

FIRST LADY HILLARY RODHAM CLINTON
MAMMOGRAPHY: THE PICTURE THAT SAVES LIVES

Breast cancer is an epidemic in the United States today. Thirty years ago, 1 in 20 women developed breast cancer during her lifetime; the rate has skyrocketed to 1 in 8 women today. This year alone, 46,000 women will die from breast cancer and 182,000 women will be newly diagnosed with the disease. The Clinton Administration is committed to ending this epidemic and dedicated to the eradication of breast cancer as a threat to all of our lives. In this year alone we have boosted the breast cancer research and program funding from \$90 million in 1990 to \$600 million.

But dollars alone will not be enough. Women must become better educated partners in the battle against breast cancer. Federal agencies are currently working in partnership with health care professionals, consumers, and the private sector in the fight against breast cancer. As I travelled around the country over the past months listening to women talk about cancer, I discovered that many myths and fears still stand between women and the early detection of this disease.

One of those myths wrongly says younger women are at greater risk of breast cancer. In reality, breast cancer risks increase as women age; the older you are, the greater your risk of the disease. Sixty percent of all breast cancer deaths occur in women over the age of 65. But, the earlier breast cancer is detected, the better the outcome. And that's where mammograms, which can detect early breast cancer before it can be felt as a lump, become important, particularly for older women.

Four years ago, as part of a new national focus on women's health, Congress added a new provision to Medicare to pay for 80 percent of the cost of a screening mammogram every two years. However, only about one-third of this nation's older women are using this life-saving benefit. So last spring, the Clinton Administration launched its year-long National Medicare Mammography Initiative to increase awareness about Medicare coverage for screening mammograms. We initiated the "Mama-gram" campaign in May in honor of Mother's Day.

And because copayment costs should not be a barrier to preventive care for low-income women, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's National Breast and Cervical Cancer Early Detection Program, now fully operational in 2/3 of the states and expanding, underwrites Medicare's deductible and copayments for women of limited resources. Check with your state health department to find out if your state has this important program and how eligible women can take advantage of it.

I also discovered that getting older women into mammography

facilities and making them comfortable with the procedure takes more than just lowering the economic hurdles. I spoke with hundreds of women across the country to help frame our Medicare mammography initiative and to better understand the barriers to getting a mammogram. Many women reported they didn't know they needed a regular mammogram and that their doctors had never recommended one. Other reasons also surfaced: the pain experienced during the procedure, insufficient understanding of the risks of breast cancer, fear of finding cancer, and embarrassment.

Based on these responses, it is clear that health care providers have a key role to play by reminding their older women patients about mammography on a regular basis. Research shows that 95% of older women say they will get a mammogram if their health care provider recommends it. It is especially important for internists and other specialists to ask their older women patients if they have had a mammogram in the last two years. And we need to get the word out that the cost factors are no longer a deterrent to screening mammograms under Medicare.

Radiologic technologists also play a critical role in making sure mammogram patients become repeat "customers" by assuring high quality images, making the patient comfortable with the procedure, and making the mammography visit as pleasant and pain-free as possible. This includes sensitive discussion with the woman about the procedure, and attention to psychological needs which arise during examination.

From a physical perspective, positioning of the patient is critical; breast compression should be applied gradually without causing pain. Installing a chair that can be lowered to wheelchair level and raised to the height of the plates is important for disabled women who are wheelchair-bound or who have severe curvature of the spine, resulting from conditions such as scoliosis or osteoporosis. Workshops for radiologic technologists on topics such as standard breast positioning, special views for diagnostic mammography, and technical quality assurance help to improve both the quality of the procedure and the comfort of the woman having it.

Today, women no longer have to worry about poor quality mammograms. As of July 1, 1995, all mammography facilities in the United States must be certified by the Food and Drug Administration and receive a "Seal of Approval" to be displayed prominently. To locate certified facilities in your geographic area, call 1-800-4-CANCER, the National Cancer Institute's (NCI) information service which provides up-to-date information about over 10,000 certified mammography facilities in the country. This service also provides free information for both consumers and health care providers on the prevention and treatment of breast cancer.

