractual Obligations and Tort, Intentional Affliction of Emotional Distress | | | | | |
|------------|------|---|--------|--|--|--|--|
| [44.0] | I. | Contractual Obligations and Tort | 44-3 | | | | |
| [44.1] | II. | The Tort Of Intentional Infliction of | | | | | |
| . , | | Emotional Distress | 44-10 | | | | |
| [44.2] | III. | Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress | | | | | |
| | | Does Not Extend to Matrimonial Cases | 44-10 | | | | |
| [44.3] | IV. | Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress, | | | | | |
| - | | Cohabitating Couples | 44-11 | | | | |
| [44.4] | V. | Fraud as a Separate Claim | 44-13 | | | | |
| Chapte | r 45 | How Courts Have Construed Contractual Lar | ıguage | | | | |
| [45.0] | I. | Language Used In Agreements | 45-3 | | | | |
| [45.1] | | A. "This Agreement Is Binding Upon" | 45-3 | | | | |
| [45.2] | | B. "This Agreement Shall Be Governed and | | | | | |
| - | | Construed in Accordance With the Laws | | | | | |
| | | of the State of New York in Force | | | | | |
| | | at the Date of the Execution of This | | | | | |
| | | Agreement" | 45-3 | | | | |
| [45.3] | | C. Length of Marriage, "In the Event of an | | | | | |
| - | | Operative Event" | 45-3 | | | | |
| [45.4] | | D. Agreements to Agree | 45-4 | | | | |
| [45.5] | | E. Mediation and Arbitration | 45-4 | | | | |
| [45.6] | II. | Technical Meanings and Terms of Art | 45-5 | | | | |
| [45.7] | | A. Meaning of Alimony | 45-5 | | | | |
| [45.8] | | B. Bi-Weekly Versus Bi-Monthly | 45-5 | | | | |
| [45.9] | | C. Definition: Income | 45-6 | | | | |
| [45.10] | | 1. Gross Income, Gross Earnings | 45-6 | | | | |
| [45.11] | | 2. Gross Earned Income, Gross | | | | | |
| | | Earnings | 45-6 | | | | |
| [45.12] | | 3. "Gross Income from <i>All</i> Sources" | | | | | |
| | | Includes Maintenance Income | 45-7 | | | | |
| [45.13] | | 4. "Gross Earned Income" | 45-8 | | | | |
| [45.14] | | 5. Current Gross Income | 45-9 | | | | |
| [45.15] | | 6. Wages Versus Gross Income, Wages | | | | | |
| | | Includes Retirement Income | 45-10 | | | | |
| [45.16] | | 7. Net Income | 45-11 | | | | |
| [45.17] | | 8. Net Pay | 45-13 | | | | |
| [45.18] | | 9. Income per Definition of CSSA | 45-13 | | | | |
| [45.19] | III. | Spousal Maintenance | 45-14 | | | | |
| [45.20] | | A. Conditions Regarding the Duration of | | | | | |
| - | | Spousal Support | 45-14 | | | | |

| [45.21] | | B. | Waiver of Temporary Maintenance: "Any and All Claims for Spousal Support and/or Maintenance," "Both Now and in the | |
|---------|-----|-----|--|-------|
| | | | Future" | 45-15 |
| [45.22] | | C. | Provision That a Spouse Will Not Work | |
| | | | During a Divorce | 45-15 |
| [45.23] | | D. | Does "Income" of \$30,000 per Year | |
| . , | | | Include Benefits? | 45-16 |
| [45.24] | | E. | "In Consideration of the Other | |
| | | | Provisions" | 45-16 |
| [45.25] | | F. | Cohabitation Clause | 45-16 |
| [45.26] | | G. | Unless Qualified Otherwise, "Remarriage" | |
| | | | Means to a Person Capable of Consent | 45-18 |
| [45.27] | | H. | Payor "Shall Purchase and Maintain, | |
| | | | Throughout the Lifetime Of"; Other Spouse | |
| | | | Survives Death of Payor | 45-18 |
| [45.28] | | I. | A Contractual Provision to Reconvey | |
| . , | | | Property Received in Lieu of Support as | |
| | | | a Condition Precedent to Setting Aside or | |
| | | | Modifying an Agreement | 45-19 |
| [45.29] | | J. | Non-Molestation Clause: Dependent or | |
| | | | Independent Covenant | 45-20 |
| [45.30] | | K. | Termination of Unallocated Spousal | |
| | | | Maintenance and Child Support | 45-21 |
| [45.31] | IV. | Spo | ousal Maintenance Based On Immigration | |
| | | | idavit of Support | 45-21 |
| [45.32] | V. | | ild Support | 45-22 |
| [45.33] | | A. | Failure to Include Limiting Language in | |
| | | | Agreement on Cap of Combined Parental | |
| | | | Income | 45-22 |
| [45.34] | | В. | Trade-Offs in Lieu of Child Support | 45-23 |
| [45.35] | | C. | Bargaining Away Tax Benefits Arising | |
| | | | From Child Support | 45-24 |
| [45.36] | | D. | "Child Support Payments in Advance" | |
| | | | Against Future Child Support: Payments or | |
| | | | Usury? | 45-24 |
| [45.37] | | E. | Unallocated Support | 45-25 |
| [45.38] | | F. | Termination of Unallocated Child Support; | |
| | | | No Implied Conditions | 45-26 |
| [45.39] | | G. | Payment of Child Support "Until Their | |
| | | | Emancipation" Does Not Permit Reductions | |
| | | | As Each Child Recomes Emancinated | 45-27 |

