THE PLAINTIFF'S PERSONAL INJURY ACTION

IN NEW YORK STATE

SECOND EDITION

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF PATRICK J. HIGGINS, ESQ.

New York State Bar Association publications are intended to provide current and accurate information to help attorneys maintain their professional competence. Publications are distributed with the understanding that NYSBA does not render any legal, accounting or other professional service. Attorneys using publications or orally conveyed information in dealing with a specific client's or their own legal matters should also research original sources of authority.

We consider the publication of any NYSBA practice book as the beginning of a dialogue with our readers. Periodic updates to this book will give us the opportunity to incorporate your suggestions regarding additions or corrections. Please send your comments to: Publications Director, New York State Bar Association, One Elk Street, Albany, NY 12207.

Copyright 2021 New York State Bar Association All rights reserved ISBN: 978-1-57969-104-2 Product Number: 418121

TABLE OF CONTENTS

VOLUME ONE

_	t: 2021 Amendments to the Uniform Civil Rules eme Court and the County Court	
Preface	Biographies	lxix lxxiii
	I—ETHICS	
Chapter 1	Ethical Issues for the Personal Injury Practitioner <i>Scott W. Bush, Esq.</i>	
Chapter 1A	How to Build the Law Firm of Your Dreams John Fisher, Esq.	
SECTION 1	II—SUBSTANTIVE LAW	
Chapter 2	New York Construction Accident Law A/K/A the L Daniel R. Santola, Esq.	Labor Law
Chapter 3	Medical Malpractice Laurence Deutsch, Esq. Steven E. North, Esq.	
Chapter 4	Mass Tort Litigation in New York State Courts: A Practice Manual Paul J. Pennock, Esq.	
Chapter 5	The Plaintiff's Products Liability Case In New Yo A Practitioner's Guide Jeffrey K. Anderson, Esq.	rk:
Chapter 6	Municipal Liability Michael G. Bersani, Esq.	
Chapter 7	Premises Liability Evan M. Goldberg, Esq.	
Chapter 8	Nursing Home Litigation In New York Jason Platt, Esq. Robert A. Hyman, Esq.	

- Chapter 9 New York No-Fault Practice *Lawrence N. Rogak, Esq.*
- Chapter 10 Litigating the Difficult Serious Injury Threshold Cases: Understanding the Proof Needed To Prevail *Patrick J. Higgins, Esq.*
- Chapter 11 Uninsured and Supplementary Uninsured/Underinsured Motorist ("SUM") Insurance Claims

 Jonathan A. Dachs, Esq.

SECTION III—CLIENTS WITH DIFFERING CAUSES OF ACTION AND NEED

- Chapter 12 The Infant Settlement in New York and Infant's Structured Settlements: Almost All You Need To Know (and Forms, Too)

 Hon. Paul A. Victor
- Chapter 13 Wrongful Death Claims in New York State Stephen G. Schwarz, Esq.
 Lesley E. Niebel, Esq.
- Chapter 14 Handling Immigration Issues in Personal Injury Cases Stephen Yale-Loehr, Esq. Sandra Bruno, Esq.
- Chapter 15 The Intersection of Bankruptcy and Personal Injury Law *Paul A. Levine, Esq.*

SECTION IV—STARTING THE ACTION AND DISCOVERY

- Chapter 16 Investigating and Starting the Action Robert E. Lahm, Esq.
 Gary B. Pillersdorf, Esq.
- Chapter 17 Discovery

 David Paul Horowitz, Esq.

 Lukas M. Horowitz, Esq.
- Chapter 18 The Successful Bill of Particulars Patrick J. Higgins, Esq.

VOLUME TWO

SECTION V—LITIGATING THE PERSONAL INJURY ACTION IN DIFFERENT FORUMS

Chapter 19 Court of Claims—Jurisdiction
Robert T. DeCataldo, Esq.
Eileen F. Fazzone, Esq.
Kevin Macdonald, Esq.
Edward G. Shapiro, Esq.
Stephen Weinberg, Esq.

- Chapter 20 The Plaintiff's Personal Injury Case in Federal Court *Mara D. Afzali, Esq.*
- Chapter 21 The Personal Injury Action in the Nassau and Suffolk Supreme Courts W. Russell Corker, Esq.
- Chapter 22 Practice in the Fifth Judicial District and the Counties of Cayuga and Madison *Robert E. Lahm, Esq.*
- Chapter 23 [Reserved]
- Chapter 24 Litigating the Personal Injury Action Before the Southern Tier Courts

 James B. Reed, Esq.
- Chapter 25 [Reserved]

SECTION VI—MANAGING CLIENT OBLIGATION AND SETTLEMENTS

Chapter 26 Liens and Rights of Subrogation in Government Benefits

Paul K. Isaac, Esq.

Joan Lensky Robert, Esq.

J. Michael Hayes, Esq.

Chapter 27 Workers' Compensation Liens Against Plaintiffs' Personal Injury Actions

Carl D. Copps, Esq.

James Fiedler, Esq.

Hal Friedman, Esq.

Michelle L. Paniccia, Esq.

Robert S. Stockton, Esq.

Chapter 28 Interrelationship Between Lawsuit Recoveries and Government Entitlements: Planning Options, Including Supplemental Needs Trusts

Joan Lensky Robert, Esq.

Chapter 29 Structured Settlement Overview for New York Plaintiff's Attorneys

Kevin T. O'Brien, Esq.

SECTION VII—PREPARING FOR TRIAL

Chapter 30 Effective Mediation of the Personal Injury Matter: Grasping Victory with an Open Hand Simeon H. Baum, Esq.

Chapter 31 The First Trial: What You Need To Know and Do Before You Step Into the Courtroom *Patrick J. Higgins, Esq.*

Chapter 32 Common Medical Evidence Issues at Trial *Patrick J. Higgins, Esq.*

Chapter 33 Trial Evidence Preparation

David Paul Horowitz, Esq.

Lukas M. Horowitz, Esq.

Chapter 34 Motions in Limine Benedene Cannata, Esq.

SECTION VIII—TRIAL

Chapter 35 The Trial: the View From the Bench *Hon. John C. Cherundolo*

Chapter 36 [Reserved]

- Chapter 37 Opening Statements E. Stewart Jones, Jr., Esq.
- Chapter 38 Demonstrative Evidence in the Visual Age W. Russell Corker, Esq.
- Chapter 39 The Plaintiff's Direct Case at Trial *J. Michael Hayes, Esq.*
- Chapter 40 The Art of Cross-Examination *Hon. John C. Cherundolo*
- Chapter 41 Some Do's and Don'ts for Summation *Henry G. Miller, Esq.*
- Chapter 42 Appellate Practice *Mary Ellen O'Brien, Esq.*

SECTION IX—LAW PRACTICE MANAGEMENT FOR THE PERSONAL INJURY ATTORNEY

Chapter 43 E-Filing of a Personal Injury Action W. Russell Corker, Esq.

Table of Authorities

Index

DETAILED TABLE OF CONTENTS

VOLUME ONE

•		2021 Amendments to the Uniform Civil Rules ne Court and the County Court	
	-	•	1
		lographies	lxix lxxiii
SECTIO	ON I—	-ETHICS	
Chapter		Ethical Issues for the Personal Injury Practition Scott W. Bush, Esq.	ier
[1.0]	I.	The Client and Communication	1-3
[1.1]		A. The Critical Items to Communicate to a	
. ,		Client and When, How, and Why They	
		Must Be Communicated	1-3
[1.2]	II.	Conflicts Checks	1-5
[1.3]	III.	Engagement Letters or Retainer Agreements	1-6
[1.4]	IV.	Non-Engagement Letters	1-7
[1.5]	V.	Competency in Representation	1-7
[1.6]	VI.	Docket Control	1-12
[1.7]	VII.	Withdrawal From Employment	1-13
[1.8]	VIII.		1-17
[1.9]		A. Representing Two or More Clients in the	
		Same Action or with Limited Coverage	1-18
[1.10]		B. Potential Clients and Potential Client	
		Contacts	1-19
[1.11]		C. Handling the Problem Client or the Client	
		With Unrealistic Expectations	1-20
[1.12]	IX.	Bookkeeping and/or Accounting	1-20
[1.13]	X.	Termination or Conclusion of the	
		Relationship	1-21
Chapter	r 1A E	How to Build the Law Firm of Your Dreams	
	J	ohn Fisher, Esq.	
[1A.1]	The 3	Building Blocks for the Law Firm of Your	
		ns	1A-3
[1A.2]		Building Block #1: The Vision	1A-3
[1A.3]		Establish Your Vision	1A-4
[1A.4]		The Big Question: Your Perfect Average	
-		Workday	1A-4

[1A.5]	Let Others Run Your Firm	1A-5
[1A.6]	Sharing Your Vision with Your Staff	1A-5
[1A.7]	Don't Forget Your Staff's Vision	1A-6
[1A.8]	The Secret to a Lifetime of Happiness	1A-6
[1A.9]	Building Block #2: The Plan	1A-7
[1A.10]	Defining Your Ideal Client	1A-7
[1A.11]	The 5-Step Marketing System for Lawyer	
	Referrals	1A-8
[1A.12]	Step #1: Become a Rock Star as a	
	Public Speaker	1A-8
[1A.13]	How to Get Your First Speaking Gig	1A-8
[1A.14]	How to Build Referral Relationships by	
	Public Speaking	1A-9
[1A.15]	Step #2: The Most Powerful Business	
	Card in the World	1A-10
[1A.16]	How to Write Your Book	1A-10
[1A.17]	Promoting Your Book is a Marathon,	
	Not a Sprint	1A-11
[1A.18]	Step #3: Stay Top of Mind with Your	
. ,	Referral Partners	1A-11
[1A.19]	Why Every Lawyer Should have a	
	Newsletter	1A-11
[1A.20]	Step #4: Build Your Herd	1A-12
[1A.21]	Step #5: Random Acts of Kindness	1A-13
[1A.22]	Building Block #3: Execution	1A-14
[1A.23]	10 Tips for Opening Your Own Law	
	Firm	1A-14
[1A.24]	Tip #1: Retain Your Clients	1A-14
[1A.25]	Tip #2: Secure a Line of Credit with a	
	Local Bank	1A-15
[1A.26]	Tip #3: Hire a Bookkeeper	1A-15
[1A.27]	Tip #4: Hire a Lender to Pay Case	
	Expenses	1A-15
[1A.28]	Tip #5: Hire a World-Class Paralegal	1A-16
[1A.29]	Tip #6: Project Your Income	1A-16
[1A.30]	Tip #7: Tell the World You're Open for	
	Business	1A-17
[1A.31]	Tip #8: Build Your Database & Feed	
. ,	Your Herd	1A-17
[1A.32]	Tip #9: Create an Educational	
- J	Website	1A-17

[1A.33]	•	Tip #10: Join a Mastermind Moment You'll Realize You Did the Right	1A-17
[1110.]		g	1A-18
SECTI		—SUBSTANTIVE LAW	
Chapte	r 2 - N	New York Construction Accident Law A/K/A tl	ne Lahoi
Спари		Law	те паво
		Daniel R. Santola, Esq.	
[2.0]	I.	Overview	2-3
[2.1]	II.	Those Who Are Responsible for Compliance	2-3
[2.2]		A. Contractors	2-3
[2.3]		B. Owners	2-3
[2.4]		C. Agents	2-4
[2.5]	III.	The Vicarious Liability Created by These	
		Statutes	2-5
[2.6]	IV.	Those Who Are Members of the Protected	
		Class	2-6
[2.7]	V.	Type of Work Covered	2-6
[2.8]		A. The Work Section 240 Covers	2-7
[2.9]	VI.	The Danger To Be Protected Against	2-10
[2.10]		A. Section 240	2-10
[2.11]		B. Section 240 Applies to Work Upon	
		Buildings or Structures	2-12
[2.12]		C. The Work Covered by § 241(6)	2-13
[2.13]	VII.	The Duty Imposed by These Statutes	2-14
[2.14]		A. The Duty Imposed by Section 240	2-14
[2.15]		B. The Duty Imposed by § 240(2) and (3)	2-16
[2.16]		C. The Duty Imposed by § 241(1)–(5)	2-16
[2.17]		D. The Duty Imposed by § 241(6)	2-17
[2.18]		E. The Duty Imposed by § 241-a	2-18
[2.19]	VIII.	Establishing a Prima Facie Case	2-19
[2.20]		A. Section 240(1) Prima Facie Case	2-19
[2.21]		B. Section 241(6) Prima Facie Case	2-22
[2.22]		C. Section 241(1)–(5) Prima Facie Case	2-23
[2.23]		D. Section 241-a Prima Facie Case	2-24
[2.24]	IX.	Defenses	2-25
[2.25]		A. Owners of One- and Two-Family	2.25
FO 0 67		Dwellings	2-25
[2.26]		B. Engineers, Architects and Landscape	2.27
FO 073		Architects	2-27
[2.27]		C. Workers' Compensation	2-27

[2.28]		D. 1996 Amendment to Workers'	
		Compensation Law § 11	2-28
[2.29]		E. The Loaned Servant Defense	2-29
[2.30]		F. Jurisdictional Defenses	2-29
[2.31]	X.	Conclusion	2-29
Chapte	er 3	Medical Malpractice	
		Laurence Deutsch, Esq.	
		Steven E. North, Esq.	
Part O	ne: V	Who Should Handle Medical Malpractice Cases?	
[3.1]	I.	Skills to Acquire and Desire to Keep	
		Learning	3-3
[3.2]	II.	Ethical Consideration: Referral to Specialized	
		Malpractice Counsel—Fee Division	3-5
Part T	wo: (Getting and Screening Cases	
[3.3]	I.	The Importance of Staying "Picky"	3-6
[3.4]	II.	Case Screening	3-7
[3.5]		A. Focusing the Initial Call in Three	
		Questions	3-7
[3.6]		B. First Meeting Goals: (1) Sign Up Good	
		Cases, (2) Reject Bad Ones, (3) Gain	
		Insight While You Have Direct Access to	
		the Client	3-8
[3.7]		C. Remind Client to Bring Any Medical	
		Records They Have to the Initial	
		Meeting	3-11
[3.8]		D. Set Aside Time for the Meeting	3-12
[3.9]		E. Avoid Assigning "Value" At the Outset	3-12
[3.10]		F. After the Meeting: Step Back and	
		Apply Common Sense	3-12
Part T	hree:	: Commencing the Action	
[3.11]	I.	Expert Review and Certificate of	
		Reasonableness	3-14
[3.12]	II.	Who to Sue?	3-15
[3.13]		A. Article 16: Multiple Tortfeasor	
		Considerations	3-15
[3.14]		B. Article 16 Does <i>Not</i> Require You to Sue	
		Every Nurse and Non-Physician Staff	
FQ 4 ==		Member	3-16
[3.15]		C. Special Considerations: Whether to Name	• -
		"Residents" As Defendants	3-17

[3.16]		D.	Beware of Not Naming Physicians	
			Individually, Even If In the Same	
			"Practice"	3-18
[3.17]		E.	"Attendings" Should Be Named As	
			Defendants If Potentially Responsible;	
			Though Hospital Is Typically Liable for	
			ER Physicians	3-18
[3.18]		F.	Theories of Direct "Corporate Negligence"	
			Against a Hospital	3-22
[3.19]	III.	Ret	ainer	3-23
Part Fo	ur: Su	ıbsta	ntive Law of Malpractice	
[3.20]	I.	Ele	ments of a "Medical Malpractice" Cause of	
-			ion	3-24
[3.21]		A.		
			(Liability)	3-24
[3.22]		B.	Malpractice by a "Specialist"	3-24
[3.23]		C.	The Duty Element of a Malpractice Claim:	
			Physician-Patient Relationship	3-26
[3.24]		D.	Liability for Injuries to "Third Parties"	
			Caused by Medical Treatment	3-26
[3.25]		E.	The Proximate Cause Element of a	
			Malpractice Action:	3-28
[3.26]			1. "Causation" Is Often the Key Case	
			Issue	3-28
[3.27]			2. "Cause" Need Not Be the Only Cause:	
			The "Substantial Factor" Standard	3-29
[3.28]			3. "Loss of Chance" Doctrine	3-29
[3.29]		F.	Expert Proof:	3-30
[3.30]			1. "Frye" Hearings Disfavored In	
			the Malpractice Action	3-30
[3.31]			2. Expert Testimony on Medical Literature	
			and Practice Guidelines	3-31
[3.32]	II.	Me	dical Malpractice Distinguished From Other	
		Cau	ises of Action	3-34
[3.33]		A.	General Negligence in a Healthcare Setting,	
			Distinguished From Medical	
			Malpractice	3-34
[3.34]		B.	Lack of Informed Consent Claim, as Distinct	
			From Medical Malpractice	3-36
[3.35]	III.	Oth	er Legal Claims From Medical Care	3-39
[3.36]		A.	"Patient Dumping:" Still a Right Without a	
			Remedy	3-39

[3.37]		В.	Punitive Damages in Medical	
			Malpractice	3-40
[3.38]	IV.		fenses	3-42
[3.39]		A.	"Notice of Claim" Defense in Municipal	
			Actions	3-42
[3.40]		В.	Workers' Compensation Bar to Suit	3-44
[3.41]		C.	Statute of Limitations	3-45
[3.42]			1. Overview: Malpractice Statute of	
			Limitations	3-45
[3.43]			2. The "Continuous Treatment" Toll and	
			Its Limits	3-47
[3.44]			3. "Discovery" Tolling in Cancer Cases by	
			Legislation ("Laverne's Law") (Effective	:
			January 2018)	3-48
[3.45]			4. Special Limitations Considerations In	
			Death Cases	3-49
[3.46]			5. Estoppel to Plead Statute:	
-			"Fraudulent Concealment"	3-51
Part Fi	ive: C	losins	g Considerations	
[3.47]	I.	-	eximizing Damages: Use of Damages Experts	3-51
[3.48]	II.		wards	3-52
[5.10]	11.	110	W4245	0 02
Chapte	er 4	Mass	s Tort Litigation in New York State Courts:	
		A Pr	actice Manual	
		Paul	J. Pennock, Esq.	
[4.0]	I.	Intr	roduction	4-3
[4.1]	II.			
[4.2]		Ove	erview	4-4
		Ove	erview Months 1 Through 6	4-4 4-4
[4.3]			Months 1 Through 6	
[4.3] [4.4]			Months 1 Through 6	4-4
[4.3] [4.4]			Months 1 Through 6	4-4
[4.4]			Months 1 Through 6	4-4 4-4
			Months 1 Through 6	4-4 4-4
[4.4]			 Months 1 Through 6	4-4 4-4
[4.4] [4.5]			 Months 1 Through 6	4-4 4-4
[4.4]			Months 1 Through 6	4-4 4-4 4-5
[4.4] [4.5] [4.6]		A.	Months 1 Through 6	4-4 4-4 4-5 4-5
[4.4] [4.5] [4.6] [4.7]			 Months 1 Through 6	4-4 4-4 4-5
[4.4] [4.5] [4.6]		A.	 Months 1 Through 6	4-4 4-4 4-5 4-5
[4.4] [4.5] [4.6] [4.7]		A.	 Months 1 Through 6	4-4 4-4 4-5 4-5 4-5
[4.4] [4.5] [4.6] [4.7] [4.8]		A.	 Months 1 Through 6	4-4 4-4 4-5 4-5
[4.4] [4.5] [4.6] [4.7]		A.	 Months 1 Through 6	4-4 4-4 4-5 4-5 4-5

[4.10]		3. Case-Specific Document Discovery	
			-6
[4.11]		4. Defendant Deposition Protocols	
			-6
[4.12]		\mathcal{E}	-6
[4.13]		 Defendant Document Production 	
			-6
[4.14]		1	-6
[4.15]		3. CMO Setting "Discovery Pools" or	
			-6
[4.16]		D. Months 15 Through 24 4	-6
[4.17]		1. Discovery or, if Applicable, Trial Pool	
		Cases Proceed 4	-6
[4.18]		2. Defendant Document Discovery	
		Completed and Depositions Are	
		1	-7
[4.19]		E. Months 24 Through 30 4	-7
[4.20]		1. Trial Case Preparation 4	-7
[4.21]		2. Secondary Discovery or Trial Pools	
		Commence 4	-7
[4.22]		F. Months 30 Through 36 4	-7
[4.23]		1. Motions and Daubert/Frye 4	-7
[4.24]		2. Pre-Trial Motions 4	-7
[4.25]		3. Deposition Designations 4	-7
[4.26]		4. Mediations 4	-8
[4.27]			-8
[4.28]		1. Trials 4	-8
[4.29]		2. Settlement 4-	-8
[4.30]		H. Months 48 Through 66—Settlement	
		Processing 4	-8
[4.31]	III.	Mass Tort Claim vs. Class Actions 4	-8
[4.32]	IV.	Creation of a Mass Tort Coordination 4	-14
[4.33]	V.	Venue and Forum Non Conveniens Issues 4-	-18
[4.34]	VI.	The Individual Case in a Coordination Setting:	
		Initial Issues 4	-22
[4.35]		A. Filing Pre-CMO 4	-22
[4.36]		B. Service Considerations 4	-23
[4.37]		C. CPLR 3211 Motions 4	-24
[4.38]		D. The Transfer 4	-25
[4.39]		E. Filing Procedures: A Few Minor	
-			-26
[4.40]	VII.		-27

[4.41]		A.	"Conference Practice" and CMOs	4-27
[4.42]		B.	Motions	4-28
[4.43]		C.	The Leadership Structure	4-29
[4.44]	VIII.	Dis	scovery of the Defendants	4-32
[4.45]		A.	Confidentiality Orders	4-32
[4.46]			1. Options	4-32
[4.47]			2. Privilege and Redaction Logs	4-34
[4.48]		B.	Methods of Document Production	4-35
[4.49]			1. Notices for Discovery and Inspection	
			(NDI)	4-35
[4.50]			2. Custodial Method	4-36
[4.51]		C.	The Format of the Document Production	4-37
[4.52]	IX.	Co	ordination With the Federal MDL	4-38
[4.53]		A.	Considerations for MDL "Coordination"	4-39
[4.54]			1. State Sovereignty	4-39
[4.55]			2. Docket Control	4-40
[4.56]			3. Judicial Economy and Transaction	
			Costs	4-41
[4.57]			4. Judicial Courtesy	4-41
[4.58]		B.	Document Discovery Coordination	4-41
[4.59]		C.	Deposition Coordination	4-42
[4.60]		D.	"Teaching Days"	4-45
[4.61]		E.	MDL "Assessments"	4-46
[4.62]	X.	Cas	se-Specific Discovery	4-47
[4.63]		A.	Plaintiff Fact Sheets	4-48
[4.64]			1. Nature and Extent	4-48
[4.65]			2. "Deficiency Practice"	4-49
[4.66]			3. Dispensing with Bills of Particulars	4-51
[4.67]		B.	Defendants' Fact Sheets and Sales	
			Representative Discovery	4-52
[4.68]		C.	Depositions (Non-expert)	4-54
[4.69]			1. Plaintiffs and Friends/Family	4-54
[4.70]			2. Treating Physician Depositions and Use	
			at Trial	4-55
[4.71]			3. Psychological Information Discovery	
			and Depositions	4-58
[4.72]			4. Sales Representative Depositions	4-59
[4.73]	XI.	Exp	pert Discovery	4-60
[4.74]		A.	Federal Rules of Civil Procedure	
			(FRCP)-Style Reports	4-60
[4.75]		B.	Expert Depositions	4-61
[4.76]		C.	Physical and Mental Examinations	4-62

[4.//]		υ.	1 in	ning of Expert Discovery	4-62
[4.78]		E.	Fry	re	4-63
[4.79]	XII.	Pos	t-Di	scovery/Pre-Trial Practice	4-64
[4.80]		A.	Dea	adlines Dispensed With	4-64
[4.81]		B.	Tria	al Selection Procedures	4-65
[4.82]		C.	Tria	al Preparation Calendars	4-67
[4.83]			1.	Motions in Limine	4-67
[4.84]			2.	Scheduling Considerations for	
				Motions	4-69
[4.85]			3.	Deposition Designations	4-70
[4.86]			4.	Witness and Exhibit Lists	4-71
[4.87]	XIII.	The	Tri	al	4-72
Chapte				ntiff's Products Liability Case In New	York:
				ioner's Guide	
	$J\epsilon$	effre	?y K.	Anderson, Esq.	
[5.0]	I.	Intr	odu	ction	5-3
[5.1]	II.	The	e Sul	ostantive Law and Defenses	5-3
[5.2]		A.	Ove	erview	5-3
[5.3]		B.	Leg	gal Theories	5-6
[5.4]			1.	Negligence	5-7
[5.5]			2.	Strict Products Liability	5-8
[5.6]				a. Manufacturer Liability	5-8
[5.7]				b. Seller Liability	5-12
[5.8]			3.	Breach of Implied Warranty	5-14
[5.9]		C.	Nat	ture of the Claimed Defect	5-19
[5.10]			1.	Manufacturing Defect	5-19
[5.11]			2.	Design Defect	5-22
[5.12]				a. Reasonable Safety	5-22
[5.13]				b. Alternative Design	5-26
[5.14]			3.	Failure to Warn	5-30
[5.15]				a. Adequacy of Warning	5-33
[5.16]				b. Duties of Various Parties	5-37
[5.17]				c. Proximate Cause	5-41
[5.18]				d. Obviousness of Danger	5-46
[5.19]				e. Knowledgeable User	5-49
[5.20]				f. Continuing Duty to Warn	5-52
[5.21]				g. Prescription Drugs and Medical	
				Devices	5-56
[5.22]				h. Bulk Supplier Doctrine	5-57
[5.23]				i. Duty to Warn in the Workplace	5-57

[5.24]		j. Duty to Warn Where There Is	
		"Material Alteration" of the	
		Product	5-58
[5.25]	D. De	efenses	5-64
[5.26]	1.	The Scarangella Defense	5-64
[5.27]	2.	Comparative Fault and Product	
		Misuse	5-71
[5.28]	3.	Product Alteration	5-74
[5.29]	4.	Daubert and Frye	5-79
[5.30]		a. Daubert in Federal Court	5-83
[5.31]		(i) <i>Brooks</i>	5-83
[5.32]		(ii) Prohaska	5-84
[5.33]		(iii) Colon	5-84
[5.34]		(iv) Faryniarz	5-86
[5.35]		(v) Zaremba	5-87
[5.36]		(vi) <i>Rypkema</i>	5-89
[5.37]		(vii) <i>Brazier</i>	5-90
[5.38]		(viii) Rezulin Products Liability	
		Litigation	5-91
[5.39]		(ix) <i>Topliff</i>	5-92
[5.40]		b. Daubert and Frye in New York State	;
		Court	5-93
[5.41]		(i) Wahl	5-93
[5.42]		(ii) Clemente	5-94
[5.43]		(iii) Hoffman	5-95
[5.44]		(iv) Valentine	5-95
[5.45]		(v) Middleton	5-96
[5.46]		(vi) <i>Selig</i>	5-96
[5.47]		(vii) <i>McCarthy</i>	5-96
[5.48]		(viii)Frankson	5-97
[5.49]		(ix) Parker	5-98
[5.50]	5.	State of the Art	5-99
[5.51]	6.	Standards	5-100
[5.52]	7.	Preemption	5-103
[5.53]	8.	Spoliation	5-111
[5.54]		a. Thornhill	5-112
[5.55]		b. Lawson	5-113
[5.56]		c. Klein	5-114
[5.57]		d. Amaris	5-114
[5.58]		e. Cutroneo	5-115
[5.59]		f. Walczak	5-116
[5.60]		g. <i>O'Brien</i>	5-117

