Collection: Blackwell, Morton C.: Files
Folder Title: Letters to Morton Blackwell on Tax Exempt Status of Schools
Box: 64

Donal L. Beddo
Box 273
Richmond, Ks 66080
February 21, 1982

Mr. Morton Blackwell
Special Assistant to the President
Whitehouse
Washington, D. C. 20500

Dear Sir:

I am writing you in regard to a Legislative amendment to Section 501 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 (Resolution # 59). This amendment will violate the principle of separation of church and state.

This bill has vague, ambiguous language which gives the IRS the benefit of the doubt and places the church on the defensive, subject to the removal of the tax-exempt status.

I am as much against "racism" as anyone. However, this bill in question would walk on first amendment Constitutional rights of everyone behind a guise of protecting the social rights of the few. The amendment as proposed authorizes the IRS to investigate records of all churches which operate educational ministries and, based on the opinion of the IRS agent, not only removes the churches' tax-exempt status, but also forces all contributors to pay tax on contributions retroactive to July, 1970.

I urge you, Mr. President to instruct your staff and Congress to withdraw this proposal because of its awkward wording regarding "racially-discriminatory policies," to further instruct Congress to give astute review of the IRS posture toward church-schools, and to make more definitive guidelines that protect our fundamental American heritage of religious freedom.

Sincerely,

Donal L Beddo

Mary Martin
424 W 7th
Garnett, Ks 66032

Mr. Morton Blackwell
Special Assistant to the President
Whitehouse
Washington, D. C. 20500

Dear Sir:

I am writing you in regard to a Legislative amendment to Section 501 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 (Resolution # 59). This amendment will violate the principle of separation of church and state.

This bill has vague, ambiguous language which gives the IRS the benefit of the doubt and places the church on the defensive, subject to the removal of the tax-exempt status.

I am as much against "racism" as anyone. However, this bill in question would walk on first amendment Constitutional rights of everyone behind a guise of protecting the social rights of the few. The amendment as proposed authorizes the IRS to investigate records of all churches which operate educational ministries and, based on the opinion of the IRS agent, not only removes the churches' tax-exempt status, but also forces all contributors to pay tax on contribution retroactive to July, 1970.

I urge you, Mr. President to instruct your staff and Congress to withdraw this proposal because of its awkward wording regarding "racially-discriminatory policies," to further instruct Congress to give astute review of the IRS posture toward church-schools, and to make more definitive guidelines that protect our fundamental American heritage of religious freedom.

Sincerely,

Mary Martin

Mary Martin

Jack Martin
424 W 7th
Garnett, Ks 66032

Mr. Morton Blackwell
Special Assistant to the President
Whitehouse
Washington, D. C. 20500

Dear Sir:

I am writing you in regard to a Legislative amendment to Section 501 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 (Resolution # 59). This amendment will violate the principle of separation of church and state.

This bill has vague, ambiguous language which gives the IRS the benefit of the doubt and places the church on the defensive, subject to the removal of the tax-exempt status.

I am as much against "racism" as anyone. However, this bill in question would walk on first amendment Constitutional rights of everyone behind a guise of protecting the social rights of the few. The amendment as proposed authorizes the IRS to investigate records of all churches which operate educational ministries and, based on the opinion of the IRS agent, not only removes the churches' tax-exempt status, but also forces all contributors to pay tax on contributions retroactive to July, 1970.

I urge you, Mr. President to instruct your staff and Congress to withdraw this proposal because of its awkward wording regarding "racially-discriminatory policies," to further instruct Congress to give astute review of the IRS posture toward church-schools, and to make more definitive guidelines that protect our fundamental American heritage of religious freedom.

Sincerely,

Jack Martin

Jack Martin

Dear Mr. Blackwell,

I am writing to you to express my concern over Pres. Reagan's supporting a Legislative amendment to Section 501 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954. I believe this will violate the principle of church and state. I understand that this amendment is worded awkwardly concerning racially discriminatory policies, and places the church on the defensive and puts the burden of proof on the church as if it was guilty until proven innocent.

I urge you to review IRS posture toward church ~~and~~ schools, and to make definitive guidelines that protect our fundamental American heritage of religious freedom.

Respectfully yours,
Bruce DiSarle

Bruce DiSarle
8507 NW 59 PLACE
TAMARAC, FLA. 33321

Jacqueline J. Beddo
Box 273
Richmond, Ks 66080
February 21, 1982

Mr. Morton Blackwell
Special Assistant to the President
Whitehouse
Washington, D. C. 20500

Dear Sir:

I am writing you in regard to a Legislative amendment to Section 501 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 (Resolution # 59). This amendment will violate the principle of separation of church and state.