Quality mammography is also the product of how the health

care team delivers mammography. An easy to read step-by-step trio of guides are now available free from the U.S. Department of Health and Human Service's Agency for Health Care Policy and Research. Just call 1-800-358-9295 and ask for *Quality determinants of Mammography: Clinical Practice Guidelines; High-Quality Mammography Information for Referring Providers and the Quick Reference Guide for Clinicians*; or the consumer version of the Guidelines, *Things to Know about Quality Mammograms*.

Finally, we have encouraged the development and evaluation of new imaging methods to more accurately detect breast cancer. Mammography, a 40-year old technology, misses about 10 to 15% of cancers, a number that can rise to as high as 65% for younger women with dense breast tissue. New enhancements, digital mammography and computer-aided diagnosis that enhance the mammographic image are technologies that show promise in current testing. Other techniques for imaging the breast are also being compared to conventional mammography, such as magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), scintimammography, and positron emission tomography (PET) scans. In a unique collaboration, the Public Health Service's Office on Women's Health and the NCI are working with the Central Intelligence Agency and the Department of Defense to apply imaging technologies used for missile and target recognition to improve the early detection of breast cancers.

The Administration also supports a wide spectrum of programs to enhance policy, research, and service delivery for breast cancer. Two years ago this month, the President pledged a national commitment to bringing and end to this killer of women. He established the National Action Plan on Breast Cancer -- being implemented by the Public Health Service's Office on Women's Health -- a major public-private partnership involving all agencies of the federal government, breast cancer advocacy groups, health care professional organizations, and private industry to develop innovative strategies in breast cancer research, services, and education.

Thus, we are working nationwide, with both the public and private sectors, to improve the early detection and treatment of breast cancer today. We must continue to work toward a future in which the prevention of breast cancer is a reality measured by the millions of American women's lives saved.

#

Fax Transmittal

Office of Cancer Communications
Public Inquiries

Building 31, Room 10A16
Bethesda, Maryland 20892

301-496-5583
Fax 301-402-2594



Date 8/2/95
To Greg Werkheiser
Fax Number 202-456-5709
From Mary Anne Brigel
Cover Sheet plus 7 Pages Transmitted

Message

As promised.

Physical Exercise and Reduced Risk of Breast Cancer in Young Women

Leslie Bernstein, Brian E. Henderson, Rosemarie Hanisch, Jane Sullivan-Halley, Ronald K. Ross*

Background: Epidemiologic evidence strongly suggests that cumulative exposure to ovarian hormones is a determinant of breast cancer risk. Because physical activity can modify menstrual cycle patterns and alter the production of ovarian hormones, it may reduce breast cancer risk; yet few epidemiologic studies have assessed this relationship. **Purpose:** The major objective of this study was to determine whether young women (aged 40 and younger) who regularly participated in physical exercise activities during their reproductive years had a reduced risk of breast cancer. **Methods:** Using a case-control design, we conducted personal interviews of a total of 545 women (aged 40 and younger at diagnosis) who had been newly diagnosed with in situ or invasive breast cancer between July 1, 1983, and January 1, 1989, and a total of 545 control subjects. Case patients and control subjects were individually matched on date of birth (within 36 months), race (white), parity (nulliparous versus parous), and neighborhood of residence. Lifetime histories of participation in physical exercise activities on a regular basis were obtained during the personal interview. **Results:** After adjustment for potential confounding factors, we found that the average number of hours spent in physical exercise activities per week from menarche to 1 year prior to the case patient's diagnosis was a significant predictor of reduced breast cancer risk (two-sided P for trend $< .0001$). The odds ratio (OR) of breast cancer among women who, on average, spent 3.8 or more hours per week participating in physical exercise activities was 0.42 (95%

confidence limits [CLs] = 0.27, 0.64) relative to inactive women. The effect was stronger among women who had had a full-term pregnancy. Comparing most active (≥ 3.8 hours/wk of exercise) women to inactive women, the ORs were 0.28 (95% CL = 0.16, 0.50) for parous and 0.73 (95% CL = 0.38, 1.41) for nulliparous women. **Conclusions:** Most previously identified risk factors for breast cancer are reproductive and menstrual events that cannot be readily altered. The protective effect of exercise on breast cancer risk in the women whom we studied suggests that physical activity offers one modifiable lifestyle characteristic that may substantially reduce a woman's lifetime risk of breast cancer. **Implications:** Whether the protective effects of exercise on breast cancer risk are due to alterations in ovarian function and whether they extend into women's menopausal years need to be established. Our results suggest that implementation of regular physical exercise programs as a critical component of a healthy lifestyle should be a high priority for adolescent and adult women. [J Natl Cancer Inst 86:1403-1408, 1994]

