

Ronald Reagan Presidential Library
Digital Library Collections

This is a PDF of a folder from our textual collections.

Collection: Blackwell, Morton C.: Files
Folder Title: Chron Memos January 1983-May 1983
(5 of 11)
Box: 60

To see more digitized collections visit:

<https://www.reaganlibrary.gov/archives/digitized-textual-material>

To see all Ronald Reagan Presidential Library inventories visit:

<https://www.reaganlibrary.gov/archives/white-house-inventories>

Contact a reference archivist at: **reagan.library@nara.gov**

Citation Guidelines: <https://reaganlibrary.gov/archives/research-support/citation-guide>

National Archives Catalogue: <https://catalog.archives.gov/>

HHS

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

February 15, 1983

MEMORANDUM FOR RED CAVANEY

FROM

MORTON C. BLACKWELL



SUBJECT:

Fact Sheet on Proposed HHS Family Planning Regulation

I think this is an excellent fact sheet.

The point is well made that we are proposing parental notification only with regard to prescription drugs and devices.

In the meeting, January 21, 1983, with pro-life leaders the President was emphatic in supporting the idea of parental notification. He quoted from memory a letter he received from a concerned mother on this issue.

Since a U.S. District Court has taken an initial step to prevent the enforcement of this regulation, the attention of the pro-life community is riveted on this issue. Releasing this fact sheet now will be a clear sign that the President is not retreating from his commitment on this issue

I believe it is also essential that the full legal resources of HHS and the Justice Department be vigorously applied to reverse the initial decision of the U.S. District Court. We can't predict the results of a fight carried all the way to the Supreme Court. But we can with certainty say that failure to mount a vigorous fight on this now will discredit the President with a major category of his supporters.

Comments of W. R. by 9:00 AM
Document No. 111963SS

WHITE HOUSE STAFFING MEMORANDUM

DATE: February 15 ACTION/CONCURRENCE/COMMENT DUE BY: Wednesday, 10:00 a.m. February 16
SUBJECT: FACT SHEET ON PROPOSED HHS FAMILY PLANNING REGULATION

	ACTION	FYI		ACTION	FYI
VICE PRESIDENT	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	GERGEN	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
MEESE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	HARPER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
BAKER	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	JENKINS	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
DEAVER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	MURPHY	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
STOCKMAN	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	ROLLINS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CLARK	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	WHITTLESEY →	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
DARMAN	<input type="checkbox"/> P	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SS	WILLIAMSON	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
DUBERSTEIN	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	VON DAMM	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
FELDSTEIN	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	BRADY/SPEAKES	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
FIELDING	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	ROGERS	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
FULLER	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>BAKSHIAN</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Remarks:

Please provide any comments/edits no later than 10:00 A.M. tomorrow, 2/16.

Thank you.


Richard G. Darman
Assistant to the President
(x2702)

Response:

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

February 15, 1983

To: Dick Darman
Craig Fuller

From: Edwin L. Harper 

RE: Contraceptive Regulations

Please find attached a fact sheet for clearance.

FACT SHEET ON PROPOSED HHS FAMILY PLANNING REGULATION

Background

The President's FY 82 legislative program included a proposal to consolidate the family planning program into a block grant. The Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act did not adopt the block grant proposal, but rather reauthorized the categorical family planning program. The President, in a letter to Senator Hatch, reluctantly accepted this reauthorization, but expressed the hope that the Administration could remedy some of the problems in the family planning program administratively. In the reauthorization, Congress included a provision that "to the extent practicable," family planning centers "shall encourage family participation." The statement of the conferees on this provision said they believed that "while family involvement is not mandated, it is important that families participate ... as much as possible." They further stated Congress' intent that "grantees will encourage participants ... to include their families in counseling and involve them in decisions about services."