This bill has vague, ambiguous language which gives the IRS the benefit of the doubt and places the church on the defensive, subject to the removal of the tax-exempt status.

I am as much against "racism" as anyone. However, this bill in question would walk on first amendment Constitutional rights of everyone behind a guise of protecting the social rights of the few. The amendment as proposed authorizes the IRS to investigate records of all churches which operate educational ministries and, based on the opinion of the IRS agent, not only removes the churches' tax-exempt status, but also forces all contributors to pay tax on contributions retroactive to July, 1970.

I urge you, Mr. President to instruct your staff and Congress to withdraw this proposal because of its awkward wording regarding "racially-discriminatory policies," to further instruct Congress to give astute review of the IRS posture toward church-schools, and to make more definitive guidelines that protect our fundamental American heritage of religious freedom.

Sincerely,

Jacqueline J. Beddo

Diana Godby
Route 2 Box 305
Lewisburg, WV 24901

Morton C. Blackwell
Special Assistant To President
The White House
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear President Reagan:

I am sending this letter concerning the Legislative amendment to Section 501 of the Internal Revenue Code which violates the principle of separation of church and state. I am also against "racism" but the wording of this amendment gives the IRS the benefit of the doubt and places the church on the defensive. I would like to request that you instruct Congress to withdraw the proposed amendment to the International Revenue Code because of its awkward wording regarding "racially-discriminatory policies." Please ask that Congress give a good review of the IRS posture toward church-schools, and make more definite guidelines that protect our fundamental American heritage of religious freedom.

Sincerely,

Diana Godby

Diana Godby

Mrs. Raymond D. Carpenter
RR# 1
Richmond, Kansas, 66080
March 2, 1982

Mr. Morton Blackwell
Special Assistant to the President
Whitehouse
Washington, D. C. 20500

Dear Sir:

I am writing you in regard to a Legislative amendment to Section 501 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 (Resolution # 59). This amendment will violate the principle of separation of church and state.

This bill has vague, ambiguous language which gives the IRS the benefit of the doubt and places the church on the defensive, subject to the removal of the tax-exempt status.

I am as much against "racism" as anyone. However, this bill in question would walk on first amendment Constitutional rights of everyone behind a guise of protection the sociall rights of the few. The amendment as proposed authorizes the IRS to investigate records of all churches which operate educational ministries and, based on the opinion of the IRS agent, not only removes the churches' tax-exempt status, but also forces all contributors to pay tax on contributions retroactive to July, 1970.

I urge you, Mr. President to instruct your staff and Congress to withdraw this proposal because of its awkward wording regarding "racially-discriminatory policies," to further instruct Congress to give astute review of the IRS posture toward church-schools, and to make more definitive guidelines that protect our fundamental American heritage of religious freedom.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Raymond D. Carpenter

Mrs. Raymond D. Carpenter

Mrs Eunice E. Gray
445 E. 1st ave.
Garnett, Kans.
66032
Feb. 19.

Mr Morton Blackwell
Special assistant to the President
Whitehouse
Washington, D.C. 20500

Dear Sir:

I am writting you in regard to a Legislative amendment to section 501 of the internal revenue code of 1954 (resolution # 59) This amendment will violate the principal of seperation of church and state.

This bill, has vague, ambiguous language which gives the IRS the benefit of the doubt and places the church on the defensive, subject to the removal of the tax-exempt status.

I am as much against "racism" as anyone. However, this bill in question would walk on first amendent constitutional rights of everyone behind a guise of protecting the social rights of the few. The amendment as proposed authorizes the I.R.S. to investigate records of all churches which operate educational ministeries and, based on the opinion of the I.R.S. agent, not only removes the churches' tax exempt status but also forces all contributors to pay tax on contributions retroactive to July 1970.

I urge you, Mr. President to instruct your staff and congress to withdraw this proposal because of its awkard wording regarding "racially discriminatory policies", to further instruct congress to give astute review of the I.R.S. posture toward church-schools, and to make more definitive guidelines that protect our fundamental American Heritage of religious freedom.

Sincerely
Mrs Eunice G. Gray.
Merle P. Gray

Mrs Dorothy W Ellington
Route 10 Box 123A
Lake City Fla 32055

Dear Mr Blackwell.