| [45.40] | | H. | Specifically Defined Emancipation Event | |
|---------|----------|------|---|-------|
| | | | Generally Terminates Payment Obligation | |
| | | | to Parent, But the Child Support | 45.07 |
| 545 413 | | | Obligation May Be Revived | 45-27 |
| [45.41] | | I. | Revival of Child Support Obligations | |
| | | | following the Termination of an | |
| | | | Emancipation Event | 45-29 |
| [45.42] | | J. | Termination of Unallocated Spousal | |
| | | | Maintenance and Child Support | 45-30 |
| [45.43] | | K. | Although Purpose of Money Is Spelled | |
| | | | Out in Agreement, Provision Held | |
| | | | Ambiguous | 45-30 |
| [45.44] | | L. | Child Support "Until the Attainment of | |
| | | | Majority" | 45-31 |
| [45.45] | | M. | "Minimum Amounts of Child Support" | |
| | | | Upward Modification Available | 45-31 |
| [45.46] | | N. | Contractual Standards for Modification of | |
| | | | Child Support | 45-32 |
| [45.47] | | O. | Duration of "Additional Child Support" | 45-32 |
| [45.48] | | P. | Credit for College Room and Board | |
| . , | | | Against Basic Child Support | 45-32 |
| [45.49] | | Q. | | |
| | | | Children | 45-36 |
| [45.50] | VI. | Firs | st Priority to Care For the Children | 45-36 |
| [45.51] | VII. | | ild Care | 45-37 |
| [45.52] | , 11. | A. | Mutual Agreement to Select Child Care | 10 07 |
| [10.02] | | | Provider | 45-37 |
| [45.53] | | B. | "Use of Same Child Care Person in | 15 57 |
| [43.33] | | ъ. | Each Home" | 45-37 |
| [45.54] | | C. | | 13 37 |
| [+3.5+] | | C. | Travel for Visitation | 45-38 |
| [45.55] | VIII | Car | mp | 45-38 |
| [45.56] | ۷ 1111 . | A. | • | 45-56 |
| [45.50] | | A. | Camp" | 45-38 |
| [45.57] | | В. | "Comparable Camp" | 45-38 |
| | | | | 43-36 |
| [45.58] | | C. | Summer Camp Is the Functional Equivalent | 15 20 |
| F45 501 | 137 | E (| of Day Care | 45-38 |
| | IX. | | forcement of Child Support | 45-39 |
| [45.60] | | A. | | |
| | | | Reimbursement for Child-Related | 45.30 |
| | | | Expenses | 45-39 |

| [45.61] | | В. | Agreement That Child Support Not Be Paid Through the Support Collection | |
|-------------|-----|--------------|---|--------------|
| | | | Unit | 45-39 |
| [45.62] | | C. | Notice as Condition Precedent to Child | 45.20 |
| F45 (2) | 37 | ъ. | Support | 45-39 |
| [45.63] | Χ. | | vate School, Boarding School, Therapeutic | 45 41 |
| F 4 5 C 4 3 | | | titutions | 45-41 |
| [45.64] | | Α. | Special Education | 45-41 |
| [45.65] | | В. | Educational Expenses Deemed Child | |
| | | | Support | 45-41 |
| [45.66] | | C. | Therapeutic Boarding School as Medical | |
| | | | Expense | 45-42 |
| [45.67] | | D. | The Phrase "the Children Shall Continue | |
| | | | To Attend School Within a School | |
| | | | District" May Be Ambiguous as it Does | |
| | | | Not Necessarily Mean That They Must | |
| | | | Attend a <i>Public</i> School in That District | |
| | | | Rather Than Be Home Schooled | 45-42 |
| [45.68] | XI. | Def | finition of College | 45-42 |
| [45.69] | | A. | College Expenses, Grants and Scholarships, | |
| [.0.07] | | | "Share in the Costs", "Split the Costs", | |
| | | | "Shall Contribute on a Pro Rata Basis", | |
| | | | "SUNY Cap", Sports | 45-44 |
| [45.70] | | B. | Application to "Said College or University" | 43-44 |
| [43.70] | | Ъ. | for all Possible Grants | 15 16 |
| [45 71] | | \mathbf{c} | | 45-46 |
| [45.71] | | C. | "Pursuit of College Education with | |
| | | | Reasonable Diligence," "Diligent Pursuit of | 45 47 |
| F 4 5 501 | | - | Education" | 45-47 |
| [45.72] | | D. | Veterinary Program Is Not a College | |
| | | | Education | 45-48 |
| [45.73] | | E. | Attea v. Attea | 45-49 |
| [45.74] | | F. | College-Level Education | 45-50 |
| [45.75] | | G. | Contractual College Expenses, When | |
| | | | Agreement Is Silent as to Age | 45-50 |
| [45.76] | | H. | "The Parties Shall Share the Costs and | |
| | | | Expenses for the College Education | |
| | | | Limited to Four Years of College"; This | |
| | | | Language Is Not Circumscribed by the | |
| | | | Child's Attaining the Age of 21 | 45-51 |
| [45.77] | | H. | "College Tuition and Expenses" Extends | |
| | | - | Obligation Beyond Child's 21st | |
| | | | Birthday | 45-51 |
| | | | | |