HHS Proposed Regulation

HHS published on January 26, 1983 a final rule in the Federal Register to carry out the family participation amendment. The effective date is February 25, 1983. It would:

- Require that in providing prescription drugs and devices to a child 17 or under, the family planning center would, within 10 days, provide notification to the child's parent, or guardian, unless the director of the family planning center determines that the notification would result in substantial physical harm to the minor by a parent or guardian.
- Require family planning centers to obey State laws that provide for parental consent for family planning services to minors. (Utah has such a State law.)

What the Regulation would NOT do

- The proposed rule would not require notification for family planning services to minors involving information, counseling, and non-prescription drugs and devices. The rule only applies to prescription drugs and prescription devices.
- The proposed rule does not require that the parents consent to the child receiving prescription drugs and devices (unless that is a requirement of State law). It only requires that the parents receive notification, so they will have an opportunity to advise their child.

- The proposed rule would not require parental notification regarding the use of prescription drugs to treat sexually transmitted diseases (which treatments are sometimes provided by family planning centers). This will ensure that a minor's concern about parental knowledge will not deter the minor from receiving prompt treatment for a sexually transmitted disease.

Reasons for Proposed Rule

The policies underlying the proposed rule are:

- The Congress, through the 1981 Amendment and the Conference Report, mandated that family participation be encouraged, to the extent practical.
- Parents should know when one of their children under the age of 18 is being given a prescription drug or device of this kind so they can be aware of any risk their child is taking. Children will benefit from the parents' mature judgment on their behalf on matters that may affect their physical well-being.
- The people of a State, acting through their legislature, should have the freedom to establish their own requirements in matters so closely related to public health and protection of their children.

Alternatives Considered But Not Selected

- In implementing the new statutory provision calling for family participation, require only that federally funded family planning centers "encourage family participation." This was rejected because it will not effectively provide for family participation.
- Impose a Federal requirement that family planning services could not be provided to unemancipated minors without their parents' consent. This was rejected because it would undermine the statutory policy that family planning services should be available to minors.

3.5.4.2 Contraceptives for Minors

... I think the government has no business injecting itself between parent and child in a family relationship where it is very definitely a problem of concern to parents who are responsible for the children.

Presidential News Conference
February 18, 1982

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

July 28, 1981

Dear Orrin:

Thank you for your letter of July 26, regarding the conference on reconciliation between your Labor and Human Resources Committee and the House Energy and Commerce Committee. I regret that we do not have the votes to defeat the family planning program and, assuming this is the best you can do under the circumstances, I reluctantly conclude that the best course is to enter into the proposed conference agreement. Perhaps we can remedy some of the problems in the family planning program administratively during the three years that it will remain as a categorical grant.

Thank you for your support and for the good job you are doing under difficult circumstances.

With kindest personal regards,

Ron

The Honorable Orrin G. Hatch
United States Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

SCHEDULE PROPOSAL

Feb. 16, 1983

TO: WILLIAM K. SADLEIR, Director
Presidential Appointments and Scheduling.

FROM: RED CAVENEY

REQUEST: President to speak to annual convention of the
National Right to Life Committee.

PURPOSE: To demonstrate continued support for the pro-life
cause.

BACKGROUND: This is by far the largest pro-life convention,
having 2,500 delegates. This group gave their whole-
hearted support to candidate Reagan in 1980. This
year's convention is expected to be even larger than
usual as it marks the tenth year since the Roe vs. Wade
decision.

PREVIOUS
PARTICIPATION: The President has met with various leaders of the
movement every year since the election. Last year he
addressed this convention by a videotaped message.

DATE / TIME: July 7,8 or 9, 1983 DURATION: 30 min.

LOCATION: Sheraton Twin Towers; Orlando, Florida.

PARTICIPANTS: The President; 2,500 NRLC delegates.

OUTLINE OF EVENT: The President will enter, he will speak, pose for photos,
and depart.

REMARKS REQUIRED: Major speech

MEDIA COVERAGE: Full media coverage.