I'm Writing to you about the Bill dealing with tax exemptions for Christian Schools If tax exemptions are denied to these schools., it will put an unfair burden on us parents who are now sacrificing to pay for our children's education. Christian Schools do not ask for goverment money, only for tax exemption. Minorities are now accepted in these schools by the same standards as our children as by entrance examination, paying their school fees and obeying the rules and regulations.

please see that the president reads this letter and, that he Will Consider the wording of this bill carefully. to make sure the I.R.S. can't interfere with our religious freedoms. guaranteed under the Constitution.

Thank You

Mrs Dorothy Ellington

P.S. We are paying taxes for public schools that are so poor. Our children were denied a good education. now if we have to pay taxes on Christian schools we will be doubly taxed. please help us maintain ~~of~~ our freedom.

Melvin R. Gray
Box 146
Garnett, Ks. 66032
Feb. 18, 1982

Mr. Morton Blackwell
Special Assistant to the President
Whitehouse
Washington, D. C. 20500

Dear Sir:

I am writing you in regard to a Legislative amendment to Section 501 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 (Resolution # 59). This amendmant will violate the principle of separation of church and state.

This bill has vague, ambiguous language which gives the IRS the benefit of the doubt and places the church on the defensive, subject to the removal of the tax-exempt status.

I am as much against "racism" as anyone. However, this bill in question would walk on first amendment Constitutional rights of everyone behind a guise of protecting the social rights of the few. The amendment as proposed authorizes the IRS to investigate records of all churches which operate educational ministries and, based on the opinion of the IRS agent, not only removes the churches' tax-exempt status, but also forces all contributors to pay tax on contributions retroactive to July, 1970.

I urge you, Mr. President to instruct your staff and Congress to withdraw this proposal because of its awkward wording regarding "racially-discriminatory policies," to further instruct Congress to give astute review of the IRS posture toward church-schools, and to make more definitive guidelines that protect our fundamental American heritage of religious freedom.

Sincerely,

Melvin R. Gray, Pastor

Melvin R. Gray, Pastor

FEB 8 REC'D

Peoria, Illinois 61604
February 3, 1982

mr + mrs Robert Dole
White House
Washington, D.C.

C

Dear mr + mrs Dole;

When you consider a bill that is aimed at Christian Schools like Bob Jones Univ to take away tax exemption, that you will remember that a Christian School has a right to freedom af religion

Bob Jones Univ is not segregated.
To allow inter-racial dating is a disaster when leading to marriage. 99% of all of these end up in divorce and if they have children, what about the blight on them.

You will allow an organization as mentioned in the attached to have a tax exemption, a group that is out to destroy the United States.

Remember Bob Jones has never had a riot. (Like Kent State). They do not allow their boys and girls to sleep together + run around the dorm with out their clothes on (as Bradley univ.) We do not give our students pills to keep from having babies, at tax payers expense. (as University of Illinois allow.) Bob Jones doesnot believe in pre-marital sex.

They do not allow drinking, as Illinois State Univ where a boy was drunk and fell of the dorm balcony and was killed.

All of the above are tax exempt plus they receive federal aide.

Bob Jones has never had a demonstration against the U.S.A.

This great school sets high moral standards unlike most schools who receive federal aid, rather they have lowered morals to the gutter.

No wonder the U.S is ~~in~~ the situation they are, we need to get back to the Bible, the Word of God.

If you take away their tax exemption you will destroy freedom of religion in this country and it won't be long until we are like Russia

Sincerely
Ken Lingle
2617 W. Barker ave
Peoria, Ill. 61604

NEWS & VIEWS
Eternal Vigilance is Forever the Price of Freedom

ISSN: 0191-5118

Vol. 45, No. 1 WHEATON, ILLINOIS 60187 January 1982

HOW THE RADICAL FORCES IN THE UNITED STATES FINANCE THEIR PROPAGANDA CAMPAIGNS

From time to time, mouthpieces of the leftist and revolutionary forces operating within the United States, of which there are more than 2,000 organizations, have accused the so-called "extremists of the right-wing" of raking in millions of dollars to finance their operations and programs. This charge is laughable in the ultimate when one discovers, first of all, that most conservative organizations in the United States are operating on a shoestring, many "in the red" financially, and others going out of business for lack of sufficient funds.

On the other hand, very few American citizens know the sources of financing for the multitudes of propaganda groups which are seeking to destroy the American way of life, morals, ethics, traditional religious beliefs, and to neutralize the population of the nation in regard to any opposition against atheistic Communism and its spread.

The Church League of America, for 45 years, has been massing tons of information on the operations of the old and new left, carding and indexing it, so that complete reports can be compiled from the publications and actions of these organizations, as provided by their own mailings, observers attending their meetings and the accounts in the public domain.