| [45.78] | | I. | "Will Pay 100% of the Tuition, | |
|-------------|-------|-----|---|-------------------------------|
| | | | Room, Board and Books 'Until the Child | |
| | | | Completes the Degree He Is Currently In" | 45-52 |
| [45.79] | | J. | Support/Tuition Beyond a Child's 21st | |
| | | | Birthday When Fully Matriculated | 45-53 |
| [45.80] | | K. | Child Support Until a Specific Age or | |
| | | | Event, Attainment of a Degree | 45-53 |
| [45.81] | | L. | Attendance at a Specific College | 45-53 |
| [45.82] | XII. | | visions Requiring Consultation With or | |
| | | Coı | nsent of the Non-Custodial Parent | 45-56 |
| [45.83] | | A. | General | 45-56 |
| [45.84] | | B. | Implicit Duty to Consult, Burden of Proof | |
| | | | Regarding Consent | 45-60 |
| [45.85] | | C. | Parent Not Consulted | 45-61 |
| [45.86] | | D. | "If The Parties Agree": Must They Agree | |
| . , | | | to the School or to the Amount Each | |
| | | | Will Pay?, Children Can Not Be Deemed | |
| | | | to Be Required to Contribute Towards | |
| | | | Their College Expenses | 45-62 |
| [45.87] | | E. | Student Loans | 45-62 |
| [45.88] | | F. | "Consultation Clause" Not Enforceable, | 15 02 |
| [43.00] | | 1. | Agreement to Agree to Negotiate | 45-64 |
| [45.89] | | H. | "The Well Being, Education and | 1 3-0 1 |
| [+3.07] | | 11. | Development of the Children Shall at All | |
| | | | Times Be Paramount'' | 45-66 |
| [45 00] | | I. | When the Agreement and the Consent | 45-00 |
| [45.90] | | 1. | | 15 67 |
| F45 011 | | т | Must Be in Writing | 45-67 |
| [45.91] | | J. | How Many Consultations Are Necessary to | |
| | | | Satisfy a Contractual Consultation | 45.67 |
| F 4 5 0 2 3 | | 7.7 | Requirement? | 45-67 |
| [45.92] | | K. | Tacit and Implied Consent | 45-68 |
| [45.93] | | L. | Refusal to Receive Mail, No Timely | |
| | | | Objections | 45-70 |
| [45.94] | XIII. | | llege Tuition | 45-70 |
| [45.95] | | A. | College Expenses | 45-70 |
| [45.96] | | В. | "Assist Reasonably" With Child's Higher | |
| | | | Education Does Not Distinguish Between | |
| | | | Private or Public College | 45-74 |

| [45.97] | C. | "Existing Respective Incomes" Does Not | |
|---------------|------|--|-------|
| | | Necessarily Mean The Same Thing As | |
| | | "As Their Incomes Allow" or "Existing | |
| | | Financial Ability to Pay" | 45-75 |
| [45.98] | D. | "Shall Endeavor" to Pay College | |
| | | Expenses | 45-76 |
| [45.99] | E. | Unspecified Directions in Agreement as to | |
| | | College Education Payments Contemplates | |
| | | Future Judicial Determination | 45-76 |
| [45.100] | E. | Are Agreements That Initially Call Upon | |
| | | the Parties To "Consult" With One | |
| | | Another To "Try To Reach an Agreement | |
| | | on Payment" of College Education and | |
| | | Expenses "at the Time Those Expenses | |
| | | Arise" Before Seeking Judicial Determination | n |
| | | Unenforceable Agreements To Agree? Pinto | |
| | | v. Pinto Erred That Such a Provision Is | |
| | | an Unenforceable Agreement To Agree | 45-77 |
| [45.101] | G. | Silence in an Agreement as to College | |
| | | Expenses | 45-83 |
| [45.102] | H. | Bills Incurred While at College | 45-83 |
| [45.103] XIV. | Bur | den of Proof As to Reasonableness of | |
| | Wit | thheld Consent | 45-84 |
| [45.104] XV. | Spc | orts and Extracurricular Activities | 45-85 |
| [45.105] XVI. | "Re | eligious School" Is Not an "activity" | 45-86 |
| | | esidence Away From the Custodial Parent" | |
| | "or | Otherwise," Ejusdem Generis | 45-86 |
| [45.107] | A. | College Residence Not Deemed Residence | |
| | | Away From Home | 45-87 |
| [45.108] | B. | Child Support Conditioned Upon Child's | |
| | | Full-Time Residence With the Mother | 45-87 |
| [45.109] XVII | I.Re | al Property | 45-88 |
| [45.110] | A. | Date of Sale | 45-88 |
| [45.111] | B. | Duration of Exclusive Occupancy Not | |
| | | Specified | 45-89 |
| [45.112] | C. | Duration of Real Estate Payments Until | |
| | | the Occurrence of an Enumerated Event | 45-91 |
| [45.113] | D. | Buyout Price | 45-91 |
| [45.114] | E. | Payment Schedule Must Be Honored | |
| _ | | Pursuant to the Agreement | 45-93 |
| [45.115] | F. | Descriptions of Parcels of Land | 45-93 |
| [45 116] | G | - | 45-94 |