RECOMMENDED BY: RED CAVENEY

OPPOSED BY:

PROJECT OFFICER: MORTON C. BLACKWELL



**national
RIGHT TO LIFE**
committee, inc.

Suite 402, 419 7th Street, N.W.
Washington D.C. 20004 — (202) 638-4396

December 14, 1982

The President
The White House
Washington DC


Dear Mr. President:

We would be pleased and honored if you would address the 1983 National Right to Life Convention to be held at the Sheraton Twin Towers, Orlando, Florida on July 7, 8 and 9. We have tentatively scheduled the keynote address for the evening of Thursday, July 7 and the banquet for the evening of Saturday, July 9. You are most welcome for either event. If another time on those three days is more convenient for you, it would be very easy for us to accommodate you in planning the convention.

Your frequent public support for the need to end the American nightmare of abortion has been a great source of encouragement for all of us working toward that goal. Next year will mark ten years since the Supreme Court decision in Roe v. Wade. We are rapidly approaching the point where a whole generation of young Americans will never have lived in a United States whose laws protected the life of each human being. The 1983 convention presents an excellent opportunity for us to renew our efforts to insure that we never reach that point. Your presence would give a tremendous boost to our effort to "Launch a World of Promise for Life" -- the theme for the convention.


Your filmed address was the highlight of the 1982 convention. We sincerely hope that this year you will be able to join us and accept some warm Florida hospitality.

Respectfully yours,



John L. O'Donnell, Jr.
Chairman, National Right to
Life Convention '83

and



J.C. Willke, M.D.
President, National Right to
Life Committee, Inc.

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

February 16, 1983

MEMORANDUM FOR RED CAVANEY

FROM: MORTON C. BLACKWELL *MB*

SUBJECT: Draft Presidential Address: CPAC

This is a fine speech. It will be well received. It recaptures for the President many of the themes which won him the presidency but which have not received great emphasis yet in this administration.

I do suggest the following:

1. On the bottom of Page 7, we have a grammar problem. I suggest the last full sentence on Page 7 read: "The economic disasters brought about by too much government were the catalysts for this consensus."
2. On the bottom of Page 9, I think we should say either "we have welded our inspectors general into an effective strike force. . . ." or "we have wielded our inspectors general as an effective strike force"
3. On Page 13, the fourth paragraph must be changed. It is vital that the President not endorse the "walls of separation" idea in any form. Conservative religious supporters of the President spend a great deal of time and effort arguing against the proposition that the founding fathers created a wall of separation between church and state. Whole books have been written on this subject recently. The Constitution only prohibited a federal establishment of religion. Many states for generations retained church establishments. The phrase "wall of separation between church and state" was Jefferson's, but he made it a generation after the founding of the country, and he was perhaps the least religious of all the founding fathers.

I would suggest that paragraph be changed to read as follows: "For example, it is true that our founding fathers prohibited a federal establishment of religion, but there is no evidence that they intended to set up a wall of separation between the state and religious belief itself."

4. Page 15, in the middle of the third paragraph, we let the Soviets off too easily. Rather than the sentence beginning "We have talked about forced labor on the Soviet pipeline", I suggest the following:

"We have focused world attention on forced labor on the Soviet pipeline and Soviet repression in Poland. We have publicized the evidence of chemical warfare and other atrocities

by the Soviets and their clients in Cambodia and Afhanistan which amount to genocide campaigns in those two unfortunate lands."

I also suggest that the President should make mention of one lasting accomplishment of this administration which will strike a responsive cord with this audience. The President has given hundreds of talented conservatives their first experience in managing federal departments and agencies. He has credentialed a whole generation of conservatives for future government service. The effects of this achievement will be felt long after the Reagan Administration. For a generation, future conservative administrations will be able to call on the services of conservatives who are now winning their spurs in government service.

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

February 18, 1983

Rev. Eric M. Lebec
President
Culture et Promotion Populaire
15 Rue de Grenelle
Paris 7
FRANCE


Dear Father Lebec:

I regret to say that I have been advised by the White House Counsel that I am unable to accept your kind invitation.

Attached is a copy of my memorandum from the White House Counsel.

I have enclosed a brochure and application from the Leadership Institute regarding its 1983 schedule of schools. Perhaps some people whom I might have addressed in Paris will be able to attend one of these schools, which I conduct.

