

COPY

December 5, 1995

F#10 TO: Lee
From: Say

MEMORANDUM FOR DOUG SOSNIK, ALEXIS HERMAN, ANN LEWIS AND JAY FOOTLIK

FROM: SARA EHRMAN
DEBORAH MOHILE

RE: CLINTON-GORE JEWISH LEADERSHIP COUNCIL 1996

Purpose

The goal of the Clinton-Gore Jewish Leadership Council (CGJLC) is to create an organizing structure for the 1996 Jewish vote. By reaching out to Jewish voters and supporters, we can work toward our goal of 85% + support in 1996.

Locations

Our target states are listed below. We have already convened meetings in Cleveland, Chicago, New York and Boston. We have the ability, capacity and lists necessary to be organized in 50 states.

California	Maryland	New Jersey
Connecticut	Massachusetts	New York
Florida	Michigan	Ohio
Illinois	Missouri	Pennsylvania

Structure

Each state will have a coordinating committee made up of leaders and supporters who are willing to help with fund raising, field organization and/or politics. They will also be responsible for recruiting audiences, securing sites and any fund raising needed to fund large events scheduled in their cities. State Party Officials and Elected Officials will be involved.

Honorary Chair:	Elie Wiesel- Indicated interest; needs persuasion.
Co-Chairs:	David Hermelin (Detroit)- Will devote a year to us, full time. Lynn Lyss (St. Louis)- Available as of February 12, 1996. Stan Hirsh (Los Angeles) Jack Bendheim (New York)- Orthodox
State Chairs:	to be determined
Rabbinical Chairs:	to be determined
Elected Official Chairs:	to be determined
Young Leadership:	to be determined

Action Needed

A preliminary steering committee meeting should be convened in Washington in late January. Doug Sosnik and David Hermelin should convene the meeting. The legal status of the CGJLC must be determined beforehand.

(attachments)

November 2, 1995

MEMORANDUM FOR DOUG SOSNICK

FROM: Sara Ehrman, Deborah Mohile

RE: Clinton Gore Jewish Leadership Council 1996

I. Background

In 1992, Clinton-Gore received 85% of the Jewish vote. Jews are 3% of the general population but are 6% of the electorate. Jews are second to African Americans in party loyalty (78% are Democrats). For a variety of social, economic and religious reasons, Jews have historically engaged in political activity. In 1994, it is estimated that Jews went 80% Democratic.

The Clinton-Gore Jewish Leadership Council (CGJLC) provided us with an effective structure in 1992. Since 1993 we have contacted members of the CGJLC for information, lobbying members of Congress and raising funds for the Party. Under the auspices of the Jewish Leadership Council (we have to drop "Clinton-Gore" until after the convention), we have held two fund raisers, raising over \$2 million.

We should proceed to reactivate the CGJLC in our target states. The continuation of the '92 model will afford us the name recognition. The Republican agenda in Congress is diametrically opposed to much of the organized Jewish community's interests and thus, many Jews will be looking for a way to support Democrats and President Clinton, in particular. We need the structure to reach out to them in 1996.

II. Mission/Purpose

The goal of the CGJLC is to touch as many Jewish voters as possible, to motivate them to reach out to other voters and to mobilize electoral and financial support for the Party. The CGJLC will be most effective out in the field. By reaching out to Jewish voters and supporters, we can work toward our goal of 85%+ in 1996.

III. Statewide Plan

A. Locations

States will be targeted by region. We can expand to additional venues depending upon need and demand. We have already convened or planned meetings in Cleveland, Chicago, New York, Boston and Atlanta. Targeted states for the Jewish vote and suggested chairs follow (we have the ability, capacity and lists necessary to be involved in 50 states and 435 CDs).

Florida (Jerry Berlin)	Ohio (Jim Friedman)	Massachusetts (Elaine Schuster)
Missouri (Lynn Lyss)	New Jersey (David Steiner)	Maryland (Michael Gelman)
Michigan (David Hermelin)	Connecticut (Arnold Greenberg)	Pennsylvania (William Titelman)
Illinois (Lewis Manilow)	New York (Susan Stern)	California (Stanley Hirsh)

B. Structure

Each state will be led by a coordinating committee made up of our leaders and supporters who are willing to help with fund raising, field organization and/or politics. They would also be responsible for recruiting audiences, securing sites and any fund raising needed to pay for large events scheduled in their cities. State party officials and elected officials will be involved.