To enumerate the various causes and campaigns initiated by the radical forces in the United States, since the end of World War I, would be a six-year university course, with attendance in classrooms every day for at least eight hours, in order to enlighten those who simply go about their daily tasks of earning a living and caring for their families.

While the bulk of America's population sleeps or watches entertainment or sports events, the destructive revolutionary termites are at work, night and day, and are eroding the foundations upon which the American republic was built.

Of course, these forces cannot do what they are and have been doing without sufficient financial backing. Unknown to the average American is the fact that the left has been raking in untold millions of dollars, annually, and a great deal of it from unsuspecting donors, who do not investigate the organizations or their goals before signing their names to the contribution checks.

The Church League of America Research Department herewith deals with two of the major fund-raising organizations in the United States for the revolutionary and radical left.

The first is one called ANACAPA FUND OF Montecito, California.

A poll of conservative, direct-mail, fund-raising organizations has revealed that not a single one of them, at the time contacted, had ever heard of the ANACAPA FUND. Yet, this group, in a suburb of Santa Barbara, California, has been raising millions of dollars for its clients and the head of ANACAPA FUND stated, in no uncertain terms, that they raise funds only for liberal-radical organizations. Later on in this report, we will list their clients.

The following information was supplied by the ANACAPA FUND, itself, in printed form and attested to by its president, Mr. E. F. "Gene" Zannon:

> "ANACAPA FUND was established as a for-profit California corporation in 1974 with the purpose of providing the highest level of direct mail marketing expertise and professionalism to fundraising organizations.
>
> "In its seven years of existence, ANACAPA FUND has demonstrated its ability to produce, through direct mail solicitation, a steady source of operational revenues for our clientele.

"In all cases, such performance has relied upon a highly professional staff committed to principles which have been identified in the direct mail response business after decades of experiences and annual expenditures exceeding four billion dollars.

"ANACAPA FUND, in 1981, is supervising direct mail programs for eight different clients."

Now just how does ANACAPA FUND solicit the money for its clientele?

ANACAPA FUND handles everything for the client, including such things as: direct mail copy (including testing of revisions and new packages as needed); design for all components of the direct mail package(s); finished mechanical art, drawings and photographs; printing and production of all package components; lettersop functions; selects, orders, receives, codes and supervises all mailing lists; manages donor list for exchanges with other organizations and client's use; *advances outgoing postage* (reply postage is paid by client); coordinates all fulfillment services at outside computer service bureau; and any number of services and information to the client organization.

What do ANACAPA FUND's services cost their clients? ANACAPA FUND charges per thousand pieces of mail, which it has prepared entirely for the client and dropped into the post office. The rate is approximately 15 cents per individual letter of solicitation or $150 per thousand pieces mailed.

Astonishingly, ANACAPA FUND states:

"The per thousand cost includes all the services listed above, plus the cost of the account executive's time and that of other ANACAPA personnel. ANACAPA does not invoice for travel, telephone, copying, etc."

The most astonishing revelation of all is that ANACAPA *advances* all of the money for the clients and does not get paid unless those to whom the mail is sent respond with contributions to the client!

We know of no *conservative* fund-raising organization in the United States that will do this for their clients. Almost without exception, the conservative direct mail, fund-raising organizations want large fees for services in advance, plus a fee for each letter mailed, plus the postage, plus the cost of the printed material, the mailing envelopes, the return envelopes and all other enclosures in each piece of mail; and, the invoices for these charges to be paid within 30 days' time by the client from the date the mail is dropped in the post office.

Many conservative organizations have wound up in the financial "hole" and others have merely broken even without any money left to enlarge their operations or as a "cushion" for future projects.

But, you have not heard it all, yet, by any means! Let's continue on in the revelation of how ANACAPA FUND raises money for some of the largest radical organizations and causes in our country.

Under the heading, "What if returns do not equal costs?", ANACAPA states as follows:

"In the event the balance of contributions generated from a mailing is not sufficient to pay the contract fee, payments will be made from any subsequent direct mail program ANACAPA may be requested to conduct on the client's behalf. If the client terminates the agreement or ceases its mailing program and there are still accumulated fees due, ANACAPA agrees that any such debt shall be waived and no longer considered a liability of the client."