Cordially,



Morton C. Blackwell
Special Assistant to the President
for Public Liaison

Enclosure

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

February 17, 1983

MEMORANDUM FOR MORTON C. BLACKWELL
SPECIAL ASSISTANT TO THE PRESIDENT

FROM: FRED F. FIELDING
COUNSEL TO THE PRESIDENT

SUBJECT: Invitation to Appear Before Mouvement des
Jeunes Pour la Liberte de l'Enseignement

You have inquired whether it would be appropriate for you to accept the invitation of the Mouvement des Jeunes Pour la Liberte de l'Enseignement (MJLE) to appear before a group of student leaders in Paris, and whether it would be appropriate to accept reimbursement for expenses from MJLE. Since MJLE appears to be the French equivalent of the sort of domestic organization within the purview of your official liaison duties, the contemplated trip must be considered official travel. You may not accept reimbursement of expenses for official travel, since doing so would constitute an unauthorized supplementation of appropriations.

It is often difficult to draw the line between official and personal travel, but, as noted in my August 24, 1981 memorandum to the White House Staff on acceptance of transportation and travel-related expenses, "any doubt as to the appropriateness of accepting travel expenses or reimbursement . . . must be resolved in favor of not accepting." (Emphasis in original). While there is an exception to the general rule for reimbursement from 501(c)(3) organizations, 5 U.S.C. § 4111, the exception does not apply to foreign organizations, no matter how similar they may be to domestic 501(c)(3) organizations.

If the trip is undertaken as official travel, it must be paid for out of appropriated funds. Although the trip must be considered official for purposes of determining if reimbursement is appropriate, I think you will agree that a serious appearance problem would be raised by official travel to Paris to appear before MJLE.

In light of the foregoing, I must advise against acceptance of the invitation.

Thank you for bringing this matter to our attention.

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON
February 18, 1983

Morton
Blackwell
file

MEMORANDUM FOR OPL PORTFOLIO MANAGERS

FROM: WAYNE VALIS *Wayne*
SUBJECT: Budget Activity

As you know, Red has asked me to coordinate OPL's efforts on the budget. I'm attaching for your information a Congressional budget timetable, along with a brief description of the budget process.

The Budget Committees report the first concurrent resolution on the budget to their respective Houses on April 15th. Therefore, we should adopt the following timetable:

- March 4 Briefings for Constituency Groups Completed
- March 11 Constituency Groups Have Publically Expressed Support for Budget Freeze -- note that committees submit estimates to Budget Committees by March 15
- April 1 Constituency Groups Grass Roots Operations Begin
- Early May Preparation for Congressional Votes on First Concurrent Budget Resolution

I'd appreciate your sending me a memo on your briefing plans and on the initial reactions you've received from your constituency groups to the budget freeze.

Please call me if you need any further information.

Attachments

Table 1

CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET TIMETABLE

Deadline	Action to be Completed
15th day after Congress convenes	President submits his budget, along with current services estimates. <u>1/</u>
March 15	Committees submit views and estimates to Budget Committees.
April 1	Congressional Budget Office submits report to Budget Committees. <u>2/</u>
April 15	Budget Committees report first concurrent resolution on the budget to their Houses.
May 15	Committees report bills authorizing new budget authority.
May 15	Congress adopts first concurrent resolution on the budget.
7th day after Labor Day	Congress completes action on bills providing budgetary authority and sending authority.
September 15	Congress completes actions on second required concurrent resolution on the budget.
September 25	Congress completes action on reconciliation process implementing second concurrent resolution.
October 1	Fiscal year begins.

1/ Current service estimates are estimates of the dollar levels that would be required next year to support the same level of services in each program as this year's budget. The Budget Act originally required submission of the current services estimates by November 10 of the previous year. Since the President was still in the midst of developing the budget process for the next year, Congress later agreed to permit simultaneous submission of the current services and executive budgets in January.

2/ The Budget Committees and CBO have found April 1 too late in the budget process to be useful; hence CBO submits its report(s) in February, although April 1 remains the date required by law.

