

96 OCT 17 P5:57

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

October 11, 1996

faxed to Debbie Bird

62993

*10/17
OK for signature
per Todd Stein
(see Agner)*

Warm greetings to everyone gathered for the annual convention of the Alaska Federation of Natives. I am delighted to extend congratulations as you observe AFN's thirtieth anniversary.

As we celebrate the invaluable contributions of Alaska Natives to America, we realize that our cultural diversity both enriches and broadens our American perspective. The Native American experience is an inseparable part of our country's history, profoundly influencing our heritage.

My Administration remains deeply committed to improving the federal government's relations with Alaska Natives and other Native Americans. We are continuing to work with all tribal leaders to ensure that our government keeps faith with Native Americans while also upholding the principle of tribal sovereignty, and I am confident that we can continue to build upon the cooperation, understanding, and respect we have developed during these past four years.

In 1994, at a historic meeting with the heads of tribal governments, I reaffirmed the U.S. commitment to operate within the government-to-government relationship with federally recognized Native American tribal governments. I issued a directive to all executive departments and agencies of the federal government that, when undertaking activities affecting Native American tribal rights or trust resources, such activities should be implemented in a knowledgeable, sensitive manner, respectful of tribal sovereignty. Since that time, we have made significant progress in pursuing tribal economic development, religious and spiritual freedom, and quality education for Native Americans.

As you celebrate AFN's success during three decades of challenge and change, I send best wishes to all for a memorable convention.



MESSAGE CONFIRMATION

OCT-17-96 16:49

FAX NUMBER : 2024562215

NAME :

FAX NUMBER : 62993

PAGE : 01

ELAPSED TIME : 00'38"

MODE : G3 STD

RESULTS : O.K

10-17-96

Todd -
This came in interoffice -
Send to Donskand for
reply?

Yes
No

Or Counsel's Office?

Yes
No



JIMMY CARTER

October 10, 1996

To President Bill Clinton

I am writing in support of your granting a pardon for Patricia Hearst Shaw. In 1979, after a thorough review of the facts by my Attorney General, followed by my own review, I commuted her seven-year sentence for her conviction for a bank robbery to the 22 months she had then been imprisoned. We publicly then said that "but for the extraordinary criminal and degrading circumstances" that this 19-year old girl suffered as a victim of her kidnapers, she "would not have been a participant in the criminal acts" for which she was convicted.

Time has affirmed that judgment. Patty is now 42. She married Bernard Shaw shortly after her release from prison and, from everything I know, she and Mr. Shaw have lived a contributing life in Connecticut as parents of two now teenage children. I met her once a few years ago at a charity event in New York. She recently has met once with my personal attorney, Terry Adamson, who has kept me fully briefed in connection with her pardon application.

We have an even better understanding today of the power a brutal kidnapper can force over a victim. As a society, we have learned from returning Vietnam prisoners-of-war how human will can be decimated. Patty Hearst committed her crime 14 days after she was released from a closet where she had been brutalized by her kidnapers for 70 days.

Based on the undisputed circumstances of her crime 22 years ago and on the 17 years since her release from imprisonment, a pardon will restore for Patty the complete citizenship that extreme conditions caused her to lose two decades ago and, importantly for her, will represent society's ultimate forgiveness and understanding.

Sincerely,

The Honorable Bill Clinton
The President
The White House
Washington, D.C. 20500-2000

cc: Pardon Attorney Margaret Love
Attorney General Janet Reno
Deputy Attorney General Jamie Gorelick

96 OCT 17 ALL : 19

0861194176

100 200 15137051 6157M

10/11/96

137-179000

X

Jimmy Carter

404 331-3900

CENTER OF THE

3 SIDNEY HILL

ATLANTA

AA 100 100 100

X

WHITE HOUSE MAIL
 RECEPTION & SECURITY
 OCT 15 1996
 Processed by: 4

President Bill Clinton

The White House

X

Washington

DC

20500-2000



232

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20500

DATE: 10-17

TO: ~~Stinky~~ (Berger)

FROM: Staff Secretary

Just want to
double check that
this should go
forward as is.