Where does ANACAPA get the lists of names to solicit funds on behalf of its clients? A major source is one called "Names in the News," located at 535 Bush Street, San Francisco, California 94108 (telephone: 415/989-3350). This list broker has tens of thousands of names of persons who contribute only to liberal or radical causes. Such names and addresses are available to ANACAPA or any liberal-radical organization, and only to such organizations, at rates from $35 to $50 per thousand, plus a 20 per cent commission.

Another source of such names, particularly in the religious field, is Russ Reid & Company at 80 S. Lake Avenue, Pasadena, California 91101 (telephone: 213/449-6100).

Here is how the system works in summary:

A radical organization approaches ANACAPA FUND and asks to become a client. If ANACAPA FUND is satisfied that this is a legitimate liberal-radical organization, with a "cause" that will appeal to liberals throughout the nation, then it consults with the head of that organization as to how much money needs to be raised. ANACAPA takes it, then, from the very beginning, plus all the promotion, layouts, printing, etc., clear through to the finished product, drops all the mail in, and all the client has to do is sit back and wait for funds to arrive.

Here are ANACAPA's clients for 1981:

Amnesty International, USA
Friends of the Earth, Inc.
Infant Formula Action Coalition (INFACT)
National Coalition to Ban Handguns
National Campaign for Radioactive Waste Safety
National Voters Initiative
National Peace Academy Campaign
Public Citizen

If the Church League of America were to take the time to pull out of its extensive research files all of the background, operations and causes of these organizations since their initial start, this report would have to encompass hundreds of pages of facts.

At random, we picked several of the organizations and asked the following questions: How many Americans know that the Infant Formula Action Coalition (INFACT) has a completely unscientific and phony cause, which is an outright, vicious attack on the manufacturers of a breast-feeding substitute for the nourishment of babies known as infant formula? How many know that this is headed by a little-known figure by the name of Douglas Johnson, whose organization operates out of the basement of the Roman Catholic Student Newman Center on the campus of the University of Minnesota at Minneapolis, and that he lives in an apartment in New York City and travels extensively all over the world, making contact with radicals and revolutionaries, especially in Third World countries?

Johnson and INFACT have been vicious in their attacks on the Nestlé Corporation, Abbott Laboratories and the Carnation Milk Company, to name but a few, with completely false supposition that "millions of the babies in the Third World" are being killed because the infant formula is being used as a substitute by ignorant mothers, who mix it with polluted water, thus killing their babies.

The ridiculous fallacy in the whole charge is that INFACT never mentions *the fact* that it is the polluted water which is killing the babies, not the infant formula, which is a highly nutritious food which malnutritious mothers can raise their babies on to become healthy adults! Instead of Johnson and his INFACT revolutionaries forming teams to educate mothers in the Third World, who can't read, how to boil water and make it safe for mixing with the formula, they attack a perfectly good formula used successfully by millions of mothers around the globe.

This is nothing but downright deceit! And yet: ANACAPA FUND raised, for this same INFACT, hundreds of thousands of dollars in 1980, according to INFACT's own financial report, prepared by certified public accountant J. Donovan Carpenter of Minneapolis!

Now, just how many of the people who sent checks into this organization realized how their money was being misused to attack great multi-national corporations, who have brought a better way of life to millions on this planet? INFACT has used "the big lie technique" of the late Adolf Hitler, and the same technique which the Marxists are using today, to discredit America's corporations and the capitalistic system.

How many Americans know that the National Coalition to Ban Handguns is a brainchild of the radical Board of Church and Society of the United Methodist Church, which church is a multi-billion dollar operation and whose controversial Board of Church and Society has been filled with radicals, gay persons and other assorted freaks, who are not engaged in preaching the Gospel of the Lord Jesus Christ to lost men and women, but rather supporting radical causes in the name of religion?

How many people know that another of ANACAPA's clients is one of Ralph Nader's offspring, Public Citizen? Nader's Raiders have been raking in untold sums of money from unsuspecting Americans to attack American industry and the major corporations which have given the United States the highest standard of living of any civilization in history?

So much for the ANACAPA FUND.

The *New York Times* came out, on October 8, 1981, with a three-column wide picture and story, over half a page in length, entitled, "Liberals Open Purses to Fund-Raiser." Pictured is one Thomas R. Mathews, co-founder of the fund-raising company known as Craver, Mathews, Smith & Company of Falls Church, Virginia, located at 282 N. Washington Street, 22046.