| [45.117] | H. | Credit for Down Payment; Down Payment | |
|---------------|-----|---|--------|
| | | Does Not Include Closing Costs | 45-94 |
| [45.118] XIX. | Tax | es | 45-95 |
| [45.119] | A. | Tax Exemptions for Children; "Subject to" | |
| | | Federal and State Taxes | 45-95 |
| [45.120] | B. | Change in Tax Laws Re: Deductibility of | |
| | | Spousal Maintenance | 45-96 |
| [45.121] | C. | Indemnification of Tax Liabilities, Including | |
| | | Interest and Penalties | 45-97 |
| [45.122] | D. | Joint Tax Returns and Hold Harmless | |
| | | Clause | 45-98 |
| [45.123] | E. | Joint Returns and Proportional Contribution | |
| | | Toward Taxes; Any Taxes Due Will Be | |
| | | "Paid in Proportion to the Parties" | |
| | | Respective Income" Is Ambiguous | 45-98 |
| [45.124] | F. | Equal Division of Tax Return | 45-99 |
| [45.125] | G. | Disclosure of Front Page of Tax Return | |
| | | Does Not Permit Any Redactions | 45-99 |
| [45.126] | H. | Directive to Parent to Execute IRS Form | |
| | | to Claim Child as Dependent | 45-100 |
| [45.127] XX. | Pen | sions and Estates | 45-100 |
| [45.128] | A. | Meaning of "Retirement" | 45-100 |
| [45.129] | В. | "A Share of All Payments" | 45-101 |
| [45.130] | C. | Disability Pensions | 45-101 |
| [45.131] | D. | "The Pension Shall Be Divided Pursuant | |
| | | to the Majauskas Formula," Date Payment | |
| | | Can Begin | 45-102 |
| [45.132] | E. | Pension Clauses, "Date of Commencement | |
| | | of Action" Does Not Include Post | |
| | | Commencement Earnings and/or Losses | 45-102 |
| [45.133] | F. | "The [Party] Shall Opt to Have the | |
| | | Pension Throughout the Life of the | |
| | | [Party]" | 45-103 |
| [45.134] | G. | Separation Agreement and Survivor | |
| | | Benefits | 45-103 |
| [45.135] | H. | Military Pension Distribution | 45-104 |
| [45.136] | I. | Language Regarding Navy Pension | 45-105 |
| | | er Clauses | 45-106 |
| [45.138] | Α. | Intervening Event | 45-106 |
| [45.139] | В. | Separation Agreement Converts Distributed | |
| | | Property Into Separate Property | 45-106 |