Bill

190584
6500

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

October 16, 1996

96 OCT 16 AM 11:51

ACTION

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM: ANTHONY LARA
JOHN HILLEY

SUBJECT: Letter to Senator Brown

Purpose

To reply to Senator Brown's letter urging that we proceed with the sale of F-16's to Indonesia.

Background

Senator Hank Brown wrote you noting that the decision to delay notification to Congress of the F-16 sale, in the wake of the July crackdown on political dissidents in Jakarta, publicly embarrassed Soeharto. Brown argues that the sale should go forward as Indonesia has been helpful to us on a number of regional issues.

The proposed reply confirms we intend to proceed with the F-16 sale in January.

RECOMMENDATION

That you sign the letter to Senator Brown at Tab A.

Attachments

- Tab A Letter to Brown
- Tab B Incoming Correspondence

cc: Vice President
Chief of Staff

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

Dear Senator Brown:

I am writing in response to your letter regarding U.S. relations with Indonesia. As you note, we have important strategic interests in maintaining close relations with Indonesia, and our bilateral economic ties are growing as well. Additionally, Indonesia has been a positive force for promoting regional and global goals that are in the U.S. interest, particularly in the areas of arms control and nonproliferation.

This Administration has repeatedly made it clear, however, that as strong as our relationship is, it cannot reach its full potential until Indonesia improves its human rights performance. We will continue to support and encourage the expansion of the rule of law in Indonesia.

Regarding the specific question of the sale of F-16's, I agree this sale is in the U.S. interest and should proceed. We will consult closely with the Congress over the coming weeks to work out the details of the transfer.

Sincerely,

The Honorable Hank Brown
United States Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510

RICHARD G. LUGAR, INDIANA
NANCY L. KASSBAUM, KANSAS
HANK BROWN, COLORADO
PAUL COVERDELL, GEORGIA
OLYMPIA J. SNOWE, MAINE
FRED THOMPSON, TENNESSEE
CRUIG THOMPSON, WYOMING
ROD GRAMS, MINNESOTA
JOHN ASHCROFT, MISSOURI

CLAIBORNE PEEL, RHODE ISLAND
JOSEPH R. BIDEN, JR., DELAWARE
PAUL SARBANES, MARYLAND
CHRISTOPHER J. DODD, CONNECTICUT
JOHN F. KERRY, MASSACHUSETTS
CHARLES S. ROBE, VIRGINIA
RUSSELL D. FEINGOLD, WISCONSIN
DIANNE FEINSTEIN, CALIFORNIA

United States Senate

COMMITTEE ON FOREIGN RELATIONS

WASHINGTON, DC 20510-6225

September 24, 1996

JAMES W. NANCE, STAFF DIRECTOR
EDWIN K. HALL, MINORITY STAFF DIRECTOR & CHIEF COUNSEL

The Honorable Bill Clinton
President of the United States
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20500

Dear Mr. President:

Your Administration has made great efforts to resolve the very troublesome roadblocks that have hamstrung our Nation's relations with Pakistan. Central to that effort has been the progress in resolving our refusal to deliver military equipment already paid for.

The Administration recently made a press announcement that it would not request congressional review of a proposed sale of F-16s to Indonesia because of human rights concerns. As you know, Indonesia had responded to our efforts to sell the planes. The timing and form of the announcement tends to embarrass a government that attempted to help us out.

As you know, restrictions in U.S. law prevent the delivery to Pakistan of the F-16s it purchased. Despite its internal problems, Indonesia has been extremely helpful in our efforts to resolve this matter by agreeing to buy some of these older model F-16s. Nonetheless, if a decision had been made to delay or even defer the congressional notifications necessary for the sale because of human rights concerns, it could have been done discreetly. A public announcement that humiliates the Indonesians did not appear necessary.

I draw this matter to your attention because I believe the procedures followed in this case do not conform with the way you would wish to work with a friendly nation.

Sincerely,



Hank Brown
Chairman
Near East and South Asia
Subcommittee

HB/cp



96 SEP 24 P 3 : 09



HANK BROWN

U.S. Senator for Colorado

716 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510
(202)224-5941 Fax:(202)224-6471

FAX TO: NANCY HERWREICH

OFFICE: WHITE HOUSE

FAX NUMBER: 202 456-6703

9124196

DATE

From the Oval -

SUE

NSC
Send to Reg. Staff Affairs for
handling?