1. **National Leadership Committee-** This would be a small, high-level committee made up of contributors and community activists from all over the country.
2. **State Leadership Committees-** Already in formation, these initial groups will lay the foundation for a group of actively involved supporters, activists and elected officials. The state committees will work in close coordination with the existing Democratic structures and with the National Committee.
3. **Event Steering Committees-** These ad-hoc committees will assist with regional forums in their area and would host smaller clutches/dinners, etc.
4. **Staff-** Considering the size and complexity of NY, CA and FL, it will be essential to have full time staff in those states to handle the Jewish vote.
5. **Religious Leaders Committee-** Composed of rabbis, academics and theologians.
6. **Jewish Elected Officials-** Members of Congress, state legislators, city council members and mayors.
7. **Jewish Delegates to the Democratic Convention**

C. Issue Forums

All-day issue forums will be scheduled in the spring or summer in each of the targeted states. One half of the day will be devoted to domestic issues and one half to foreign policy issues, specifically Israel. Administration and Party speakers would be utilized to draw crowds and for clutch events with supporters before or after the forums.

D. Marketing

Word of mouth, phone trees, ads in Jewish newspapers and any other inexpensive advertising will be done. Volunteers would assist in securing complimentary advertising wherever possible and would find donors to pay for any marketing costs.

E. Expenses

As mentioned before, the committees, along with the staff, will solicit individuals and/or organizations to help defray as many costs as possible. The foreseeable costs, other than staff, include staff transportation and lodging, site fees, marketing fees and materials. Unless donated, meals will not be provided at the forums to save that expense.

F. Follow-up

Participants would be asked to publicize their experience in local Jewish papers and the volunteer committee would write op-eds. Lists collected will be used both by the DNC and the state parties. Issues and concerns raised at the forums would be related back to Party and Administration officials and if addressed, should be publicized in the local Jewish press.

G. Resources needed

A good brochure on our record is needed as soon as possible. Buttons and other paraphernalia need to be on hand. Communications staff to handle specialty press advance will be needed; specialty press is basic to our success.

H. Time Line

Forums should be planned and executed on a six-week lead time. Planning for several events could overlap:

- Week 1: Recruiting volunteer committee, raising funds, and planning a date.
- Week 2: Selecting a site, approaching speakers, begin marketing, recruit participants.
- Week 3: Secure speakers, continue marketing and recruiting.
- Week 4: Press advance initial contact, finalize fund raising.
- Week 5: Select from among participants to attend clutch, marketing blitz.
- Week 6: Staff arrive to finalize details, event.

IV. Miscellaneous

By involving large numbers of community participants, the forums allow them to feel connected to the Administration, fire them up for re-elect, arm them with information to counter the opposition. Volunteer committees can work closely with the party, are acknowledged on advertising pieces and attend clutch.

V. Transition to Re-elect

After a first round of steering committee meetings, we will have a better idea of resource requirements. We will have our volunteer network in place. We will involve state and local elected officials.

VI. Transition to Convention

The forums will provide an opportunity for people to meet their local delegates to the national convention and encourage others to run for delegate in their own state party. Since state party officials will be present, they can develop relationships with those individuals interested in becoming more involved.

We have a list of several thousand individuals from all over the country we have touched with events, by mail and by phone. Under the umbrella of CGJLC, we will significantly broaden our reach. Additionally, we should plan for large Jewish leadership events in the summer of '96 with the President or Vice President in New York, Chicago and Los Angeles.

November 2, 1995

MEMORANDUM TO DOUG SOSNICK AND HAROLD ICKES

FROM: Sara Ehrman

RE: 1996 Clinton Gore Jewish Leadership Council (CGJLC)

We all wish we could anoint one "leader" to relate to in the entire Jewish community. In reality that does not work without some modification because everybody is a leader.

Give some thought to convening a preliminary planning committee for the CGJLC as follows:

David Hermelin, Convening Chair	Detroit
Arthur Schechter	Houston
Jack Bendheim	New Jersey
Pris Siskin	Tennessee
Norman Brownstein	Denver
Stanley Chesley	Cincinnati
Alan Leventhal	Boston
Steven Grossman	Boston
Elaine Schuster	Boston
Michael Adler	Miami
Victor Kovner	New York
Peter Gold	Los Angeles
Lewis Manilow	Chicago
Jerome Berlin	Miami
Bruce Ratner	New York
Marvin Rosen	Miami
William Titelman	Pennsylvania

These individuals all have national reach, are mainstream-- with Jack Bendheim and Jerry Berlin as strong representatives of the Orthodox community. This group can participate with us in forming a national advisory committee. We already have the lists but the above group should be involved in choosing the names.

The meeting can be called by David Hermelin. Ickes and Sosnick should be present. We will staff it here. Actually, I have already held meetings in Cleveland and Chicago; New York and Boston are scheduled in November. Let us convene the Hermelin committee promptly so that it can be involved in the planning.

Finally, this effort will make everyone a little crazy as it did in '92. But it is worth it, especially in our target states. Be aware that this is a labor intensive effort.