[5.61]			9. The "Government Contractor	
			Defense"	5-117
[5.62]			10. Contract Specifications	5-118
[5.63]			11. Successor Corporation Liability	5-119
[5.64]		E.	Special Issues	5-120
[5.65]			1. Evidence of Post-Manufacture Design	
			Change	5-120
[5.66]			a. Caprara	5-120
[5.67]			b. Rainbow	5-122
[5.68]			c. <i>Cover</i>	5-123
[5.69]			d. <i>Haran</i>	5-125
[5.70]			e. <i>Bolm</i>	5-126
[5.71]			f. <i>Bartlett</i>	5-126
[5.72]			2. Enhanced Injury—"Crashworthiness"	5-127
[5.73]	III.	Ref	lection	5-128
Chapte	er 6		icipal Liability	
		Mich	ael G. Bersani, Esq.	
[6.0]	Ove	erview		6-3
Part O	ne: F	roced	ural Law	
[6.1]	I.		oduction	6-5
[6.2]	II.		nditions Precedent to Suit	6-6
[6.3]	11.		Notice of Claim	6-6
[6.4]		л.	1. When a Notice of Claim Is Required	6-7
[6.5]			2. Time Limitations	6-8
[6.6]			3. Accrual Date	6-8
[6.7]			4. Tolling the 90-Day Period	6-9
[6.8]			5. Consequences of Lateness	6-10
[6.9]			6. Equitable Estoppel	6-11
[6.10]			7. Contents of Notice of Claim	6-11
[6.11]		В.	How to Serve the Notice of Claim	6-13
[6.12]		C.	Upon Whom to Serve the Notice of	0-13
[0.12]		C.	Claim	6-13
[6.13]		D.	The Application for Leave to Serve a Late	0-13
[0.13]		υ.	Notice of Claim	6-14
[6.14]		E.	Time Limit	6-16
[6.14]		F.	Amending or Otherwise Correcting Notice	0-10
[0.13]		1.	of Claim	6-16
[6.16]		G.	Other Conditions Precedent	6-18
[6.17]		u.	1. Section 50-i(1)(b) Waiting Period	6-18
[6.18]			2. Section 50-h Examination	6-18
[6.19]		H.		6-19
10.171		п.	1 111112 Juli	0-19

[6.20]		1. Content	6-19
[6.21]		2. Statute of Limitations	6-19
Part T	wo: Su	ıbstantive Law and Defenses	
[6.22]	I.	Sovereign Immunity	6-21
[6.23]		A. Generally	6-21
[6.24]		B. Absolute Versus Qualified Immunity	6-21
[6.25]		C. Discretionary Versus Ministerial Acts	6-22
[6.26]		D. Judicial Immunity	6-25
[6.27]		E. Quasi-Judicial Immunity	6-26
[6.28]		F. Prosecutorial Immunity	6-26
[6.29]		G. Legislator Immunity	6-27
[6.30]	II.	Special Duty	6-27
[6.31]		A. Rule or Statute Creating a Special	
		Relationship	6-27
[6.32]		B. The Public Corporation Assumes Positive	
		Direction and Control in the Face of a	
		Known, Blatant, and Dangerous Safety	
		Violation	6-28
[6.33]		C. The Public Corporation Voluntarily	
		Assumes a Duty That Generates Justifiable	
		Reliance by the Person Who Benefits From	
		the Duty	6-29
[6.34]		D. Affirmative, as Opposed to Passive,	
		Negligence or Wrong	6-31
[6.35]	III.	Government Acting In a Non-Governmental	
		("Proprietary") Role	6-31
[6.36]	IV.	Prior Written Notice Requirements	6-33
[6.37]		A. Exceptions to the Prior Written Notice	
		Requirement	6-37
[6.38]		B. "The Municipality Affirmatively Created	
		the Hazard" Exception	6-37
[6.39]	V.	Special Use	6-38
[6.40]	VI.	Common Types of Cases Brought Against Public	
		Corporations	6-38
[6.41]		A. Premises Liability	6-38
[6.42]		B. Claims Involving Detainees	6-39
[6.43]		C. Common State Law Claims Against Police	
		Officers	6-39
[6.44]		1. Excessive Force	6-40
[6.45]		2. Malicious Prosecution	6-40
[6.46]		3. False Imprisonment	6-40
[6.47]		4. False Arrest	6-41

[6.48]		5. Negligence in Pursuit of Criminal	
		Suspects	6-41
[6.49]		6. Negligent Investigation of Crime	6-42
[6.50]		7. Other Claims Against Police Officers	6-42
[6.51]		D. Claims Against School Districts	6-42
[6.52]		1. Negligence in a School District's	
		Proprietary Role	6-43
[6.53]		2. Negligence in a School District's	
		Quasi-Parental Supervisory Capacity	6-43
[6.54]		3. True Governmental Discretionary	
		Acts	6-44
[6.55]		E. Claims for Highway Design Defects	6-44
[6.56]	VII.	Vehicular Liability	6-45
[6.57]		A. Emergency Vehicles Covered Under	
		Vehicle & Traffic Law § 1104	6-46
[6.58]		B. Emergency Vehicles Covered Under	
		Vehicle & Traffic Law § 1103(b)	6-47
[6.59]	VIII.	Social Services Liability	6-48
[6.60]	IX.	Claims Brought by Firefighters or Police Officer	S
		Under the Municipal Law	6-49
[6.61]	X.	Damages Against a Public Corporation	6-50
CI 4	, T		
Chapte		Premises Liability	
F7 01		Evan M. Goldberg, Esq.	7.0
[7.0]	I.	Overview	7-3
[7.1]	II.	Legal Duty	7-3
[7.2]		A. Ownership	7-3
[7.3]		1. Out-of-Possession Landowner	7-3
[7.4]		2. Prior Owner	7-5
[7.5]		3. Owner of Land	'/ -
[7.6]			7-5
		4. Owner of Unit	7-5
[7.7]		4. Owner of Unit	7-5 7-6
[7.8]		4. Owner of Unit	7-5 7-6 7-7
[7.8] [7.9]		4. Owner of Unit	7-5 7-6 7-7 7-8
[7.8] [7.9] [7.10]	III.	4. Owner of Unit B. Occupancy C. Control D. Special Use Standard of Care	7-5 7-6 7-7 7-8 7-10
[7.8] [7.9] [7.10] [7.11]	III.	4. Owner of Unit B. Occupancy C. Control D. Special Use Standard of Care A. Reasonably Safe Premises	7-5 7-6 7-7 7-8 7-10 7-11
[7.8] [7.9] [7.10] [7.11] [7.12]	III.	4. Owner of Unit B. Occupancy C. Control D. Special Use Standard of Care A. Reasonably Safe Premises B. Duty to Warn	7-5 7-6 7-7 7-8 7-10 7-11 7-11
[7.8] [7.9] [7.10] [7.11] [7.12] [7.13]	III.	4. Owner of Unit B. Occupancy C. Control D. Special Use Standard of Care A. Reasonably Safe Premises B. Duty to Warn C. Status of Plaintiff	7-5 7-6 7-7 7-8 7-10 7-11 7-11
[7.8] [7.9] [7.10] [7.11] [7.12] [7.13] [7.14]	III.	4. Owner of Unit B. Occupancy C. Control D. Special Use Standard of Care A. Reasonably Safe Premises B. Duty to Warn C. Status of Plaintiff 1. Trespassers	7-5 7-6 7-7 7-8 7-10 7-11 7-12 7-13
[7.8] [7.9] [7.10] [7.11] [7.12] [7.13] [7.14] [7.15]	III.	4. Owner of Unit B. Occupancy C. Control D. Special Use Standard of Care A. Reasonably Safe Premises B. Duty to Warn C. Status of Plaintiff 1. Trespassers 2. Police Officers and Firefighters	7-5 7-6 7-7 7-8 7-10 7-11 7-11 7-12 7-13
[7.8] [7.9] [7.10] [7.11] [7.12] [7.13] [7.14]	III.	4. Owner of Unit B. Occupancy C. Control D. Special Use Standard of Care A. Reasonably Safe Premises B. Duty to Warn C. Status of Plaintiff 1. Trespassers	7-5 7-6 7-7 7-8 7-10 7-11 7-12 7-13

[7.18]		A. Design and Construction
[7.19]		B. Sidewalks 7
[7.20]		C. Snow and Ice
[7.21]		1. The Storm in Progress Doctrine
[7.22]		2. Black Ice
[7.23]		3. Ice Formed by Roof Overhangs or
		Draining Water 7
[7.24]		4. Owner-Created Snow and Ice
		Hazards 7
[7.25]		5. Statutes and Ordinances Impacting
		a Defendant's Duty 7
[7.26]		6. Snow and Ice Removal Contractors 7
[7.27]		D. Lighting
[7.28]		E. Waxed Floors 7
[7.29]		F. Floor Mats 7
[7.30]		G. Stairs
[7.31]		H. Handrails 7
[7.32]		I. Security 7
[7.33]		J. Fire Safety Equipment 7
[7.34]		K. Elevators and Escalators
[7.35]		L. Window Guards 7
[7.36]		M. Transitory Conditions
[7.37]		N. Hot Water Burns
[7.38]		O. Lead Paint
[7.39]		P. Toxic Mold7
[7.40]		Q. Bodies of Water
[7.41]		R. Animals 7
[7.42]		S. Trees
[7.43]	V.	Proof and Evidentiary Matters 7
[7.44]		A. Res Ipsa Loquitur 7
[7.45]		B. Circumstantial Evidence
[7.46]		C. Actual Notice
[7.47]		D. Constructive Notice
[7.48]		E. Creation of Defect
[7.49]		F. Spoliation
[7.50]		G. Prior Accidents
[7.51]		H. Statutes and Codes 7
[7.52]		I. Expert Testimony 7
[7.53]	VI.	Defenses
[7.54]		A. Assumption of Risk 7
[7.55]		B. Superseding/Intervening Acts
[7.56]		C. Open and Obvious Defect

[7.57] [7.58]		D. Trivial Defect E. Recreational Use Statute	7-61 7-61			
			7 01			
Chapter 8		Nursing Home Litigation In New York				
		Jason Platt, Esq.				
		Robert A. Hyman, Esq.				
[8.0]	I.	Introduction	8-3			
[8.1]		B. Nursing Home Litigation: A Moving Portrait	8-4			
[8.2]	II.	Basic Overview of Nursing Home Neglect and	0-4			
[0.2]	11.	Abuse Litigation	8-6			
[8.3]		A. Nursing Homes Are Different	8-6			
[8.4]		1. Unique Settings	8-6			
[8.5]		Quality of Care Required	8-7			
[8.6]		3. Legislative Reforms	8-9			
[8.7]		B. The Regulations, Surveying Nursing Homes	0)			
		and the "Guidance To Surveyors"	8-11			
[8.8]		C. Causes of Action and Legal Duties of the				
		Nursing Home	8-14			
[8.9]		D. Litigation Trends	8-16			
[8.10]	III.	Pre-Suit Considerations In Nursing Home				
		Litigation	8-17			
[8.11]		A. The Initial Client Contact and Screening of				
		a Case	8-17			
[8.12]		1. Records	8-18			
[8.13]		2. Witnesses	8-19			
[8.14]		B. Access to Records and the Right to				
		Litigate	8-19			
[8.15]		1. Access to Records	8-19			
[8.16]		2. Right to Litigate	8-21			
[8.17]		C. Types of Records and How to Secure				
		Records	8-21			
[8.18]		1. Nursing Home Records	8-22			
[8.19]		2. Hospital Records	8-23			
[8.20]		3. State Surveys, Law Enforcement				
		Investigation Reports and Other State				
		Agency Investigations	8-24			
[8.21]		D. Other Pre-Suit Investigations	8-25			
[8.22]		E. Possible Pre-Suit Discovery	8-26			
[8.23]	IV.	Statutory and Regulatory Protections of Nursing				
		Home Residents	8-27			
[8.24]		A. Federal Statute and Regulations	8-27			

[8.25]		B.	Ne	w York State Statutes and Regulations	8-28
[8.26]	V.	Vio	lati	on of PHL § 2801-d	8-32
[8.27]		A.	Int	roduction and Legislative History	8-32
[8.28]		B.	PH	L § 2801-d as a Separate and Additional	
			To	rt Remedy	8-34
[8.29]		C.	Ple	eading and Proof	8-35
[8.30]		D.	Ex	tent of Liability Imposed by Violation of	
			PH	L § 2801-d	8-36
[8.31]			1.	Strict Liability?	8-36
[8.32]			2.	Negligence Per Se?	8-36
[8.33]			3.	Evidence of Negligence?	8-40
[8.34]	VI.	Gen	era	l Regulations To Protect Nursing Home	
		Res	ide	nts	8-41
[8.35]		A.	Th	e Facility Must Be Safe	8-42
[8.36]		B.	Th	e Residents Must Be Provided Necessary	
			Ca	re	8-42
[8.37]		C.	Inv	vestigation and Reporting of Incidents or	
			Vi	olations Involving Residents	8-45
[8.38]		D.	Du	ty to Assess and Plan the Care	8-46
[8.39]	VII.	Reg	ula	tions In Falls and Ulcer Cases	8-48
[8.40]		A.	Int	roduction to Falls Cases	8-48
[8.41]			1.	\mathcal{E}	8-49
[8.42]				a. Intent of 42 C.F.R. § 483.25(d)(2),	
				10 N.Y.C.R.R. § 415.12(h)(2) What	
				Does the Regulation Mean?	8-51
[8.43]				b. Procedures Under 42 C.F.R.	
				§ 483.25(d)(2); 10 N.Y.C.R.R.	
				§ 415.12(h)(2)	8-52
[8.44]			2.	MDS Assessment and RAPs	8-52
[8.45]				a. Case History 1	8-55
[8.46]				b. Probes Under 42 C.F.R. § 483.25(d)(2)	
				10 N.Y.C.R.R. § 415.12(h)(2)	8-56
[8.47]			3.	Investigating the Falls Case	8-57
[8.48]				a. History	8-57
[8.49]				b. N.Y. State DOH Surveys	8-58
[8.50]				c. Assessments and Care Plans	8-58
[8.51]				d. Case History 2	8-58
[8.52]			4.	Special Topics Involved in Falls	
				Cases	8-59
[8.53]				a. Toileting Schedule	8-59
[8.54]				b. Cognitive Loss	8-59
[8.55]				c. Pattern of Prior Falls	8-59

[8.56]		d. Death With or Shortly After the	
		Fall	8-60
[8.57]		e. Medications and Polypharmacy:	8-60
[8.58]		f. Restraints	8-60
[8.59]		B. Introduction to Pressure Ulcer Cases	8-61
[8.60]		1. Regulations in the Pressure Ulcer	
		Case	8-62
[8.61]		a. Intent	8-63
[8.62]		b. Procedures	8-63
[8.63]		c. Probes	8-67
[8.64]		(i) First Probe	8-68
[8.65]		(ii) The Second Probe	8-68
[8.66]		2. Special Topics in Evaluating Pressure	
		Ulcer Cases	8-70
[8.67]		a. Was the Resident Ambulatory or	
		Immobile?	8-70
[8.68]		b. At What Stage Were the	
		Bedsores?	8-70
[8.69]		c. Was the Resident Incontinent?	8-71
[8.70]		d. Through MDS Analysis, the Followin	g
		Areas Will Trigger a RAP for Pressure	e
		Ulcers	8-71
[8.71]		e. Urine Smell	8-71
[8.72]		f. Dehydration and/or Malnutrition	8-72
[8.73]		g. Admission Assessment	8-73
[8.74]		h. Turned and Repositioned	8-73
[8.75]		i. Circulatory Disease	8-74
[8.76]		j. Cognitive Loss	8-74
[8.77]		k. Medications	8-74
[8.78]		1. Restraints	8-74
[8.79]		m. Plaintiff's Family's Deposition	8-74
[8.80]		n. Defendant's Deposition	8-74
[8.81]		o. Stasis Ulcer	8-74
[8.82]	VIII.	Statute of Limitations Concerns	8-75
[8.83]		A. Violation of PHL § 2801-d	8-75
[8.84]		B. Alternate Periods of Limitation	8-75
[8.85]		C. Medical Malpractice (Two Years, Six	
		Months) vs. Negligence (Three Years)	8-75
[8.86]		D. Extending the Applicable Statute of	
		Limitations	8-79
[8.87]		E. The Saving Statute (CPLR 205(a))	8-80
[8.88]	IX.	Disclosure and Admissibility of Documents	8-82

[8.89]		iscoverability of Surveys, Investigations,	
		eports, and/or Written Determinations	
	Re	elating to a Complaint Report Made	
	Pι	irsuant to PHL § 2803-d	8-82
[8.90]	B. D	iscoverability of Nursing Home	
	D	ocuments	8-83
[8.91]	C. Di	scoverability of Hospital Documents	8-85
[8.92]	D. A	dmissibility of Department of Health	
	Sı	rveys and/or Investigative Reports	8-86
[8.93]	E. U	se and Admissibility of Guidelines and	
	In	ternal Policies and Procedures	8-88
[8.94]	1.	Guidelines	8-88
[8.95]	2.	Policies and Procedures	8-89
[8.96] X.	Damag	ges Under PHL § 2801-d	8-90
[8.97]	-	ompensatory Damages Under PHL	
		2801-d	8-91
[8.98]		Physical and Emotional Harm	8-91
[8.99]	2.	-	
		§ 2801-d	8-94
[8.100]	3.		8-95
[8.101]	4.	Minimum Compensatory Damages	8-96
[8.102]	B. Pı	initive Damages Under PHL § 2801-d	8-97
[8.103] XI.		–Medicaid and Medicare	8-100
[8.104]	A. M	edicaid Liens	8-10
[8.105]	1.	The Live Plaintiff	8-10
[8.106]		a. Ahlborn, the U.S. Supreme Court and	
		New York State Law	8-10
[8.107]		b. PHL § 2801-d(5)—No Medicaid	
		Liens for Live Nursing Home	
		Neglect Victims	8-106
[8.108]		c. The Live Nursing Home Resident Is	
		Exempt From Liens	8-109
[8.109]	2.	The Deceased Plaintiff and Medicaid	
		Liens	8-109
[8.110]	3.	Wrongful Death Exception	8-110
[8.111]	4.	Surviving Spouse Exception	8-11
[8.112]		edicare Liens	8-112
	T a minlos	ina Managada, Nuncina Hama Astiona	
Exhibit A		ive Memoranda: Nursing Home Actions	0.07
Eukikis D		nts/Medicaid Reimbursements	8-97
Exhibit B		e: Release of CNA Accountability	0 00
E-hibit C		. Ill core Charlet	8-99
Exhibit C	Pressure	Ulcers Checklist	8-10

Exhibit D		Resident Assessment Protocol: Falls	8-113
Chapte	r 9	New York No-Fault Practice	
FO 01		Lawrence N. Rogak, Esq.	0.2
[9.0]	I.	Introduction	9-3
[9.1]		A. Why Should I Concern Myself with	0.2
		No-Fault?	9-3
[9.2]	II.	Overview of No-Fault Practice	9-3
[9.3]	III.	No-Fault Statutes and Regulations	9-4
[9.4]	IV.	Who Pays the No-Fault Benefits?	9-7
[9.5]		A. APIP	9-8
[9.6]		B. OBEL	9-9
[9.7]	V.	When Does No-Fault Coverage Apply to an	
		Accident?	9-9
[9.8]	VI.	Who Is Covered by No-Fault?	9-11
[9.9]	VII.		9-13
[9.10]	VIII	I. Claimant's Remedies When a Claim Is	
		Denied	9-16
[9.11]	IX.	Establishing a Prima Facie Case	9-17
[9.12]	X.	Interest and Attorney Fees	9-19
[9.13]	XI.	Infants and Undocumented Aliens	9-20
[9.14]	XII.	Trial of a No-Fault Suit	9-22
[9.15]		A. Prima Facie Case	9-22
[9.16]		B. Grounds for Denial	9-26
[9.17]		C. Medical Necessity	9-27
[9.18]		D. End of the Trial	9-28
Append	ix	No Fault in a Nutshell	9-31
CI 4	10	The state of the s	1.0
Cnapte	r 10	Litigating the Difficult Serious Injury Threshold	i Cases:
		Understanding the Proof Needed To Prevail Patrick J. Higgins, Esq.	
[10.0]	т		10-3
[10.0]	I.	Introduction	10-3
[10.1]	II.	The Court of Appeals In <i>Toure</i> and <i>Pommells</i> —	
		Setting Standards of Proof on Serious Injury	10.2
[10.0]		Threshold Claims	10-3
[10.2]		A. Toure	10-4
[10.3]		1. The Evidentiary Tests	10-4
[10.4]		a. Procedural Background and	10.6
F10 7 3		Skepticism of the Court	10-6
[10.5]		b. Rejection of the Contemporaneous	
		Quantitative Measurement	
		Requirement	10-7

[10.6]			c. Causation in <i>Perl</i> —The Issue of	
			Pre-Existing Conditions	10-8
[10.7]			d. The Lack of Evidence Supporting the	
			90/180-day Category in <i>Travis</i>	10-9
[10.8]		2.	Application of the Evidentiary Tests in	
			<i>Toure</i>	10-9
[10.9]	B.	Por	mmells—The Gap in Treatment and	
			lure to Address Other Causes of the	
		Inji	ury	10-11
[10.10]	III. Th	e Cu	rrent Proof Requirements	10-15
[10.11]	A.	The	e Current Proof Requirements	10-15
[10.12]		1.	Causation	10-15
[10.13]		2.	The Gap or Cessation in Treatment	10-19
[10.14]			a. Who Should Explain the Gap?	10-21
[10.15]			b. The Proof Needed to Satisfy a	
			Gap	10-22
[10.16]			c. The Gap on 90/180 Claims	10-22
[10.17]		3.	Need for Objective Medical Proof of	
			Injury	10-23
[10.18]			a. Herniated and Bulging Discs	10-24
[10.19]			b. Radiculopathy	10-25
[10.20]			c. Spasms	10-25
[10.21]			d. Tendon Tears	10-25
[10.22]			e. Subjective Complaints of Pain and	
			Headaches	10-26
[10.23]		4.	Need for an Objective Basis for the	
			Quantitative and Qualitative Assessments	
			of Plaintiff's Limitations and Severity of	
			Injury	10-26
[10.24]			a. The Need to Identify the Objective	
			Tests	10-27
[10.25]			b. The Timing of the Objective	
			Tests	10-27
[10.26]			c. Objective Numeric Quantitative	
			Assessment of Loss of Range of	
			Motion	10-28
[10.27]			d. The Need for an Objective Qualitative	
			Assessment	10-30
[10.28]		5.	The Need for the 90/180-Day Record to	
			Rest on Competent Medical Proof	10-30
[10.29]		6.	The 90/180-Day Claim and a Later	
			Defense Medical Affidavit	10-31

[10.30]	IV.	EV1	dentiary issues on the Summary Judgment				
		Mo	tion	10-31			
[10.31]		A.	The Burden-Shifting Mechanism	10-31			
[10.32]		B.	Marshaling the Proof—The Evidentiary				
			Standards Applicable to the Motion	10-34			
[10.33]			1. The General Rule of Admissibility	10-35			
[10.34]			2. Unsworn Reports and Records	10-35			
[10.35]			a. Inadmissible	10-35			
[10.36]			b. Admissible	10-35			
[10.37]			3. Competence of the Medical Witness To				
			Render an Opinion	10-35			
[10.38]			4. Foundation for Expert Report Held				
			Inadmissible	10-36			
[10.39]			5. MRI Reports Held Admissible	10-36			
[10.40]			6. The Plaintiff's Affidavit	10-36			
[10.41]		C.	Checklist for Prevailing on the Serious				
			Injury Threshold in the Three Categories at				
			Bar	10-37			
[10.42]	V.	Cor	nclusion	10-38			
Chapter 11 Uninsured and Supplementary Uninsured/Underinsured							
Chapte	r 11 🗋	Unin	sured and Supplementary Uninsured/Under	rinsured			
Chapte			sured and Supplementary Uninsured/Under orist ("SUM") Insurance Claims	rinsured			
Chapte		Moto		rinsured			
	•	Moto Jonat	orist ("SUM") Insurance Claims than A. Dachs, Esq.	rinsured			
[11.1]		Moto Jonat The	orist ("SUM") Insurance Claims than A. Dachs, Esq. e Relationship Between Uninsured Motorist	rinsured			
[11.1]	•	Moto Jonat The	brist ("SUM") Insurance Claims than A. Dachs, Esq. e Relationship Between Uninsured Motorist verage and Underinsured Motorist Coverage				
[11.1] [11.2]	•	Moto Jonat The Cov	brist ("SUM") Insurance Claims than A. Dachs, Esq. e Relationship Between Uninsured Motorist verage and Underinsured Motorist Coverage The Coverages Defined	11-3			
[11.1] [11.2] [11.3]	•	Moto Jonat The Cov A.	brist ("SUM") Insurance Claims than A. Dachs, Esq. e Relationship Between Uninsured Motorist verage and Underinsured Motorist Coverage The Coverages Defined	11-3 11-3			
[11.1] [11.2]	•	Moto Jonat The Cov A. B. C.	brist ("SUM") Insurance Claims than A. Dachs, Esq. e Relationship Between Uninsured Motorist verage and Underinsured Motorist Coverage The Coverages Defined	11-3 11-3 11-4			
[11.1] [11.2] [11.3] [11.4]	I.	Moto Jonat The Cov A. B. C.	than A. Dachs, Esq. e Relationship Between Uninsured Motorist verage and Underinsured Motorist Coverage The Coverages Defined	11-3 11-3 11-4			
[11.1] [11.2] [11.3] [11.4]	I.	Moto Jonat The Cov A. B. C.	crist ("SUM") Insurance Claims than A. Dachs, Esq. Re Relationship Between Uninsured Motorist verage and Underinsured Motorist Coverage The Coverages Defined	11-3 11-3 11-4 11-4			
[11.1] [11.2] [11.3] [11.4] [11.5]	I.	Moto Jonat The Cov A. B. C. The	e Relationship Between Uninsured Motorist verage and Underinsured Motorist Coverage The Coverages Defined	11-3 11-3 11-4 11-4			
[11.1] [11.2] [11.3] [11.4] [11.5]	I.	Moto Jonat The Cov A. B. C. The	crist ("SUM") Insurance Claims than A. Dachs, Esq. e Relationship Between Uninsured Motorist verage and Underinsured Motorist Coverage The Coverages Defined	11-3 11-3 11-4 11-4			
[11.1] [11.2] [11.3] [11.4] [11.5]	I.	Moto Jonat The Cov A. B. C. The	e Relationship Between Uninsured Motorist verage and Underinsured Motorist Coverage The Coverages Defined	11-3 11-3 11-4 11-4 11-7 11-7			
[11.1] [11.2] [11.3] [11.4] [11.5] [11.6] [11.7]	I.	Moto Jonat The Cov A. B. C. The	e Relationship Between Uninsured Motorist verage and Underinsured Motorist Coverage The Coverages Defined	11-3 11-3 11-4 11-4 11-7 11-7			
[11.1] [11.2] [11.3] [11.4] [11.5] [11.6] [11.7]	I.	Moto Jonat The Cov A. B. C. The	crist ("SUM") Insurance Claims than A. Dachs, Esq. Relationship Between Uninsured Motorist verage and Underinsured Motorist Coverage The Coverages Defined	11-3 11-3 11-4 11-4 11-7 11-7			
[11.1] [11.2] [11.3] [11.4] [11.5] [11.6] [11.7]	I.	Moto Jonat The Cov A. B. C. The	e Relationship Between Uninsured Motorist verage and Underinsured Motorist Coverage The Coverages Defined	11-3 11-3 11-4 11-4 11-7 11-7			
[11.1] [11.2] [11.3] [11.4] [11.5] [11.6] [11.7] [11.8]	I.	Moto Jonat The Cov A. B. C. The	crist ("SUM") Insurance Claims than A. Dachs, Esq. Relationship Between Uninsured Motorist verage and Underinsured Motorist Coverage The Coverages Defined	11-3 11-3 11-4 11-4 11-7 11-7			
[11.1] [11.2] [11.3] [11.4] [11.5] [11.6] [11.7] [11.8]	I.	Moto Jonat The Cov A. B. C. The	crist ("SUM") Insurance Claims than A. Dachs, Esq. Relationship Between Uninsured Motorist verage and Underinsured Motorist Coverage The Coverages Defined	11-3 11-3 11-4 11-4 11-7 11-7			
[11.1] [11.2] [11.3] [11.4] [11.5] [11.6] [11.7] [11.8]	I.	Moto Jonat The Cov A. B. C. The	crist ("SUM") Insurance Claims than A. Dachs, Esq. Relationship Between Uninsured Motorist verage and Underinsured Motorist Coverage The Coverages Defined	11-3 11-3 11-4 11-4 11-7 11-7 11-8			