Under the byline of Warren Weaver, Jr., the *New York Times* states:

> "FALLS CHURCH, Va., Oct. 7—All around Washington, the old liberal issues have fallen from fashion. In Congress, the White House and the thousand offices that watch them both, the themes of the left are seldom heard, and then only in subdued tones.
>
> "But in a restored Victorian mansion here in the Virginia suburbs of the Capital, the old era lives. Here the battered causes of civil liberties, abortion, gun control and religious freedom not only thrive philosophically, but also generate millions of dollars in contributions.
>
> "Contrary to expectations, the first year of Ronald Reagan's Presidency, with its pervasive climate of conservatism, has not dampened either the idealism or the gross receipts of the company of Craver, Mathews & Smith, whose business is raising money by mail for a wide range of causes such as the environment, birth control and women's rights.
>
> "In fact, the eight-year-old company expects to collect a record $85 million, or about 40 percent more on the average, for its 30-odd liberal causes this year than it did last year with Jimmy Carter in the White House and the Democrats controlling both houses of Congress."

Mr. Mathews is 60 years of age and, up to 11 years ago, he had never drafted a fund-raising letter. Then, former secretary of Health, Education and Welfare (HEW) John W. Gardner, who was starting his liberal organization called "Common Cause," hired Roger Craver, present partner of Mr. Mathews, as Director of Development of Common Cause and Mathews as Vice President.

Thomas Mathews recalls:

> "We ran a few newspaper ads and sent out 250,000 letters to a variety of lists. Six months later we had raised $2 million and had a live list of 100,000 contributors of our own. During the next two years, we blew $1 million of Common Cause money learning how to keep the system working. It was a sort of Rosencrantz and Guildenstern operation."

By 1974, Craver and Mathews had started their own company, with Common Cause as a client, and, since then, have sold their services to such radical groups as:

American Civil Liberties Union
Birch Bayh for Senator Committee (Bayh, Democratic liberal, was defeated in the 1980 election)
Friends of Liz Holtzman
Handgun Control, Inc.
League of Women Voters
McGovern for Senate
National Abortion Rights Action League
National Call for Kennedy
National Organization for Women (NOW)
Planned Parenthood

Operation PUSH
Sierra Club

A partial list of clients served by Craver, Mathews, Smith & Company, distributed in 1981, shows 42 clients of this type.

Ironically, the *New York Times* reports: "Politically, money raised by Craver, Mathews & Smith has yet to produce a winner in a general election."

The *Times* further reports:

> "In 1979, they raised the first money for the movement to draft Senator Edward M. Kennedy as a Presidential candidate, which may have had something to do with their dismissal by the Carter-controlled Democratic National Committee after a six-month tryout. In 1980, Mr. Mathews personally persuaded Representative John B. Anderson to run as an independent after his Republican campaign for the Presidency faded and the firm raised some $14 million for the effort."

It is especially interesting to note that this firm considers one of the stimuli to liberal contributing as "the activity of groups like Moral Majority, which are probably at least as effective in arousing opposition as they are in cultivating support."

Mathews reports that 1981 has been a promising year for fund-raising by non-profit organizations and that "authorities report collections up about 10 to 15 percent, with liberal causes overall doing somewhat better."

Through the efforts of this one firm, alone, Common Cause has now grown to a membership of more than 300,000.

Besides being vice president of Common Cause, Mathews held the position of Vice President of Communications for the National Urban Coalition and Director of Information for the Peace Corps. He has been a White House consultant and, in 1968, was Washington press chief of the campaign for the presidency of the United States by the late Senator Robert Kennedy.

The third partner of this liberal cause fund-raising firm, Robert M. Smith, Vice President, also worked for three years with Common Cause and is a graduate of the University of California at Berkeley in Political Science and Sociology.

This firm enters into "trial contracts" with its clients after evaluating their publications and conducting a survey of their publications programs. The firm supplies expertise in the total publications production and development field, with the purpose in mind of bringing in new subscribers and contributors to the organization.

The distinguished lawyer and former chief counsel of the U.S. Senate Subcommittee on Internal Security, former president of a university and distinguished New Jersey judge, Robert Morris, wrote a book some years ago entitled, NO WONDER WE ARE LOSING. We will borrow the title of this book from Judge Morris for a moment as a proper description of what is happening to the conservative organizations and their causes within the United States.

First of all, to repeat what we said earlier in this report, we know of no conservative direct-mail or advertising fund-raising organization that will do for the conservatives what the liberal fund-raising groups are doing for the radicals, with great financial and propaganda success.

The Church League of America has used the "expertise" of the most widely known, so-called conservative fund-raising organizations within the U.S. and has wound up with thousands of dollars coming in the front door, as a result of the mail response, and then has watched it go out the back door in payment to these same fund-raising organizations for their services, with the Church League "left holding the bag" and not even having enough funds to provide the services promised to the people who contributed and who were promised these services in the fund solicitation appeals.