Epidemiologic evidence strongly suggests that the cumulative number of ovulatory menstrual cycles and, hence, the cumulative exposure to ovarian hormones are determinants of breast cancer risk (1,2). The effects of early age at menarche and late age at menopause on breast cancer risk support this hypothesis (3). Early menarche is associated with more rapid onset of regular ovulatory menstrual cycles than late menarche (4-6). Time since menarche (generally referred to as gynecologic age) is also a predictor of frequency of ovulatory menstrual cycles during adolescence (4-6). Regardless of the age menarche begins, the rapidity with which regular cycling is established is related to breast cancer risk (7).

One implication of the accumulating evidence on the importance of ovulatory menstrual cycles is that any factor that modifies menstrual cycle patterns and reduces the frequency of ovulation may reduce a woman's lifetime risk of developing breast cancer. We have proposed that physical activity is such a factor

(6,8). Strenuous exercise has profound effects on menstrual activity during adolescence (9-13); however, even participation in moderate levels of physical activity during adolescence can significantly reduce the frequency of ovulatory cycles (6). Several epidemiologic studies (14-17) have provided indirect evidence that physical activity may reduce the risk of breast cancer.

We recently completed a large case-control study of breast cancer among young women (aged 40 years and younger). A major objective of this study was to determine whether women who regularly participated in physical exercise activities during their reproductive years had a reduced risk of breast cancer.

Patients and Methods

All white female residents of Los Angeles County diagnosed with in situ or invasive breast cancer between July 1, 1983, and January 1, 1989, who were 40 years of age or younger at diagnosis, and who were born in the United States, Canada, or Europe, were eligible for participation in this study. Patients were identified by the University of Southern California Cancer Surveillance Program, the population-based cancer registry for Los Angeles County. Patients with a prior history of breast cancer were considered ineligible.

The Cancer Surveillance Program identified 969 eligible breast cancer patients, 949 (97.9%) of whom were alive when their physicians responded to our request for permission to contact their patients. Interviews were completed with 744 breast cancer patients (78.4% of living eligible case patients). Physicians refused permission to contact 54 women (5.6% of patients identified), and we were advised that an additional seven patients (<1%) could not be interviewed for reasons related to mental or physical health. Eligible patients were first contacted by letter and then telephoned to schedule an interview. A total of 111 patients (11.5%) refused to be interviewed. Twelve patients (1.2%) had moved outside Los Angeles County and could not be interviewed in person; 21 patients (2.2%) were lost to follow-up.

One neighborhood control subject was individually matched to each of the 744 interviewed

*Affiliations of authors: L. Bernstein, R. Hanisch, J. Sullivan-Halley, R. K. Ross, Department of Preventive Medicine, University of Southern California School of Medicine, Los Angeles.

B. E. Henderson, The Salk Institute, La Jolla, Calif.

Correspondence to: Leslie Bernstein, Ph.D., University of Southern California School of Medicine, 1420 San Pablo St., PMB A 202, Los Angeles, CA 90033.

See "Notes" section following "References."



American Journal of Epidemiology
Copyright © 1994 by The Johns Hopkins University School of Hygiene and Public Health
All rights reserved

Vol. 139, No. 7
Printed in U.S.A.

Physical Activity and Risk of Breast Cancer in the Framingham Heart Study

Joanne F. Dorgan,¹ Charles Brown,¹ Michael Barrett,² Greta L. Splansky,³
Bernard E. Kreger,⁴ Ralph B. D'Agostino,⁴ Demetrius Albanes,¹ and Arthur Schatzkin¹