1) Markt / 1901

1. Pitcher Cabinet

UP/

1. Plane Process

— plebii mulo

1. Carl
 2. Paul
 3. John
 4. George
 5. William
 6. Charles
 7. Edward
 8. James
 9. Robert
 10. Henry
 11. Thomas
 12. Richard
 13. John
 14. William
 15. Charles
 16. Edward
 17. James
 18. Robert
 19. Henry
 20. Thomas
 21. Richard
 22. John
 23. William
 24. Charles
 25. Edward
 26. James
 27. Robert
 28. Henry
 29. Thomas
 30. Richard
 31. John
 32. William
 33. Charles
 34. Edward
 35. James
 36. Robert
 37. Henry
 38. Thomas
 39. Richard
 40. John
 41. William
 42. Charles
 43. Edward
 44. James
 45. Robert
 46. Henry
 47. Thomas
 48. Richard
 49. John
 50. William
 51. Charles
 52. Edward
 53. James
 54. Robert
 55. Henry
 56. Thomas
 57. Richard
 58. John
 59. William
 60. Charles
 61. Edward
 62. James
 63. Robert
 64. Henry
 65. Thomas
 66. Richard
 67. John
 68. William
 69. Charles
 70. Edward
 71. James
 72. Robert
 73. Henry
 74. Thomas
 75. Richard
 76. John
 77. William
 78. Charles
 79. Edward
 80. James
 81. Robert
 82. Henry
 83. Thomas
 84. Richard
 85. John
 86. William
 87. Charles
 88. Edward
 89. James
 90. Robert
 91. Henry
 92. Thomas
 93. Richard
 94. John
 95. William
 96. Charles
 97. Edward
 98. James
 99. Robert
 100. Henry
 101. Thomas
 102. Richard
 103. John
 104. William
 105. Charles
 106. Edward
 107. James
 108. Robert
 109. Henry
 110. Thomas
 111. Richard
 112. John
 113. William
 114. Charles
 115. Edward
 116. James
 117. Robert
 118. Henry
 119. Thomas
 120. Richard
 121. John
 122. William
 123. Charles
 124. Edward
 125. James
 126. Robert
 127. Henry
 128. Thomas
 129. Richard
 130. John
 131. William
 132. Charles
 133. Edward
 134. James
 135. Robert
 136. Henry
 137. Thomas
 138. Richard
 139. John
 140. William
 141. Charles
 142. Edward
 143. James
 144. Robert
 145. Henry
 146. Thomas
 147. Richard
 148. John
 149. William
 150. Charles
 151. Edward
 152. James
 153. Robert
 154. Henry
 155. Thomas
 156. Richard
 157. John
 158. William
 159. Charles
 160. Edward
 161. James
 162. Robert
 163. Henry
 164. Thomas
 165. Richard
 166. John
 167. William
 168. Charles
 169. Edward
 170. James
 171. Robert
 172. Henry
 173. Thomas
 174. Richard
 175. John
 176. William
 177. Charles
 178. Edward
 179. James
 180. Robert
 181. Henry
 182. Thomas
 183. Richard
 184. John
 185. William
 186. Charles
 187. Edward
 188. James
 189. Robert
 190. Henry
 191. Thomas
 192. Richard
 193. John
 194. William
 195. Charles
 196. Edward
 197. James
 198. Robert
 199. Henry
 200. Thomas
 201. Richard
 202. John
 203. William
 204. Charles
 205. Edward
 206. James
 207. Robert
 208. Henry
 209. Thomas
 210. Richard
 211. John
 212. William
 213. Charles
 214. Edward
 215. James
 216. Robert
 217. Henry
 218. Thomas
 219. Richard
 220. John
 221. William
 222. Charles
 223. Edward
 224. James
 225. Robert
 226. Henry
 227. Thomas
 228. Richard
 229. John
 230. William
 231. Charles
 232. Edward
 233. James
 234. Robert
 235. Henry
 236. Thomas
 237. Richard
 238. John
 239. William
 240. Charles
 241. Edward
 242. James
 243. Robert
 244. Henry
 245. Thomas
 246. Richard
 247. John
 248. William
 249. Charles
 250. Edward
 251. James
 252. Robert
 253. Henry
 254. Thomas
 255. Richard
 256. John
 257. William
 258. Charles
 259. Edward
 260. James
 261. Robert
 262. Henry
 263. Thomas
 264. Richard
 265. John
 266. William
 267. Charles
 268. Edward
 269. James
 270. Robert
 271. Henry
 272. Thomas
 273. Richard
 274. John
 275. William
 276. Charles
 277. Edward
 278. James
 279. Robert
 280. Henry
 281. Thomas
 282. Richard
 283. John
 284. William
 285. Charles
 286. Edward
 287. James
 288. Robert
 289. Henry
 290. Thomas
 291. Richard
 292. John
 293. William
 294. Charles
 295. Edward
 296. James
 297. Robert
 298. Henry
 299. Thomas
 300. Richard
 301. John
 302. William
 303. Charles
 304. Edward
 305. James
 306. Robert
 307. Henry
 308. Thomas
 309. Richard
 310. John
 311. William
 312. Charles
 313. Edward
 314. James
 315. Robert
 316. Henry
 317. Thomas
 318. Richard
 319. John
 320. William
 321. Charles
 322. Edward
 323. James
 324. Robert
 325. Henry
 326. Thomas
 327. Richard
 328. John
 329. William
 330. Charles
 331. Edward
 332. James
 333. Robert
 334. Henry
 335. Thomas
 336. Richard
 337. John
 338. William
 339. Charles
 340. Edward
 341. James
 342. Robert
 343. Henry
 344. Thomas
 345. Richard
 346. John
 347. William
 348. Charles
 349. Edward
 350. James
 351. Robert
 352. Henry
 353. Thomas
 354. Richard
 355. John
 356. William
 357. Charles
 358. Edward
 359. James
 360. Robert
 361. Henry
 362. Thomas
 363. Richard
 364. John
 365. William
 366. Charles
 367. Edward
 368. James
 369. Robert
 370. Henry
 371. Thomas
 372. Richard
 373. John
 374. William
 375. Charles
 376. Edward
 377. James
 378. Robert
 379. Henry
 380. Thomas
 381. Richard
 382. John
 383. William
 384. Charles
 385. Edward
 386. James
 387. Robert
 388. Henry
 389. Thomas
 390. Richard
 391. John
 392. William
 393. Charles
 394. Edward
 395. James
 396. Robert
 397. Henry
 398. Thomas
 399. Richard
 400. John
 401. William
 402. Charles
 403. Edward
 404. James
 405. Robert
 406. Henry
 407. Thomas
 408. Richard
 409. John
 410. William
 411. Charles
 412. Edward
 413. James
 414. Robert
 415. Henry
 416. Thomas
 417. Richard
 418. John
 419. William
 420. Charles