| [45.140] | C. | Words in an Agreement Are to Be | |
|---|-------|--|---------------------|
| | | Given Ordinary Dictionary Definitions | 45-107 |
| [45.141] | D. | Release of Charges by Corporate Alter | |
| | | Ego | 45-108 |
| [45.142] | E. | Amortization Payment | 45-108 |
| [45.143] | F. | Separate Property, Coming Off the Top | 45-108 |
| [45.144] XXII | . Tax | es, Capital Gains | 45-109 |
| [45.145] | A. | Capital Gains Versus Taxes Under CPLR | |
| | | 5234(a) and 5236(g) | 45-109 |
| [45.146] | B. | Transfer Taxes as a Component of | |
| | | Obligation to Transfer Title | 45-110 |
| [45.147] XXII | I.Est | ate Waivers | 45-110 |
| [45.148] | A. | In re Maruccia | 45-110 |
| [45.149] | B. | Inheritance Clause | 45-115 |
| [45.150] XXIV | √.Ma | rital Residence | 45-116 |
| [45.151] | A. | Agreement to Sell the Marital Residence | 45-116 |
| [45.152] | В. | Obligation to Continue Paying Half the | |
| | | Mortgage | 45-117 |
| [45.153] | C. | Trees and Shrubbery Are Part of the | |
| | | Marital Premises | 45-117 |
| [45.154] | D. | Death, Settlement Agreements and Property | |
| | | Distribution | 45-118 |
| [45.155] XXV | | es the Sale of a Business Constitute a | |
| | Bus | iness Profit? "sell and Transfer" Includes | |
| | | umbrance | 45-118 |
| [45.156] XXV | | ilerplate Unrestricted Residency Clause Does | |
| | Not | Allow Relocation Anywhere With Child | 45-119 |
| [45.157] | A. | Radius Clause Permitting Relocation | |
| | | Measured Radially | 45-119 |
| | | enuptial | 45-120 |
| [45.159] | _ | Language in Prenuptial Agreement | 45-120 |
| [45.160] | В. | Waiver of All Rights Under the DRL | |
| | | and Equitable Distribution Law | 45-121 |
| [45.161] | C. | Waiver of Rights in the Estate Is Not a | |
| | _ | Waiver of Equitable Distribution | 45-122 |
| [45.162] | D. | Agreement as to Property Distribution in | |
| F.1.5.1.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2 | | Case of Death but Not Divorce | 45-122 |
| | | tribution of Proceeds Per Prenuptial | 45 100 |
| | Δατ | reement | 45 ₋ 122 |

| [45.164] A. | | nere Provision in Prenuptial Agreement States | |
|---|------|---|--------------------|
| | | usiness Is a Party's Separate Property | |
| | | anging the Name of the Business Does | 45 100 |
| F 4 5 4 6 5 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 | | t Alter Its Separate Property Status | 45-123 |
| [45.165] XXIX | | nguage of the Prenuptial Agreement | 4.7.400 |
| | | lowed | 45-123 |
| [45.166] XXX | | nguage In Prenuptial Agreement Regarding | |
| | | ate Distribution | 45-124 |
| | | edical Expenses | 45-125 |
| [45.168] | A. | Definition of Medical Services; Health Care | |
| | | Providers | 45-125 |
| [45.169] | В. | "Unreimbursed Medical Expenses" and | |
| | | Health Insurance Premiums | 45-126 |
| [45.170] | C. | Pharmaceutical Expenses | 45-127 |
| [45.171] | D. | "Orthodontia, Dental and Ophthalmology | |
| | | and Necessities" Does Not Mean All | |
| | | Medical Necessities | 45-127 |
| [45.172] | E. | "Medical Expenses" Includes Dental and | |
| , | | Ophthalmology | 45-128 |
| [45.173] | F. | "Necessary Medical Expenses" Includes | |
| , | | Orthodontia | 45-128 |
| [45.174] | G. | "Medical Expenses" Includes Allergy | |
| [| | Inoculations and Dental Expenses | 45-129 |
| [45.175] | H. | Extraordinary Medical and Dental | 127 |
| [13.170] | 11. | Expenses | 45-130 |
| [45.176] | I. | Medical Coverage; Level of Coverage | 15 150 |
| [13.170] | | Versus Cost of Coverage | 45-130 |
| [45 177] XXX | п "г | Prevailing Party" and Counsel Fees | 45-131 |
| | | Counsel Fees May Be Sought But Each | 45 151 |
| [43.170] 2424 | | Party Is Responsible For His Own Fees | 45-135 |
| [45 170] YYY | | Counsel Fees, Enforcement of Rights, | 4 3-133 |
| [43.179] AAA | | Defending a Motion | 45-135 |
| [45 190] VVV | | ast Versus Future Tense, FutureServices | 45-136 |
| - | | | 45-150 |
| - | | De Novo" Designation In an Agreement Is | |
| | | Insufficient to Confer Subject Matter | |
| | | Jurisdiction Upon Family Court For Support | 45 127 |
| F 4 5 1 0 0 1 37 37 37 | | Modification | 45-137 |
| | | Miscellaneous | 45-137 |
| [45.183] | A. | | |
| | | Past, Present, or Future" and Fraud | |
| | | Claims | 45-137 |

| [45.184] | В. | "When and If", Unenforceable Due to | | | |
|----------|---|--|--------|--|--|
| | | Ripeness as a Possible Future Event | | | |
| | | Where the Harm May Not Come to | | | |
| | | Pass, Nonjusticiable as Speculative and | | | |
| | | Abstract | 45-138 | | |
| [45.185] | C. | Premium Clauses in Retainer | | | |
| | | Agreements | 45-138 | | |
| [45.186] | D. | Prenuptial Agreement to Be Interpreted | | | |
| | | According to New York Law but | | | |
| | | Distribution of Marital Property Is Not | | | |
| | | Governed Pursuant to DRL § 236B | 45-139 | | |
| [45.187] | E. | Voluntary Payments | 45-139 | | |
| [45.188] | F. | Indemnification and Hold Harmless Clause | 45-140 | | |
| [45.189] | G. | Prenuptial Agreement That Children From a | | | |
| | | Prior Marriage May Not Live in the | | | |
| | | Same Home With New Spouse | 45-140 | | |
| [45.190] | H. | A Prisoner's Agreement Not to Petition | | | |
| | | for Visitation during Incarceration Does Not | | | |
| | | Preclude a Petition to Correspond with the | | | |
| | | Child through Cards and Letters | 45-141 | | |
| Appendix | U.S. Sponsors of Foreign Nationals Must | | | | |
| | Bey | vare! | 45-143 | | |