NOTE: Congress has not always adhered to these deadlines. In recent years, Congress has fallen increasingly behind schedule.

A BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET PROCESS

The congressional budget process is organized around two concurrent resolutions on the budget. The first resolution is scheduled for adoption by May 15, before floor consideration of appropriation bills, revenue measures, or entitlement legislation; the second is scheduled for adoption by September 15, shortly before the start of the new fiscal year. (Table I sets forth the calendar established by the Congressional Budget Act.)

As concurrent resolutions, these measures do not have the force of the law. Congress cannot appropriate money or impose taxes by means of a budget resolution, nor can it directly limit federal expenditures. The sole purpose of a budget resolution is to guide and restrain Congress in its actions on revenue, spending, and debt legislation. The first resolution sets targets for revenues, budget authority, and outlays; the second resolution sets a floor on revenues and a ceiling on budget authority and outlays. After this resolution has been adopted, a point of order can be raised against any legislation that would cause revenues to drop below, or expenditures to exceed, the budgeted amounts. However, Congress can revise these budget decisions any time during the fiscal year by adopting additional budget resolutions.

Although it is a relatively brief document, a budget resolution touches the legislative concerns of most congressional committees. The first part of each resolution deals with the budget's totals: revenues, new budget authority, outlays, surplus or deficit, and public debt. (See page 6.) The second part allocates the new budget authority and outlays among 19 functional categories. (See page 7.) The sum of these functions must equal the total budget authority and outlays.

Congress begins formulation of a budget resolution with the preparation by

each committee of its views and estimates with respect to budget matters within its jurisdiction. These reports are submitted to the budget committees by March 15, but no committee is restricted in its subsequent legislative activity by the views and estimates recommended in these reports. (Table 2 lists the functions of the various participants in the budget process.)

In addition to being informed of committee recommendations, the budget committees conduct hearings and review the estimates presented by the President. They also have current services (or current law) data which estimate the next year's costs of continuing the present level of services. The budget committees report the first resolution to their respective Houses by April 15, thus allowing a full month for floor action and conference before the May 15 adoption date. Prior to the adoption of the first resolution, Congress is barred (by section 303 of the Budget Act) from considering revenue or spending legislation for the next fiscal year. As a result, failure to meet the May 15 deadline can delay action on appropriation bills.

May 15 is also the deadline for the reporting of authorizing legislation by committees. This schedule is intended to provide Congress with the firm information on prospective authorizations and, more importantly, to proceed to the consideration of appropriations without having to wait for necessary authorizations. Nevertheless, the May 15 deadline can be waived, in the House by the adoption of a "rule", in the Senate by approval of a waiver resolution. Moreover, in recent years, Congress has frequently waived the rule against unauthorized appropriations.

Following adoption of the first budget resolution, appropriation bills proceed through Congress. Although the bills are taken up individually, the House Appropriations Committee files a report showing how the amounts in the various bills compare to the allocations made pursuant to the budget resolution.

The Budget Act intends that congressional action on all regular appropriation bills be completed in September, but this timetable has not been met in recent years. Consequently, a number of regular appropriations have not been enacted by the start of the fiscal year and it has been necessary to provide interim funding through continuing resolutions.

Appropriations, revenue bills, and other budget-related measures are linked to the budget resolutions through crosswalks and scorekeeping reports. The Budget Act provides that the conference report on each budget resolution is to be accompanied by an allocation of new budget authority and outlays to each committee with jurisdiction over expenditures. Each committee is then required to subdivide its allocation among its programs or subcommittees. These allocations form the basis of scorekeeping reports which show the status of the congressional budget. Scorekeeping reports are issued by the Congressional Budget Office, which also estimates the 5-year cost of legislation reported by the committees.