PROPOSAL:

THE CLINTON/GORE

DISABILITY LEADERSHIP COUNCIL

FEBRUARY 21, 1996

1. INTRODUCTION & OVERVIEW

The 1994 Census estimates that there are 49 million Americans with disabilities. The community is even larger when including families, friends, and providers that are affected by disability policies. Of a potential pool of 35 million voters, only 14 million people with disabilities are registered. In 1992, 10% of all voters were voters with disabilities.

According to Lou Harris polls, during the last two Presidential elections, the disability vote was as follows:

1992 Clinton - 50%, Bush - 26%, Perot - 18%.
1988 Dukakis - 52%, Bush - 48%.

During the 1988 Presidential campaign, Bush made significant inroads into the traditionally strong Democratic constituency by frequently speaking in support of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), and promising to bring "43 million disabled Americans into our economic mainstream," while the Dukakis campaign failed to reach out to the community.

In 1992, Bush's support among the community crumbled for two reasons. First, under pressure from the business community, Bush backed away from his commitment to the ADA. But secondly, and more importantly, Clinton took several actions that were important to the disability community:

Strong support for enforcing ADA,
Equal access to health care,
An accessible campaign process,
Substantive position papers on disability issues.

Never before has a national campaign truly organized the disability vote. Never before has the opportunity been greater. As we approach 1996, much is at stake for the disability community. Whether it is the GOP attacks on Medicare, Medicaid, or the ADA, decades of progress are now in jeopardy. While Dole would, by virtue of his disability and record, be the most formidable Republican opponent, he is beatable because of his party's record, and because Dole has called for weakening the ADA, the 3rd rail of disability politics.

The disability community, while organizationally young, is significant in numbers and technologically advanced. If we can incorporate the disability community's issues and faces into the overall message of the campaign, run a disability friendly campaign, and establish the proper outreach structure to the community, we can make a significant impact in key states.

If Dole is the nominee, our goal is to win 60% of the disability vote for Clinton and the Democratic ticket. Without Dole, our goal is 75%.

2. OBJECTIVES

1. Turnout the largest disability vote possible for Clinton/Gore.
2. Turnout the disability vote for Democratic Candidates.
3. Make the disability community and their families a permanent part of the Democratic base.
4. Build a strong disability voice in the Democratic Party.

2/27/96

3. NATIONAL STEERING COMMITTEE

The National Committee will be primarily composed of the DNC Disability Advisory Committee, and be expanded to include representatives from key states. The National Committee will be responsible for the overall implementation of the disability outreach plan.