[11.11]		В.	A Multiple Car Accident With Insured and	
			Uninsured Drivers	11-33
[11.12]	III.	The	Trigger for UIM or SUM Coverage	11-34
[11.13]		A.	The Three Requirements of UIM or SUM	
			Coverage	11-34
[11.14]			1. Claimant's Policy Limits Must Exceed	
			the Defendant's (Tortfeasor's) Bodily	
			Injury Limits	11-35
[11.15]			2. The Claimant Must "Exhaust" the	
			Underlying Limits of the Tortfeasor's	
			Insurance Coverage by Way of Judgmen	t
			or Settlement	11-41
[11.16]			3. The Claimant Must Secure the Permissio	n
			of His SUM Carrier Prior to Settling Wit	h
			a Tortfeasor; the Claimant May Do Noth	
			to Prejudice the SUM Carrier's	
			Subrogation Rights	11-44
[11.17]		B.	The "Catch-22" Problem	11-44
[11.18]		C.	Overcoming the "Catch-22"	11-46
[11.19]	IV.	Not	ice of Claim	11-50
[11.20]		A.	The "No-Prejudice" Rule	11-50
[11.21]		B.	Notice of Legal Action	11-52
[11.22]	V.	Cal	culating the Available Limits	11-52
[11.23]		A.	The Statutes	11-52
[11.24]		В.	The Reduction-In-Coverage Provision	11-57
[11.25]		C.	Non-Duplication	11-62
[11.26]		D.	The Workers' Compensation Offset	
			Provision	11-64
[11.27]		E.	Staffing	11-67
[11.28]		F.	Coverage for Out-of-State Accidents	11-69
SECTION	ON II	І—С	LIENTS WITH DIFFERING CAUSES OF	न
			CTION AND NEED	
CI .	40			
Chapte			Infant Settlement in New York and Infant's	
			etured Settlements: Almost All You Need T	o Know
			Forms, Too) Paul A. Victor	
D C				,
Part Or Persons		ttlem	nent of Claims Made by Infants and Impair	ed
[12.1]	I.	The	e Court's Duty To Protect	12-3
[12.2]	II.		ct Compliance With Rules and Statutes	12-3

[12.3]		A.	Part Rules and Forms	12-4
[12.4]		B.	Full Disclosure Required	12-4
[12.5]	III.	The	e Application and Supporting Documents	12-5
[12.6]		A.	An Ex Parte Application, Motion or Special	
			Proceeding?	12-5
[12.7]		B.	Notice of Settlement for Structured	
			Settlements	12-6
[12.8]		C.	The Proposed Order	12-6
[12.9]		D.	The Petitioner's Affidavit	12-6
[12.10]			1. Qualification of Petitioner	12-7
[12.11]			2. Petitioner's Understanding of the	
			Settlement	12-8
[12.12]			3. Injuries and Recent and/or Current	
			Complaints	12-8
[12.13]			4. Medical Services and Expenses	12-8
[12.14]		E.	Affirmation of Counsel	12-9
[12.15]			1. Reasons for Recommending the	
			Settlement	12-9
[12.16]			2. Retainer Statement, Compliance, Fees	
			and Disbursements	12-10
[12.17]			3. No Conflicts of Interest	12-11
[12.18]			4. Recent and/or Current Complaints	12-12
[12.19]			5. Medical Services, Expenses and	
			Liens	12-13
[12.20]		F.	Infants Over the Age of 14	12-13
[12.21]		G.	Supporting Medical Proof	12-14
[12.22]		H.	Other Necessary and/or Helpful Exhibits	12-16
[12.23]	IV.	The	e Hearing	12-16
[12.24]	V.	Lie	ns and Other Charges	12-18
[12.25]		A.	Medicaid Funded Health Care Plans	12-19
[12.26]		B.	Non-Assertion of a Lien	12-19
[12.27]		C.	Medicare	12-20
[12.28]		D.	Private Insurance and Liens	12-21
[12.29]		E.	The Medical Indemnity Fund and Infant	
			Settlements	12-21
[12.30]		F.	The Supplemental or Special Needs	
			Trust	12-24
[12.31]	VI.	Red	calcitrant Parent, Guardian or Infant	12-26
[12.32]		A.	De Forte	12-26
[12.33]		B.	Stahl	12-26
[12.34]		C.	Sutherland	12-27
[12.35]		D.	Evolution of the Law Since Sutherland	12-28

[12.36]	VII.	Application to Withdraw Funds	12-29
Part Tv	vo: Ar	Anatomy of a Structured Settlement	
[12.37]	I.	Structured Settlements (and Post-Settlement	
		Trusts)	12-32
[12.38]	II.	Benefits of the Annuity	12-33
[12.39]		A. Personally Designed Payment Schedule	12-34
[12.40]		B. Spendthrift Protection	12-34
[12.41]		C. Safe, Guaranteed Tax-Free Growth Without	
		Costs or Fees	12-35
[12.42]		D. Settlements Are Facilitated	12-36
[12.43]		E. A Supplemental Needs Trust Can Be the	
		Payee	12-36
[12.44]	III.	Tax Code Compliance	12-36
[12.45]		A. Total Settlement Amount	12-37
[12.46]		B. Total Settlement Payout vs. Total Settlement	
		Cost	12-37
[12.47]		C. All Documents Must Be Consistent	12-38
[12.48]	IV.	The Structured Settlement Documents	12-38
[12.49]		A. The Court's Form Order	12-40
[12.50]		B. The Settlement Agreement	12-40
[12.51]		C. The Assignment Agreement	12-41
[12.52]		D. The Annuity Contract	12-41
[12.53]		E. The Guaranty Agreement	12-42
[12.54]		F. Structure Broker's Affidavit	12-43
[12.55]	V.	Due Diligence	12-44
[12.56]		A. The Cost of the Annuity	12-45
[12.57]		B. Independence of the Structure Broker	12-46
[12.58]		C. Compensation for the Broker	12-48
[12.59]		D. Guaranteed Payments	12-49
[12.60]		E. Lock-in Quotes and Notices	12-50
[12.61]		F. Qualified Settlement Fund	12-50
[12.62]	VI.	Can a Trust Qualify as a Structured Settlement?	
		(Definitions and Guidelines)	12-52
[12.63]		A. New York State Statutory Provisions	12-53
[12.64]		B. I.R.C. §§ 104, 130 and 5891	12-55
[12.65]		C. The National Structured Settlement Trade	
		Association	12-56
[12.66]	VII.	Applications To Transfer Structured Settlement	
		Payments	12-57
[12.67]		A. Legislative History	12-57
[12.68]		B. GOL §§ 5-1701–5-1709	12-57

[12.69]	to Transfer	s 12-59	
[12.70] VIII.	Liquidation by Commutation	12-64	
Appendix A	Worksheet/Checklist for Compromise	12-04	
Appendix A	Applications	12-65	
Appendix B	Affidavit and Certificate of Reliability and		
пррепак В	Assurance	12-75	
Appendix C	Standard Compromise Order	12-79	
Appendix D	Simple Order for a Structured Settlement	12-83	
Appendix E	Complex Order for a Structured Settlement		
Appendix F	Rules for Settlement of Claims by Infants and	12-99	
. ipponom i	Impaired Persons	12-11	
Appendix G	Settlement Agreement and Release	12-15	
Chapter 13 V	Vrongful Death Claims in New York State		
S	tephen G. Schwarz, Esq.		
L	esley E. Niebel, Esq.		
[13.0] I.	Common Law History	13-3	
[13.1] II.	Statutory Remedies	13-3	
[13.2]	A. Survival Claims	13-3	
[13.3]	B. Wrongful Death Claims	13-4	
[13.4] III.	Survival Claims	13-4	
[13.5]	A. Recoverable Damages	13-4	
[13.6]	1. Pain and Suffering	13-4	
[13.7]	2. Other Damages	13-5	
[13.8]	B. Statute of Limitations	13-6	
[13.9] IV.	Wrongful Death Claims	13-7	
[13.10]	A. Who Can Bring a Wrongful Death Claim	13-7	
[13.11]	B. Stillbirths	13-8	
[13.12]	C. Class of Beneficiaries	13-8	
[13.13]	D. Statute of Limitations	13-9	
[13.14]	E. Pleading a Wrongful Death Claim	13-11	
[13.15]	F. Altered Burden of Proof in a Wrongful		
	Death Case	13-12	
[13.16]	G. Recoverable Damages	13-13	
[13.17]	H. Reasonable Expectation of Support	13-14	
[13.18]	I. Elements of Pecuniary Loss	13-14	
[13.19]	1. Loss of Earnings	13-15	
[13.20]	2. Loss of Services	13-16	
[13.21]	3. Loss of Guidance and Nurture	13-18	
[13.22]	4. Loss of Inheritance	13-18	

[13.23]		J. Pecuniary Loss When Decedent is a	
		Minor	13-19
[13.24]		K. Punitive Damages	13-20
[13.25]		L. Interest on Wrongful Death Awards	13-20
[13.26]		M. Structured Judgment Statutes	13-22
[13.27]		N. Allocation of Pecuniary Loss by the Jury	13-23
[13.28]		O. Procedure for Compromising Wrongful	
. ,		Death Claims	13-23
[13.29]		P. Allocation of Wrongful Death Settlement	
. ,		Proceeds	13-25
Chante	r 14 1	Handling Immigration Issues in Personal Injury	Cases
Спари		Stephen Yale-Loehr, Esq.	Cases
		Sandra Bruno, Esq.	
F1 4 O1		-	1.4.2
[14.0]	I.	Introduction	14-3
[14.1]	II.	Determining a Plaintiff's Immigration Status and	4.4.0
54.4.03		Work Documents	14-3
[14.2]	III.	Hoffman Plastic	14-4
[14.3]	IV.	Immigration Issues in Workers' Compensation	
		Cases	14-5
[14.4]	V.	Pre-Balbuena Personal Injury Cases in	
		New York	14-6
[14.5]	VI.	Balbuena v. Idr Realty	14-8
[14.6]	VII.	Post-Balbuena Cases	14-10
[14.7]		A. Discovery Regarding Immigration Status	14-10
[14.8]		B. Immigration Status and Determining Past	
		and Future Lost Wages	14-11
[14.9]		C. When Employee Provided False	
		Documentation	14-13
[14.10]	VIII.		14-15
[14.11]	IX.	Calculating Damages	14-16
[14.12]	Χ.	Conclusion	14-17
Chapte	r 15	The Intersection of Bankruptcy and Personal Inj	ury Law
	1	Paul A. Levine, Esq.	
[15.0]	I.	Introduction	15-3
[15.1]		A. Applicable Law	15-3
[15.2]		B. The Parties	15-4
[15.3]		1. The Court	15-4
[15.4]		2. Debtors	15-4
[15.5]		3. Trustees	15-6
[15.6]	II.	The Personal Injury Plaintiff As Debtor	15-7

[15.7]		A.	The Claim or Cause of Action Is Property of	
			the Bankruptcy Estate	15-7
[15.8]			1. New York Courts Differ in Their	
			Treatment of Chapter 13 Debtor's	
			Failure to Include a Claim	15-12
[15.9]			2. Second Department	15-15
[15.10]			3. Third Department	15-15
[15.11]			4. Fourth Department	15-15
[15.12]			5. Other Cases	15-16
[15.13]		B.	The Chapter 7 Trustee Controls the Case	15-16
[15.14]		C.	Who Is Your Client	15-16
[15.15]		D.	Being Retained by the Trustee	15-18
[15.16]		E.	Settlement and Compromise of Claims	15-19
[15.17]		F.	Contingency Fee	15-20
[15.18]		G.	Exemptions	15-21
[15.19]		H.	Siegel Progeny	15-23
[15.20]	III.	The	Personal Injury Defendant As Debtor	15-24
[15.21]		A.	The Automatic Stay	15-24
[15.22]		B.	Claims Against the Estate	15-25
[15.23]		C.	Objections to Discharge	15-26
GI .	4.0	_		
Chapte	r 16		tigating and Starting the Action	
			rt E. Lahm, Esq.	
	_	•	B. Pillersdorf, Esq.	
[16.0]	I.		oduction	16-3
[16.1]	II.		liminary Investigation	16-3
[16.2]				
[16.3]		Α.	Client Interview	16-4
		В.	Basic Areas of Inquiry	16-4
[16.4]		B. C.	Basic Areas of Inquiry	16-4 16-5
[16.5]		B. C. D.	Basic Areas of InquiryLiabilityInjury	16-4 16-5 16-5
[16.5] [16.6]		B. C. D. E.	Basic Areas of Inquiry	16-4 16-5 16-5 16-6
[16.5] [16.6] [16.7]		B. C. D. E. F.	Basic Areas of Inquiry	16-4 16-5 16-5 16-6 16-6
[16.5] [16.6] [16.7] [16.8]		B. C. D. E. F. G.	Basic Areas of Inquiry Liability Injury Causation Coverage Intangibles of Success	16-4 16-5 16-5 16-6 16-6 16-8
[16.5] [16.6] [16.7] [16.8] [16.9]		B. C. D. E. F. G.	Basic Areas of Inquiry Liability Injury Causation Coverage Intangibles of Success Quantifying Your Decision	16-4 16-5 16-5 16-6 16-6 16-8 16-8
[16.5] [16.6] [16.7] [16.8] [16.9] [16.10]	III.	B. C. D. E. F. G. H.	Basic Areas of Inquiry Liability Injury Causation Coverage Intangibles of Success Quantifying Your Decision Full Investigation	16-4 16-5 16-5 16-6 16-6 16-8 16-8 16-9
[16.5] [16.6] [16.7] [16.8] [16.9] [16.10] [16.11]	III.	B. C. D. E. F. G. H.	Basic Areas of Inquiry Liability	16-4 16-5 16-5 16-6 16-6 16-8 16-8 16-9 16-9
[16.5] [16.6] [16.7] [16.8] [16.9] [16.10] [16.11] [16.12]	III.	B. C. D. E. F. G. H.	Basic Areas of Inquiry Liability	16-4 16-5 16-5 16-6 16-8 16-8 16-9 16-9
[16.5] [16.6] [16.7] [16.8] [16.9] [16.10] [16.11] [16.12] [16.13]	III.	B. C. D. E. F. G. H.	Basic Areas of Inquiry Liability Injury Causation Coverage Intangibles of Success Quantifying Your Decision Full Investigation Overview: Purpose of the Investigation 1. Evaluation 2. Settlement	16-4 16-5 16-5 16-6 16-8 16-8 16-9 16-10 16-10
[16.5] [16.6] [16.7] [16.8] [16.9] [16.10] [16.11] [16.12] [16.13] [16.14]	III.	B. C. D. E. F. G. H. The	Basic Areas of Inquiry Liability Injury Causation Coverage Intangibles of Success Quantifying Your Decision Full Investigation Overview: Purpose of the Investigation 1. Evaluation 2. Settlement 3. Trial	16-4 16-5 16-5 16-6 16-8 16-8 16-9 16-10 16-10
[16.5] [16.6] [16.7] [16.8] [16.9] [16.10] [16.11] [16.12] [16.13] [16.14] [16.15]	III.	B. C. D. E. F. G. H.	Basic Areas of Inquiry Liability	16-4 16-5 16-5 16-6 16-8 16-8 16-9 16-10 16-10 16-10
[16.5] [16.6] [16.7] [16.8] [16.9] [16.10] [16.11] [16.12] [16.13] [16.14]	III.	B. C. D. E. F. G. H. The	Basic Areas of Inquiry Liability Injury Causation Coverage Intangibles of Success Quantifying Your Decision Full Investigation Overview: Purpose of the Investigation 1. Evaluation 2. Settlement 3. Trial	16-4 16-5 16-5 16-6 16-8 16-8 16-9 16-10 16-10

[16.18]			 Teleph 	one Contact	16-12
[16.19]			4. On-Site	e Investigation	16-13
[16.20]				se Investigators	16-13
[16.21]			6. Private	Investigators	16-15
[16.22]			7. Accide	nt Reconstructionist	16-15
[16.23]	IV.	Obt	ining Liab	ility Documentation	16-16
[16.24]		A.	Police Rec	ords in General	16-16
[16.25]		B.	The Police	Report (MV-104A)—Content	
			ind Uses		16-16
[16.26]		C.	How to Ob	tain a Police Report	16-17
[16.27]		D.	Admissibil	ity	16-18
[16.28]	V.	Acc	dent Inves	tigation Squad Material and	
		Me	nanical Re	ports	16-19
[16.29]		A.	Content an	d Uses	16-19
[16.30]		B.	How to Ob	tain an AIS Report	16-20
[16.31]		C.	Admissibil	ity of a Photograph	16-20
[16.32]	VI.	Sun	nons and	Convictions	16-21
[16.33]		A.	Content an	d Uses	16-21
[16.34]		B.	How to Ob	tain a Defendant's Prior	
			Conviction	S	16-21
[16.35]		C.		ity	16-21
[16.36]	VII.	Dep	rtment of	Motor Vehicle Records	16-22
[16.37]		A.		vnership	16-22
[16.38]		B.	nsurance.		16-23
[16.39]		C.	Photograph	1S	16-23
[16.40]			l. Conten	t and Uses	16-23
[16.41]			2. How to	Obtain Photographs	16-24
[16.42]			3. Admiss	sibility of Photographs	16-24
[16.43]		D.	Plot Plans,	Diagrams, and Topographical	
			Maps		16-26
[16.44]				t and Uses	16-26
[16.45]			2. How to	Obtain Plans, Diagrams, and	
			•		16-26
[16.46]			3. Admiss	sibility of Plans, Diagrams, and	
			Maps		16-27
[16.47]		E.	Weather C	onditions and Report	16-28
[16.48]				t and Uses	16-28
[16.49]			2. How to	Obtain Weather Reports	16-28
[16.50]				sibility of Weather Reports	16-28
[16.51]		F.		ecords	16-29
[16.52]				t	16-29
[16.53]	VIII.	Star	ing the Ac	tion	16-29

[16.54]		A. Pre-Filing Actions	16-30
[16.55]		1. No-Fault Claim Notice	16-30
[16.56]		2. Claim Letter to Defendant	16-30
[16.57]		3. Notice of Claim to Municipalities	16-30
[16.58]		B. Filing the Summons and Complaint	16-30
Append	ix A	Net Fee Evaluation	16-33
Append	ix B	Sample Auto Case Checklist	16-35
Append	ix C	Auto Investigation Worksheet	16-37
Chapte		Discovery	
	I	David Paul Horowitz, Esq.	
	I	Lukas M. Horowitz, Esq.	
[17.0]	I.	Introduction	17-3
[17.1]	II.	Overview of New York Civil Disclosure	17-3
[17.2]	III.	Scope of Disclosure	17-5
[17.3]	IV.	Judicial Supervision of Disclosure	17-9
[17.4]	V.	Pre-Action Disclosure	17-9
[17.5]	VI.	Notices for Discovery and Inspection	17-10
[17.6]	VII.	Depositions	17-10
[17.7]		A. The Deposition Rules	17-10
[17.8]		B. Delaying Objections Until Trial	17-11
[17.9]		C. Raising Objections That Must Be Made at	
		Deposition	17-13
[17.10]		D. Objecting to Qualifications of Person Before	
		Whom a Deposition Is Taken	17-13
[17.11]		E. Objecting to Competency of Witness	17-13
[17.12]		F. Raising Objections at Depositions	17-14
[17.13]		G. Leaving the Deposition Room to Confer	17-15
[17.14]		H. Making a Record	17-16
[17.15]		I. The Difficult Deposition Adversary	17-17
[17.16]		J. Tips for Dealing with a Difficult	
		Adversary	17-20
[17.17]		K. Tips for Preparing Witnesses	17-20
[17.18]	VIII.	Interrogatories	17-23
[17.19]	IX.	Notices to Admit	17-23
[17.20]	Χ.	Expert Disclosure	17-24
[17.21]	XI.	Disclosure Motions	17-33
[17.22]	XII.	Conclusion	17-34

Cnapte		Patrick J. Higgins, Esq.	
[18.0]	I.	The Statutory Framework	18-3
[18.1]	II.	What the Bill of Particulars Must Provide	18-4
[18.2]	III.	The Bill of Particulars in a Personal Injury	
		Action	18-5
[18.3]	IV.	Specific Demands Most Commonly Litigated	18-6
[18.4]		A. Overbroad and Burdensome Demands	18-6
[18.5]		B. Demands for Interrogatories	18-6
[18.6]		C. Demands for Evidentiary Matter	18-7
[18.7]		D. Demands for Witnesses	18-8
[18.8]		E. Demand for Attorney Work Product and	
		Legal Basis for Claim	18-8
[18.9]		F. Demand for Expert Disclosure	18-8
[18.10]		G. Demand for Defect in Products Liability Action	18-9
[18.11]		H. Demands for Causation Statements and	
[]		Opinions	18-10
[18.12]		I. Demands for Statutes, Ordinances, and	10 10
[]		Laws	18-12
[18.13]		J. Demand for a Breakdown of Future or	
[]		General Damages	18-13
[18.14]	V.	The Bill of Particulars in the Medical Malpractice	
		Action	18-13
[18.15]	VI.	Labor Law § 241(6) Cases	18-15
	VII.	Procedure for Answering and Objecting to	
[Demands for Verified Bills	18-16
[18.17]	VIII.		10 10
		Particulars	18-17
[18.18]		A. The Amended Bill of Particulars	18-17
[18.19]		B. The Supplemental Bill of Particulars	18-20
[18.20]	IX.	The Interplay Between the Bill of Particulars and	
[Expert Disclosure	18-23
[18.21]	X.	Conclusion	18-23
. ,		Checklist	18-25

VOLUME TWO

SECTION V—LITIGATING THE PERSONAL INJURY ACTION IN DIFFERENT FORUMS

Chapter 19		Court of Claims—Jurisdiction	
		Robert T. DeCataldo, Esq.	
		Eileen F. Fazzone, Esq.	
		Kevin Macdonald, Esq.	
		Edward G. Shapiro, Esq.	
		Stephen Weinberg, Esq.	
Part Or	ie: Ii	ntroduction	
[19.1]	I.	A Brief History and Background	19-3
[19.2]	II.	Major Developments in Court of Claims	
		Practice	19-4
Part Tv	vo: P	rocedure	
[19.3]	I.	Types of Cases: Law Versus Equity	19-5
[19.4]	II.	Entities Over Which the Court of Claims Has	
. ,		Jurisdiction	19-8
[19.5]	III.	Obtaining Jurisdiction	19-9
[19.6]	IV.	Contents of Pleadings	19-11
[19.7]		A. Claims	19-11
[19.8]		1. Cases on the Issue of the Sufficiency of	
		the Claim With Respect to "Time When	
		and Place Where"	19-13
[19.9]		2. Additional Cases on the Issue of the	
		Sufficiency of the Claim With Respect	
		to the "Nature" of the Claim	19-14
[19.10]		B. Jurisdictional Defenses	19-14
[19.11]		C. Amendment of Pleadings	19-15
[19.12]		D. Verification of Claim	19-16
[19.13]	V.	Time Limitations	19-17
[19.14]		A. Issues to Note	19-20
[19.15]		1. Personal Injury Versus Wrongful Death	
		Claims	19-20
[19.16]		2. Application of CPLR Article 2	19-20
[19.17]		3. Court of Claims Act § 10(5)	19-22
[19.18]		4. Accrual	19-23
[19.19]		5. Estoppel and Waiver	19-24
[19.20]		6. CPLR 2001	19-25
[19.21]	VI.	Late Filing Remedies	19-26