The Budget Act provides a reconciliation procedure for bringing existing law into conformity with a budget resolution. Under reconciliation, Congress instructs designated committees to report legislation changing existing law to raise revenues or lower expenditures by a certain amount. (See page 8.) The designated committees have the discretion of deciding on the changes to be recommended. The recommendations of the various committees are consolidated, without change, by the budget committee into an omnibus reconciliation bill which is then considered by the House and Senate. Although the Budget Act contemplated reconciliation as part of the second resolution, the first resolution for fiscal 1981 provided for reconciliation.

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

February 18, 1983

MEMORANDUM FOR RED CAVANEY

FROM: MORTON C. BLACKWELL

SUBJECT: Draft Remarks for February 22 American Legion Meeting

MCB/c

This is a good speech but I have several important suggestions.

1. This is not the American Legion National Conference. It is the 23rd Annual Washington Conference. Therefore on Page 1, fourth paragraph, the first sentence should read: "This 23rd annual meeting in Washington marks my second speech to a national gathering of the Legion".
2. On Page 5, second paragraph, I think the President should not use the term "the masses". Much better to say here: "the rising influence of grassroots citizens in international politics".
3. On Page 6, the phrase "the relative and proven success of the American experiment" is awkward. I suggest we leave out the words "relative and".
4. On Page 14, we should be aware there is enthusiastic support for the Republic of China on Taiwan in the American Legion and other veterans groups. This draft gives Taiwan short shrift. At minimum the President should refer here to the Taiwan Relations Act as the law of the land and the expression of our national commitment to the people on Taiwan.
5. On Page 15, the second full paragraph, I suggest the draft over uses Karl Marx's term "capitalism". The third sentence might better begin "The free market, properly practiced . . .". The next sentence might better begin: "Economic freedom generates wealth . . .".
6. On Page 18 we are missing a good bet to enlist support. I suggest the President take this opportunity to urge the Legion to make a special effort to put the heat on the Congress to promptly vote on the long overdue funding for Radio Marti.
7. Also on Page 18, the final paragraph, I would suggest the President avoid the description: "African front line states". Just omitting the words "front line" would be very helpful.

The term "African front line states" implies we are partisan on the side of mostly Marxist states in their determination to crush South Africa by any means. There is no need to link us to the "world revolutionary structure" proclaimed for Marxist Angola and Mozambique.

All in all, though, this is a very fine draft.

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

February 22, 1983

MEMORANDUM FOR MONIE MURPHY

THROUGH RED CAVANEY

FROM MORTON C. BLACKWELL *MB*

SUBJECT: Your Requested list of 1983 Events with
Particular Impact on Blue Collar People

There are a number of opportunities this year for Presidential speeches which will have particular impact on large numbers of blue collar people.

Many groups in my portfolio are largely blue collar. I suggest that the President make appearances at the following events:

1. The National Rifle Association's annual convention, May 6-8, 1983, at Phoenix Civic Plaza, Phoenix, Arizona. I have already submitted a schedule proposal for this. Themes: "crime control, not gun control"; national defense preparedness; judicial abuses.
2. The National Right to Life Committee's annual convention. It will be held at Sheraton Twin Towers, Orlando, Florida, on July 7-9, 1983. I have submitted a schedule proposal.
3. The Veterans of Foreign Wars Convention on August 15 in New Orleans.
4. The 1983 convention of the International Association of Chiefs of Police which will be held in Detroit, Michigan, October 1-6, 1983. Attendance will be 5,000 to 8,000. Themes: criminal law reform; drug enforcement.
5. The National Sunday School Convention in Detroit in the fall. I will get a confirmed date. This will include 15,000 to 20,000 christian parents from many denominations.
6. Any one of the anti-pornography seminars and banquets being held across the country this year by Southern Baptist lay leader Ed McAteer.

A large minority of blue collar workers are in organized labor. The union bosses will strongly oppose the President and virtually all Republican candidates in 1984. By addressing selected groups the President can talk over the heads of the union hierarchy and discuss issues which divide rank and file workers from liberal Democratic politicians. Such issues are:

Gun control; pornography; right to life; busing;
tax limitation; tuition tax credits; school prayer;
national defense.