Honorary Chairs: Senator Tom Harkin, Cong. Major Owens,
Christopher Reeves, Stevie Wonder, Richard Dreyfus,
Return for P. → Justin Dart, Marlee Matlin, Ytzhak Perlman

Personal
↑ child w/ disability

National Chair: Fred Fay (Massachusetts) *Teacher*
Denise Figueroa (New York) - *I independent living center*

Regional Chairs:

Northeast	Elizabeth Healy (Pennsylvania)	<i>Parent</i>
South	Edward Smith (North Carolina)	
Mid-West	James Tuscher (Missouri)	
South-West	Gerald Baptiste (California)	
West	Diane Lipton (California)	<i>Parent</i>

MEMBERS:

Bobby Simpson,	Arkansas
Hugh Hallenberg,	California
Michela Alioto,	California
Curtis Richards,	California
Sue Hodges	California
Jim Parrish,	Florida
Robert Kilbury,	Illinois
Paul Schroeder,	Illinois
Judy Chamberlin,	Massachusetts
Marsha Moers	Michigan
Max Starkloff,	Missouri
Nancy Ward,	Nebraska
Larry Robinson,	New Hampsh.
Robert Friedner	New Jersey
Anne Thomas,	New Mexico
Joan Kinzer,	New York
Mary Biel	Ohio
Joseph Rodgers	Pennsylvania
Ben Soukup,	South Dakota
Becky Ogle,	Tennessee
Bob Kafka,	Texas
Mary Mcknew	Washington
Jennifer Simpson,	Wash, DC

APPOINTEES:

Marca Bristo
Tony Coelho
Susan Daniels
Deidre Davis
Thomas Hehir
Judith Heumann
John Lancaster
Paul Miller
Howard Moses
Elizabeth Savage
Kate Seelman
Frederick Shroeder
Fernando Torres-Gil
Robert Williams
Michael Winter

2/27/96

4. SCHEDULING PRIORITIES FOR THE WHITE HOUSE

DATE	PLACE	EVENT	PRINCIPLE
March	DC	? Roundtable w/ Parents and Children with Disabilities	POTUS
March	DC	The ARC (Ass. of Retarded Citizens)	MEG
April	Texas	National Council on Disability Disability Policy Summit	Reich/Patrick
May	DC	National Council on Independent Living - Annual Conference	VP - HRC - MEG
May	Detroit	President's Comm. on Employment of People with Disabilities	POTUS - VP
May	Texas	120th Annual Meeting of the American Association on Mental Retardation	MEG - Shalala
June	DC	Coffee w/ National Disability Press	POTUS
July	DC	Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Sixth Anniversary	POTUS & Cabinet
August	Georgia	Paralympic Games & Congress	POTUS - VP

will depend on how release comes out

new to chair

Reich/Patrick

2,000 contracts

only Coelho

any reported

Paralympic Games & Congress

5. ACCESSIBILITY, STAFFING, & VOTER REGISTRATION

I. **ACCESSIBILITY:** Having an accessible campaign process sends an important message to the disability community. The Clinton/Gore and DNC Coordinated Campaign must be disability friendly:

- A. Campaign events need to be accessible.
- B. Sign language interpreters need to be present at speeches.
- C. Campaign videos and advertising need to be captioned.
- D. Campaign offices in the states need to be accessible.

1 at campaign

II. **STAFFING:** In order to fully engage the community, which amounted to 10% of the vote in 1992, a disability activist needs to be hired at the Clinton/Gore Re-Elect on a full-time basis by 4/1/96. In the top fifteen states, the Coordinated Campaign needs to hire disability activists by 8/1 to mobilize the community. In addition, each CC should designate a staffer to be the point of contact for the disability community.

III. **VOTER REGISTRATION:** Leaders from the disability community have submitted a voter registration proposal, Americans with Disabilities Vote, to the non-partisan "Vote Now '96." Their goal is to register 210,000 people with disabilities.

① 35 million disabled to register but only 14 million registered

*# 200,000 - On voter registration
F:TA into 196 counties
campaign -*

6. DEMOCRATIC NATIONAL CONVENTION

The Convention presents a unique opportunity to: energize key Democratic disability activists from around the country, to visibly demonstrate that the community is playing a key role in the Re-Election, and to empower activists to mobilize the disability community in their community for Clinton/Gore and the Democratic ticket.