[19.22]	A.	Motion for Permission to File a Late	
		Claim (Court of Claims Act § 10(6))	19-26
[19.23]		1. Timeliness of Application	19-26
[19.24]		2. Service	19-27
[19.25]		3. Motion Papers	19-27
[19.26]		4. Statutory Factors	19-28
[19.27]		a. Excuse for the Delay in Filing	19-29
[19.28]		b. Whether Defendant Had Notice of	
		the Essential Facts Underlying the	
		Claim	19-30
[19.29]		c. Whether Defendant Had an	
		Opportunity to Investigate the	
		Circumstances Underlying the	
		Claim	19-30
[19.30]		d. Whether the Failure to Serve the	
		Defendant Within the Statutory Perio	d
		Resulted in Substantial Prejudice to	
		the Defendant	19-30
[19.31]		e. Appearance of Merit	19-32
[19.32]		f. Availability of Alternative	
		Remedy	19-33
[19.33]	В.	Motion to Treat a Notice of Intention as a	
		Claim (Court of Claims Act § 10(8))	19-35
Part Three:	Subs	tantive Law Issues	
[19.34] I.	Go	vernmental Immunity	19-36
[19.35]	A.	•	
-		Function	19-37
[19.36]	В.	Special Duty	19-38
[19.37]	C.	* *	19-39
[19.38]	D.	Some Recent Decisions of the Court of	
		Claims on the Topic of Governmental	
		Immunity	19-42
[19.39]	E.	Related Immunity Doctrines	19-44
[19.40]		1. Judicial Immunity	19-44
[19.41]		2. Quasi-Judicial Immunity	19-44
[19.42]		3. Miscellaneous Immunities	19-46
[19.43] II.	Ap	propriation Claims	19-46
[19.44]	A.		19-46
[19.45]		1. Market Data Approach	19-47
[19.46]		2. Income Capitalization Approach	19-48
[19.47]		3. Cost Approach	19-48
[19.48]	В.	Partial Takings	19-48

[19.49]			1.	"Before" and "After" Values	19-48
[19.50]			2.	Direct and Consequential Damages	19-49
[19.51]		C.	Co	nsequential Damage Cases	19-49
[19.52]		D.	ED	OPL 701—Additional Allowance	19-50
[19.53]		E.	Sp	ecial Proceedings	19-51
[19.54]	III.	Co	ntra	ct Claims	19-52
[19.55]		A.	Pu	blic Improvement Contracts	19-52
[19.56]			1.	Defective Specifications Causing	
				Damages to Contractor	19-53
[19.57]			2.	Changes	19-53
[19.58]			3.	Changed Conditions	19-53
[19.59]			4.	Delays—"No Damages for Delays"	
				Clauses	19-53
[19.60]			5.	Fraudulent Misrepresentation of	
				Working Conditions	19-54
[19.61]	IV.	Toı	rt Li	ability	19-54
[19.62]		A.		neral Principles	19-54
[19.63]		B.	Mι	altiple Tortfeasors and Joint and Several	
			Lia	ability	19-55
[19.64]		C.	Sta	te as Landowner	19-56
[19.65]		D.	Sta	te as Custodian of Persons	19-58
[19.66]			1.	Prisons	19-58
[19.67]			2.	Parole Board	19-62
[19.68]			3.	State Hospitals	19-62
[19.69]		E.	Po	lice Power	19-63
[19.70]			1.	False Arrest and Malicious	
				Prosecution	19-64
[19.71]			2.	Assault and Battery	19-66
[19.72]		F.	Un	just Conviction and Imprisonment	19-67
[19.73]		G.		eading Requirements	19-67
[19.74]			1.	Verification	19-69
[19.75]			2.	Conduct Causing or Bring About	
				Conviction	19-70
[19.76]			3.	Clear and Convincing Standard	19-71
[19.77]			4.	Measure of Damages	19-72
[19.78]			5.	Offset Damages	19-72
[19.79]		G.	Hi	ghway Negligence	19-73
[19.80]		H.		nstitutional Torts	19-76

Chapte	r 20		Plaintiff's Personal Injury Case in Federal	Court
		Mara	a D. Afzali, Esq.	
[20.0]	I.	Intı	roduction	20-3
[20.1]	II.	Co	mmencing an Action	20-4
[20.2]		A.	Personal Jurisdiction	20-4
[20.3]		B.	Pleading Requirements	20-4
[20.4]		C.	Available Sanctions in Federal and State	
			Courts with Respect to Frivolous	
			Pleadings	20-5
[20.5]		D.	State Versus Federal Requirements with	
			Respect to the Ad Damnum Clause of the	
			Complaint	20-6
[20.6]		E.	Serving the Summons and Complaint	20-8
[20.7]		F.	Electronic Filing	20-9
[20.8]	III.	Det	fending a Motion to Dismiss	20-10
[20.9]	IV.	Vei	nue in Federal Court Versus State Court	20-12
[20.10]	V.	Det	fendant's Answer	20-12
[20.11]		A.	Requirements in Federal Court Versus State	
			Court	20-12
[20.12]		B.	Cross-Claim	20-14
[20.13]		C.	Counterclaim	20-14
[20.14]	VI.	Am	nendment of Pleadings	20-15
[20.15]	VII	. Dis	scovery	20-17
[20.16]		A.	Introduction	20-17
[20.17]		B.	Judicial Intervention	20-17
[20.18]		C.	Scheduling of Discovery	20-17
[20.19]		D.	Mandatory Initial Disclosures	20-18
[20.20]		E.	Mandatory Expert Disclosures	20-20
[20.21]		F.	Mandatory Disclosure of Trial Material	20-24
[20.22]		G.	Failure to Disclose Mandatory	
			Disclosures	20-24
[20.23]		Н.	Scope of Discovery	20-25
[20.24]		I.	Discovery Devices	20-25
[20.25]		J.	Deposition Upon Oral Examination	20-26
[20.26]		K.	Interrogatories	20-27
[20.27]		L.	Request for Production of Documents or	
			Things	20-28
[20.28]		M.	Request for Physical and Mental	
			Examination	20-29
[20.29]		N.	Request for Admissions	20-29
[20.30]		O.	Electronic Discovery	20-30
[20.31]			1. Cost Shifting	20-30

[20.32]	VIII.	Discovery Disputes	20-31
[20.33]		A. Good-Faith Effort to Resolve	20-31
[20.34]		B. Protective Order	20-32
[20.35]	IX.	Paper Discovery	20-33
[20.36]		A. Subpoena Power	20-33
[20.37]		B. Scope of Paper Disclosure: State Versus	
		Federal Court	20-34
[20.38]	X.	Readiness for Trial	20-35
[20.39]	XI.	Dispositive Motions: Summary Judgment in	
		State Court Versus Federal Court	20-37
[20.40]	XII.	Appeals of Summary Judgment and Other	
		Interlocutory Orders	20-39
[20.41]	XIII.	Trial	20-41
[20.42]		A. Jury Pool	20-41
[20.43]		B. Voir Dire	20-41
[20.44]		C. Admissibility of Evidence	20-42
[20.45]		D. Expert Witness Testimony	20-42
[20.46]		E. Admissibility of Deposition Testimony	20-43
[20.47]		F. Verdict Sheet, Jury Instructions, Number of	
		Jurors Needed for Verdict	20-44
[20.48]	XIV.	Post-Trial Motions	20-44
[20.49]	XV.	Appeal of Jury Verdict	20-45
[20.50]	XVI.	Conclusion	20-46
61			00.11
Chapte		The Personal Injury Action in the Nassau and S	uffolk
		Supreme Courts	
		V. Russell Corker, Esq.	
Part Or	ie: Na	ssau County	
[21.0]	I.	Introduction	21-3
[21.1]	II.	Preliminary Conferences	21-3
[21.2]	III.	Motion Practice	21-4
[21.3]	IV.	Certification Conference	21-4
[21.4]	V.	Post–Note of Issue Discovery	21-5
[21.5]	VI.	Trial Calendar	21-5
[21.6]	VII.	Jury Selection	21-6
[21.7]	VIII.	Trial	21-6
Part Tv	vo: Su	ffolk County	
[21.8]	I.	Introduction	21-8
[21.9]	II.	Preliminary Conferences	21-8
[21.10]	III.	Motion Practice	21-9
[21.11]	IV.	Certification Conference	21-9
_			

[21.12]	V.	Post–Note of Issue Discovery	21-10
[21.13]	VI.	Trial Calendar	21-10
[21.14]	VII.	Jury Selection	21-11
[21.15]	VIII	. Trial	21-12
Chapte		Practice in the Fifth Judicial District and the Co	unties of
		Cayuga and Madison	
		Robert E. Lahm, Esq.	
[22.0]	I.	Introduction	22-3
[22.1]		A. Oswego County	22-3
[22.2]		B. Jefferson County	22-4
[22.3]		C. Lewis County	22-4
[22.4]		D. Herkimer County	22-4
[22.5]		E. Oneida County	22-4
[22.6]		F. Cayuga County	22-4
[22.7]		G. Madison County	22-5
[22.8]	II.	Request for Judicial Intervention and Preliminary	7
		Conferences	22-5
[22.9]		A. Motion Practice	22-6
[22.10]		B. Orders to Show Cause	22-7
[22.11]		C. Pre-Trials	22-7
[22.12]		D. Alternative Dispute Resolution Plan for the	
		Fifth Judicial District	22-7
[22.13]	III.	All Purpose and Calendar Justices	22-8
[22.14]		A. IAS Calendars	22-8
[22.15]		1. Trial Procedure, IAS System, Onondaga	
		County	22-8
[22.16]	IV.	Trial	22-9
[22.17]		A. Demographics of Supreme Courts	22-10
[22.18]		B. Appeals	22-10
Chapte	r 23	[Reserved]	
Chapte		Litigating the Personal Injury Action Before the Southern Tier Courts	2
		James B. Reed, Esq.	
[24.0]	I.	Overview of the Southern Tier Courts	24-3
[24.1]	II.	Southern Tier Juries	24-4
[24.1]	III.	Courts of the Southern Tier	24-5
[24.2]	111.	A. Sixth Judicial District	24-5
[24.4]		Broome County, Sixth Judicial District,	Δ 1 -3
[27.7]		Third Department	24-7
		rimu Department	∠ ¬ -/

[24.5]			2. Chemung County, Sixth Judicial District,	
			Third Department	24-8
[24.6]			3. Schuyler County, Sixth Judicial District,	
			Third Department	24-9
[24.7]			4. Tioga County, Sixth Judicial District,	
			Third Department	24-9
[24.8]			5. Tompkins County, Sixth Judicial District,	
			Third Department	24-9
[24.9]		В.	Seventh Judicial District	24-11
[24.10]			1. Steuben County, Seventh Judicial District,	
			Fourth Department	24-11
[24.11]			2. Yates County, Seventh Judicial District,	
			Fourth Department	24-12
[24.12]	IV.		gating in the Courts of the Southern Tier	24-12
[24.13]		A.	Pre-Trial Practice in the Southern Tier	24-12
[24.14]		В.	Deposition Practice	24-14
[24.15]		C.	Filing the Note of Issue	24-14
[24.16]		D.	Pre-Trial Conference	24-15
[24.17]		E.	Trial in the Southern Tier Courts	24-15
[24.18]		F.	Jury Selection in the Southern Tier	24-16
Chapter	r 25	[Rese	Doggo	
Chapter	1 43	Lucse	rrea	
SECTIO	NC	VI—N	IANAGING CLIENT OBLIGATION AND)
		S	ETTLEMENTS	
Chanta	r 26	Lione	s and Rights of Subrogation in Government	Ranafita
Chapte	20		K. Isaac, Esq.	Denents
			Lensky Robert, Esq.	
			chael Hayes, Esq.	
F27 01	т.			26.2
[26.0]	I.		roduction	26-3
[26.1]	II.		erview of Government Assistance	26.2
506.01			grams	26-3
[26.2]		A.	Who May Claim Reimbursement From	26.2
50 (01			Lawsuit Proceeds?	26-3
[26.3]			1. Medicaid	26-3
[26.4]			2. Medicare	26-4
[26.5]			3. Temporary Assistance	26-4
[26.6]		D	4. Office of Mental Health Benefits	26-4
[26.7]		В.	Programs That Do Not Seek Reimbursement	26.5
F2 (03			From Lawsuit Proceeds	26-5
[26.8] [26.9]			Supplemental Security Income (SSI) Social Security Disability	26-5 26-5

[26.10]			3. Section 8 Housing	26-5
[26.11]	III.	Me	dicaid Liens in Personal Injury Lawsuits	
		Aft	er Arkansas Department of Health and Humar	ı
			vices v. Ahlborn	26-5
[26.12]		A.	Introduction	26-5
[26.13]		В.	Medicaid Liens in New York Personal Injury	
[====]			Actions Prior to Ahlborn	26-6
[26.14]			1. Assignment, Subrogation, and Lien	20 0
[20.14]			Statutes	26-6
[26.15]			2. Supplemental Needs Trusts (SNTs) and	20 0
[20.13]			Liens	26-7
[26.16]			a. The Lien Was to Be Satisfied Prior	20 7
[20.10]			to the Funding of an SNT	26-8
[26.17]			b. The Entire Recovery Available to	20-0
[20.17]			Satisfy the Lien	26-8
F26 101			•	20-8
[26.18]			c. Infants' Lawsuits Were Available to	26.0
F2C 101		0	Satisfy the Lien	26-9
[26.19]		C.	1 0	26.16
F2 (201			Services v. Ahlborn	26-10
[26.20]			1. Facts of <i>Ahlborn</i>	26-10
[26.21]			2. Statutory Analysis	26-10
[26.22]			a. Past Medical Expenses	26-11
[26.23]			b. No Medicaid Lien Against a	
			Medicaid Recipient's Own Funds	26-12
[26.24]		D.	Medicaid "Liens" in New York State After	
			Ahlborn	26-12
[26.25]			1. Policy Memorandum	26-13
[26.26]			2. Allocation Hearings	26-13
[26.27]		E.	Notification to the Department of Social	
			Services?	26-14
[26.28]			1. The Issue	26-14
[26.29]			2. Laws of 2011: Notification to the	
			Department of Social Services	26-14
[26.30]			3. Whose Obligation is it to Notify?	26-15
[26.31]			a. Overview	26-15
[26.32]			b. Statutory Procedural Requirements	
,			for a Valid Lien	26-15
[26.33]			c. Are the Procedural Requirements	
[=0.00]			Strictly Construed?	26-16
[26.34]		F.	Negotiating Medicaid Liens Imposed	20 10
[20.5-T]		1.	Against Personal Injury Lawsuits	26-16
[26.35]			1. Overview	26-16
120.00			1. O 1 C1 V1C VV	∠∪-1(

[26.36]		2. Verify the Amount of Medicaid	
		Expended	26-17
[26.37]		3. Verify That Only Incident-Related	
		Medicaid Benefits Are Included	26-17
[26.38]		4. Challenge Educational Costs	26-18
[26.39]		5. The Nursing Home as Defendant	26-19
[26.40]		6. Guardianship	26-19
[26.41]	IV.	Temporary Assistance Liens	26-19
[26.42]		A. Adults	26-19
[26.43]		B. Temporary Assistance and Children Under	
		the Age of 21	26-20
[26.44]	V.	Office of Mental Health Liens	26-21
[26.45]	VI.	Court Proceeding To Reduce or Vacate Lien	26-21
[26.46]	VII.	Medicare Claims	26-22
[26.47]		A. Introduction	26-22
[26.48]		B. The Medicare Secondary Payer Program	
		Prior to December 8, 2003	26-23
[26.49]		C. U.S. Courts of Appeals Interpret the MSP	
		Program in 2003	26-25
[26.50]		D. December 8, 2003, Amendments to the	
		MSP Program	26-26
[26.51]		E. Medicare Claims Are a Priority	26-28
[26.52]		F. Medicare Subrogation Rights and Private	
		Cause of Action	26-30
[26.53]		G. Medicare Portal	26-31
[26.54]		H. Administrative Protocol	26-31
[26.55]	VIII.	Medicare Advantage Plans	26-33
[26.56]		A. Overview	26-33
[26.57]		B. Post-Settlement Recovery Rights of	
		Medicare Advantage Plans	26-35
[26.58]		1. Recovery Against Defendants' Insurer	
		and Self-Insureds	26-35
[26.59]		2. Recovery Against Plaintiffs	26-37
[26.60]		3. Recovery Against Plaintiff's Counsel	26-38
[26.61]	IX.	Medicare Set-Asides	26-39
[26.62]		A. What Is a Medicare Set-Aside?	26-39
[26.63]		B. Does the Law Require the Establishment of	
		a Medicare Set-Aside?	26-40
[26.64]		C. If not required by Federal Law, Why	
		Consider an MSA?	26-40
[26.65]		D. Does this Ambiguity and Lack of Formal	
		Guidance Amount to a Free Pass?	26-42

[26.66] X.	Sel	f-Funded ERISA Plans	26-43
[26.67]	A.	Overview	26-43
[26.68]	B.	ERISA and Preemption of State Laws	26-45
[26.69]		1. Regulation of Insurance	26-45
[26.70]		2. ERISA's Express Preemption	
		Scheme	26-45
[26.71]		3. State Law Preempted if Plan Is	
-		Self-Funded	26-46
[26.72]		4. Wurtz and an Amendment to Section	
-		5-335	26-47
[26.73]	C.	ERISA Enforcement: Equitable Liens and	
-		Constructive Trusts	26-48
[26.74]		1. ERISA's Civil Enforcement Statute:	
		Equitable Relief	26-48
[26.75]		2. <i>Great-West</i> : The Relief Sought Must Be	
. ,		Equitable	26-49
[26.76]		3. <i>Sereboff</i> : The Equitable Lien by	
. ,		Agreement	26-50
[26.77]		4. <i>McCutchen</i> : The Terms of the Plan	
. ,		Control	26-51
[26.78]		5. Montanile: A Dangerous Precedent?	26-52
[26.79]	D.	Plan Documents	26-54
[26.80]		1. Statutory Disclosure Requirements	26-54
[26.81]		2. Plan Document and Summary Plan	
. ,		Description (SPD)	26-55
[26.82]		3. Review of Plan Documents	26-56
[26.83] XI.	Fec	leral Employees—FEHBA Plans	26-57
[26.84]	A.	Federal Employee Health Benefits Act	26-57
[26.85]	B.	Preemption of State Law	26-58
[26.86] XII.	Co	nclusion	26-58
Appendix A	Gei	neral Release	26-59
Appendix B		leral Settlement Agreement	26-61
Appendix C		ISA Lien – Plan Document Request Letter	26-65

Chapter 27				⁷ Compensation Liens Against Plaintiffs Injury Actions	S ′
				Stockton, Esq.	
				Copps, Esq.	
				edler, Esq.	
				dman, Esq.	
				L. Paniccia, Esq.	
[27.0]	т			*	27.2
[27.0]	I.			ction	27-3
[27.1]	II.			nt's Right To Collect Workers'	
			_	nsation Benefits and Sue a Third-Party	27.2
[07.0]	TTT			sor (WCL § 29(1))	27-3
[27.2]	III.			's Right to Assignment and Subrogation	27.6
[27.2]	13.7	,		§ 29(2))	27-6
[27.3]	IV.			nt's Obligation To Obtain Compensation	27.7
507.43				's Consent (WCL § 29(5))	27-7
[27.4]	V.			nsation Carrier's Right to Lien and	
				Offset Vis-a-Vis Claimant's Third-Party	
				ery WCL § 29(1), (4))	27-15
[27.5]	VI.	•		ble Apportionment of Litigation Expenses	
				en Claimant and Compensation Carrier	
		•		§ 29(1))	27-27
[27.6]		Α.		83–2006: Kelly, Briggs and McKee	27-28
[27.7]		В.		06–2011: Burns v. Varriale (pre-Stenson	
				alysis)	27-31
[27.8]			1.	Overview	27-31
[27.9]			2.	WCB Application of Burns: Beacon	
				Electric Corp	27-33
[27.10]			3.	Stenson v. New York State Department	
				of Transportation	27-34
[27.11]				a. Third-Party Settlement and WCB	
				Proceedings	27-34
[27.12]				b. Stenson: Appellate Division, Third	
				Department Decision	27-36
[27.13]			4.	Lessons Learned From Stenson	27-41
[27.14]		C.	Pos	st–Kelly, Burns, Stenson	27-42
[27.15]		D.	Pra	actical Application Doing the	
			Ma	ıth	27-42
[27.16]		E.	Im	pact of 2007 Workers' Compensation	
-				form Legislation on <i>Kelly</i> and <i>Burns</i>	
				lculations	27-51
[27.17]			1.	"Hybrid" Case: One Part Kelly, One Part	
-				Burns	27-52

[27.18]		F. Other Equitable Apportionment Issues	27-55
[27.19]		1. Present Value Is Limited to Net	
		Recovery	27-55
[27.20]		2. Deficiency Cases	27-55
[27.21]		3. "Fresh Money"	
[27.22]		4. Death Cases	27-56
[27.23]		5. Cases Involving Special Funds Unde	
		WCL § 15(8)(d)	27-58
[27.24]		6. Mayerhofer	27-58
[27.25]		7. Ochal	
[27.26]	VII.	Interplay Between Workers' Compensation a	
		No-Fault (WCL § 29(1), (1-a), (4), (5); Insur	ance
		Law §§ 5102, 5104, 5105)	
[27.27]		A. 1973–1978	27-59
[27.28]		B. Post-Granger	27-60
[27.29]		C. Dietrick	
[27.30]		D. Johnson	
[27.31]		E. No-Fault Amendment	27-64
[27.32]	VIII.		
[27.33]	IX.	Doctrine of Collateral Estoppel Workers'	_, _,
		Compensation Claims v. Liability Claims	27-69
Append	ix	Dutch Royal Insurance Institution Mortality	_, _,
ТРРОПО		and Remarriage Tables	27-71
		C	
Chapte	r 28 I	nterrelationship Between Lawsuit Recoveri	ies and
	(Government Entitlements: Planning Option	s, Including
	S	Supplemental Needs Trusts	
	J	oan Lensky Robert, Esq.	
[28.0]	I.	Introduction	28-3
[28.1]	II.	Overview of Eligibility for Government	
. ,		Entitlements	28-3
[28.2]		A. Introduction	28-3
[28.3]		B. Medicaid	28-3
[28.4]		1. Medicaid Eligibility for Unmarried	
. ,		Adults	28-4
[28.5]		a. Overview	28-4
[28.6]		b. Resource Rules Benchmark	
r]		(Non-MAGI) Medicaid	28-5
[28.7]		c. Income Rules for Benchmark	
[,]		(Non-MAGI) Medicaid	28-6
[28.8]		d. Transfer of Assets Rules	
[28.9]		(i) Community Medicaid	
L _ _0.7]		(1) Community Miculaid	20 /

[28.10]				(ii) Medicaid Eligibility for Nursing	
				Home Services	28-7
[28.11]				(iii) Supplemental Needs Trusts	
				(SNTs)	28-8
[28.12]				(iv) The Home	28-8
[28.13]				(v) Other Exempt Transfers	28-8
[28.14]				e. The Look-Back Period	28-9
[28.15]				f. The Date the Transfer Penalty Will	
				Begin	28-9
[28.16]			2.	MAGI Medicaid	28-9
[28.17]			3.	Medicaid Eligibility for Married	
				Individuals	28-10
[28.18]				a. Nursing Home Services	28-10
[28.19]				b. Community Medicaid	28-11
[28.20]				c. "Spousal Refusal"	28-11
[28.21]			4.	Medicaid Eligibility for Infants Under	
				the Age of 18	28-11
[28.22]				a. Non-Waivered Medicaid	
				Programs	28-11
[28.23]				b. Waivered Medicaid Home Care	
				Programs	28-13
[28.24]		C.	Suj	oplemental Security Income (SSI)	28-14
[28.25]			1.	SSI Financial Criteria	28-14
[28.26]			2.	SSI for Children Under 18: Financial	
				Criteria	28-15
[28.27]			3.	Transfer of Resource Rules	28-16
[28.28]		D.	The	e ABLE Act	28-17
[28.29]			1.	Overview of the Act	28-17
[28.30]			2.	Provisions of the Act	28-17
[28.31]		E.	Soc	cial Security Disability Insurance	28-18
[28.32]		F.	Dis	sabled Adult Child Benefits	28-19
[28.33]		G.	Me	dicare	28-20
[28.34]		Н.	Tei	mporary Assistance	28-21
[28.35]		I.	Off	fice of Mental Health Benefits	28-22
[28.36]		J.	Sec	ction 8 Housing	28-22
[28.37]			1.	Overview of Eligibility	28-22
[28.38]			2.	Effect of Transfer of Assets	28-23
[28.39]		K.		AP	28-23
[28.40]	III.			ing Ongoing Eligibility for Medicaid	
		and		[28-24
[28.41]		A.		t Everyone Needs Ongoing Eligibility	
			for	Medicaid or SSI	28-24

[28.42]	В.	Convert Benchmark Medicaid to MAGI	
		Medicaid If the Plaintiff Does Not Receive	
		Medicare	28-24
[28.43]	C.	Medical Indemnity Fund	28-24
[28.44]		1. Overview	28-24
[28.45]		2. Provisions of the Statute	28-25
[28.46]		3. Impact of This Legislation	28-26
[28.47]	D.	Use of ABLE Account	28-26
[28.48]	E.	Outright Transfer of Assets to a Third	
		Party	28-26
[28.49]	F.	Use of "Payback" Supplemental Needs	
		Trust for Individuals with Disabilities Under	
		the Age of 65	28-28
[28.50]		1. Statutory Authority	28-28
[28.51]		2. What May the Trust Be Used For?	28-29
[28.52]		3. What Regulations Govern These	
. ,		Trusts?	28-30
[28.53]		4. Establishing a "Payback" Trust	28-30
[28.54]		a. Incapacitated Adult Beneficiary and	
[==::]		Article 81	28-30
[28.55]		b. Trusts Established by Guardians	_0 00
[20.55]		Pursuant to SCPA 17-A	28-32
[28.56]		c. Adult Plaintiff with Capacity	28-33
[28.57]		(i) The Special Needs Trust	20 33
[20.57]		Fairness Act	28-33
[28.58]		5. SNTs and Infants	28-33
[28.59]		a. Establishing the SNT	28-33
[28.60]		(i) SCPA 17	28-33
[28.61]		(ii) Within the Infant Compromise	20 33
[20.01]		Order	28-33
[28.62]		b. Use of Trust Assets and Infants	28-34
[28.63]	G.	Pooled Trusts	28-35
[28.64]	Н.	Planning for the Tort Victim over the Age	20 33
[20.04]	11.	of 65	28-36
[28.65]		1. Overview	28-36
[28.66]		2. Transfers of Assets	28-36
[28.67]		a. Medicaid in a Skilled Nursing	20-30
[28.07]			28-36
[28 68]		Facilityb. Community Medicaid Services	28-38
[28.68]			
[28.69]		3. SSI4. Use of Pooled Trusts for Those over the	28-38
[28.70]			20.20
		Age of 65	28-39