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

February 22, 1983

MEMORANDUM FOR RED CAVANEY

FROM: MORTON C. BLACKWELL *MB*

SUBJECT: Proposed Meeting with President of Circle K,
Kiwanis International, and Key Club Presidents

I would certainly recommend a brief meeting with these people for picture taking opportunity. The Kiwanis is a fine organization involving leading businessmen in most communities of this country. They are the grassroots types who are most supportive of the President.

Their work in scholarships and leadership training in the Key Clubs in high schools is really outstanding. With the President's interest in private sector initiatives and developing this generation to be self starters, I believe he would find a meeting with the representative of Key Clubs most worthwhile.

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

MEMORANDUM

FEBRUARY 15, 1983

TO: ✓ RED. CAVANEY - MICHAEL CASTINE
FROM: WILLIAM K. SADLEIR, DIRECTOR
PRESIDENTIAL APPOINTMENTS AND SCHEDULING
SUBJ: REQUEST FOR SCHEDULING RECOMMENDATION

PLEASE PROVIDE YOUR RECOMMENDATION ON THE FOLLOWING
SCHEDULING REQUEST UNDER CONSIDERATION:

EVENT: Meet with President of the Circle K International,
Kiwanis International and Key Club International

DATE: ---

LOCATION: The White House

BACKGROUND: See Attached

YOUR RECOMMENDATION:

Accept ___ Regret ___ Surrogate ___ Message ___ Other ___
Priority ___
Routine ___

IF RECOMMENDATION IS TO ACCEPT, PLEASE CITE REASONS:

RESPONSE DUE 2-21-83

TO Frederick J. Ryan, Jr.



RECEIVED

FEB 1 1983

CIRCLE K INTERNATIONAL
KIWANIS INTERNATIONAL BUILDING, 3636 WOODVIEW TRACE, INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA 46268
AREA CODE (317) 875-8755

SCHEDULING
OFFICE

Kevin E. France
Administrative Assistant
Circle K International
January 20, 1983

*SKH to Red Cavanaugh
Michael Costine*

The President
The White House
Washington, D.C. 20500

Dear Mr. President:

On behalf of the Board of Officers of Circle K International, the largest collegiate service organization in the world, I would like to call upon your attention on a most important matter.

Circle K, as I stated, is the largest collegiate service organization in the world with over 14,000 members in over 750 clubs in six countries. The sole purpose of Circle K is to provide help to those less fortunate, or who are in need of a "special" kind of attention. It is our intent to bring aid and comfort to whomever may be in need, and support the highest of moral and ethical values within our own organization.

It has been tradition over the last number of years for our president, to meet with the President, during his term in office. This year however we are asking for something a little bit different. Since Circle K International is sponsored by Kiwanis International and associated with Key Club International, we are asking for a meeting with yourself and the three International Presidents.

Seeing that your Administration is directed toward more youth involvement and your volunteerism programs, we feel that this is an opportune time to bring our ideas together and move in the direction of making our society a better place for all mankind.

Please accept my thank you in advance for your consideration on this most important matter. I have enclosed some additional Circle K, Key Club and Kiwanis materials for you to glance through at your earliest convenience. It is my hope that they will give you further insight as to what Circle K, Key Club and Kiwanis is all about. Please feel free to contact me at any time if I may be of assistance to you.

Most cordially yours,

Kevin E. France, Adm. Asst.
Circle K International
1124 Camellia Dr.
Hanster, IN. 46321
(317) 767-1100

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

February 24, 1983

MEMORANDUM FOR RED CAVANEY

FROM:

MORTON C. BLACKWELL *MB*

SUBJECT:

Talking Points - Revised - 4:45 p.m. Meeting
with ROA Leaders

Attached is a revised set of talking points for the President for this meeting this afternoon with the Reserve Officers Association leaders.

The only change is the addition of the second point. It was a very successful banquet last night.

SUGGESTED TALKING POINTS FOR FEBRUARY 24
MEETING WITH RESERVE OFFICERS ASSOCIATION
LEADERS

- o I want to thank the Reserve Officers Association and your President, Captain Sparks, for this high honor.