- A. **ACCESS:** All Convention related activities need to be accessible to the community. Past Democratic Conventions have failed in this area, generating negative press and sending the wrong message to the community. The DNC Disability Advisory Committee is planning on sending a small team of experts to evaluate convention facilities.
- B. **DELEGATES:** Special efforts should be undertaken to ensure that the disability community is properly represented among the Convention delegates.
- C. **DISABILITY CAUCUS:** Disability delegates, while in Chicago, need to meet with the leadership of the campaign. (POTUS, VP, Ickes, Sosnik, Lewis).
- D. **SPEAKING:** One or more disability leaders need to be given an opportunity to deliver a major address at the Convention.
- E. **PLATFORM:** Disability delegates need to represent the community on the Platform Committee. Issues will be the tools we use to bring the disability community into the Democratic tent.

7. NATIONAL COMMUNICATION AND MEDIA PLAN

Disability outreach and planning can only be successful if the community's issues and faces are included in the overall message of the campaign. Whether in major speeches, campaign events, or paid media, the disability community needs to be visible and recognized not as a special interest, but as an integral part of the American electorate. The community has unique credibility because it cuts across every class, race, community, region, and touches most families.

A. FREE MEDIA PLAN

I. National Disability "Specialty" Press:

1. Coffee with POTUS - June.
2. Interviews with Cabinet heads (Reilly, Shalala, Cisneros, Hunt)
3. Agency press releases.
(DOJ/DOE/HHS/DOL/DOT/DOC/EEOC/FCC)
Re issues and cabinet level speeches.
4. Media outreach by appointees with disabilities.
5. Media outreach by disability grassroots organizations.

II. Internet Web Site (Technologically Advanced Constituency)

1. Clinton/Gore Speeches
2. Position Papers
3. Record of accomplishments
4. How to get involved.

III. Target Organization Newsletters

1. Press releases, Presidential statements, Cabinet level speeches,
Position Papers.

IV. Key Media Outlets/Reporters:

1. CA/NY/IL
2. Joe Shapiro US News & World Report
3. Joe Hockenberry - NPR

8. KEY ISSUES

I. CRITICAL ISSUES TO THE CONSTITUENCY

A. Health Care

1. Medicare
2. Medicaid
3. Long-Term Care

B. Civil Rights

1. ADA ENFORCEMENT
2. IDEA
3. Housing

C. Welfare Reform

1. SSI
2. SSDI
3. EITC
4. AFDC

D. Personal Assistance Services

E. Telecommunications

F. Insurance Reform (Kennedy/Kassebaum Bill)

G. Employment of People with Disabilities

I. Home and Community Based Services

J. Education

II. ADMINISTRATION'S RECORD OF ACCOMPLISHMENTS

A. Policy Document

B. Appointees with disabilities (including boards and commissions)

III. ADMINISTRATION'S AGENDA FOR THE FUTURE

A. Policy Document plus paper on ADA enforcement

9. SURROGATE SPEAKING OPERATION

I. MESSAGE

- A. Develop packet of background papers, talking points.
- B. Review of Administration speeches for proper language.

II. CABINET:

- A. Shalala
- B. Riley
- C. FCC Chairman Hunt
- D. Cisneros
- E. Jesse Brown
- F. Robert Reich
- G. Pena

III. KEY ADMINISTRATION OFFICIALS

- A. Carol Rasco
- B. Tony Coelho
- C. Deval Patrick (DOJ)
- D. Chairman Casellas/Paul Igasaki (EEOC)
- E. A/S Judith Heumann (Education)
- F. A/S Fernando Torres-Gil (HHS)
- G. Commissioner Paul Miller (EEOC)
- H. Chairman Marca Bristo (NCD)
- I. Asst. Comm. Sue Daniels (Social Security)
- J. Appointees with disabilities
- K. Grassroots disability leaders

IV. KEY OPINION LEADERS

- A. Senator Tom Harkin
- B. Congressman Major Owens
- C. Congressman Steny Hoyer
- D. Justin Dart

Calendar of Disability Conventions

Date	Event	City	State	# People	Status
Feb 22-26	National Association of the Deaf State Association President's Conference	Washington	DC	65	
March 1-2	The Council for Exceptional Children - Advocacy and Governmental Affairs Committee Meeting	Washington	DC	7	
March 6-9	Learning Disabilities Association of America, Annual International Conference	Dallas	TX	3800-5000	Shalala
March 17-1	The ARC-Government Affairs Seminar	Washington	DC	350	MEG
April 20	The Council for Exceptional Children, Maryland Conference, Annual Conference	Annapolis	MD		
April 20-23	8th Annual Public Policy Forum - Alzheimer's Association	Washington	DC	400 +	
April 27-29	National Council on Disability - National Disability Policy Summit	Dallas	TX	300 +	MEG
April 28-May 1	NISH 1996 Training Conference	Miami	FL	900	
May 2-7	National Council on Independent Living Annual Meeting and Conference	Washington	DC		VP - HRC # 2
May 16	1996 Access To the World Benefit	Chicago	IL	400-800	Cabinet
May 22	49th Annual Conference of the President's Committee on Employment of People with Disabilities	Detroit	MI	3000	POTUS # 1