Table of Authorities Index

DEDICATIONS

To My Wife, Deborah

For your love and devotion. For your gentleness. For your goodness. For your warm smile and tender words that greet me every morning and inaugurate each new day with happiness and sunlight. For your integrity. For your extraordinary character. For your keen mind, striking intellect and quick wit which embrace and advance the love of scholarship and learning, the touchstone and the hallmark of every member of your family. You are a woman of valor who infuses these joys into my life every day, which inspire my deepest gratitude and devotion. Thank you for your family (well, most of 'em anyway ②).

Every day is a blessing that is gifted to me through your happy smile, gentle kindness and soft spoken patience. May your blessing, our blessing, radiate and endure.

I dedicate this volume in Loving Honor and Memory of my wife, Angela Susan Scheinberg, who was murdered in the World Trade Center, on September 11, 2001. In addition to exemplifying class, elegance and grace, Angela was the most noble and ethical person I have ever met or will ever meet. Her life was a paradigm of kindness, virtue, and selflessness. Angela's moral compass and goodness were beacons of light. She displayed compassion for every living thing. Angela's unparalleled wisdom was wrenched from her daughters, Janine Ashley and Linda, whom she loved endlessly and unconditionally. There was still so much that I had and needed to learn from her. I could have been so much more with Angela in my life.

I further dedicate this volume in Memory and in Honor of all the victims and families of September 11th in the World Trade Center, the Pentagon, American Airlines Flight 77, and United Airlines Flight 93. The 9/11 victims were murdered by those professing a deity and pledged to an ideology that required the random wholesale murder of people who had proved an ability to coexist peacefully in a fully elected democratic Society. God Bless America.

I dedicate this volume in honor of the first responders who heroically gave their lives and sustained permanent debilitating illnesses and injuries, to enter the blazing inferno of death to save and rescue others without any consideration for their own safety.

To all victims of terror in England, Israel and Spain.

I honor the victims and the families of the Oklahoma City bombing, April 19, 1995. I am grateful for the friendship and love of the wonderful families from Oklahoma City who came to New York to stand beside us to shoulder our grief. It is with deep love that we are eternally united and bonded.

It is with the deepest love and gratitude that I honor my parents, Zev and Elisa Scheinberg. After having risen from the ashes of the concentration camps where their families perished, including parents and two children, my parents shepherded me along life's path with the three most important values that served as their North Star, and, thankfully, eventually mine: charity towards my fellow man, regardless of race, faith, or color—that no matter how little I might ever have many others would have still less; a love of and thirst for learning; and patriotic devotion to the United States. My mother was valedictorian at her university in Europe and spoke eight languages, as had her mother. She continued her

masterful education on these shores. Her studies in literature and the performing arts inspired my love of opera. My father spoke seven languages and was well-versed in the Talmud. It was impossible to find either of my parents without a book in hand. Even though we lived in slums when I was growing up, my father worked two jobs and my mother one to provide me with the best education available. They told me that they would always love me provided that I earned an honest living and lived a charitable life. They made so many sacrifices for me that I wish I had more than a razor-thin paragraph in which to capture their greatness. It is only because of their lifelong efforts that this treatise was possible.

To my daughter, Linda Tan. What an extraordinary woman you have grown into. The pride that I feel cannot be set in words. Linda is charismatic and extremely disciplined with a keen intellect. Her mother and father, Shao Xia and Keng Seng Tan, and her mom, Angela, are undoubtedly beaming with pride and nachat as they look down upon her as she matures professionally and personally. Linda adored Angela and looked up to her for guidance and wisdom. Linda eagerly absorbed all that Angela had to offer—and there was so much more. The challenges that have confronted Linda during her tender years have locked her moral compass in a flawless direction. Linda's distinctive intellectual prowess and curiosity are an inspiration and establish her as a formidable sparring partner. Her integrity at all levels is exemplary. I am proud to be her father because it has helped me become a better human being.