The authors analyzed data from the Framingham Heart Study to evaluate the association between physical activity and breast cancer risk. Physical activity was ascertained by a physician-administered questionnaire from 2,321 women at the fourth biennial examination conducted in 1954–1956. Breast cancers were identified by self-report, surveillance of admissions to Framingham Union Hospital, and review of death records; all but one were histologically confirmed. During 28 years of follow-up, 117 breast cancer cases were diagnosed among the 2,307 women with data on physical activity and reproductive history (a potential confounder). Analysis was performed using Cox proportional hazards models with age as the underlying time variable. Models were adjusted for age at physical activity assessment, menopausal status, age at first pregnancy, parity, education, occupation, and alcohol ingestion. We observed a gradient of increasing risk of breast cancer with increasing physical activity (trend $p = 0.06$). The relative risk for women in the highest versus lowest activity quartile was 1.6 (95% confidence interval 0.9–3.0; $p = 0.13$). Although both moderate-to-heavy leisure and occupational activities were associated with an increased risk, the association was marginally significant only for leisure activity ($p = 0.06$). Our findings do not support a protective effect of physical activity during adulthood for breast cancer, but suggest an increased risk among more active women. *Am J Epidemiol* 1994;139:662–9.

breast neoplasms; exercise; prospective studies

Physical activity has been hypothesized to protect against breast cancer. Frisch et al. (1) first proposed a protective effect after observing a lower prevalence of breast cancer among former college athletes compared with nonathletes in a cohort of 5,398 living alumnae (relative risk = 0.5;

95 percent confidence interval (CI) 0.3–1.0). Paffenbarger et al. (2), however, failed to detect an association of breast cancer with participation in sports during the early college years. A nonsignificant inverse association between self-reported activity and postmenopausal breast cancer was observed for participants of the First National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey Epidemiologic Follow-up Study (3). Relative risks for the most active versus least active women were 0.6 (95 percent CI 0.3–1.2) and 0.7 (95 percent CI 0.4–1.4), respectively, for recreational and nonrecreational activities. There was, however, a suggestion of an increased risk of premenopausal breast cancer among the more active women in this cohort. Occupational activity, surmised on

the basis of job titles, also decreased risk of dying from breast cancer in Washington State (4), whose usual lifetime occupation was classified as nonsedentary. The number of all deaths attributable to breast cancer was significantly less than the proportionate mortality ratio.

The Framingham Heart Study is a prospective cohort study of cardiovascular disease factors that includes data on physical activity at work and during leisure time. Data on breast cancer incidence were collected at the biennial examinations. The Framingham examination ascertainment is complete. We analyze the association between physical activity and the risk of breast cancer during 28 years of follow-up.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The Framingham Heart Study was established in 1948. The cohort, which included 2,873 women, was followed up for 34 years. At the fourth biennial examination conducted in 1954–1956, 2,321 women aged 35–68 years were examined. Of these, 286 who had died, 211 who had moved, and 211 who had not reported physical activity were excluded.

The methods used to identify breast cancer in the cohort have been described previously (5). Briefly, breast cancer was identified by self-report, surveillance of admissions to Framingham Union Hospital, and review of death records. Through 1980, the International Classification of Diseases (code 174 (6)) was used for histologic confirmation, but one woman who had a breast lump but did not undergo biopsy was excluded. Excluding 16 breast cancer cases prior to examination (4,

Received for publication August 2, 1993, and in final form January 13, 1994.

Abbreviation: CI, confidence interval.

¹ Division of Cancer Prevention and Control, National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, MD.

² Information Management Services, Inc., Silver Spring, MD.

³ School of Medicine, Boston University, Boston, MA.

⁴ Department of Mathematics, Boston University, Boston, MA.

Reprint requests to Dr. Joanne Dorgan, Division of Cancer Prevention and Control, National Cancer Institute, EPN, Room 211, 6130 Executive Blvd., Rockville, MD 20852.

case patients on birthdate (within 36 months), race (white), and parity (nulliparous versus parous). Control subjects were also restricted as to birthplace (United States, Canada, or Europe). To identify each neighborhood control subject, we established a predefined walk pattern for the neighborhood where the case patient lived at the time of her diagnosis. Housing units in the walk pattern were canvassed to determine whether a woman who matched the case patient on all characteristics lived in the household. If no one was home at the time of the visit, we left a request for information at the door, and we sought further information from neighbors so that we could contact the residents later. If we received no response to our written request for information, we telephoned the residence and sent additional letters until we were able to determine whether an eligible woman lived at the address in question.