May 28 June 1	120th Annual Meeting of the American Association on Mental Retardation	Antonio	TX	2000	MEG
June 19-21	Spina Bifida Association of America's Annual National Conference	Pheonix	AZ	1500	
June 21-24	Self Help for Hard of Hearing People's Annual Convention	Orlando	FL	1000-2000	Reno
June 29- July 5	Annual Meeting of the National Federation of the Blin	Anaheim	CA	3000	Reilly
June 29 - July 6	American Council of the Blind's Annual National Convention	Tulsa	OK	2000	
July	The Council For Exceptional Children - Annual Children and Youth Action Network Meeting	Washington	DC	75	
July 1-7	43rd Biennial National Association of the Deaf National Convention	Portland	OR		Reno or Chmn. Hunt (FCC)
July 14-17	5th Annual Alzheimer's Disease Education Conference - Alzheimer's Association	Chicago	IL	1600	
July 26	National Council on Disability, Americans with Disabilities Act Sixth Anniversary	Washington	DC		POTUS # 2
Aug 15	Paralympic Congress	Atlanta	GA	2000	POTUS or VP # 1
Sept 28-30	National Parent Network on Disabilities' Annual Meet	Washington	DC		
Oct. 24-28	Alzheimer's Association Annual Meeting			400	
Nov 14-16	The ARC's National Convention	Louisville	KY	2000	HRC - MEG

10. TIMETABLE

February

Present plan for approval
Confirm Honorary Chairs
Confirm on National Chairs
Confirm Regional Chairs

March

Confirm State Chairs in Target States.
Confirm scheduling priorities through August
Accessibility review of convention site
(Begin voter registration drive.)

April

→ Hire full-time disability activist at Clinton/Gore.
Event to announce formation C/G Disability Leadership Council.

May

Briefing for National and State Committee Leaders at WH & DNC
Events in States to announce C/G State Steering Committees

June

Events in States to announce C/G State Steering Committees

July

Events in States to announce C/G State Steering Committees

August

DNC Convention
Coordinated Campaign hire of disability activists in top 15 states.

11. STATE SPECIFIC PLANS

STATE STEERING COMMITTEES

Each state will establish a coordinating committee made up of leaders and supporters who are willing to make a commitment to organizing the community in their states. The state committees will work in conjunction with the Coordinated Campaign in field organizing, organizing campaign events, voter registration, fundraising, and media relations.

TARGETS

First Tier:

California, Illinois, Michigan, New Jersey,
New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania

Second Tier: Arkansas, Colorado, Connecticut, Kentucky, Iowa, Louisiana,
Maryland, Massachusetts, Minnesota, Missouri, New Mexico,
Oregon, Tennessee, Washington, Wisconsin

Third Tier: Florida, North Carolina

ADMINISTRATION LIAISON

Each target state will be assigned an Administration appointee, who will be tasked with serving as the primary liaison to the State Steering Committee.

KEY STATE MEDIA

KEY STATE ISSUES

VOTER CONTACT

Disability E-Mail System
Persuasion Mailings/Newsletter
Voter Registration
Voter ID
Absentee Ballot Program
GOTV