[28.71] IV.	Use of Structured Settlements	28-39
[28.72]	A. Overview of Structured Settlements	28-39
[28.73]	B. Paying Monthly Income Into a Supplemental	
	Needs Trust	28-40
[28.74]	1. Medicaid	28-40
[28.75]	2. SSI	28-41
[28.76]	3. Advice to the Personal Injury Bar	28-41
[28.77]	C. The "Payback" and Guaranteed Payments:	
	Sanango v. NYCHHC	28-41
[28.78]	D. Plaintiffs over the Age of 65	28-42
[28.79]	E. Choosing the Structure to Coordinate with	
	the Plaintiff's Plans and Goals	28-42
[28.80] V.	What Happens to Remaining Assets Upon the	
	Death of the Plaintiff?	28-43
[28.81]	A. The Death of the Beneficiary of a	
	Supplemental Needs Trust	28-43
[28.82]	B. The Death of a Medicaid Recipient with a	
	Home	28-44
[28.83]	C. Estate Recovery and Other Government	
	Benefits	28-45
[28.84] VI.	Conclusion	28-46
Appendix A	Adult Incapacitated Plaintiff, Compromise Order	
	After Guardianship	28-47
Appendix B	Infant's Compromise Order and Order	
	Authorizing Establishment of a Supplemental	
	Needs Trust (No Guardianship)	28-49
Appendix C	Infant Compromise Order With Settlement	
	Trust	28-55
Appendix D	Infant Compromise Order Authorizing SNT	
	w/Appointment of Guardian Within 60 Days	28-59
Appendix E	Article 81 Petition to Appoint Guardian and	
	Asking for Expenditures and Creation of SNT	28-65
Appendix F	Trust Agreement Within ICO No Guardianship	28-69
Chapter 29	Structured Settlement Overview for New York Pl	laintiff's
	Attorneys	
	Kevin T. O'Brien, Esq.	
[29.0] I.	Introduction	29-3
[29.1] II.	The Responsibilities of the Plaintiff's	
	Attorney	29-4
[29.2]	A. Duty to Provide Competent Legal	
	Representation	29-4

[29.3]			Bringing in a Plaintiff's Structure Broker	29-6
[29.4]	III.		efits to a Plaintiff in a Structured	
		Settl	lement	29-6
[29.5]		A.	Benefits to All Plaintiffs	29-6
[29.6]		В.	Benefits to Minor Plaintiffs	29-8
[29.7]	IV.	Risk	s to a Plaintiff in a Structured Settlement	29-9
[29.8]		A.	Risk of Default by the Annuity Issuer	29-9
[29.9]		B.	Lack of Liquidity	29-10
[29.10]		C.	The Risk That Interest Rates Will Rise in	
			the Future	29-10
[29.11]	V.	Bene	efits To a Defendant in a Structured	
		Settl	lement	29-10
[29.12]	VI.	Deci	iding Whether To Structure the Settlement	29-12
[29.13]		A.	Situations Potentially Appropriate for a	
			Structured Settlement	29-13
[29.14]		B.	Situations Potentially Not Appropriate for a	
			Structured Settlement	29-13
[29.15]	VII.	If the	e Defendant Refuses to Structure the	
		Settl	lement	29-14
[29.16]	VIII.		Issues in Personal Injury Cases	29-15
[29.17]			Income Tax Treatment of Damages	
			Received in Personal Injury Cases	29-15
[29.18]		B.	The Constructive Receipt Doctrine	29-19
[29.19]		C.	Designation of Beneficiary	29-20
[29.20]		D.	Estate Taxes	29-20
[29.21]		E.	Commutation Provisions to Provide	
			Liquidity upon Death	29-21
[29.22]	IX.	The	Structure Process	29-22
[29.23]		A.	The Structured Settlement Broker	29-22
[29.24]		B.	Fixed Annuity Versus Variable Annuity	
			Versus Treasury Bond Structure	29-22
[29.25]		C.	Annuity Rates	29-23
[29.26]		D.	Rated Ages	29-23
[29.27]		E.	Selecting a Payment Plan	29-23
[29.28]		F.	Life-Care Plans	29-25
[29.29]		G.	Funding the Annuity	29-26
[29.30]			Locking in the Annuity Rates	29-26
[29.31]		I.	Payment of the Up-Front Money and the	
			Attorney's Fee	29-27
[29.32]			Issuance of the Annuity Contract	29-27
[29.33]	X.		Legal Structure of a Structured	
		Settl	lement	29-27

[29.34]	XI.	The Structured Settlement Documents	29-29
[29.35]		A. The Settlement Agreement	29-29
[29.36]		B. The Assignment Agreement	29-30
[29.37]		C. Security Interest in the Annuity	29-30
[29.38]	XII.	The New York Life Insurance Guaranty Fund	29-31
[29.39]	XIII.	Selecting an Annuity Issuer	29-33
[29.40]	XIV.	Settlement Involving a Minor, Incompetent, or	
		Conservatee	29-34
[29.41]		A. The Case Cannot Be Settled Without Court	
		Approval	29-34
[29.42]		B. Permitted Investments for Settlement	
		Proceeds	29-34
[29.43]	XV.	Medicare Set-Asides	29-34
[29.44]	XVI.	Special Needs Trusts	29-35
[29.45]		The New York Structured Settlement Protection	
		Act	29-36
[29.46]		A. Defendant Must Provide Certain Information	
		to the Plaintiff	29-36
[29.47]		B. Sale of Structured Settlement Payments	29-36
[29.48]	XVII	I.Qualified Settlement Funds	29-37
[29.49]	XIX.	Structuring the Attorney's Fee	29-38
[29.50]	XX.	Expedited Collection in Wrongful Death	
		Cases	29-39
Append	ix	Structured Settlement Checklist for New York	
		Plaintiff's Attorneys	29-41
ar amy	0 5 7 5 7 5		
SECTION	ON VI	I—PREPARING FOR TRIAL	
Chanta	r 30 E	Effective Mediation of the Personal Injury Matt	or.
Спарис		Grasping Victory with an Open Hand	
		imeon H. Baum, Esq.	
[30.0]	I.	Sources of Mediation and its Introduction to the	
[30.0]	1.	Personal Injury Field	30-3
[30.1]		A. What is Mediation?	30-3
[30.1]	II.	Labor, Community and Family Mediation—	30- 4
[30.2]	11.	Transformative, Understanding-Based & Problem	,
		Solving Approaches	30-6
[20 2]		A. Transformative Mediation	30-0
[30.3]			30-7
[30.4]		E	20.7
[20 5]		Negotiation Project	30-7
[30.5]		C. Understanding-Based Model—Himmelstein & Friedman	20.0
		& FIIEUIIIaii	30-8

[30.6]		D.	Su	b-Optimal Models of Personal Injury	
			Me	ediation	30-9
[30.7]		E.	Th	e Growth in Use of Personal Injury	
			Μe	ediation	30-9
[30.8]		F.		Γaxonomy or Teleology of Mediation for	
				Personal Injury Practitioner	30-10
[30.9]	III.	Get		Down to Business—Practical Tips for	
				oresentative in Mediation	30-12
[30.10]		A.		cision to Mediate	30-12
[30.11]			1.	Gateways to Mediation	30-12
[30.12]			2.	Benefits of Mediation	30-13
[30.13]		B.	Me	ediator Selection	30-14
[30.14]		C.	Ini	tial Communications—The Joint	
			Pre	e-Mediation Conference Call	30-18
[30.15]			1.	Outstanding Information	30-18
[30.16]			2.	The Need, if any, for Demand	30-19
[30.17]			3.	Bargaining History	30-19
[30.18]			4.	Party Attendance	30-20
[30.19]			5.	Pre-Mediation Statements	30-21
[30.20]			6.	Logistics—Time and Place	30-23
[30.21]			7.	Initial Joint Session or other Procedural	
				Choices	30-24
[30.22]		D.	Pri	vate Pre-Mediation Conference Calls	30-25
[30.23]		E.	Cli	ent Preparation for Mediation	30-26
[30.24]			1.	Describe the Process	30-26
[30.25]			2.	Develop Needs and Interests	30-26
[30.26]			3.	Gather Key Information	30-27
[30.27]			4.	Plan Bargaining Benchmarks	30-28
[30.28]			5.	Divide Roles	30-29
[30.29]			6.	Set Signals	30-29
[30.30]		F.	Ot	her Preparation for Mediation	30-30
[30.31]			1.	Pre-Mediation Statement	30-30
[30.32]			2.	Case Evaluation; Risk & Transaction	
				Cost Assessment	30-31
[30.33]			3.	Interest Assessment	30-32
[30.34]			4.	Preparing an Opening; Developing the	
				Story	30-32
[30.35]			5.	Knowing the Players	30-33
[30.36]			6.	Multiparty Concerns	30-34
[30.37]			7.	Liens & Coverage	30-34
[30.38]		G.	Op	ening & Joint Session	30-35
[30.39]			1.	Party Opening	30-36

[30.40]		2. Addressing Joint Session Anxiety:	
. ,		Ulysses Strapped to the Mast	30-37
[30.41]	H.	The Dating Game—Relationships Matter	30-38
[30.42]		1. A Spoonful of Sugar Helps the Medicine	
		Go Down	30-39
[30.43]		2. Patience is a Virtue	30-39
[30.44]	I.	Later Sessions—Caucus or Otherwise—	
		& General Advice for Mediation	30-39
[30.45]	J.	The Spigot of Disclosure	30-40
[30.46]		1. The Smoking Gun	30-40
[30.47]	K.	Risk & Transaction Cost Analysis	30-41
[30.48]	L.	Let's Get This Party Started—Deal	
		Proposals, Anchoring & the ZOPA	30-42
[30.49]		1. Principled Negotiation	30-43
[30.50]		2. Bracketing & an Eye Toward the	
		Middle	30-44
[30.51]	M.	Judicious Use of Leverage	30-45
[30.52]	N.		30-46
[30.53]		1. Approach the "Mediator's Proposal"	
		with Due Trepidation	30-46
[30.54]		2. <i>Carpe Diem</i> ; Closing the Deal	30-47
[30.55]	Ο.	Mediation Redux; Never Give Up	30-47
		•	
	31 The	First Trial: What You Need To Know and Do	
	31 The You	First Trial: What You Need To Know and Do Step Into the Courtroom	
Chapter 3	31 The You Patri	First Trial: What You Need To Know and Do Step Into the Courtroom ick J. Higgins, Esq.	o Before
Chapter 3 [31.1] I.	31 The You Patri	First Trial: What You Need To Know and Do Step Into the Courtroom ick J. Higgins, Esq. veloping the Proper Trial Mindset	
Chapter 3	31 The You Patri	First Trial: What You Need To Know and Do Step Into the Courtroom ick J. Higgins, Esq. veloping the Proper Trial Mindset	o Before
Chapter 3 [31.1] I.	31 The You Patri	First Trial: What You Need To Know and Do Step Into the Courtroom ick J. Higgins, Esq. veloping the Proper Trial Mindset	o Before
Chapter 3 [31.1] I. [31.2]	You Patri De A.	First Trial: What You Need To Know and Do Step Into the Courtroom ick J. Higgins, Esq. veloping the Proper Trial Mindset	o Before
Chapter 3 [31.1] I.	31 The You Patri	First Trial: What You Need To Know and Do Step Into the Courtroom ick J. Higgins, Esq. veloping the Proper Trial Mindset	31-3 31-3
Chapter 3 [31.1] I. [31.2] [31.3]	You Patri De A. B.	First Trial: What You Need To Know and Do Step Into the Courtroom ick J. Higgins, Esq. veloping the Proper Trial Mindset	31-3 31-3 31-4
Chapter 3 [31.1] I. [31.2] [31.3] [31.4]	Patri De A. B. C.	First Trial: What You Need To Know and Do Step Into the Courtroom ick J. Higgins, Esq. veloping the Proper Trial Mindset	31-3 31-3
Chapter 3 [31.1] I. [31.2] [31.3]	Patri De A. B. C. Ma	First Trial: What You Need To Know and Do Step Into the Courtroom ick J. Higgins, Esq. veloping the Proper Trial Mindset	31-3 31-3 31-4 31-7
Chapter 3 [31.1] I. [31.2] [31.3] [31.4] [31.5] II	B. C. Ma	First Trial: What You Need To Know and Do Step Into the Courtroom ick J. Higgins, Esq. veloping the Proper Trial Mindset	31-3 31-3 31-4 31-7 31-8
Chapter 3 [31.1] I. [31.2] [31.3] [31.4] [31.5] II [31.6]	B. C. Ma Pre A.	First Trial: What You Need To Know and Do Step Into the Courtroom ick J. Higgins, Esq. veloping the Proper Trial Mindset	31-3 31-3 31-4 31-7
Chapter 3 [31.1] I. [31.2] [31.3] [31.4] [31.5] II	B. C. Ma	First Trial: What You Need To Know and Do Step Into the Courtroom ick J. Higgins, Esq. veloping the Proper Trial Mindset	31-3 31-3 31-4 31-7 31-8 31-8
Chapter 3 [31.1] I. [31.2] [31.3] [31.4] [31.5] II [31.6] [31.7]	B. C. Ma Pre A. B.	First Trial: What You Need To Know and Do Step Into the Courtroom ick J. Higgins, Esq. veloping the Proper Trial Mindset	31-3 31-3 31-4 31-7 31-8
Chapter 3 [31.1] I. [31.2] [31.3] [31.4] [31.5] II [31.6] [31.7]	B. C. Ma Pre A. B. II. Pre	First Trial: What You Need To Know and Do Step Into the Courtroom ick J. Higgins, Esq. veloping the Proper Trial Mindset	31-3 31-3 31-4 31-7 31-8 31-8 31-9
Chapter 3 [31.1] II [31.2] [31.3] [31.4] [31.5] II [31.6] [31.7] [31.8] II	B. C. Ma Pre A. B. II. Pre An	First Trial: What You Need To Know and Do Step Into the Courtroom ick J. Higgins, Esq. veloping the Proper Trial Mindset	31-3 31-3 31-4 31-7 31-8 31-8
Chapter 3 [31.1] I. [31.2] [31.3] [31.4] [31.5] II [31.6] [31.7]	B. C. Ma Pre A. B. II. Pre An	First Trial: What You Need To Know and Do Step Into the Courtroom ick J. Higgins, Esq. veloping the Proper Trial Mindset	31-3 31-3 31-4 31-7 31-8 31-8 31-9

[31.10]	Step 1: Identifying and Addressing the	21 12
[21 11]	Client's Fears	31-12
[31.11]	Step 2: Answering the "Where Do I Sit?"	
	Questions—Addressing the Knowledge	21 14
F21 101	Gap	31-14
[31.12]	Step 3: Educating the Client on the Trial	
	Process and How the Client Fits Into That	21 15
521 123	Process	31-15
[31.13]	Step 4: Discussing the Legal Concepts That	21.16
504 4 47	May Impact the Client	31-16
[31.14]	Step 5: Finding Out What is Important to	
	the Client	31-17
[31.15] I		31-17
Appendix		
	Schedule (Personal Injury)	31-19
Chapter 3	2 Common Medical Evidence Issues at Trial	
_	Patrick J. Higgins, Esq.	
[32.0] I	Medical Records, Reports and Images	32-3
[32.1]	A. Admissibility of Medical Records	32-3
[32.2]	1. Hospital Record	32-3
[32.3]	a. Hearsay Statements in a Certified	
	Hospital Record	32-4
[32.4]	(i) Is the Speaker Known?	32-4
[32.5]	(ii) Is the Statement Germane to the	
	Plaintiff's Care and	
	Treatment?	32-5
[32.6]	(iii) Is the Hearsay Statement	
	Admissible If Not Germane to	
	Treatment and Diagnoses?	32-6
[32.7]	2. Hospital Bills	32-7
[32.8]	3. Treating Physician's Office Records	32-7
[32.9]	4. Medical Test and Imaging Reports	
. ,	Contained in a Treating Physician's	
	Office Record	32-8
[32.10]	5. Narrative Medical Reports	32-10
[32.11]	6. Imaging	32-11
[32.12]	7. Medical Reports Interpreting Imaging or	
. ,	Other Tests	32-12
[32.13]	8. The Examining Physician IME	·
1	Report	32-13
[32.14]	a. The Defense IME Reports	32-13
[=	

[32.15]		b. The Plaintiffs' Use of the Defense	22.11
522 1 63		IME Report	32-14
[32.16]		c. The Admissibility of the Plaintiff's	22.4
500 173	**	Examining Physician Report	32-15
[32.17]	II.	Experts	32-15
[32.18]		A. Expert Opinions	32-15
[32.19]		1. The <i>Hambsch</i> Professional Reliability	22.16
500 007		Exception	32-16
[32.20]		2. Establishing the Reliability of the	
		Out-of-Court Material Under	
		Hambsch	32-16
[32.21]		3. Conduit Hearsay	32-19
[32.22]		4. The Out-of-Court MRI Report and	
		Conduit Hearsay	32-20
[32.23]		B. The Open Question—Can the Out-of-Court	
		Material be Admitted Into Evidence or	
		Simply Discussed as a Basis of the Expert's	
		Opinion?	32-23
[32.24]	III.	Hearsay Medical Documents Associated With	
		Expert Proof	32-25
[32.25]		A. Clinical Medical Practice Guidelines	32-25
[32.26]		B. Authoritative Texts, Journals, Books,	
		Studies	32-26
[32.27]		1. Cross-Examination of a Medical Expert	
		on an Authoritative Text	32-27
[32.28]		C. Algorithms	32-27
[32.29]	IV.	Some Practical Considerations	32-28
Chapte	r 33	Trial Evidence Preparation	
		David Paul Horowitz, Esq.	
		Lukas M. Horowitz, Esq.	
[33.0]	I.	Introduction	33-3
[33.1]	II.	Pre-Trial Checklist	33-5
[33.2]		A. Sixty Days Before Trial	33-5
[33.3]		B. Thirty Days Before Trial	33-6
[33.4]		C. Fifteen Days Before Trial	33-6
[33.5]		D. Final Week Before Trial	33-6
[33.6]	III.	Know the Trial Court	33-7
[33.7]		A. Pleadings Issues	33-7
[33.8]		B. Exchange of Medical Reports	33-9
[33.9]		C. Expert Responses	33-10
[33.10]		D. Witnesses	33-11
_			

[33.11]		E. Notices to Admit	33-11
[33.12]		F. Liens	33-12
[33.13]		G. Certified Records	33-13
[33.14]	IV.	Trial Memoranda	33-14
[33.15]		A. Requests to Charge, Verdict Sheets, and	
		Contentions	33-14
[33.16]		B. Motions in Limine	33-15
[33.17]	V.	Conclusion	33-17
Chapte	r 34	Motions in Limine	
-		Benedene Cannata, Esq.	
[34.0]	I.	The Motion In Limine	34-3
[34.1]		A. How to Make a Motion in Limine	34-3
[34.2]		B. When to Make a Motion in Limine	34-4
[34.3]		1. Motion in Limine During Jury	
		Selection	34-4
[34.4]		2. Motion in Limine During Trial	34-5
[34.5]		3. Premature Motions in Limine	34-6
[34.6]		C. Authority for a Motion in Limine	34-8
[34.7]		D. Violating an <i>In Limine</i> Ruling	34-9
[34.8]	II.	The Varied Applications of the Motion	
		in Limine	34-10
[34.9]		A. Particular Evidence	34-12
[34.10]		B. General Categories of Evidence	34-16
[34.11]		C. Statements	34-18
[34.12]		D. Expert Witnesses and the <i>Frye</i> Motion	34-19
[34.13]		1. Motions to Preclude Unreliable	
		Scientific Evidence	34-20
[34.14]		2. When to Make a <i>Frye</i> Motion	34-22
[34.15]		3. Frye Motion Mechanics	34-24
[34.16]		4. The <i>Frye</i> Inquiry	34-26
[34.17]		a. First Scenario	34-26
[34.18]		b. Second Scenario	34-26
[34.19]		c. Third Scenario	34-26
[34.20]		d. Fourth Scenario	34-26
[34.21]		5. Proving General Acceptance in the	
		Relevant Scientific Community	34-27
[34.22]	III.	In Limine Motions that have the Effect of	
		Summary Judgment	34-29
[34.23]	IV.	Is an in Limine Ruling Appealable?	34-33
[34.24]	V.	Conclusion	34-37

SECTION VIII—TRIAL

Chapter 35		The Trial: the View From the Bench Hon. John C. Cherundolo	
[35.0]	I.	Voir Dire: the First Battle in the War of	
[]		Litigation	35-5
[35.1]	II.	The Opening Statement: Where the Battle Lines	
		Are Drawn	35-8
[35.2]	III.	The Proof in the Case: The Basic Facts Upon	
		Which the Jury Will Base Their Decision	35-10
[35.3]	IV.	Closing Arguments: the Last Battle	35-13
[35.4]	V.	Conclusion	35-15
Chapte	r 36	[Reserved]	
Chapte	r 37	Opening Statements	
•		E. Stewart Jones, Jr., Esq.	
[37.0]	I.	Introduction	37-3
[37.1]	II.	The Psychology of the Opening Statement	37-6
[37.2]		A. The Principles of Primacy and Recency	37-6
[37.3]		B. Order of Information	37-7
[37.4]		C. The Language of Presentation	37-8
[37.5]		D. The Halo Effect	37-8
[37.6]		E. Simplicity	37-9
[37.7]		F. Ownership, Knowledge, and Control	37-9
[37.8]		G. Anger Versus Sympathy	37-10
[37.9]		H. The Language of Visualization	37-11
[37.10]		I. The Present Tense	37-11
[37.11]	III.	The Purpose of the Opening Statement	37-11
[37.12]	IV.	The Structure of the Opening Statement	37-12
[37.13]		A. Introduction	37-12
[37.14]		B. Brevity	37-12
[37.15]		C. Transitions	37-13
[37.16]		D. Construction	37-13
[37.17]		E. The Story Order	37-14
[37.18]		F. Elements of the Opening	37-15
[37.19]		1. Beginning	37-15
[37.20]		2. Theme	37-16
[37.21]		3. Issues	37-17
[37.22]		4. The Story	37-18
[37.23]		5. The Damages	37-21
[37.24]		6. Confronting Problems	37-22