RE.

Yes

No C

FRO.

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

October 17, 1996

TO: Elena Kagan
Jen Klein

FROM: Todd Stern

The attached is forwarded for
your information.

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

October 18, 1996

Dr. Joe D. Bennett
Box 969
Harrison, Arkansas 72601

Dear Joe:

Thanks for your letter about so-called partial-birth abortions. This is a difficult and disturbing issue, one to which I have devoted a good deal of time and study and prayer. I know you are concerned about it. Let me try to explain for a moment where I stand and why.

I do not, as a general matter, support the use of this procedure, but I do believe that a very limited exception is necessary to protect the serious health interests of women. In particular, as I said to Congress on several occasions dating back to February, I would have signed legislation banning the procedure if it had included an exception permitting the procedure to be used in those rare cases where a woman's doctor believes that its use is necessary to save her from death or serious injury to her health. Had Congress responded to my repeated requests to add such a narrow, tightly drawn exception, I would have signed the bill.

As you may know, in April I was joined at the White House by five women who were devastated to learn that their babies had fatal conditions. These women wanted anything other than an abortion, but were advised by their doctors that this procedure was their best chance to avert the risk of death or grave harm, including in some cases, an inability to bear children. Their babies were certain to perish before, during or shortly after birth. The only question was how much damage the women were going to suffer.

I understand that your consultations with doctors have led you to question whether this procedure is ever medically most appropriate. In my view, the best answer to this question comes from the medical community itself, which broadly supports the continued availability of the procedure in cases where a woman's serious health interests are at stake. In those rare cases, I believe a woman's doctors should at least have the option to determine, in the best exercise of their medical judgment, whether the procedure is indeed necessary.

Of course, I do not contend that this procedure is always used in circumstances that meet my standard. But to the extent that it is used in situations where a woman's serious health interests are not at risk, I oppose such uses and would sign legislation banning them.

Joe, I hope this helps clarify my position on this troubling issue. As always, I am grateful for your steadfast support. Please give Mary Jean my very best wishes. Hillary and I are glad to hear that she is doing so well.

Sincerely,

9-14-76
Dear Bill,

Although I'm strongly pro-choice on the abortion issue, I've been concerned about the so-called partial birth abortion procedure. As a result I've been asking a lot of questions, especially during the last two or three months. I recently was introduced to and had a chance to visit with Albert De Zutter, editor of the Catholic Key, a Catholic publication for the Kansas City, MO Diocese. He is a strong Clinton supporter and admirer but he is very much opposed to partial birth abortion and told me that his Catholic friends and indeed, all religious Catholics are opposed to this procedure.

Consequently I promised him to look into this. I actually, at that time, defended the procedure, believing that obstetricians wouldn't do this operation unless it was

talked with Ob-Gyn colleagues here in
Harrison and talked at length with the
Chairman of the Dept of Ob-Gyn at the
U.A.M.S. in Little Rock and all were
opposed to this procedure. Partial birth abortion
aren't done at the U.A.M.S. as policy. The
rationale is that if the mother's life is
endangered in the third trimester by eclampsia
(toxemia of pregnancy) or heart failure C-section
should be done instead of killing the fetus
and delivering vaginally.

I know that you must have been given
substantial advice to the contrary but from my
own experience and especially as a result of the
opinion of Gynecologists here in Arkansas I
wonder if partial birth abortion ^{is} the right
thing to do medically?

Mary Jean joins me in wishing you
the very best. The polls look great at present and
we pray that they stay that way until election
day. She and I are sincerely grateful for

Your friendship and support
asking her to be one of Arkansas
presidential electors.

As you know she had open heart
surgery in June with a double bypass
operation and is recovering better than I
expected.

We're working hard to elect Winston
Bryant to the Senate as well as for our new
candidate Ann Henry, for the 3rd congressional
district. She's coming to Harrison today for
a rally. I want you to know that I'm putting
up Clinton - Gore signs (yard signs) in my
spare time and enjoying doing it. Best of
luck on the debates and for your reelection.
We have reservations for and are looking forward
to your second inauguration.