**1996 Clinton-Gore Disability Leadership Council
Targeted State Synopsis**

ARKANSAS

1. State Steering Committee (in formation)

Bobby Simpson

2. Administration Liaison

Sue Daniels

3. Key Issues

4. Media

5. Disability Population

**1996 Clinton-Gore Disability Leadership Council
Targeted State Synopsis**

CALIFORNIA

1. State Steering Committee (in formation)

**Michela Alioto
Hugh Hallenberg
Curtis Richards**

2. Administration Liaison

**Judy Heumann
Michela Alioto**

3. Key Issues

- **Affirmative Action**
- **No statewide elections**

4. Media

5. Disability Population

**1996 Clinton-Gore Disability Leadership Council
Targeted State Synopsis**

COLORADO

1. State Steering Committee (in formation)

**Homer Paige
Jim Hudson
Julie Reiskin**

2. Administration Liaison

3. Key Issues

4. Media

5. Disability Population

**1996 Clinton-Gore Disability Leadership Council
Targeted State Synopsis**

CONNECTICUT

1. State Steering Committee (in formation)

Rachel Wheeler-Rosso
Yolanda Negron
Phyllis Slotnik

2. Administration Liaison

Bob Williams

2. Key Issues

•Low interest election- no statewides

3. Media

4. Disability Population

**1996 Clinton-Gore Disability Leadership Council
Targeted State Synopsis**

FLORIDA

1. State Steering Committee (in formation)

Jim Parrish

2. Administration Liaison

Sue Daniels

3. Key Issues

- No statewide-elections

4. Media

5. Disability Population

**1996 Clinton-Gore Disability Leadership Council
Targeted State Synopsis**

ILLINOIS

1. State Steering Committee (in formation)

**Robert Kilbury
Paul Schroeder
Larry Gorski**

2. Administration Liaison

**Liz Savage
Marca Bristo**

3. Key Issues

- Senate race for Simon seat**
- Convention**

4. Media

5. Disability Population

**1996 Clinton-Gore Disability Leadership Council
Targeted State Synopsis**

IOWA

1. State Steering Committee (in formation)

Julie Beckett

2. Administration Liaison

Howard Moses

3. Key Issues

4. Media

5. Disability Population

**1996 Clinton-Gore Disability Leadership Council
Targeted State Synopsis**

KENTUCKY

- 1. State Steering Committee (in formation)**
- 2. Administration Liaison**
- 3. Key Issues**
- 4. Media**
- 5. Disability Population**

**1996 Clinton-Gore Disability Leadership Council
Targeted State Synopsis**

LOUISIANA

1. State Steering Committee (in formation)

2. Administration Liaison

Sue Daniels

3. Key Issues

4. Media

5. Disability Population

**1996 Clinton-Gore Disability Leadership Council
Targeted State Synopsis**

MARYLAND

1. State Steering Committee (in formation)

2. Administration Liaison

Bob Williams

3. Key Issues

•Low interest election- no statewides or initiatives

4. Media

5. Disability Population

**1996 Clinton-Gore Disability Leadership Council
Targeted State Synopsis**

MASSACHUSETTS

1. State Steering Committee (in formation)

Judy Chamberlin
Fred Fay
John Winske
Liz Hardy-Jackson

2. Administration Liaison

Thea Spires

3. Key Issues

- Kerry-Weld race
- Torkildsen and Studds races

4. Media

5. Disability Population

**1996 Clinton-Gore Disability Leadership Council
Targeted State Synopsis**

MICHIGAN

1. State Steering Committee (in formation)

Marsha Moers

2. Administration Liaison

Liz Savage

3. Key Issues

•Levin Senate race

4. Media

5. Disability Population

**1996 Clinton-Gore Disability Leadership Council
Targeted State Synopsis**

MINNESOTA

1. State Steering Committee (in formation)
2. Administration Liaison
3. Key Issues
4. Media
5. Disability Population

**1996 Clinton-Gore Disability Leadership Council
Targeted State Synopsis**

NEW JERSEY

1. State Steering Committee (in formation)

2. Administration Liaison

Deidre Davis

3. Key Issues

4. Media

5. Disability Population

**1996 Clinton-Gore Disability Leadership Council
Targeted State Synopsis**

NEW MEXICO

1. State Steering Committee (in formation)

Anne Thomas

2. Administration Liaison

Paul Miller

3. Key Issues

4. Media

5. Disability Population

**1996 Clinton-Gore Disability Leadership Council
Targeted State Synopsis**

NEW YORK

1. State Steering Committee (in formation)

Joan Kinzer

2. Administration Liaison

Deidre Davis

3. Key Issues

4. Media

5. Disability Population

**1996 Clinton-Gore Disability Leadership Council
Targeted State Synopsis**

NORTH CAROLINA

1. State Steering Committee (in formation)

Eddie Smith

2. Administration Liaison

Liz Savage

3. Key Issues

4. Media

5. Disability Population

**1996 Clinton-Gore Disability Leadership Council
Targeted State Synopsis**

OHIO

1. State Steering Committee (in formation)

2. Administration Liaison

3. Key Issues

•Low interest election- no statewides

4. Media

5. Disability Population

**1996 Clinton-Gore Disability Leadership Council
Targeted State Synopsis**

OREGON

1. State Steering Committee (in formation)

2. Administration Liaison

Paul Miller

3. Key Issues

4. Media

5. Disability Population

**1996 Clinton-Gore Disability Leadership Council
Targeted State Synopsis**

PENNSYLVANIA

1. State Steering Committee (in formation)

Liz Healy
Lucy Sprull

2. Administration Liaison

Deidre Davis

3. Key Issues

•No statewide election

4. Media

5. Disability Population

**1996 Clinton-Gore Disability Leadership Council
Targeted State Synopsis**

TENNESSEE

1. State Steering Committee (in formation)

Becky Ogle

2. Administration Liaison

Bob Williams

3. Key Issues

4. Media

5. Disability Population

**1996 Clinton-Gore Disability Leadership Council
Targeted State Synopsis**

WASHINGTON

1. State Steering Committee (in formation)

Mary Mcknew

2. Administration Liaison

Paul Miller

3. Key Issues

4. Media

5. Disability Population