Many facts could be related by the Church League's Board of Directors, comptroller and executive secretary in regard to the unbelievable experiences which the League has had with these professional "conservative" fund-raisers. No wonder the conservative cause is not only losing; but, no wonder, also, that a number of these same fund-raisers became wealthy!

Is there truly the dedication to preserve our country, our family life, our economic system, our freedom of religion and the educational system, that will produce great future leaders who love America, and a moral climate in the U.S. which future generations will bless, actually extant among those who profess to be working for such preservations, especially in the field of finance? Or, are we dealing with people who are in "the conservative movement" solely for personal gain? How many of them publish a certified public accountant's annual report of receipts and expenditures and show were the money goes?

It is time that dedicated Americans, who want the country to remain free and who want it to retain a moral and religious base upon which future generations can build, start investigating and asking questions in regard to how funds for conservative causes are raised and, also, ask questions as to the integrity of the organizations and their objectives which solicit support from the general public.

Most important is for conservative organizations and causes, which have impeccable records of handling finances and which can show, with irrefutable proof, how every cent of contributors' money has been used and for the cause intended and publicized, to be supported with adequate gifts.

Until persons in the United States, including American business and industry, are willing to put their purse where their mouth is and get in the fight to defeat the radical-revolutionary forces working within our midst and seeking to destroy us, the whole leftist cabal will continue to march on, adequately supported by professional, liberal fund-raisers, who are willing to lay cash on the line to pay all the expenses, ahead of time, for fund-raising campaigns to fill the coffers of the revolutionary organizations.

Some of the radical-liberal organizations have grown up "overnight." Some are only 5-10 years of age. And yet, they have raised bigger budgets and propagandized more widely than legitimate conservative organizations that have been in the fight for nearly half a century. Why should this be? The answer is in the word "dedication."

How truly dedicated are conservatives and their pocketbooks?

—END—

EXTRA COPIES

HOW THE RADICAL FORCES IN THE UNITED STATES FINANCE THEIR PROPAGANDA CAMPAIGNS

For Church League Contributors: 1-99 copies 25¢ each; 100 and over $20.00 per 100.
For Non-Contributors: 1-99 copies 35¢ each; 100 and over $30.00 per 100
POSTAGE EXTRA. MINIMUM ORDER $1.00

The following prices are inclusive of postage and handling and are applicable when copies are mailed to a single address only.

Contributors: 2 copies $1.00; (5) $1.85; (10) $3.50; (25) $8.25; (50) $15.50; (100) $24.00.
Non-Contributors: Please add 10¢ per copy to the prices listed above.
NEWS & VIEWS is publiished monthly and a copy is sent free to contributors who contribute $10.00 or more annually to the Church League of America. Annual contribution of $15.00 or more entitles the donor to receive NATIONAL LAYMEN'S DIGEST published twice a month. Donors who contribute $25.00 or more annually will receive both the NEWS & VIEWS and NATIONAL LAYMEN'S DIGEST for one year. These periodicals are not available on a subscription basis. If you want more information about the Church League of America, please ask for our introductory packet, sent free. Address all correspondence, contributitions and orders for extra copies to:

CHURCH LEAGUE OF AMERICA
422 NORTH PROSPECT STREET
WHEATON, ILLINOIS 60187

Published by the National Laymen's Council of the Church League of America, 422 North Prospect Street, Wheaton, Illinois 60187, as an Educational Service to its Supporters. A Non-Profit Organization. Editor: Edgar C. Bundy. Founded in 1937, Chicago, Illinois.
Gifts to the Church League of America are tax-deductible under the authority of U.S. Internal Revenue Code 501 (c) 3.

Dear Sir:

I would like to protest in the strongest terms possible Senate Bill - #59. I feel that such a bill if passed will further leap the walls of separation between church and state and open the door for more federal involvement in church policy and sound biblical beliefs.

I therefore urge your support in the defeat of this bill.

I appreciate your attention in this matter of great concern.