It is with the greatest humility and reverence that I can ever marshal from the innermost recesses of my heart and soul with which I thank and bless St. Clare's Church, Staten Island, NY, for the extraordinary kindness that the parish bestowed, day after day, week after week, month after month, and year after year, upon the 9/11 families whose hearts, souls, and spirits had been mortally wounded. There can be no words to ever properly or sufficiently express the eternal gratitude that I will carry for St. Clare's in my heart. Were I to live many more lifetimes I could never sufficiently thank you or express my deepest appreciation and love for the warmth, love, and always ready shoulder that you provided to sustain us. Your selfless compassion, most noble friends, was our lifeline and is a true example of how you live and complete God's word. When daily living was a painful chore, you offered your hearts and spirits. I respectfully recognize those who stood at the helm of St. Clare's Outreach because you extended yourselves beyond all limits and measures during our darkest hour: Dennis Mckeon, Chairman; Committee: Stephen Rynn, Jim Mazzarella, Tony Cimaglia, Bernice Fischer, Maureen Atkinson, Jack Lamantia, and Jim Connors. God Bless You, St. Clare's.

I further dedicate this treatise to Voices of September 11th which was co-founded by 9/11 family members Mary Fetchet, LCSW and former educator. Mary's 24-year-old son, Brad, perished in the World Trade center on 9/11, and Beverly Eckert, whose husband, Sean Rooney, also perished that day, tragically lost her own life in a plane crash, in 2009. Voices of September 11th came to life immediately following the attacks on September 11th. The mission of VOICES is to commemorate the lives lost on 9/11, provide long term support services to members of the 9/11 community, advocate for reforms to make our country safer and provide ongoing assistance to those impacted by mass trauma. The organization provides information resources to over 14,000 registered members from around the world.

The groups and organizations that mobilized on behalf of the victims and their families.

I express my deep love for the once six strangers who on 9/11 became eternally bonded with me and with each other as the closest family imaginable. For the ensuing years we sustained each other throughout the excruciatingly painful seconds, minutes, hours, days, weeks, months, and years that followed that grim and fateful Tuesday. We "were there" for one another, no matter the hour, to cry together and to keep our shattered hearts from further crumbling so that we could, at least, put up a front, transparently feeble as it was, for our children and grandchildren. Together we grew and learned to walk again. My eternal family: Nick M. Chiarchiaro, Michelle Ludwig, Denise Matusa, Lenore Raimondi, Cheri Magnus Sparacio, and Roseann Stabile.

Jackie Yudelowitz, LCSW, is a woman of extraordinary depth, intelligence, and understanding with a much greater heart. I am honored to call Jackie one of my dearest and most precious long-time friends and family. Jackie and Angela were best friends who explored the intellectual and philosophical aspects of life to better themselves and the world around them. Jackie was critical in shepherding me through my grief, always there as a pillar of strength and loving support during my most painful hours. I could never have learned to stand up and walk again without her warm determined guidance. No time was ever inconvenient for Jackie. Her heart was an open door to me whenever my heart ached and cried, no matter how many times a day. No words can sufficiently fashion my heartfelt love.

Jonathon and Dina Leader are unique in their compassion. Jon and Dina opened their hearts and their home to many scores of 9/11 families. They collected us, broken emotional and spiritual shards, and united us under their roof. Jon and Dina built a haven where we marked holidays tearfully, when traditional holiday greetings were all but impossible to utter. Sighs, silent tears, and gazes etched in sorrow were all that we could marshal as initial means of communication. They have continued the tradition. The Leaders extended themselves to provide for individual families who were paralyzed in sorrow and could no longer help themselves. Jon and Dina went to extraordinary and unimaginable limits: on their own initiative, they crafted a bill on behalf of disabled 9/11 families, summoned congressional lawmakers in Washington, and ushered the new legislation into federal law. As for me, Jon and Dina embraced me as an enduring family member. I can never adequately express my deepest love, respect, and gratitude.

Robert Kelner, Esq., and Gail Kelner, Esq., preeminent attorneys whom I am fortunate to count as long-time friends. Bob and Gail extended themselves to my family as well as to other 9/11 victims with selfless readiness and dedication. I am grateful to Bob for his sensitivity in having introduced me to Bari Chase, Esq., Program Director of the New York County Lawyers Association, who welcomed me into the NYCLA family. I am very grateful to Bob, Bari, and NYCLA for appreciating the healing value of this grand step.

My respect to Bill Doyle, and his wife, Camille, who, despite the tragic loss of their son, Joseph, devoted themselves to helping others 9/11 families.

Wendy Pellegrino, our Earth Angel, who, thankfully, was not directly impacted by the events of 9/11, toiled with no respite to fight New York City officials to convert an area of land into a magnificent memorial for the victims of 9/11, known as Angels' Circle. Wendy makes every effort to adorn the Circle with seasonal decorations for the families. Angels' Circle blossomed in Wendy's heart and she worked exhaustively until it came into full bloom. It is under her gentle watch that its beauty continues.

Fred Ariemma is a man who selflessly honors the victims by adorning and maintaining the memorial created by Wendy Pelligrino and the Staten Island Memorial, Postcards, at St. George, with splendorous seasonal flowers and plants. Wendy's and Fred's humility are exemplary. Fred, Michael and Anthony also paved a cement walkway so that the families

would not walk in mud. They have also installed benches to facilitate visits by the elderly.