Detailed records were maintained to determine the number of housing units contacted in order to identify and interview a matched control subject, the number of housing units in which no census was obtained, and the number of eligible residents who refused to participate. We contacted a median number of 32 housing units in order to interview a matched control subject for each case patient. To identify 15% of the control subjects, we had to canvass at least 130 housing units to recruit a single control subject. On the other hand, more than 75% of the participating matched control subjects were identified by canvassing fewer than 12 housing units. For 592 case patients, the first eligible control subject participated. For 124 case patients, one eligible person refused prior to the recruitment of a matched control subject. For the remaining 28 case patients, the number of refusals in the walk pattern ranged from two (for 18 case patients) to six (for two case patients). Complete censuses were obtained in the walk patterns for neighborhoods of 223 case patients. At some addresses, we were denied access to an apartment building; thus, the median number of unknowns in the walk patterns of the remaining case patients was four. The study was limited to white women because of the small representation of individual minority groups among young breast cancer patients in Los Angeles County and because of difficulties in ascertaining controls who fulfilled the strict matching and eligibility criteria (age, race, parity, birthplace) using the neighborhood control identification algorithm.

In-person interviews were conducted with all subjects by the same female nurse-interviewer (R. Hanisch). Signed, informed consent was obtained from each subject, and study procedures were approved by the University of Southern California Research Committee, in accord with assurances approved by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

We obtained complete reproductive, contraceptive, and physical exercise histories for each participant. We recorded information on reproductive factors, oral contraceptive use, other hormone use, and physical exercise activities up to the date of the case patient's diagnosis for both case patients and control subjects and imposed time restrictions on these variables during the statistical analysis. A reference date, defined as the month and year that was 12 months prior to the date of the case patient's diagnosis, was assigned to each case-control pair. This date has been used as the cutoff date for ac-

cumulating the total months of participation in physical exercise activities and the total months of oral contraceptive use for each woman. A woman was considered to have a family history of breast cancer if she had a mother or sister who had been diagnosed with breast cancer.

At the beginning of the study, participants were asked to indicate physical exercise activities in which they participated at ages 10, 16, and 25. After interviews had been completed with 199 case-control pairs, we revised the questionnaire to assess lifetime history of regular participation (at least 2 hours/wk) in physical exercise. These activities included participation as a member of a sports team, including attendance at practice sessions; participation in individual sports, such as racquet sports, swimming, gymnastics, running/jogging, or walking for exercise; workouts at gyms; and participation in dance or exercise classes. Subjects provided the name of the activity, the ages at which they first and last participated in each continuous episode of the activity, and the number of hours per week of participation during each episode. Thus, when the level of participation in a particular activity changed, a separate episode was recorded. For each subject, we computed a measure of the average number of hours per week that the subject engaged in physical exercise between menarche and her reference date by summing up all of the hours of exercise and dividing by the total number of years between these two dates. We also assessed the hours of exercise in which each subject engaged during the first 10 years after menarche. This report is based on the 545 case-control pairs for whom we obtained complete histories on physical exercise.

The data were analyzed using univariate and multivariate conditional logistic regression methods for individually matched case-control studies (18). Relative risks were estimated by odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence limits (95% CLs). Tests for trend were calculated across categories. All significance levels reported (*P* values) are two-sided. For three case-control pairs, less than 10 years had elapsed between menarche and the reference date for at least one member of the pair; these pairs were considered to have missing information in the analysis of the average number of hours of physical exercise in the 10 years after menarche.

Results

At the time of their breast cancer diagnoses, the majority of case patients included in this study were between the ages of 36 and 40 years (≤ 30 years, 8.1%; 31-35 years, 28.6%; 36-40 years, 63.3%). Case patients and control subjects were closely matched on birthdate. Overall, the average age at diagnosis ($\pm$ SE) of case patient was 36.0 years (± 0.16). The average age of control subjects was 36.0 years (± 0.17). Two hundred case-control pairs (36.7%) were nulliparous. The distributions of potential confounding factors that were considered in the multivariate logistic regression analyses are summarized

for case patients and control subjects in Table 1.

The distributions of the average number of hours per week of participation in physical exercise between menarche and reference date and during the 10 years after menarche are shown in Table 2 for case patients and control subjects. A total of 194 case patients (35.6%) and 154 control subjects (28.3%) reported no activities during the time period under consideration. For both exercise factors, we created approximate quantiles based on the distribution of control subjects who reported any activity. After adjustment for age at menarche, age at first full-term pregnancy, number of full-term pregnancies, months of lactation, first-degree family history of breast cancer, Quetelet's index at reference date, and total months of oral contraceptive use, the risk of breast cancer decreased in a linear fashion with increasing number of hours per week in which a woman participated in physical exercise activities between menarche and her reference date ($P = .0001$).