Rev. Timothy A Carr
705 South Washington
Owosso, Michigan
48867

Neal Rathke
519 W. Pikes Peak
Colo. Spgs, Colo. 80905

Dear Sir,
Thank you for the opportunity to share my feelings about the "Church Regulation Bill", proposed by President Reagan.
Last year the Senate & the House voted their approval overwhelmingly of an appropiations amendment (sponsored by Reps. Bob Dornan & John Ashbrook). The amendment prohibited the I.R.S. from taking any action against religious schools resulting in the loss of their tax-exempt status.
The "Church Regulation Bill" would seek to reverse the Ashbrook/Dornan amendments & give the IRS power to tax churches & schools whose doctrinal beliefs conflict with "public school policy."
Sir, this would be detrimental not only to the welfare of the church, but the United States as well. For the youth of today will be the future leaders of America. It is the responsibility of God's people to raise their own children to fear God & respect & uphold the government.
I urge you Sir, to vote against this dangerous piece of legislation by President Reagan.

Sincerely yours,
Neal Rathke

SMYRNA BAPTIST CHURCH
UNION CHRISTIAN SCHOOL
308 W. JACKSON RD.
UNION, MS 39365

308 W.Jackson Rd.
Union, Ms. 39365
Feb. 9, 1982

Mr. Morton C. Blackwell
Spec. Asst. to President
White House

Dear Mr. Blackwell:

I'm writing in regards to the amendment supporting Sec. 501 of the Internal Rev. Code of 1954 which violates the principle of church and state..

I respectfully ask you to please request the President to withdraw the proposal because of its awkward wording regarding "racially-discriminatory policies." and to further instruct Congress to give close review to the IRS posture toward church-schools, and to make definite guidelines that protect our fundamental American heritage of religious freedom.

Mr. Blackwell, this resolution (along with Resolution #59 introduced by some senators last week) is a "green light" for the IRS to commit flagrant abuses of power against all churches.

Our Church-schools are a missionary arm of our churches and should not have to be approved by the state or IRS. I am not working in our church-school for money ($50.00 wk. and some weeks nothing). Money certainly couldn't be the reason the IRS has for checking our churches so--it has to be the desire for control.

As you said in your letter to me dated 12-29-81, "Church-related schools are growing in numbers and effectiveness because parents are willing to make economic sacrifices to give their children the education these schools provide."

Mr. Blackwell, must we not only sacrifice but, also be taken to court, for the right to give our children the education we know God wants them to have?

My heart is broken because, I love our country so much, I value our freedom, and how I long to see our boys and girls become God fearing men and women of tomorrow who will lead our beloved country.

Because I care,

Mrs. Betty S. Ferguson

Route 1
Kittrel, N. C.   27544
February 6, 1982

Mr. Morton Blackwell
Special Assistant to the President
The Whitehouse
Washington, D. C.   20500

Dear Mr. Blackwell:

Please insure Religious Freedom, as guarenteed by the first Ammendment of the Constitution in any legislation concerning tax exemption for private schools. This is a church issue. The U. S. District Court says Bob Jones University is a church. Government can't tell the Bob Jones Church and our church how to manage the social conduct of our young people. You can't tell our church whose wedding to perform and who to baptize. If the IRS can run the Bob Jones Church, it can run our church. Is this Russia or America? Our church is a religious sanctuary, a hallowed place away from government where the rules can be made by conscience; a place as protected as the sanctuary of a foreign ambassador. It is not just another government office with steeple and organ.

Thank you for your cooperation.

Sincerely,

Mrs Mary Lee Pulley

Mrs. Mary Lee Pulley

jap

Danny D. Totten
Route 1, Box 253
Ronceverte, W. Va. 24970

February 10, 1982

Morton C. Blackwell
Special Assistant to President
The White House
Washington, D. C. 20515

Dear Mr. President:

I strongly urge you not to support Concurrent Resolution #59, a legislative amendment to Section 501 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954, which has recently been introduced by a majority of our nation's senators. At the same time, I assure you that I am opposed to racial discrimination of any kind. However, I fear that the legislation now proposed will allow the IRS to commit flagrant abuses of power against all churches. Please move to withdraw this unfair and unconstitutional resolution and propose measures with more definitive guidelines which protect the fundamental American heritage of religious freedom.

Sincerely,

Danny D. Totten

Bob Jones

Feb. 15, 1982

Morton C. Blackwell
Special Assistant To President
The White House
Washington, D. C. 20515

Dear Mr. President:

This letter is to strongly urge you to do all you can to defeat the Legislative amendment to Section 501 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 which will violate the principle of separation of church and state. The wording of the amendment is awkward regarding "racially-discriminatory policies." I urge you to give review of the IRS posture toward church schools, and make more definite guidelines that will protect our fundamental American heritage of religious freedom. Please do not allow the IRS to commit flagrant abuses of power against all churches.

A Concerned Citizen,
Linda Bennett

Linda Bennett
Rt. 2, Box 211
Ronceverte, W. Va.
24970