[37.27] 9. Forcing Your Adversary's Hand	[37.25]			7. Language of Law	37-24
[37.28] 10. The Opening Must Relate to the Summation 37 [37.29] V. The Presentation of the Evidence 37 [37.30] A. Be Positive 37 [37.31] B. Be Specific 37 [37.32] C. Develop Psychological Identification Between the Jury and You, Your Client, and the Client's Case 37 [37.33] D. Personalize and Humanize Your Client 37 [37.34] E. Use of the Courtroom 37 [37.35] F. Use of Physical Space 37 [37.37] H. Depositions 37 [37.38] I. Make the Jurors "Experts" 37 [37.39] J. Word Choice 37 [37.40] K. Do Not Overreach 37 [37.41] L. Emotion 37 [37.42] M. Vocal Tone 37 [37.43] N. Use Transitions 37 [37.44] O. Maintain Eye Contact 37 [37.45] P. Levity 37 [37.46] Q. Avoid Objections 37 [37.47] R. Do Not Promise a Witness by Name or Link Precise Details of Testimony to a Specific Witness 37 [37.48]	[37.26]			8. Closing the Opening	37-25
Summation	[37.27]			9. Forcing Your Adversary's Hand	37-26
Summation	[37.28]			10. The Opening Must Relate to the	
[37.30] A. Be Positive					37-27
[37.31] B. Be Specific 37. [37.32] C. Develop Psychological Identification Between the Jury and You, Your Client, and the Client's Case 37. [37.33] D. Personalize and Humanize Your Client 37. [37.34] E. Use of the Courtroom 37. [37.35] F. Use of Physical Space 37. [37.36] G. Use of Pleadings and Exhibits 37. [37.37] H. Depositions 37. [37.38] I. Make the Jurors "Experts" 37. [37.39] J. Word Choice 37. [37.40] K. Do Not Overreach 37. [37.41] L. Emotion 37. [37.42] M. Vocal Tone 37. [37.43] N. Use Transitions 37. [37.44] O. Maintain Eye Contact 37. [37.45] P. Levity 37. [37.46] Q. Avoid Objections 37. [37.47] R. Do Not Promise a Witness by Name or Link Precise Details of Testimony to a Specific Witness 37. [37.48] S. Opening the Opening 37. [37.50] U. Use Rhetorical Questions 37. [37.51] <td>[37.29]</td> <td>V.</td> <td>The</td> <td>e Presentation of the Evidence</td> <td>37-27</td>	[37.29]	V.	The	e Presentation of the Evidence	37-27
[37.32] C. Develop Psychological Identification Between the Jury and You, Your Client, and the Client's Case	[37.30]		A.	Be Positive	37-27
Between the Jury and You, Your Client, and the Client's Case	[37.31]		B.		37-28
and the Client's Case	[37.32]		C.	Develop Psychological Identification	
and the Client's Case				Between the Jury and You, Your Client,	
[37.34] E. Use of the Courtroom					37-28
[37.35] F. Use of Physical Space 37 [37.36] G. Use of Pleadings and Exhibits 37 [37.37] H. Depositions 37 [37.38] I. Make the Jurors "Experts" 37 [37.39] J. Word Choice 37 [37.40] K. Do Not Overreach 37 [37.41] L. Emotion 37 [37.42] M. Vocal Tone 37 [37.43] N. Use Transitions 37 [37.44] O. Maintain Eye Contact 37 [37.45] P. Levity 37 [37.46] Q. Avoid Objections 37 [37.47] R. Do Not Promise a Witness by Name or Link Precise Details of Testimony to a Specific Witness 37 [37.48] S. Opening the Opening 37 [37.49] T. Use Contrast—the Imbalance Between the Plaintiff and Defendant 37 [37.50] U. Use Rhetorical Questions 37 [37.51] VI. Verbal Snapshot, Present Tense 37 [37.52] A. Do Not Tell the Jury That What You Are Telling Them Is Not Evidence 37 [37.53] B. Preempt the Defense 37 [37.54]	[37.33]		D.	Personalize and Humanize Your Client	37-28
[37.36] G. Use of Pleadings and Exhibits	[37.34]		E.	Use of the Courtroom	37-29
[37.36] G. Use of Pleadings and Exhibits	[37.35]		F.	Use of Physical Space	37-29
[37.37] H. Depositions	[37.36]		G.		37-31
[37.38] I. Make the Jurors "Experts" 37 [37.39] J. Word Choice 37 [37.40] K. Do Not Overreach 37 [37.41] L. Emotion 37 [37.42] M. Vocal Tone 37 [37.43] N. Use Transitions 37 [37.44] O. Maintain Eye Contact 37 [37.45] P. Levity 37 [37.46] Q. Avoid Objections 37 [37.47] R. Do Not Promise a Witness by Name or Link Precise Details of Testimony to a Specific Witness 37 [37.48] S. Opening the Opening 37 [37.49] T. Use Contrast—the Imbalance Between the Plaintiff and Defendant 37 [37.50] U. Use Rhetorical Questions 37 [37.51] VI. Verbal Snapshot, Present Tense 37 [37.52] A. Do Not Tell the Jury That What You Are Telling Them Is Not Evidence 37 [37.53] B. Preempt the Defense 37 [37.54] C. Concluding the Opening 37 [37.55] D. Do Not Present the Jury with Too Many Dominant Themes or Alternative Theories 37 [37.56] E. Notes 37	[37.37]		H.		37-31
[37.40] K. Do Not Overreach 37 [37.41] L. Emotion 37 [37.42] M. Vocal Tone 37 [37.43] N. Use Transitions 37 [37.44] O. Maintain Eye Contact 37 [37.45] P. Levity 37 [37.46] Q. Avoid Objections 37 [37.47] R. Do Not Promise a Witness by Name or Link Precise Details of Testimony to a Specific Witness 37 [37.48] S. Opening the Opening 37 [37.49] T. Use Contrast—the Imbalance Between the Plaintiff and Defendant 37 [37.50] U. Use Rhetorical Questions 37 [37.51] VI. Verbal Snapshot, Present Tense 37 [37.52] A. Do Not Tell the Jury That What You Are Telling Them Is Not Evidence 37 [37.53] B. Preempt the Defense 37 [37.54] C. Concluding the Opening 37 [37.55] D. Do Not Present the Jury with Too Many Dominant Themes or Alternative Theories 37 [37.56] E. Notes 37	[37.38]		I.		37-31
[37.41] L. Emotion 37 [37.42] M. Vocal Tone 37 [37.43] N. Use Transitions 37 [37.44] O. Maintain Eye Contact 37 [37.45] P. Levity 37 [37.46] Q. Avoid Objections 37 [37.47] R. Do Not Promise a Witness by Name or Link Precise Details of Testimony to a Specific Witness 37 [37.48] S. Opening the Opening 37 [37.49] T. Use Contrast—the Imbalance Between the Plaintiff and Defendant 37 [37.50] U. Use Rhetorical Questions 37 [37.51] VI. Verbal Snapshot, Present Tense 37 [37.52] A. Do Not Tell the Jury That What You Are Telling Them Is Not Evidence 37 [37.53] B. Preempt the Defense 37 [37.54] C. Concluding the Opening 37 [37.55] D. Do Not Present the Jury with Too Many Dominant Themes or Alternative Theories 37 [37.56] E. Notes 37	[37.39]		J.	Word Choice	37-32
[37.42] M. Vocal Tone 37 [37.43] N. Use Transitions 37 [37.44] O. Maintain Eye Contact 37 [37.45] P. Levity 37 [37.46] Q. Avoid Objections 37 [37.47] R. Do Not Promise a Witness by Name or Link Precise Details of Testimony to a Specific Witness 37 [37.48] S. Opening the Opening 37 [37.49] T. Use Contrast—the Imbalance Between the Plaintiff and Defendant 37 [37.50] U. Use Rhetorical Questions 37 [37.51] VI. Verbal Snapshot, Present Tense 37 [37.52] A. Do Not Tell the Jury That What You Are Telling Them Is Not Evidence 37 [37.53] B. Preempt the Defense 37 [37.54] C. Concluding the Opening 37 [37.55] D. Do Not Present the Jury with Too Many Dominant Themes or Alternative Theories 37 [37.56] E. Notes 37	[37.40]		K.	Do Not Overreach	37-33
[37.43] N. Use Transitions	[37.41]		L.	Emotion	37-33
[37.44] O. Maintain Eye Contact	[37.42]		M.	Vocal Tone	37-34
[37.45] P. Levity 37 [37.46] Q. Avoid Objections 37 [37.47] R. Do Not Promise a Witness by Name or Link Precise Details of Testimony to a Specific Witness 37 [37.48] S. Opening the Opening 37 [37.49] T. Use Contrast—the Imbalance Between the Plaintiff and Defendant 37 [37.50] U. Use Rhetorical Questions 37 [37.51] VI. Verbal Snapshot, Present Tense 37 [37.52] A. Do Not Tell the Jury That What You Are Telling Them Is Not Evidence 37 [37.53] B. Preempt the Defense 37 [37.54] C. Concluding the Opening 37 [37.55] D. Do Not Present the Jury with Too Many Dominant Themes or Alternative Theories 37 [37.56] E. Notes 37	[37.43]		N.	Use Transitions	37-34
[37.46] Q. Avoid Objections	[37.44]		O.	Maintain Eye Contact	37-35
[37.47] R. Do Not Promise a Witness by Name or Link Precise Details of Testimony to a Specific Witness	[37.45]		P.	Levity	37-35
[37.47] R. Do Not Promise a Witness by Name or Link Precise Details of Testimony to a Specific Witness	[37.46]		Q.	Avoid Objections	37-35
Witness 37 37 37 37 37 37 37	[37.47]		R.		
[37.48] S. Opening the Opening 37 [37.49] T. Use Contrast—the Imbalance Between the Plaintiff and Defendant 37 [37.50] U. Use Rhetorical Questions 37 [37.51] VI. Verbal Snapshot, Present Tense 37 [37.52] A. Do Not Tell the Jury That What You Are Telling Them Is Not Evidence 37 [37.53] B. Preempt the Defense 37 [37.54] C. Concluding the Opening 37 [37.55] D. Do Not Present the Jury with Too Many Dominant Themes or Alternative Theories 37 [37.56] E. Notes 37				Precise Details of Testimony to a Specific	
[37.49] T. Use Contrast—the Imbalance Between the Plaintiff and Defendant				Witness	37-36
[37.49] T. Use Contrast—the Imbalance Between the Plaintiff and Defendant	[37.48]		S.	Opening the Opening	37-36
[37.50] U. Use Rhetorical Questions 37 [37.51] VI. Verbal Snapshot, Present Tense 37 [37.52] A. Do Not Tell the Jury That What You Are Telling Them Is Not Evidence 37 [37.53] B. Preempt the Defense 37 [37.54] C. Concluding the Opening 37 [37.55] D. Do Not Present the Jury with Too Many Dominant Themes or Alternative Theories 37 [37.56] E. Notes 37	[37.49]		T.		
[37.51] VI. Verbal Snapshot, Present Tense 37 [37.52] A. Do Not Tell the Jury That What You Are Telling Them Is Not Evidence 37 [37.53] B. Preempt the Defense 37 [37.54] C. Concluding the Opening 37 [37.55] D. Do Not Present the Jury with Too Many Dominant Themes or Alternative Theories 37 [37.56] E. Notes 37				Plaintiff and Defendant	37-39
[37.52] A. Do Not Tell the Jury That What You Are Telling Them Is Not Evidence	[37.50]		U.	Use Rhetorical Questions	37-40
Telling Them Is Not Evidence	[37.51]	VI.	Vei	bal Snapshot, Present Tense	37-41
[37.53] B. Preempt the Defense	[37.52]		A.	Do Not Tell the Jury That What You Are	
[37.54] C. Concluding the Opening				Telling Them Is Not Evidence	37-42
[37.55] D. Do Not Present the Jury with Too Many Dominant Themes or Alternative Theories	[37.53]		B.	Preempt the Defense	37-42
Dominant Themes or Alternative Theories	[37.54]		C.	Concluding the Opening	37-43
Theories 37 [37.56] E. Notes 37	[37.55]		D.	Do Not Present the Jury with Too Many	
[37.56] E. Notes				Dominant Themes or Alternative	
				Theories	37-44
[37.57] VII. The Law of Opening Statements 37.	[37.56]		E.	Notes	37-45
[cc.] In the Law of opening outcoments	[37.57]	VII.	The	e Law of Opening Statements	37-45

[37.58]		A. Avoiding Mistrials and Reversals	37-46
[37.59]		B. The Need to Object	37-47
Chapte	r 38	Demonstrative Evidence in the Visual Age	
		W. Russell Corker, Esq.	
[38.0]	I.	Introduction	38-3
[38.1]	II.	Visual Strategies	38-4
[38.2]	III.	Demonstrative Evidence	38-5
[38.3]		A. When to Use Demonstrative Evidence	38-6
[38.4]		B. Admissibility of Demonstrative Evidence:	
		Evidentiary Rules	38-7
[38.5]		C. Objections to Demonstrative Evidence	38-8
[38.6]	IV.	Technology in the Courtroom	38-9
[38.7]		A. Presentation Software	38-11
[38.8]		B. Hardware	38-12
[38.9]		1. Projectors	38-12
[38.10]		2. Integrated Presentation Systems	38-12
[38.11]		C. Basic PowerPoint for Lawyers	38-13
[38.12]		1. Emphasis Tools: Get in Focus	38-13
[38.13]		2. Exhibit Numbers	38-14
[38.14]		3. Titles	38-14
[38.15]		4. Bullet Point Presentations	38-14
[38.16]		D. Multimedia Presentations	38-15
[38.17]		1. Blackboards, Whiteboards and Flip	
		Charts	38-15
[38.18]		2. Exhibit Boards	38-16
[38.19]		3. Models	38-17
[38.20]	V.	Enhancing the Impact of Demonstrative	
		Evidence	38-17
[38.21]		A. Generally	38-17
[38.22]		B. Other Considerations for the Use of	
		Demonstrative Evidence	38-18
[38.23]	VI.	Opening Statements	38-19
[38.24]	VII	Examination of Witnesses Using Presentation	
		Software	38-21
[38.25]		A. Summation	38-22
[38.26]		B. Photographs in the Visual Age	38-22
[38.27]		C. Documents in the Visual Age	38-24
[38.28]		D. Timeline	38-26
[38.29]		E. Chronological List	38-27
[38.30]		F. Flowcharts	38-27
[38.31]		G. Checklist	38-28

[38.32]	H. Imaging Studies	38-28
[38.33]	I. Trial Graphics	38-29
[38.34]	J. Medical Illustrations	38-30
[38.35]	K. Video	38-31
[38.36]	L. Videotaped Depositions	38-31
[38.37]	M. Computer-Generated Images: Simulations,	
	Animations, and Recreations	38-32
[38.38]	N. Maps and Diagrams	38-35
[38.39]	O. Magnetic Boards	38-36
[38.40]	P. Summary Collages	38-36
[38.41]	Q. Visual Analogies	38-36
Chapter 39	The Plaintiff's Direct Case at Trial	
-	J. Michael Hayes, Esq.	
[39.0] I.	Introduction	39-3
[39.1] II.	The Plaintiff	39-3
[39.2]	A. Your Client's Presentation and	
	Communication	39-3
[39.3]	B. Checklist of Necessary Elements	39-4
[39.4]	C. Photographs: Their Identification and	
	Qualification for Evidence	39-5
[39.5]	D. Diary: Qualification, Use, and Purpose	39-6
[39.6]	E. Spouse	39-8
[39.7] III.	The Defendant	39-8
[39.8]	A. When to Be Gentle	39-8
[39.9]	B. When to Be Aggressive with Inconsistent	
	Statements and Depositions	39-9
[39.10] IV.	Witnesses	39-9
[39.11]	A. Police Officer	39-9
[39.12]	B. Experts	39-9
[39.13]	1. Orthopedic Surgeon	39-9
[39.14]	a. Credentials, Including Certifications	
	and Publications	39-9
[39.15]	2. Radiologist	39-11
[39.16]	3. Economist	39-11
Appendix A	Direct Examination of Orthopedic Surgeon	39-13
Appendix B	Direct Examination of a Radiologist	39-57
Appendix C	Direct Examination of an Economist	39-65

Chapte	r 40	The A	Art of Cross-Examination	
-		Hon.	John C. Cherundolo	
[40.0]	I.	The	e Bible: the "Ten Commandments" of	
		Cro	oss-Examination	40-3
[40.1]	II.		at Is the Purpose of Cross-Examination?	40-5
[40.2]		A.	Be Prepared	40-6
[40.3]		B.	Do I Really Need to Cross-Examine the	
			Witness?	40-6
[40.4]		C.	How to Structure a Cross-Examination	40-7
[40.5]		D.	Be Present "In" the Cross-Examination	40-7
[40.6]		E.	Define the Scope of the Cross-	
			Examination	40-8
[40.7]		F.	Assess What the Witness Has Done to Your	
			Plan	40-8
[40.8]		G.	Be Careful of Language (Both Verbal and	
			Body)	40-9
[40.9]		H.	Set the Pace and Rhythm of the	
			Examination	40-9
[40.10]		I.	It Is Important to Stay in Control	40-10
[40.11]		J.	Learn What Kind of Witness Is	
			Testifying	40-11
[40.12]		K.	Be Prepared When You Stand Up	40-11
[40.13]		L.	Do Not Rush Your Questions or Your	
			Examination	40-12
[40.14]		M.	Know What "Proof" Supports the Case	
			Theme and Theory	40-13
[40.15]		N.	Know the Judge and the Rules of the Court	
			and Staff	40-14
[40.16]	III.	Imp	peachment	40-14
[40.17]		A.	Bias, Interest, and Motive	40-15
[40.18]		В.	Prior Inconsistent Statements	40-16
[40.19]		C.	Contradictory Facts	40-18
[40.20]		D.	Prior Convictions	40-19
[40.21]		E.	Prior Bad Acts	40-20
[40.22]		F.	Bad Reputation for Character or	
			Truthfulness	40-21
[40.23]		G.	Competency—Impeachment by Showing	
			Intoxication, Illness, Overmedication, or	
			Some Other Defect of Capacity	40-22
[40.24]		H.	Treatises	40-22
[40.25]	IV.	Cor	nclusion	40-23

Chapte	r 41 S	ome l	Do's and Don'ts for Summation	
	F	Henry	G. Miller, Esq.	
[41.1]	I.	Don'	t Start Slowly	41-3
[41.2]	II.		t Be an Encyclopedia	41-3
[41.3]	III.	Don'	t Use Jargon	41-4
[41.4]	IV.	Spea	k Plainly	41-4
[41.5]	V.		k Anglo Saxon	41-4
[41.6]	VI.	Use t	the Language of the Charge	41-4
[41.7]	VII.	Don'	t Give Your Opinion	41-5
[41.8]	VIII.	Don'	t Get Carried Away	41-5
[41.9]	IX.	Use a	an Outline	41-5
[41.10]	X.	Don'	t Answer Their Questions	41-5
[41.11]	XI.	Don'	t Be Too Insensitive	41-6
[41.12]	XII.	Don'	t Be Arrogant	41-6
[41.13]	XIII.	Don'	t Use a Weak Argument—Use	
			rination	41-6
[41.14]	XIV.	Don'	t Be Greedy	41-7
[41.15]	XV.	Look	at the Jury	41-7
[41.16]	XVI.	Don'	t Think of Yourself	41-7
[41.17]	XVII	. Belie	eve	41-8
[41.18]	XVII	I.Have	e a Little Courage	41-8
Chapte	r 42 A	hppell	late Practice	
F			Ellen O'Brien, Esq.	
[42.0]	I.	•	duction	42-3
[42.1]			Purpose, Function and Structure of Appellate	2
. ,			Courts and Necessity to Preserve Issues on	
			Appeal	42-3
[42.2]			Scope of Review	42-5
[42.3]			1. Reviewability	42-6
[42.4]		2	2. Preservation Doctrine—Raising Issues	
			for the First Time on Appeal	42-9
[42.5]		C. (Controlling Statutes of Appellate Practice	
. ,			and Procedure Must Be Consulted	42-9
[42.6]	II.	Deci	sion To Appeal	42-10
[42.7]		A. A	Aggrievement Doctrine	42-11
[42.8]]	1. An Aggrieved Party	42-11
[42.9]		2	2. Party Who Prevails Is Not	
			Aggrieved	42-12
[42.10]		3	3. Party Is Not Aggrieved by Dismissal of	
			Complaint Against a Co-Defendant	42-12

[42.11]		4.	Party Is Aggrieved by Dismissal of	
			Cross Claims	42-12
[42.12]		5.	Party Is Not Aggrieved Where It Does	
			Not Join in Relief Sought	42-13
[42.13]		6.	Party Is Not Aggrieved When Order or	
			Judgment Is Entered on Consent	42-13
[42.14]		7.	Party Is Not Aggrieved When It	
			Disagrees with Some Part of an Order	
			or Judgment but Otherwise Prevails	42-13
[42.15]		8.	Person Does Not Necessarily Have to	
			Be a Party to Be Aggrieved	42-14
[42.16]		9.	Party Who Assigns Interest Is Not	
			Aggrieved	42-14
[42.17]		10.	Party Against Whom a Default Is Entered	d
			Is Not Aggrieved	42-14
[42.18]		11.	Party Can Be Partially Aggrieved	42-15
[42.19]		12.	Respondent on Appeal Becomes	
			Aggrieved by Reversal	42-16
[42.20]		13.	Principals of Corporation Not Aggrieved	
			If Order Only Affects Rights of the	
			Corporation	42-16
[42.21]		14.	Party Is Aggrieved When Court Fails to	
			Address a Part of His or Her Motion	42-16
[42.22]	В	. Ap	pealability	42-16
[42.23]		1.	Orders Deciding Motions on Notice Are	
			Appealable	42-16
[42.24]		2.	Ex Parte Orders Are Not Appealable	42-17
[42.25]		3.	Decisions, Verdicts, Reports or Rulings	
			Are Not Appealable	42-18
[42.26]		4.	Order Denying Reargument Is Not	
			Appealable	42-18
[42.27]		5.	Order Denying a Motion to Resettle	
			Certain Parts of an Order Is Not	
			Appealable	42-19
[42.28]		6.	Appealability Not Affected by	
			Subsequent Application Affecting the	
			Same Order	42-19
[42.29]		7.	Preserving Appealability	42-19
[42.30]	III. In	vokin	g Jurisdiction	42-20
[42.31]	A	. No	tice of Appeal and Permission to Appeal	
			nerally	42-20
[42.32]	В	. Cro	oss Appeals	42-22

[42.33]		C.	Concurrent Appeals	42-23
[42.34]		D.		42-23
[42.35]	IV.	Sta	ys Pending Appeal	42-24
[42.36]		A.	Automatic Stays; i.e., Without Court	
			Order	42-24
[42.37]		B.	Discretionary Stays	42-25
[42.38]		C.	Stays Are Very Specific	42-26
[42.39]	V.	Pre	argument Conference	42-26
[42.40]	VI.	Per	fecting the Appeal	42-27
[42.41]		A.	The Record on Appeal	42-27
[42.42]		B.	Transcripts That Are Part of the Record	
			Must Be Settled	42-29
[42.43]		C.	Briefs Generally	42-29
[42.44]		D.		42-31
[42.45]		E.	Filing and Service of the Record and	
			Brief	42-32
[42.46]		F.	Electronic Filing	42-32
[42.47]	VII.	Cal	lendaring an Appeal	42-34
[42.48]	VIII.	Ora	al Argument	42-35
[42.49]	IX.		tions for the Unsuccessful Litigant	42-37
[42.50]		A.	Appeals as of Right to the Court of	
			Appeals	42-37
[42.51]		B.	Permission to Appeal and Post-Appeal	
			Motions at the Appellate Court	42-37
[42.52]		C.	Perfecting the Appeal	42-39
[42.53]	X.	Ap	peals to the Appellate Term	42-39
[42.54]	XI.	Red	cent Cases of Interests—Recurring Issues on	
		Ap	peal	42-43
[42.55]		A.	Summary Judgment Motions Generally	42-43
[42.56]			1. Movant Must Sustain Initial Burden on	
			Motion for Summary Judgment	42-44
[42.57]			2. Non-Movant Must Rebut Movant's	
			Prima Facie Showing	42-45
[42.58]		B.	Conclusory Allegations Incompetent to	
			Support or Rebut the <i>Prima Facie</i> Showing	
			for Summary Judgment	42-45
[42.59]		C.	Affidavits Used to Correct Prior	
			Testimony	42-46
[42.60]		D.	Expert Disclosure and Use of Affidavits	42-46
[42.61]		E.	Rear-End Collisions—Rebuttable	
			Presumption of Negligence—Sudden-Stop	
			Defense	42-46

[42.62]		F.	Late Notice of Claim to a Municipality	42-47
[42.63]		G.	Open and Obvious Nature of the Condition	42-48
[42.64]		H.	Insurance Disclaimer	42-49
[42.65]		I.	Supplemental Underinsured and	
			Underinsured Motorist Notice	
			Disclaimer	42-49
[42.66]		J.	Serious Injury	42-49
[42.67]		K.	Discovery Motions—Standard of	
			Review	42-50
[42.68]		L.	Electronic Signatures on Medical Reports	
			and Doctor's Affirmations	42-52
[42.69]		M.	Trial Issues Generally	42-54
[42.70]			1. Sufficiency of Offers of Proof at a Trial	
			or Hearing	42-54
[42.71]			2. Challenges to Damage Awards	42-54
SECTI	ON I	X—L	AW PRACTICE MANAGEMENT FOR T	ΉE
		P	ERSONAL INJURY ATTORNEY	
Chapte	er 43	E-Fil	ling of a Personal Injury Action	
			ussell Corker, Esq.	
[43.1]	I.	Bac	ckground and Advantages of E-filing	43-3
[43.2]	II.	Rec	quirements for Using the E-filing System	43-4
[43.3]	III.	Cor	mmencing a Personal Injury Action by	
		E-F	Filing	43-5
[43.4]	IV.	Fili	ng Additional Documents After Answer Is	
		Rec	ceived	43-13
[43.5]	V.	Coı	nclusion	43-15

Table of Authorities

Index

PREFACE

We started updating the Treatise for this Edition in earnest in late 2019. Then COVID-19 happened, and everything changed. Since that time, we have been tracking the constantly changing landscape from how we take depositions, to the differing immunity orders issuing as to New York hospitals and nursing homes, to the new normal of jury trials. And yes, we learned how to work from home, and Zoom is now part of our daily life. It remains to be seen whether anyone will show up again in person for a preliminary conference. In February, 2021, new court rules issued, moving state court practice closer to commercial division and federal practice.

The New York State Bar Association and the New York State Academy of Trial Lawyers, among others, have done a yeoman's job keeping the plaintiff's trial bar up to date on the continual changes occurring over the COVID 19 pandemic. We have attached as a Special Alert the new court rules that will impact the plaintiff's personal injury practice. Things continue to change as we fight the pandemic and try to get back to normal, whatever that means now. Trials are starting again all over New York, and that is a good thing. We will all have to work together to try cases quicker, better, and with more pre-trial stipulations and work.

We offer this 2021 Edition to help the plaintiff's personal injury practitioner thrive and advance in these most challenging of times. Thank you to our authors who contribute the treasure of their time and experience while balancing their family and professional time. Thank you also to Kathryn Calista, Esq. the Treatise's capable and encouraging NYSBA editor, and Naomi Pitts, NYSBA's Publication Coordinator, for keeping the Second Edition on track and bringing it to press. As we roll out the Second Edition, COVID 19 continues to change our practice. We wish you the best and that you continue to learn and grow and stay committed to helping personal injury clients.

Patrick J. Higgins Law Offices of Patrick J. Higgins, PLLC 21 Everett Road Ext. Suite A-4 Albany, NY 12205 518-489-1098 ex. 17 pjh@phigginslaw.com www.phigginslaw.com

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

PATRICK J. HIGGINS, ESQ.

Mr. Higgins is the owner of the Law Offices of Patrick J. Higgins, PLLC in Albany, New York where he represents plaintiffs in complex personal injury and medical malpractice actions.

Over the past 35 years, Mr. Higgins has tried multiple cases to verdict in state and federal courts and successfully litigated many complex medical malpractice and personal injury cases. Mr. Higgins has frequently spoken to attorneys' groups on trial and legal practice issues, including the American Association of Justice, ("AAJ"), the New York State Academy of Trial Lawyers, the New York State Bar Association, and various local bar associations.

Mr. Higgins is the Editor-in-Chief and contributing author to the New York State Bar Association's two-volume treatise The Plaintiff's Personal Injury Action in New York, and the state chair for the New York State Bar Association's seminar "Handling Tough Issues in Personal Injury Litigation." Since 2003, he has also authored the annually updated chapter and monograph for the New York State Bar Association entitled "Representing the Personal Injury Plaintiff in New York." He has also published articles for the New York State Bar Association Journal, and AAJ's Trial Magazine.

Mr. Higgins is listed in Best Lawyers of AmericaTM and as AV preeminentTM in Martindale Hubbell. Mr. Higgins is board certified by the National Board of Trial Advocacy in Trial Practice and is a graduate of the National Institute of Trial Advocacy (NITA) Master Advocacy Program. He is a past President of the Albany County Bar Association, a past member of the New York State Bar Association House of Delegates, and a past member of the Independent Judicial Elections Qualification Commission for the Third Judicial District.

ABOUT THE AUTHORS

MARA D. AFZALI, ESQ.

Mara D. Afzali is an attorney in Bond, Schoeneck & King, PLLC's litigation practice, representing clients in a variety of litigation and pre-litigation matters in both state and federal court, including personal injury actions, commercial disputes, employment discrimination defense, tax assessment challenges, and bankruptcy matters. She has represented clients on appeals to the Appellate Division, Second Circuit, and the Tax Appeals Tribunal. Mara has also handled a prisoner's rights case *pro bono* in Federal Court for the Northern District of New York and worked with the Legal Aid Society of Northeastern New York's Private Attorney Involvement Program.

Mara is a *summa cum laude* graduate of both Siena College and Albany Law School, where she worked as a research assistant to Patrick M. Connors, assisting him in revising the 6th Edition of New York Practice and was a legal intern to Hon. Mae D'Agostino, federal court judge in the Northern District. Mara is currently a board member of the Capital District Women's Bar Association and a member of the CLE committee for the Federal Court Bar Association, Northern District. Before entering the legal profession, Mara was an elementary school teacher and continues to be involved in her local community as a volunteer firefighter.

JEFFREY KYLE ANDERSON, ESQ.

Mr. Anderson is managing partner in the law firm of Anderson, Moschetti & Taffany, PLLC. He received his Bachelor of Arts degree *cum laude* from Colgate University in 1978, and Juris Doctor Degree from Albany Law School of Union University in 1981.

For the past 36 years, Mr. Anderson has represented clients in personal injury and wrongful death cases arising from automobile accidents, defective products, medical negligence, construction site accidents and unsafe premises. He has obtained numerous multi-million settlements and verdicts on behalf of his clients, including a verdict of 4.8 million dollars in a products liability case and a settlement of 2.25 million dollars in a pedestrian-motor vehicle case.

Mr. Anderson is admitted to practice in state and federal courts in New York and is a member of the American Bar Association, American Association for Justice, New York State Bar Association, New York State Trial Lawyers Association (former member, Board of Directors), Albany, Rensselaer and Saratoga County Bar Associations, and President of the Capital District Trial Lawyers Association (1998-1999).

Mr. Anderson is also a founding partner of Litigation Resolution Services, which provides alternative dispute resolution, and has handled more than 600 mediations and arbitrations of personal injury and wrongful death cases; as well as numerous business and commercial disputes. He is a member of the Dispute Resolution Section of the New York State Bar Association, having served as Co-Liaison to the Torts, Insurance, and Compensation Law Section, and is also a member of the arbitration and mediation committees. He also lectures on mediation and arbitration to lawyers at continuing legal education programs given by numerous organizations, including the New York State Bar Association and the National Business Institute.

Mr. Anderson completed mediation training at the Harvard Negotiation Institute of Harvard Law School in 2010; and in commercial mediation at Fordham Law School in 2014, through the New York State Bar Association. In 2018 he completed advanced mediation training sponsored by the American Bar Association's Section of Dispute Resolution. Since 2016 he has taught a course in Alternative Dispute Resolution to second and third year students at Albany Law School.