- o I have heard from the Vice President and Ed Meese how successful your banquet was last night and I am sorry that I was unable to be there to accept your Minuteman of the Year award.

- o I want you and the members of ROA to know how deeply I appreciate the enthusiastic support your organization has given me in all of my major battles in the Congress.

- o I think your early and strong support for key pieces of legislation has been clearly responsible for much of the support we have enjoyed from other major armed forces and veterans groups.


- o I want particularly to commend your Executive Director, Mil Roberts, for his leadership in the veterans community. I enjoy working with General Roberts and he has often given my administration the benefit of his keen insight.

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

February 25, 1983

MEMORANDUM FOR STEVE SHIPLEY

FROM: MORTON C. BLACKWELL 

SUBJECT: Speaking Invitation to Secretary Watt

Attached is correspondence relating to an invitation from the Oklahoma Young Americans for Freedom to Secretary Watt to speak at their fourth annual Oklahoma Conservative Leadership banquet.

Oklahoma YAF is one of the best state organizations. Its former state chairman, Steve Antosh, was the person we selected to be the National Director of Youth for Reagan in 1980.

If the Secretary is able to fit them in, they will do an excellent job for him.

Enclosure

THE CENTER ON NATIONAL LABOR POLICY, INC.

5211 PORT ROYAL ROAD, SUITE 400
NORTH SPRINGFIELD, VIRGINIA 22151

(703) 821-9180

STEVE M. ANTOSH
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

January 31, 1983

*for memo
to Steve
Shipley*

Morton C. Blackwell
The White House
Washington, D.C. 20500


Dear Morton:

Here is a copy of the invitation sent by Keith Teague, Chairman of Oklahoma YAF, to secretary Jim Watt inviting him to come speak at our 4th Annual Oklahoma Conservative Leadership Recognition Banquet.

If you could make a call or drop a note to the Secretary or Steve Shipley as we discussed, I would greatly appreciate it. I think the Secretary will be impressed with the reception he will receive.

By the way, both my roommates (Rick Hendrix and Dave Swan) are getting married and moving out in March, so I have room available if needed.

Sincerely,



Steve M. Antosh
Executive Director

SMA/cc

Enclosure

OKLAHOMA YOUNG AMERICANS for FREEDOM



318 South Duncan, No. 3
Stillwater, Oklahoma 74074

24 January 1983

The Honorable James Watt,
Secretary of the Interior
Department of the Interior
'C' Street
Washington, D.C. 20240

Dear Secretary Watt:

In April, Oklahoma Young Americans for Freedom, a conservative grass-roots political action organization of young people, will sponsor the fourth Oklahoma Conservative Leadership Recognition Banquet. This is an annual event that gives Oklahoma conservative leaders and activists an opportunity to meet to discuss our goals and achievements, to honor those who have made notable contributions to conservatism during the past year, and to have an evening of fellowship with old friends. OCLRB will tentatively be held in Oklahoma City on a Saturday evening in April at the Sheraton Hotel; the actual date is dependent on the selection of a keynote speaker.

While attending the YAF national convention in 1981, I had the opportunity to hear your speech and to meet you (I was with the ORU delegation from Tulsa). I know from experience that you are an excellent speaker, both entertaining and informative, and a staunch conservative. The members of my banquet committee agree. I know you could make a valuable contribution to OCLRB and your attendance would be appreciated and warmly welcomed. I would be honored if you would accept this invitation to keynote OCLRB this year.

The dates I have to select from include April 2, 9, 16, and 23; however if another Saturday is better I would like to accommodate your schedule. Oklahoma YAF would of course pay your round-trip airfare to Oklahoma City and your expenses while there. I may be reached at home (405) 624-0621 or a message may be left at school (Oklahoma State University) at (405) 624-5151. I look forward to hearing from you soon.

Sincerely yours,

Keith A. Teague
Keith A. Teague
Chairman, Oklahoma YAF

cc: Senator Don Nickles
Morton Blackwell
Paul Weyrich