Brian Hall, of Hall Monuments, donated a touchingly beautiful 20-foot Angel Statute as a loving reminder of our loved ones' special place in heaven and as notice to all who approach or pass by of the appropriate reverence due at this hallowed ground.

The three Staten Island fence companies that joined together to build a magnificent gate to enclose the circle and give it an aura of solitude: Thomas Iron Works, Fence Depot, and Boro Fence.

St. Joseph Hill academy for the "eternal flames" placed in front of each Angel.

Kevin Walsh, Walsh Electric, Staten Island, NY.

The Teddy Atlas Foundation.

The Steph Seil Foundation.

The Staten Island Bags and Pipes 9/11 Ceremonies.

Dash of Class for setting up the sound systems every year at all memorial ceremonies.

Sam and Rose Esposito. Solonge, Joe, and Julie Berte. Elmer Bochen.

Also to Borough President James P. Molinaro; now District Attorney (then Deputy Borough President) Dan Donovan; now State Senator (then City Councilmen) Andrew Lanza, now Congressman (then City Councilman) Michael McMahon, City Councilman James Oddo; Community Board Chairmen John Antoniello, George Caputo, Lou Caravone; for their efforts to develop a permanent government sponsored memorial which captures our loved ones with dignity and grace. Also to State Senator Andrew Lanza and Congressman Michael McMahon who spearheaded the tribute to our loved ones by renaming our streets in their honor.

To Masayuki Sono, who with grace, sensitivity, and simple elegance designed the memorial in Staten Island, NY, known as "Postcards," which honors our loved ones.

It is with great pride that I honor Aaron Weitz, Esq., and his wife, Joyce. Aaron is my best friend who began as my mentor and evolved into my "older brother" and I his "kid brother." Aaron possesses extraordinary Talmudic scholarship, a keen exegetical mind, and a sharp wit able to draw from many sources. He is impressively multilingual and is musically gifted. I have learned from him about any and every imaginable subject area. I am honored that he allowed me to evolve into his intellectual sparring partner.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

It is with great respect that I express my deepest gratitude to the following leaders in the practice of Matrimonial Law for selflessly giving of their time to review the manuscript.

Hon. Justice Sondra Miller (ret. Associate Justice, Appellate Division, Second Department).

Allan Mayefsky, Esq.

Patrick O'Reilley, Esq.

INTRODUCTION

It is the law of the State of New York that prenuptial and postnuptial agreements are subject to the principles of contract construction and interpretation. This erudite two-volume, forty-five chapter reference studies all doctrinal nuances applicable to the enforcement and invalidation of marital agreements in unparalleled depth. Exegetical explication is the touchstone of this work; a complete analytical immersion into the abyss of the thorniest and most complex issues confronting the practitioner with no issue left unexamined.

The treatise anticipates every need of even the most seasoned practitioner by painstakingly examining the elements and thesis of each subject area. Counsel, at any level of experience, is comfortably introduced to and guided throughout each topic via a preliminary easy to read explication of its foundational tenets, thereby making mastery and assimilation of the many topics effortless without compromising the highest level of exacting, honed scholarship demanded by the most discriminating practitioners.

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Elliott Scheinberg is an attorney whose practice is limited to matrimonial appeals. Mr. Scheinberg consults with practitioners on appeals, memoranda, briefs, motion and trial practice, including litigation strategy involving the enforceability of marital agreements.

Mr. Scheinberg is listed in Marquis' Who's Who in American Law. His publications include the highly recognized two-volume compendium on appellate procedure, The New York Appellate Citator, [NYSBA, pp. 1754, 2019] (2d ed., TBA 2021); book chapters for Matthew Bender's New York Civil Practice, Matrimonial Actions; a chapter in the Practitioner's Handbook for Appeals to the Appellate Divisions of the State of New York, by Hon. Allan D. Scheinkman, [NYSBA 3d ed. 2020]; over 91 articles in the New York Law Journal and the New York State Bar Association's Family Law Review amongst other publications; the Cardoza Public Law, Policy & Ethics Journal; and amicus curiae briefs to the New York State Court of Appeals. His many lectures include presentations at the Appellate Division, First Department, Second Department and Third Department, the Judicial Institute, and bar associations.

Mr. Scheinberg serves on the Board of Managers of the American Academy of Matrimonial Lawyers and Co-Chairs its Amicus Curiae Committee, having also served as Co-Chair of its CLE Committee. He is also a member of and a lecturer for NYSBA's Committee on Court of Appellate Jurisdiction, while also serving on NYSBA's Executive Committee of the Family Law Section and Co-Chair Emeritus of the section's Amicus Committee.

Mr. Scheinberg is a graduate of the Benjamin N. Cardozo School of Law. Prior to entering law school, he was a doctoral candidate in Romance Philology at the University of Chicago, and, before that, a Teaching Fellow in the French Department, and graduate student in the Romance Linguistics Program at the University of Michigan. He received his Bachelor of Science in Mathematics and French with honors from Brooklyn College, Brooklyn, N.Y.