For more than three decades Mr. Anderson has lectured at seminars on personal injury, products liability, medical malpractice, trial practice and alternative dispute resolution. He has spoken at numerous annual Decisions programs for the New York State Trial Lawyers Association, Capital District Trial Lawyers Association, New York State Bar Association, American Bar Association, American Association for Justice, Society of Safety Engineers, Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, National Business Institute and for community organizations. In 2008 he authored a chapter on Products Liability Law for the two volume treatise The Plaintiff's Personal Injury Action in New York, published by the New York State Bar Association; updated in 2013.

Mr. Anderson has been annually voted one of the top attorneys in Upstate New York in *Super Lawyers*, and for more than a decade has been rated *AV Preeminent* by Martindale-Hubbell. He has consistently been selected for inclusion *The Best Lawyers in America* in the practice areas of Medical Malpractice, Personal Injury and Products Liability.

SIMEON H. BAUM, ESQ.

Simeon H. Baum, President of Resolve Mediation Services, Inc., (www.mediators.com), has, since the early 1990s, successfully mediated or arbitrated more than 1,000 disputes. He is the founding Chair of NYSBA's Dispute Resolution Section, and has taken leadership roles on ADR in Bar Associations across the US. He advises the New York Court system on ADR and has trained their Commercial Division mediators for the last 20 years. In 2011, 2014, 2018, and 2020, Best Lawyers selected Mr. Baum as New York's ADR "Lawyer of the Year." He teaches on the ADR faculty at Benjamin N. Cardozo School of Law. SimeonHB@DisputeResolve.com.

An attorney, with over 30 years' experience as a litigator, Mr. Baum has served as a mediator or ADR neutral in a wide variety of matters involving claims concerning business disputes, financial services, securities industry disputes, M&A, reinsurance and insurance coverage, property damage and personal injury, malpractice, employment, ERISA benefits, accounting, civil rights, partnership, family business, trusts and estates, real property, construction, surety bond defaults, unfair competition, fraud, bank fraud, bankruptcy, intellectual property, and commercial claims.

For over two decades, he has played a leadership role in the Bar relating to ADR. In 2008, he served as the founding Chair of the Dispute Resolution Section of the New York State Bar Association, during which time it grew from a Committee of 93 members to 800 members, rising later to a 3,000 member section. He has chaired the ADR Section of the Federal Bar Association and ADR Committee of the New York County Lawyers Association. Mr. Baum has served on ADR Advisory Groups to the New York Court system, is a Board member of the Federal Bar Association and was President of its SDNY Chapter. Besides serving on the NYCLA's Committee on Committees, he is past Chair of the Joint Committee on Fee Dispute and Conciliation (of NYCLA, ABC NY, and Bronx County Bar Associations), and is on the Board of Governors, NYS Attorney-Client Fee Dispute Resolution Program. He is also a Fellow of the American Bar Foundation. He was a founding Director for the New York NADN panel.

Since 2005, he has consistently been listed in "Best Lawyers" and "New York Super Lawyers" for ADR, was the Best Lawyers' "Lawyer of the Year" for ADR in New York for 2011, 2014, and 2018; and in International Who's Who of Commercial Mediation Lawyers 2012-18. He

teaches on the ADR faculty at Benjamin N. Cardozo School of Law, for two decades has trained Commercial Division mediators, and frequently teaches, speaks, and writes for lawyers, judges and other professionals on ADR.

MICHAEL G. BERSANI, ESQ.

Michael G. Bersani joined the firm of Michaels and Smolak, PC, in Auburn, New York, in 1995, coming from the Appellate Division, Fourth Department, where he clerked for two years. A *magna cum laude* graduate of Syracuse University College of Law in 1993, Mr. Bersani was an Associate Editor of the *Syracuse Law Review*, a member of the Order of the Coif and the Justinian Society, and a recipient of the Scribe Award. He practices in the areas of personal injury, appellate practice, and products liability. Mr. Bersani has authored numerous articles appearing in the New York State Trial Lawyers Association quarterly *Bill of Particulars* and the New York State Bar Association *Journal*. He is a frequent lecturer at law seminars throughout New York State, mainly on the topic of municipal liability.

SANDRA BRUNO, ESQ.

Sandra Bruno is a Senior Counsel in Miller Mayer's Immigration practice group.

For the past ten years, Ms. Bruno has practiced immigration law exclusively, with a focus on employment-based immigration. She has extensive experience obtaining immigrant and non-immigrant visas for post-doctoral researchers, professors, engineers, scientists, scholars, doctors, and individuals of extraordinary ability. She also works with a variety of employers to secure work visas for their foreign national employees. Ms. Bruno has also guided numerous entrepreneurs and investors through the U.S. immigration system and consular process.

In addition, Ms. Bruno's experience includes helping individuals with family-based immigration matters and waivers of inadmissibility. She has also represented clients in immigration court. Prior to joining Miller Mayer, Ms. Bruno worked as an associate attorney at an immigration firm in the Chicago area. She is fluent in Spanish.

Ms. Bruno is a member of the New York and Illinois State bars. She received her J.D. from Tulane University Law School and her B.A. from Princeton University.

SCOTT W. BUSH, ESQ.

Scott W. Bush is a member of the firm of Corrigan, McCoy & Bush, PLLC. He was admitted to the bar in the State of New York in January 1983, having attended Albany Law School. Mr. Bush's area of concentration is legal malpractice defense work as well as representing attorneys in front of the various grievance committees.

BENEDENE N. CANNATA, ESQ.

Benedene Cannata is a graduate of Colgate University and New York Law School. She is of counsel to The Flomenhaft Law Firm, PLLC, a firm concentrating in neurolaw. Since admission to the bar in 1987, she has been associated with firms in New York City and Long Island, handling medical, dental, legal, and veterinary malpractice, premises liability, motor-vehicle accidents, and construction-site accidents. After years of court appearances, depositions, client interviews and investigations, and managing cases from intake to trial, she now concentrates on appellate work as well as other legal research and writing.

Ms. Cannata's publications include the First and Second Editions of *New York Judge Reviews* (1997 James Publishing Co.), an annotated reference book profiling over 200 New York State Supreme Court Justices. She has contributed to the West publication *Litigating MIST Cases* and to the ATLA Motor Vehicle and Premises Liability Section newsletters.

Ms. Cannata is a Dean of the New York State Academy of Trial Lawyers. She has presented various CLE courses for the Trial Academy, Monroe County Bar Association, New York State Bar Association, and New York City Bar Association on various subjects relating to personal injury practice, including discovery, expert witnesses, doctors and their records at trial, *Frye* issues, appeals, and legal writing.

Ms. Cannata loves living in Rochester, having moved there in 2002. She currently resides in a 19th-century farmhouse with her husband and pets.

HONORABLE JOHN C. CHERUNDOLO

John C. Cherundolo graduated from Syracuse University Maxwell School with a major in political science, and a master's degree in public administration. He graduated from Syracuse University College of Law, *cum laude* in 1973 where he was a member of Justinian Honor Society and Order of the Coif.

Upon completion of law school, he entered the private practice of law in Syracuse with some of the most prestigious firms in the city. In 1983, Mr. Cherundolo founded Cherundolo, Bottar & Leone, P.C. to focus on helping victims and their families in personal injury litigation. He has experience in all types of injury claims; however, the concentration is on medical malpractice, product liability, workplace accidents, automobile and aviation matters.

Mr. Cherundolo is a Fellow of the International Academy of Trial Lawyers, a Fellow of the American College of Trial Lawyers, an Adjunct Professor of Law at the Syracuse University College of Law and a Fellow of the Million Dollar Advocates Forum. He has been named in Best Lawyers in America for the past decade and has been included in Who's Who in American Law. A former State delegate of the American Trial Lawyers Association, he has served as President of the New York State Trial Lawyers Association. He is a former member of the Presidents' Council, ATLA; a former Dean of the New York Trial Lawyers Institute; the former chair of the Steering Committee of the Alliance for Consumer Rights; and a past State Coordinator for the Trial Lawyers for Public Justice. He is a Member of the Board of Directors of the New York State Trial Lawyers Association. Mr. Cherundolo has had many of the largest personal injury verdicts and settlements in Upstate New York, and has been involved in personal injury cases throughout the Northeast United States. He is actively involved in community activities, committees and foundations

Mr. Cherundolo is a frequent lecturer, speaking regularly at New York State Trial Lawyers Association conferences and local bar associations' continuing education legal seminars.

CARL D. COPPS, ESQ.

Carl D. Copps is of counsel to the firm of Copps & DiPaola, where he does consulting work on matters of workers' compensation law, with an emphasis on workers' compensation carrier's liens under WCL § 29. Prior to entering private practice, he was a Staff Attorney at the New York State Bar Association, coordinating and facilitating the presentation of continuing legal education programs on a variety of topics statewide. Previously, he was Principal Attorney at the Office of Appeals of the New York State Workers' Compensation Board. A graduate of Albany Law School, he began his career at the New York State Insurance Fund and, in 1998, was hired by the New York State Workers' Compensation Board to recruit, organize and supervise a staff of approximately 70 members in

forming a professionalized Office of Appeals. Mr. Copps is a frequent lecturer for the Albany County Bar Association, the Capital District Trial Lawyers Association, New York State Self-Insurers Association and Albany Law School, and other organizations. He is a member of the New York State Bar Association and its Committee on Attorneys in Public Service (CAPS), the Albany County Bar Association, Capital District Women's Bar Association, and the Capital District Trial Lawyers Association. He has authored chapters in several New York State Bar Association Continuing Legal Education coursebooks on topics of workers' compensation law and plaintiff's personal injury actions.

W. RUSSELL CORKER, ESQ.

Russell Corker is a medical malpractice attorney in private practice at the Law Office of W. Russell Corker, PC. Previously, he was a senior partner of the firm Shayne, Dachs, Corker, Sauer & Dachs, LLP in Mineola. After graduating from Boston University School of Law in 1974, he was an Assistant District Attorney of Nassau County, and then practiced in a firm that represented doctors and hospitals in medical malpractice lawsuits. In 1980, he joined Shayne, Dachs and became the principal trial attorney representing plaintiffs in medical malpractice, personal injury, labor law and products liability cases. He oversees all of the plaintiff cases in the firm, from intake until completion and was voted one of the Ten Leaders of Civil Trial Law and one of Top Ten Trial Attorneys on Long Island.

Mr. Corker is board certified in medical professional liability by the American Board of Professional Liability Attorneys. He is a member of numerous legal organizations, including the American Bar Association, American College of Legal Medicine, New York State Bar Association, New York State Trial Lawyers Association, Association of Trial Lawyers of America and American Board of Trial Advocates, Nassau-Suffolk Trial Lawyers Association, which he chaired, the Nassau County Bar Association, for which he chaired the Medical Jurisprudence Committee, and currently is the Chairman of the Grievance Committee, Chairman of the Supreme Court Committee and sits on the Judicial Screening and Nominating Committees. Mr. Corker received the Nassau County Bar Association's "President's Award" for 2005. He has frequently spoken at various programs on topics related to medicine, medical malpractice, trauma, trial practice and personal injury litigation for the Nassau County Bar Association Academy of Law, New York State Bar Association, New York State Trial Lawyers Association, the Practising Law Institute, New School for Social Research, Hofstra University School of Law, Molloy College of Nursing, St. John's University School of Law and State University of New York at Stonybrook School of Medicine. He has also published articles on medical and hospital liability, medicine and the law, and trial practice.

JONATHAN A. DACHS, ESQ.

JONATHAN A. DACHS, a graduate of Columbia College and NYU Law School, is a member (since 1990) of the Mineola, New York law firm of Shayne, Dachs, Sauer & Dachs, LLP. After spending the initial three years of his legal career at the distinguished New York City firm of Chadbourne & Parke, Jonathan joined Shayne, Dachs in 1987, where he worked closely with, and was schooled in the areas of insurance and tort law by, his esteemed father and mentor, Norman H. Dachs, for 27 years, until Norman's passing in 2014.

A recipient of the NYSBA's TICL Section's Young Lawyer Award in 1995, Jonathan has always given freely and generously of his time to educate lawyers (and judges) in the areas of his expertise -- most notably the area of uninsured, underinsured, and supplementary uninsured motorist ("SUM") law and practice, in which he is widely recognized as the leading expert in the State. A prolific writer, Jonathan has, since September 1987, been a co-author (with Norman H. Dachs) and is now the sole author of a regular column in the New York Law Journal on Insurance Law, and has publish ed 180 articles in that publication to date. In addition, he has, for the past 23 years, published annual reviews of SUM case law, regulations and legislation in the New York State Bar Journal, and has published numerous additional articles that have appeared in the New York Negligence Reporter, Trial Lawyers Quarterly, Nassau Lawyer, and Trial Lawyers Section Digest.

In August 2016, Jonathan published a 500+ page treatise, entitled New York Uninsured and Underinsured Motorist Law and Practice (Lexis Nexis/Matthew Bender). In his book review in the New York Law Journal, Dan Kohane (a previous recipient of both the Young Lawyer Award and the John E. Leach Memorial Award) called this book "a scholarly and practical treatise," "the first, comprehensive handbook in the New York UM/UIM field," and "a wonderful desktop 'handbook' when a lawyer, claim professional or Judge requires clear authority and practice direction." The second edition of this book was released in August 2017, and the next edition is expected to be published in August 2018.

In addition to all of his published writings, Jonathan has served the legal profession and TICL Committee members by his participation as a

lecturer at numerous CLE programs throughout the State, given by many bar groups, including NYSBA. To date, he has given over 140 lectures on various insurance and negligence topics, including SUM, automobile insurance, Dram Shop liability, legal writing, civil practice, and appellate practice. He has been designated a New York Metro "Super Lawyer" (Appellate Practice) and one of "Long Island's Top Legal (Personal Injury) Eagles" since 2010.

ROBERT T. DECATALDO, ESQ.

Robert T. DeCataldo was appointed chief clerk of the New York State Court of Claims in November 2005. Mr. DeCataldo began his career with the court as principal law clerk to the Honorable Richard E. Sise in January 2001 and continued in that position until his appointment as chief clerk. He is a member of the National Association for Court Management.

After earning a B.A. in political science in 1980 from Siena College and his J.D. in 1983 from Western New England College School of Law, Mr. DeCataldo was admitted to practice law in Massachusetts in 1983 and in New York in 1984; he is also admitted to practice in the Northern and Western Districts of New York. He began his career in Massachusetts and returned to the Capital District, where he practiced with DiFabio, Tommaney and Legnard and then Harvey & Harvey, Mumford & Kingsley before joining the Civil Service Employees Association as associate counsel. In 1994 Mr. DeCataldo and his wife opened DeCataldo and DeCataldo, where he practiced until joining the court.

LAURENCE M. DEUTSCH, ESQ.

Laurence Deutsch has a proven record of success in medical malpractice trials for over 20 years. He has achieved some of the leading verdicts in counties throughout New York State.

Mr. Deutsch has been included in the Verdicts and Settlements Hall of Fame by the New York Law Journal.

Mr. Deutsch carries the highest attorney rating ("10.0") from the Avvo rating service. He has been awarded "Super Lawyer" designation by the Thompson Reuters rating agency. Mr. Deutsch has also obtained the "Distinguished" rating from the Martindale-Hubbell rating agency.

Mr. Deutsch is the author of leading publications on trial practice and medical malpractice, including *Medical Records for Attorneys* (sole author of the full-length reference book, published by the American Bar

Association). Mr. Deutsch is also co-author of the "Medical Malpractice" chapter for The Plaintiff's Personal Injury Action in New York (published by the NY State Bar Ass'n) and the "Preparing for Trial" chapter for *Medical Malpractice in New York* (also published by NY State Bar Assn).

Mr. Deutsch is a frequent lecturer at accredited programs for other attorneys, teaching trial strategy, medical malpractice law, evidence, and working with experts (New York State Trial Lawyers Association, New York State Academy of Trial Lawyers, American Business Institute, and the American Conference Institute).

Mr. Deutsch received his Law Degree from the University of Chicago and his undergraduate degree from Union College graduating magna cum laude and elected to Phi Beta Kappa.

Mr. Deutsch is admitted to all State Courts in New York and Federal District Courts for the Northern, Southern, and Eastern Districts. Mr. Deutsch is a member of the Medical Malpractice Committee of the New York City Bar Association, which is an invitation-only group consisting of leading New York malpractice firms and several sitting Justices of the New York State Supreme Court. He is also a member of the NYS Bar Association and NYS Trial Lawyers Association.

EILEEN F. FAZZONE, ESQ.

Eileen F. Fazzone was appointed Chief Clerk of the New York State Court of Claims in November 2015. She began her career with the Court as a court attorney in 1997 and served in that capacity until her appointment as Chief Clerk. Prior to joining the Court of Claims in 1997, Ms. Fazzone spent four years as a court attorney on the central staff of the New York State Court of Appeals. She received her B.A. from St. Lawrence University and her J.D. from Albany Law School.

JAMES S. FIEDLER, ESQ.

James S. Fiedler, Esq., is Managing Attorney of the New York State Insurance Fund (NYSIF), the largest workers' compensation insurer in the state. Mr. Fiedler has been an attorney at NYSIF for over 25 years, concentrating in the areas of third-party/subrogation, employer liability, and premium collection. Mr. Fiedler has been a frequent presenter at various workers' compensation seminars, such as "What Are You Going to Do about That Lien?," Nassau Academy of Law (2005), and "Kelly and the Workers' Compensation Interplay," in connection with the New York State Bar Association Continuing Legal Education program on Premises

Liability/Construction Work-Site Accidents (1996). Mr. Fiedler is a contributing author to the forthcoming NYSBA Continuing Legal Education Publication on Workers' Compensation Law.

JOHN H. FISHER, ESQ.

John Fisher is the owner and founder of John H. Fisher, P.C., where he limits his practice to catastrophic injury law for injury victims in New York State. Over the last 20 years, John's practice has been limited to the representation of catastrophically injured persons.

John has been cited as a legal expert on numerous occasions by TRIAL magazine of the American Association for Justice and the New York Law Journal, and he speaks frequently for the New York State Bar Association, The National Trial Lawyers, PILMMA, Great Legal Marketing, and county and regional bar associations concerning law practice management, internet marketing for lawyers, referral-based marketing and trial skills.

HAL M. FRIEDMAN, ESQ.

Hal Friedman is an Associate Attorney with the New York State Insurance Fund. A graduate of New York Law School, Mr. Friedman has worked for the Fund for over 25 years, focusing on the collection of workers' compensation liens. He has acquired extensive knowledge of the rules, regulations and court decisions governing the determination of which workers' compensation payments are subject to being claimed as liens on third-party recoveries and what percentage of these liens must be contributed to pay the Fund's share of the recoveries' litigation costs. He has taught CLE courses on this subject. He is married and lives in Park Slope, Brooklyn.

EVAN M. GOLDBERG, ESQ.

A partner at Trolman, Glaser & Lichtman, P.C., in New York City, Evan M. Goldberg has been repeatedly recognized as a *Super Lawyer* by a national ranking organization. He focuses his practice on negligence, products liability, medical malpractice, construction, automobile and premises accidents, lead-paint litigation and wrongful death. Mr. Goldberg is an Executive Committee Member of the New York State Bar Association, a Fellow of the New York Bar Foundation, Past Chair of the NYSBA's Trial Lawyers Section, Chair of the Section's Trial Practice and Membership Committees, and the 9th Judicial District Representative for the Section. He also serves on the Torts and Federal Legislative Priorities

Committees for the NYSBA. He is an Officer and Director of the New York State Trial Lawyers Association and is a member of its Medical Malpractice Taskforce and Legislative Committees; he is a Dean of the New York State Trial Lawyers Institute. He has been a District Leader of the Westchester County Democratic Committee since 1995. Mr. Goldberg has lectured widely, serving as Chair and moderator for programs offered by the New York State Bar Association, the New York State Trial Lawyers Institute, and the Kings County Inns of Court. He has authored articles for the New York State Bar Association, the *New York Law Journal*, *Trial Lawyers Digest* and the New York State Trial Lawyers Institute's *Bill of Particulars*. He has served as a legal commentator on network television and radio. He is a 1989 graduate of Brooklyn Law School.

J. MICHAEL HAYES, ESQ.

J. Michael Hayes has his own firm in Buffalo, N.Y., practicing in personal injury litigation. He is Board Certified by the National Board of Trial Advocacy as a Civil Trial Advocate, was a Charter Member of the Buffalo Chapter of the American Board of Trial Advocates, President of the Inns of Court, Buffalo Chapter, has been on the Board of Directors for the New York State Trial Academy, and President of the New York State Trial Lawyers Association, Western District of New York. Mr. Hayes has been and is featured in "Who's Who in Law" for Western New York in Business First, New York Super Lawyers, Best Lawyers in America, The Bar Register of Preeminent Lawyers, Who's Who in America, Who's Who in American Law and he is "AV" rated by Martindale-Hubbell.

Born in St. Louis, Missouri, in 1946, Mr. Hayes attended the University of Notre Dame and SUNY at Brockport, graduating *summa cum laude* in 1973. He graduated from University of Buffalo Law School in 1976, having received an award for excellence in the Senior Trial Technique Course. He has been an adjunct professor at the University of Buffalo Law School where he has taught courses on motor vehicle accidents and personal injury litigation since 2001. Mr. Hayes is a frequent lecturer for the New York State Bar Association, The New York State Trial Academy, the Erie Bar Institute as well as the National Institute for Trial Advocacy. He is the author of *New York Motor Vehicle Accidents* (James Publishing Co., 1999), and numerous articles in local and statewide publications including the New York State Bar Association *Journal* and the New York State Trial Lawyers Association's *Bill of Particulars*.

¹ The National Board of Trial Advocacy is not affiliated with any governmental authority.

DAVID PAUL HOROWITZ, ESQ.

David Paul Horowitz (david@newyorkpractice.org or david.horowitz@mcnamarahorowitz.com) is a member and managing partner of McNamara & Horowitz in New York City. Mr. Horowitz has represented parties in personal injury, professional negligence, and commercial cases for over thirty years. In addition to his litigation practice, he acts as a private arbitrator and mediator, and as a discovery referee appointed by courts to oversee pre-trial proceedings. He is the author of Bender's New York Evidence and New York Civil Disclosure (LexisNexis), and the most recent supplement to Fisch on New York Evidence (Lond Publications). Since 2004 he has authored the monthly column Burden of Proof, first in the NYSBA Journal and, since 2018, in The New York Law Journal. Mr. Horowitz teaches New York Practice and Electronic Evidence & Discovery at Columbia Law School and, on behalf of the New York State Board of Bar Examiners, presents the lecture on New York Practice that all candidates for the Uniform Bar Exam since July, 2016 are required to attend. He lectures statewide for the New York State Judicial Institute to judges, law secretaries, and referees. He serves as an expert witness in legal malpractice and legal fee dispute actions, and is a frequent lecturer and writer on civil practice, evidence, ethics, and alternative dispute resolution issues. He has previously taught evidence, professional responsibility, and electronic evidence and disclosure at Brooklyn, St. John's, and New York Law Schools. He serves on the Office of Court Administration's Civil Practice Advisory Committee, is active in a number of bar associations, and served as Reporter to the New York Pattern Jury Instruction (P.J.I.) Committee.

LUKAS M. HOROWITZ, ESQ.

Mr. Horowitz is an attorney with Lippes Mathias' Public Sector Labor Team, focusing his practice on representing public sector labor unions in matters involving members' terms and conditions of employment, including disciplinary arbitrations, contract grievance arbitrations, improper employer practices before the New York State Public Employment Relations Board, administrative hearings before the New York State Justice Center and related litigation matters in New York State courts.

ROBERT A. HYMAN, ESQ.

Robert A. Hyman, deceased, was the managing partner at the Law Offices of Robert A. Hyman, P.C., in Pleasantville, N.Y. He concentrated his practice in the representation of the victims of nursing home and elder care neglect and abuse, medical malpractice, automobile accidents, negli-

gence, construction accidents and premises liability. Mr. Hyman was a President's Club member of the Association of Trial Lawyers of America and a member of the New York State Trial Lawyers Association and the New York State Bar Association. Mr. Hyman was also a member of the National Citizens Coalition for Nursing Home Reform, the Long Term Care Community Coalition, Friends and Relatives of Institutionalized Aged, and the American Medical Directors Association. Admitted to practice in both New York and Massachusetts, Mr. Hyman was a *cum laude* graduate of Vermont Law School, and held a master's degree in cell and molecular biology from the State University of New York at Buffalo. He also wrote and lectured on nursing home negligence in New York.

PAUL K. ISAAC, ESQ.

Paul K. Isaac, is a former practicing trial attorney who has achieved a number of seven-figure plus results/verdicts in factually challenging personal injury cases.

He is a member of *The Million Dollar Advocates Forum* and has been recognized as a *Lawyer of Distinction* and has authored a number of Articles for New York State Trial Lawyers *Bill of Particulars* magazine as well. He is a past president of NYSTLA's Western Region Affiliate. For the last 21 years he has been a licensed financial planner, currently holding his series 6, 63, 66, and 7 licenses. In 2003 he merged his background in law and financial planning to specialize in settlement planning, founding Paramount Settlement Planning.

Mr. Isaac been fighting back against claimed liens on his cases early in his career as a personal injury attorney and it became a passion for him. In order to combat the raging growth in the lien collection industry Mr. Isaac became the founder and Chief Counsel at Precision Resolution in 2011 where he, along with his team of attorneys combats these collection agents on a daily basis. In just 9 years they have returned well over \$100 Million Dollars in claimed liens back to plaintiffs.

Mr. Isaac regularly attends mediations and uses his legal, financial and lien resolution backgrounds to assist plaintiffs' attorneys with problem solving, presenting economic projections and reports, drafting settlement language, and identifying lien existence and resolution. A well-respected problem solver, Mr. Isaac is a frequent lecturer for the New York State Bar Association local bar associations and trial lawyer organizations.

Mr. Isaac is a graduate of Duquesne University School of Law.

E. STEWART JONES, JR., ESQ.

E. Stewart Jones, Jr. graduated from Williams College in 1963 and Albany Law School in 1966, joining the firm of E. Stewart Jones, PLLC, in Troy, N.Y. following graduation. His practice concentrates on the representation of victims in personal injury and wrongful death cases of all kinds and in the defense of major criminal cases in all federal and state courts. Mr. Jones is a Fellow of the International Academy of Trial Lawvers, the Inner Circle of Advocates, the International Society of Barristers, the American College of Trial Lawyers, the American Board of Criminal Lawyers, and the American and New York State Bar Foundations. He is also a Diplomate of the American Board of Trial Advocates Mr. Jones has been listed in the Best Lawyers in America from the first through the current editions (currently in five areas), and is listed in Who's Who in American Law and Who's Who in America. Since the inception of the Upstate New York Super Lawyers Magazine in 2007, Mr. Jones has been listed as one of top 10 lawyers and has been number 1 in 2007, 2016, 2017 and 2018, and second in 2008 and 2009. Active in civic and community affairs, he has served on many boards of trustees including the Legal Aid Society of Northeastern New York, the Albany Academy, Albany Law School (each of which he also chaired) and the Saratoga Performing Arts Center, among many others, and has chaired numerous capital campaigns and annual funds.