Sincerely,

Joe

2728 Pablo Avenue
Tallahassee, Florida 32308-4211
(904) 922-4324 • 1-800-733-8988
FAX (904) 921-1778
HOTLINE: 1-800-RUNAWAY



FLORIDA
NETWORK OF
YOUTH & FAMILY
SERVICES

96 OCT 17 4 09 PM '96
Richard Nedelkoff, M.S., J.D.
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

October 4, 1996

President Bill Clinton
The White House
Washington D.C.

Dear Mr. President:

On September 26, 1996, your top domestic policy advisor, Carol Rasco, was in Orlando, Florida and served as the featured speaker for the Florida Network of Youth and Family Services' convention addressing prevention programs for youth and family issues for the state of Florida. Ms. Rasco also met with small groups throughout the day concerning their collective and individual concerns. Her speech received a standing ovation and all feedback has been extremely positive. The small group sessions were equally well received.

On behalf of the Florida Network of Youth and Family Services, we express our appreciation for the work of Ms. Rasco. We would like to address Ms. Rasco.

Sincerely,

David McDonald
Conference Chair
Florida Keys Children's Shelter, Inc.
2221 Patterson Avenue
Key West, FL 33040

To:
Staff Secretary
for
POTUS

to you,
ear and

~~Don't sign~~
for
BC sig.

This letter came
through inter office
mail w/o a cover
memo.

Karen R. Crapo
President

Carl Weinrich
First Vice President

Richard Tribunella
Second Vice President

Jane Harper
Immediate Past President

Maurice

GINZBERG VIDEO PRODUCTIONS

1136 Evelyn Avenue, Albany, CA 94706

(510) 528-9116 (phone) • (510) 528-2810 (fax)

THE PRESIDENT HAS BEEN SEEN

*copy
cc: Ginzberg
Ginzberg*

October 10, 1996

President Bill Clinton
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20500-2000

Dear Bill,

I thought you did a really nice job during the debate--part of which I watched with Sasha, my four and a half year old fashion consultant--and it is her feedback that I want to convey. After watching for a few minutes she turned to me and said "I think his (meaning Bob Dole's) tie is prettier because it has red in it." Then she asked me how old each of you are and after I told her she said authoritatively, "Well, Bill looks much better for his age." And I thought you sounded as good as you looked.

I am really glad that my dire predictions about California have not turned out to be true. It's too bad that strong support for you doesn't translate into opposition to the anti-affirmative action initiative, which unfortunately still has a comfortable lead.

Good luck during the final weeks of the campaign. We're rooting for you and Sasha wears her Kids for Clinton t-shirt regularly.

Warmly,

Alby

10-16-96
Send to Maurice Lewis
for reply?

Yes

No
~~_____~~

*copies to John Emerson
Tom Umberg*

C

THE PRESIDENT HAS SEEN

10-16-96

Do nice
copy - photo
must be family
for the White House
of the children



10-16-96

Tedd -

After you have seen
we will send original
to Dorskind

and

JIM DORSKIND:
Please coordinate
the reply.

October 17, 1996

MEMORANDUM FOR TODD STERN

FROM: G. TIMOTHY SAUNDERS
DAVID KALBAUGH

SUBJECT: White House Staff Commission for Marsha Berry

96 OCT 17 PM 11

On October 3, 1996, you approved the preparation of a commission for Marsha Berry as Deputy Assistant to the President and Director of Communications (The title given to us by White House Management and one which we later confirmed.)

We note that Ms. Berry works for the First Lady. Other commissioned officers on the First Lady's staff have titles that reflect that they work for the First Lady:

Maggie Williams, Assistant to the President and Chief of Staff to the First Lady.

Melanne Verveer, Deputy Assistant to the President and Deputy Chief of Staff to the First Lady.

Lisa Caputo, Deputy Assistant to the President and Press Secretary to the First Lady (resigned).

It would seem that Ms. Berry's commission should read Deputy Assistant to the President and Director of Communications to the First Lady.

Please advise.

~~A~~ Agree

10-17-96