Mr. Jones is the recipient of the New York State Bar Association's Criminal Justice Section's Outstanding Practitioner Award (1980), the Albany Academy Distinguished Alumnus Award (2002), the Albany Law School Trustees Gold Medal (2003), Law Day 2005—Equal Access to Justice Award from the Legal Aid Society of Northeastern New York, Partners in Literacy Award—Literacy Volunteers of Rensselaer County (2005), Capital District Trial Lawyers Association—Daniel Mahoney Memorial Charter President's Award (2006), Citizen Laureate Award— The University at Albany Foundation (2008), American Cancer Society— Beacon of Hope Award (2010 & 2018), and St. Rose Community Service Award (2010). Envoy Solute Award from the Albany-Colonie Regional Chamber of Commerce for leadership, philanthropy and impact on the economic vitality of the region (Empire State Plaza Convention Center, (March 5, 2015), The Edward H. Pattison "Ebbie" Citizenship Award -Rensselaer County Chamber of Commerce – September 15, 2016. He has authored numerous articles and chapters in a broad variety of trial advocacy books, and lectured extensively throughout the state of New York on all facets of personal injury lawsuits.

ROBERT E. LAHM, ESQ.

Robert E. Lahm, of the firm Robert E. Lahm, PLLC, concentrates his practice on personal injury, medical malpractice, products liability, and all other torts and trial law. Admitted to practice in the State of New York and the State of Pennsylvania, the Federal District Courts and the Federal 2d Circuit Court of Appeals, he is a Board Certified Civil Trial Advocate. Mr. Lahm is a Past President, Director and one of the founders of the New York State Academy of Trial Lawyers. He is also Co-Chair of the Fifth District Jury Project and Co-Chair of the Fifth Judicial District Task Force. Mr. Lahm is a Past President of the New York State Trial Lawyers Association and Past President of the Upstate Trial Lawyers Association. He has lectured widely on topics as diverse as products liability, opening statements and jury selection, trial techniques, trial practice and anatomy. He is listed in *The Best Lawyers in America*, 1997—Present and the 2013 New York Super Lawyers Upstate edition, and was recently honored as Best Lawyer of the Year 2014 by The Best Lawyers in America. A former Adjunct Associate Professor, Department of Medicine, S.U.N.Y. Health and Science Center, Mr. Lahm was a magna cum laude graduate of Fairleigh Dickinson University and received his Juris Doctor from Syracuse University College of Law. He is a member of the American Association of Justice, the New York State Bar Association, the New York State Academy of Trial Lawyers, the Onondaga County Bar Association, the Oswego County Bar Association, the Civil Justice Foundation and the Women's Bar Association of New York.

PAUL A. LEVINE, ESQ.

A member of the law firm Lemery Greisler, LLC, in Albany, New York, Paul A. Levine concentrates his practice on bankruptcy, creditors' rights and commercial litigation. A graduate of George Washington University Law School, he is a frequent lecturer for the New York State Bar Association, Capital Region Bankruptcy Bar Association and other CLE providers. Mr. Levine was Chair of the 2000 and 2016 Annual Conferences of the Capital Region Bankruptcy Association and Co-Chair of the American Bar Association 2005 Judicial Divisions Inaugural Bench and Bar Bankruptcy Conference in Washington, D.C. He is a Past President and current board member of the Capital Region Bankruptcy Bar Association and serves on the Local Rules Committee for the U.S. Bankruptcy Court, NDNY. Licensed in both New York State and District of Columbia, he is a member of New York State Bar Association and Capital Region Bankruptcy Bar Association.

KEVIN J. MACDONALD, ESQ.

Kevin J. Macdonald is a Principal Court Attorney with the New York State Court of Claims. He provides counsel to the Clerk's Office and its staff regarding court operations, procedure and jurisdiction as well as information regarding Court of Claims practice and procedure to attorneys and pro se litigants. He also performs legal research and writing services for the court's judges and supervises the court's pro se unit and library. He is also a panel member of the Unified Court System's Anti-Discrimination Panel. Prior to his position as Court Attorney, he was an Appellate Court Attorney for the Appellate Division, Third Department, Law Clerk to a Court of Claims Judge; and a Law Clerk to an Associate Judge of the Court of Appeals. He received his B.A. from State University of New York at Albany and his J.D. from Vanderbilt University School of Law.

HENRY G. MILLER, ESQ.

Henry G. Miller was the senior member of the White Plains, New York, law firm of Clark, Gagliardi & Miller, P.C. Mr. Miller's trial work had usually been for plaintiffs in civil cases. A graduate of St. John's College (1952) and St. John's Law School (1959), Mr. Miller was a Past President of both the Westchester County Bar Association and the New York State Bar Association. He was a Past Regent of the American College of Trial Lawyers, a Past Director of the International Academy of Trial Lawyers, a Past Director of the New York State Trial Lawyers Association and a Fellow of both the American and New York Bar Foundations. Mr. Miller was appointed by Governor Mario Cuomo to chair the Commission on Local Government Ethics. He was appointed by Governor George Pataki to be a member of the Committee on Cameras in the Courtroom.

Mr. Miller had frequently appeared on television and radio. He often lectured at law schools and at Bar Associations on all aspects of trial practice. He was the author of *Settlements* in the Art of Advocacy series as well as the editor of the *New York Practice Guide on Negligence*, both published by Matthew Bender, and most recently, *On Trial: Lessons from a Lifetime in the Courtroom*, published by ALM Publishing.

A former Adjunct Professor of Law at St. John's Law School, Mr. Miller had written the "Ethics" chapter in the American Trial Lawyers Association's text on plaintiffs personal injury litigation titled *Atla's Litigating Tort Cases*. He was a past recipient of the Pace University School of Law Distinguished Service Award.

LESLEY E. NIEBEL, ESQ.

Lesley E. Niebel has been an associate at Faraci Lange, LLP in Rochester, N.Y. since 2015. She focuses her practice on all aspects of personal injury litigation, including cases involving sexual abuse, medical malpractice, automobile negligence, construction accidents, and premises liability.

Lesley was born and raised in Fredonia, New York. She went to the State University of New York College at Geneseo where she obtained a bachelor's degree in English and Economics. Lesley then graduated from the Syracuse University College of Law with her Juris Doctor. Following law school, Lesley started at Faraci Lange and has spent her career with the firm. She is admitted to practice in state and federal court in New York and state court in Massachusetts.

Lesley is an active member of the legal community. As part of the Monroe County Bar Association, Lesley serves on its Ethics Committee and on the Board of the Young Lawyers Section as its Treasurer (2020-2021), Secretary (2019-2020), co-chair of the community service committee (2018-2019), and co-chair of the nominations committee (2017-2018). In addition, Lesley serves as a Board Member for the Volunteer Legal Service Project of Monroe County, an Associate Board Member for the New York State Academy of Trial Lawyers, chair of the Committee on Professional Ethics, Discipline and Practice for the Women's Bar Association of the State of New York (2020-2021), and co-chair of the Women's Health Committee for the Greater Rochester Association for Women Attorneys (2020-2021). Formally, Lesley was part of the New York State Bar Association's Attorney Professionalism Committee and Professional Discipline Committee.

In her spare time, Lesley serves on the Board of Directors for the Rochester Spinal Association and writes articles related to legal topics, which have been published in the Journal of Legal Nurse Consulting, the Rochester Daily Record, and the Buffalo Law Journal.

STEVEN E. NORTH, ESQ.

Steven E. North has devoted the past thirty-five years of his career litigating major medical malpractice, legal malpractice, products liability and severe personal injury cases. He has successfully tried hundreds of such cases with numerous multimillion-dollar verdicts to his credit. Mr. North has been selected by the New York State Bar Association to author

the Medical Malpractice chapter for the 2012 edition of its Personal Injury treatise.

Many cases handled by Steven E. North P.C. have been featured in *The New York Times*, the *New York Daily News* and national law publications along with commentary by Mr. North. He has appeared as a legal expert on *Court TV*, Eyewitness News and other television news programs, written several volumes of a treatise on medical-legal issues, served as editor of the Cancer Litigation Bulletin, and written more than twenty-five articles that have been published in respected professional journals, including the New York Law Journal and the Journal of Perinatology. In addition, he has served on the visiting faculty of several law schools where he lectures on trial practice.

Mr. North graduated from New York University School of Law with an LL.M. degree and from Brooklyn Law School where he earned a J.D. degree. He is admitted to the bar in New York, to the United States District Courts and to the Supreme Court of the United States. He is listed in New York Magazine's "The New York Area's Best Lawyers", The New York Times Annual Supplement's "The New York Area's Best Lawyers" and similar publications. Mr. North has been named in The New York Times Supplement as one of the Top 100 Lawyers in New York City.

KEVIN T. O'BRIEN, ESQ.

Kevin T. O'Brien is a structured settlement broker with Secure Settlements LLC in New York City. He is also an attorney, formerly with the law firm of Shearman & Sterling. He received his J.D. from Boston College Law School and an LLM in taxation from New York University School of Law. He is accredited by the New York State Continuing Legal Education Board to give lectures on structured settlements, and he lectures frequently to judges and attorneys on how to protect plaintiffs in structured settlements.

MARY ELLEN O'BRIEN, ESQ.

Mary Ellen O'Brien is a solo practitioner who has focused her practice in appellate advocacy of New York appeals and appellate motion practice since 2001. She is responsible for all phases of taking, perfecting and arguing appeals as well as preparation of pre- and post-trial substantive motions. She serves of counsel to appellate, insurance defense and plaintiff's firms. A 1999 graduate of St. John's University School of Law, she is admitted to practice in the state of New York. A former Second Judicial Department court attorney, Ms. O'Brien has served as in-house appellate

counsel for multifaceted insurance defense litigation firm with a concentration in Labor Law, Construction, Personal Injury Defense, and Contract Law. Ms. O'Brien is also a member of several legal and professional bar associations including the Nassau County Bar Association and Catholic Lawyers' Association of Nassau County. Before joining the law profession, Ms. O'Brien, a former Peace Corps volunteer, had a long career as an investment analyst and portfolio manager for many well-known Wall Street investment-banking institutions.

MICHELLE LECLAIR PANICCIA, ESQ.

Michelle LeClair Paniccia graduated, *cum laude*, from Thomas M. Cooley Law School in 1995. Thereafter, she was employed by Unum, First Cardinal Corporation and in 2001 joined the New York State Workers' Compensation Board. As a Senior Attorney in the Appellate Review Division, Ms. Paniccia reviews appeals, conducts research and prepares Memorandum of Board Panel Decisions, many of which involve issues surrounding Workers' Compensation Law § 29.

PAUL J. PENNOCK, ESQ.

For the last two decades, Paul J. Pennock has been Chair of the Pharmaceutical and Medical Device practice group at Weitz & Luxenberg. He is directly responsible for all aspects of leading a large national litigation department involving all aspects of litigation from inception through trial. He has served as lead plaintiffs' counsel as well as plaintiff steering committee member and liaison counsel in numerous state and federal MDL mass tort litigations. Mr. Pennock has handled numerous "bellwether" trials and *Daubert* hearings in both state and federal courts.

GARY B. PILLERSDORF, ESQ.

Gary B. Pillersdorf, deceased, was a board-certified Civil Trial attorney practicing in New York City. He had over 35 years of courtroom experience, having taken over 350 verdicts, including 28 verdicts over \$1 million. He was the principal attorney of Gary B. Pillersdorf & Associates, P.C., practicing in courtroom litigation.

Mr. Pillersdorf served as Dean Emeritus of New York State Trial Lawyers Continuing Legal Education Institute. He was the author of the highly acclaimed textbook *New York Motor Vehicle Accidents*, published by James Publishing, and was a frequent contributor to *Trial* magazine. He also authored a chapter on "Case Selection" in *Litigating Tort Cases*, Thomson-West Publishers 2003.

A highly sought-after speaker, Mr. Pillersdorf lectured throughout the nation. He taught courses in Trial Advocacy, Medicine for Lawyers and Courtroom Techniques in New York. He was a past president and current member of the Melvin Belli Society, a member of the Million-Dollar Advocates Forum, was included in *Who's Who in American Law* and was a "Super Lawyer"—Top Lawyers of New York. He was the senior New York State representative to the State Delegates Committee of the American Association of Justice (formerly ATLA) and had served as chair of their Motor Vehicle Collision, Highway and Premises Liability Section. A winner of the prestigious Mel Award as National Trial Lawyer of the Year, Mr. Pillersdorf was the only Northerner to win the War Horse Award from the Southern Trial Lawyers Association. He handled numerous high-profile cases, including several that were the largest verdict in the state for the year in which they were tried, and tried many cases against municipalities.

JASON J. PLATT, ESQ.

Jason J. Platt is a partner of Doolan Platt & Setareh, LLP in Dobbs Ferry, New York. His practice is concentrated in personal injury matters, and, since 2004, he has focused almost exclusively on negligence, neglect, and abuse matters arising in nursing home, hospital, assisted living, and home care settings. Mr. Platt is a founding member and past president of the New York Plaintiff's Nursing Home Litigation Group. An active member of the American Association for Justice Nursing Home Litigation Group, the New York State Trial Lawyers Association, and the New York State Bar Association, Mr. Platt also belongs to the National Citizens' Coalition for Nursing Home Reform, the Long Term Care Community Coalition, Friends and Relatives of the Institutional Aged, and the American Medical Directors Association. Mr. Platt has lectured on, and co-authored, the following papers: "Civil Prosecution of a Nursing Home Case," the Professional Education Systems Institute; "Nursing Home Neglect and the Regulations in New York" for Lorman Education Services; and "Case Intake, Investigation, Pleadings, and Disclosure" for the Suffolk County Bar Association.

A *cum laude* graduate of the State University at Geneseo with a B.A. in Economics, Mr. Platt received his J.D. from Brooklyn Law School, where he was a member of the Moot Court Honor Society. His practice encompasses the five boroughs of New York City, surrounding counties, and upstate New York.

Mr. Platt grew up in Rhinebeck, New York and lives in Hastings on Hudson with his wife and two children.

JAMES B. REED, ESQ.

James B. Reed is a trial attorney admitted in New York and Pennsylvania and is the managing partner of the Ziff Law Firm of Elmira, New York. A 1986 graduate of Dickinson School of Law, he is a member of the Multi-Million Dollar Advocates Forum, and was selected as "Lawyer of the Year" by Best Lawyers in America in 2015, 2017 and 2019. Mr. Reed was selected a "Best Lawyer in the United States" for personal injury and medical malpractice cases and his firm was selected as a Tier 1 "Best Law Firms in the United States."

JOAN LENSKY ROBERT, ESQ.

Joan Lensky Robert is an attorney with ROBERT LEGAL GROUP, PLLC, a law firm in New York City, Long Island and Westchester, practicing in the areas of elder law, special needs planning, guardianships and trusts and estates. Ms. Robert is a graduate of Skidmore College, where she was a member of Periclean, the University of Pennsylvania, where she studied pursuant to a Ford Foundation Fellowship, and Touro College School of Law, *summa cum laude*, where she was valedictorian of the part-time division. Prior to entering the practice of law, Ms. Robert taught French with the Valley Stream Central High School District.

Ms. Robert served as Chair of the New York State Bar Association, Elder Law & Special Needs Section from 2003-2004 and serves as Co-Chair of its Special Needs Planning Committee. She founded and served as Chair of its Mentorship Committee. She received a Lifetime Achievement Award from the New York State Elder Law and Special Needs Section in January, 2014. She is a Fellow of the New York State Bar Association. She co-wrote the chapter on Special Needs Planning in the book Guardianship Practice in New York State and wrote the chapters on Medicaid liens and planning in Personal Injury Actions in New York published by the New York State Bar Association. She is an attorney member of the Guardianship Advisory Committee formed by the Office of Court Administration.

Ms. Robert has been named a Super Lawyer since 2013 and was chosen as a Top Lawyer in North America. Ms. Robert has been Board Certified as a Certified Elder Law Attorney (CELA) by the National Elder Law Foundation, as accredited by the ABA. In March, 2016, she was honored as one of the 50 Outstanding Women in the Law on Long Island.

Ms. Robert served as a member of the Board of Directors of the Nassau County Bar Association for 6 years, and is past chair of its Elder Law/Social Services/Health Advocacy Committee. Ms. Robert served as Dean of the Nassau Bar. Academy of Law, and now is co-chair of the Senior Lawyers committee.

Ms. Robert is a member of the of Board of Directors of NAELA. She received the NAELA award as outstanding member of the New York Chapter in 2012 and also received the Theresa Foundation Award for outstanding service and advocacy to persons with special needs. She is a member of the Council of Advanced Practitioners.

Ms. Robert has been honored for outstanding service by the Long Island Alzheimer's Foundation, Project Real, the New York State Trial Lawyers Association and the National Multiple Sclerosis Society. She served on the Board of Editors of the *Bill of Particulars*, the publication of the New York State Trial Lawyers Association. She is a past Editor-in-Chief of the *New York Elder Law and Guardianship Newsletter*.

Joan and Charles Robert are the proud parents of Heather Robert Coffman, who practices employment law in San Francisco, and of Jay Robert, an attorney with Kassoff, Robert & Lerner. They are the doting grandparents of Naomi and Leo Coffman and Edie and Ike Robert.

LAWRENCE N. ROGAK, ESQ.

Since graduating from Brooklyn Law School in 1981, Lawrence N. "Larry" Rogak has spent most of his career on the defense side of the insurance and liability area of the law, including two years working in the claims departments of insurance companies. A prolific writer, he has published hundreds of articles on insurance law practice, and two books. Rogak's New York No-Fault Law & Practice is the first comprehensive guide to the subject ever published. He also wrote a general liability claims-handling guide, Rogak's New York Insurance Law. Mr. Rogak is the managing partner of Lawrence N. Rogak LLC, an insurance defense law firm in Oceanside, New York, which is listed in Best's Directory of Recommended Insurance Attorneys.

Although Mr. Rogak is almost always on the defense side of the bar, the New York State Trial Lawyers Association invited him to write the nofault section for its personal injury law treatise, released in January 2008. Mr. Rogak also publishes a daily insurance law newsletter, *The Rogak Report* (www.Rogak.com), which examines the latest court decisions.

DANIEL R. SANTOLA, ESQ.

Daniel R. Santola is a founding partner of Powers and Santola LLP, a law firm that has received the top ranking from U.S. News and Best Lawyers/Best Law Firms in plaintiffs' personal injury litigation and medical malpractice. He practices in the areas of personal injury, medical malpractice, construction accidents and product liability law. A graduate of Albany Law School, he is the author of the book Litigating Construction Accidents in New York (West Publishing Co.) and has authored chapters in Representing Plaintiffs in Medical Malpractice Cases (Aspatore/Thomson Reuters); "Medical Equipment," in the Products Liability Practice Guide (Matthew Bender & Co.); and "Construction Accidents," in the New York Negligence Guide (Matthew Bender & Co.). Since 1989, he has been listed in the Best Lawyers in America. Mr. Santola is a Dean of the New York State Academy of Trial Lawyers, past president of the Albany County Bar Association, the Albany Chapter of the American Board of Trial Advocates, and the Capital District Trial Lawyers Association. He has been a frequent lecturer on construction accidents and has given legal seminars on medical malpractice and trial tactics.

STEPHEN G. SCHWARZ, ESQ.

Stephen G. Schwarz has been the managing partner of Faraci Lange, LLP in Rochester, N.Y., since 1995. He focuses on personal injury and business litigation, including medical malpractice, serious auto accident cases, product liability and toxic tort and environmental contamination cases, practicing in both state and federal courts. A graduate of Albany Law School, Mr. Schwarz is a former confidential law clerk to Chief Judge Michael A. Telesca, U.S. District Court for the Western District of New York. He is a Fellow in the American College of Trial Lawyers and is Past President of the Rochester Chapter of the American Board of Trial Advocates. He is also a member of the American Association for Justice, the New York State Bar Association (where he has served as Chair of the Committee on Judicial Administration), the Monroe County Bar Association (where he has served as chair of various committees and twice on the board of trustees), and is a Past President of the Genesee Valley Affiliate of the New York State Trial Lawyers Association. Mr. Schwarz has been awarded Martindale-Hubbell's highest rating and has been named as "Lawyer of the Year", Rochester by Best Lawyers in the areas of plaintiffs' personal injury law, plaintiffs' medical malpractice and plaintiffs' product liability law numerous times since these awards have been given. He has also been listed in the Super Lawyers directory as a Top Rated Personal Injury Lawyer. He is a frequent lecturer on a range of litigation

topics at continuing legal education programs presented by the New York State and Monroe County Bar Associations as well as private CLE providers such as ALI.

EDWARD G. SHAPIRO, ESQ.

Edward G. Shapiro received a B.A. from Sarah Lawrence College and a J.D. from Fordham University School of Law. He joined the New York State Court of Claims in 1999, where he served as principal law clerk to Hon. S. Michael Nadel and Hon. David A. Weinstein. Currently, he is a principal court attorney with the Court of Claims.

ARTHUR J. SIEGEL, ESQ.

Arthur J. Siegel, a member of Bond, Schoeneck & King, PLLC, is a trial lawyer practicing in the areas of commercial, personal injury, product liability, medical malpractice, and environmental litigation in both state and federal courts. He also maintains an active appellate practice in both state and federal courts. He is Past President of the Legal Aid Society of Northeastern New York; Past Co-Chair, Board of Directors, Fund Equal Justice; President of Congregation Beth Emeth, Albany, New York; and recipient of the Community Builder's Award from the Council of Community Services of New York State, Inc. He is a member of the New York State Bar Association, for which he has led CLE programs in personal injury and product liability litigation, the Federal Bar Association, Northern District of New York, and the Albany County Bar Association.

ROBERT S. STOCKTON, ESQ.

Robert S. Stockton is currently of counsel to Stockton, Barker & Mead, LLP. He has extensive experience in litigation of workers' compensation claims before the New York State Workers' Compensation Board and appellate courts. A graduate of Albany Law School, Mr. Stockton has litigated personal injury defense cases before the Supreme Court and appellate courts of New York since 1975. He served as an appointed member of the Workers' Compensation Board Recodification Commission in 1996. Mr. Stockton is a member of NYSBA, the New York State Trial Lawyers Association, the Capital District Trial Lawyers Association, the American Bar Association, the Albany County Bar Association, the Rensselaer County Bar Association, and the Saratoga County Bar Association. He has lectured and served as a CLE panelist on workers' compensation and personal injury litigation—related issues for NYSBA. He has been listed in the *Best Lawyers in America* for New York workers' compensation law and was named a *Super Lawyer* in 2019.

HONORABLE PAUL A. VICTOR

Paul A. Victor is a Justice of the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Bronx County. A graduate of Fordham Law School, he was previously a Judge in the Civil Court of the City of New York, Bronx County; a partner with the law firm Dublirer, Haydon, Straci & Victor; an Arbitrator with the American Arbitration Association; Counsel to Assemblyman Roberto Ramirez; Counsel to Senator Martin Connor; Counsel to Assemblyman George Friedman; Commissioner of the Law Revision Commission; member of the Medical Malpractice Mediation Panel, Bronx County Supreme Court; Board Member of the New York City Conciliation and Appeals Board; Arbitrator for the New York City Civil Court, Bronx County; Counsel to Assemblyman Alexander Chananau; partner in the law firm Russo, Stein & Carola & Victor; Assistant District Attorney in Bronx County; and a Law Assistant to Hon. Adrian P. Burke, New York Court of Appeals. Justice Victor is a Presiding Justice at the Regional Finals of the National Student Advocacy Competition sponsored by the Association of Trial Lawyers. A frequent lecturer, he presents for the New York State Trial Lawyers Association and the Bronx County Bar Association; he is a lecturer and faculty member at the annual OCA-sponsored New Judges Orientation Seminars. He was also a participating Justice in the OCA-sponsored "Jury Trial Project" and a Judge for the New York City Law Department's moot court training program.

STEPHEN A. WEINBERG, ESQ.

Stephen A. Weinberg was born and raised in the Bronx, New York, received a B.A. from Stony Brook University in 1975 and a J.D. from Pace University Law School in 1979. After five years of private practice in White Plains, he joined the Court of Claims in 1985 as law clerk to Hon. Henry W. Lengyel. During his 30+ years with the Court of Claims, he served as law clerk to presiding Judge Christopher J. Mega, Judge Melvin L Schweitzer and Judge Stephen J. Mignano, and also was the court's Principal Court Attorney for a period of 10 years, during which he was responsible for the creation and development of the court's website, including a searchable database of the court's decisions. He is currently of counsel to Lipsig, Shapey, Manus & Moverman, P.C. and Vincent J. Aceste, Esq. practicing in the fields of Labor Law, Products Liability, Municipal Liability and Automobile and No-Fault Litigation.

STEPHEN YALE-LOEHR, ESQ.

Stephen Yale-Loehr has practiced immigration law for more than 35 years. He is co-author of *Immigration Law and Procedure*, the leading 21-

volume treatise of U.S. immigration law. Of counsel at Miller Mayer, LLP, in Ithaca, New York, he also teaches immigration and asylum law at Cornell Law School as a Professor of Practice. Mr. Yale-Loehr received his B.A. in 1977 from Cornell University, where he was a College Scholar and Phi Beta Kappa. In 1981, he received his J.D., *cum laude*, from Cornell Law School, with a concentration in international legal affairs; he was also editor-in-chief of the *Cornell International Law Journal*. After graduation, Mr. Yale-Loehr clerked for the Chief Judge of the Northern District of New York. For the next four years, Mr. Yale-Loehr practiced international trade and immigration law at Eversheds Sutherland in Washington, D.C. From 1986 to 1994, he was managing editor of *Interpreter Releases* and executive editor of *Immigration Briefings*, two leading immigration law publications.

Mr. Yale-Loehr is the co-author of many books, including *Green Card* Stories, Americas Challenge: Domestic Security, Civil Liberties and National Unity After September 11; Balancing Interests: Rethinking the Selection of Skilled Immigrants; J Vista Guidebook; Understanding the Immigration Act of 1990; Understanding the 1986 Immigration Law; and numerous law review articles. He is a member of the New York bar. and is admitted to practice before the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit and the U.S. Supreme Court. He is a member of the asylum committee of the American Immigration Lawyers Association (AILA) and of AILA's administrative litigation task force. A frequent speaker and moderator at immigration law conferences, he is often quoted in national newspapers, radio and TV news reports, and has testified many times before Congress and as an expert witness. Mr. Yale-Loehr is listed in Who's Who in America and An International Who's Who of Corporate Immigration Lawyers. He is the 2001 recipient of AILA's Elmer Fried Award for excellence in teaching and the 2004 recipient of AILA's Edith Lowenstein Award for excellence in advancing the practice of immigration law.