Among women who averaged at least 3.8 hours of exercise activity per week during this time period, the odds of breast cancer were 0.42 (95% CL = 0.27, 0.64) relative to that of inactive women. When the data were restricted to exercise activities in which women participated during the 10 years after menarche, the decline in breast cancer risk with increasing activity level was statistically significant ($P = .027$); the odds of breast cancer for women who averaged at least 5.6 hours of physical exercise per week during these 10 years relative to inactive women was 0.70 (95% CL = 0.47, 1.06). The addition of months of activity after this 10-year time period to the multivariate logistic regression model significantly improved the fit of the model ($P = .0001$).

At the reference date (1 year prior to the case patient's diagnosis), 102 control subjects (18.7%) and 91 case patients (16.7%) were unemployed. Although this employment status does not represent the lifetime employment history of these women, we used it to assess whether being at home offered more time for regular exercise and thus would explain the protective effects of physical exercise activity on breast cancer risk. Adjusting for employment status had no effect on the

Table 1. Distribution of potential confounding factors in 545 breast cancer case patients and matched control subjects

Factor	No. of cases (%)	No. of controls (%)
Age at menarche, y		
≤11	158 (28.9)	119 (21.8)
12	156 (28.6)	166 (30.4)
13	150 (27.5)	151 (27.7)
≥14	81 (14.9)	109 (20.0)
Age at first term pregnancy*, y		
≤19	74 (21.4)	90 (26.1)
20-24	114 (33.0)	132 (38.3)
25-29	103 (29.9)	75 (21.7)
30-34	41 (11.9)	36 (10.4)
≥35	13 (3.8)	12 (3.5)
No. of full-term pregnancies*		
1	101 (29.3)	90 (26.1)
2	162 (47.0)	151 (43.8)
3	53 (15.4)	75 (21.7)
≥4	29 (8.4)	29 (8.4)
Months of lactation*		
None	141 (40.9)	139 (40.3)
1-6	97 (28.1)	77 (22.3)
7-15	67 (19.4)	68 (19.7)
≥16	40 (11.6)	61 (17.7)
First-degree family history of breast cancer†		
No	459 (84.2)	505 (92.7)
Yes	79 (14.5)	33 (6.1)
Adopted‡	7 (1.3)	7 (1.3)
Quetelet's index‡		
≤20.2	120 (22.0)	137 (25.1)
20.3-22.0	155 (28.4)	137 (25.1)
22.1-24.9	138 (25.3)	137 (25.1)
≥25.0	132 (24.2)	134 (24.6)
Oral contraceptive use, mo		
None	94 (17.2)	82 (15.0)
1-48	240 (44.0)	241 (44.2)
49-96	112 (20.6)	139 (25.5)
97-144	60 (11.0)	59 (10.8)
≥145	39 (7.2)	24 (4.4)

*Parous pairs only

†Defined as having a mother or sister who had been diagnosed with breast cancer.

‡At reference date (12 months before the case patient's diagnosis); defined as weight (kg) divided by the square of height (m).

results presented in Table 2. Including separate physical activity categories for employed and unemployed women in the multivariate logistic regression model did not significantly improve its fit ($P = .53$), and the linear trends of declining breast cancer risk across categories of exercise activity were nearly identical (test for homogeneity of trends, $P = .48$).

We assessed the consistency of the inverse association of breast cancer risk and participation in physical exercise between menarche and the reference date in nulliparous and parous women by simultaneously fitting separate physical exercise terms for each group in the multivariate logistic model (Table 3). We compared this model to the relevant categorical and linear trend models presented in Table 2.

Including separate physical activity categories for nulliparous and parous women in the multivariate logistic regression model did not significantly improve its fit ($P = .14$); however, there was a significant difference in the linear trends across categories (test for homogeneity of trends, $P = .029$). Comparing most active (≥ 3.8 hours of activity/wk) women to inactive women, we found that the relative odds of breast cancer were 0.28 (95% CL = 0.16, 0.50) among parous women and 0.73 (95% CL = 0.38, 1.41) among nulliparous women.

Within the group of nulliparous women, breast cancer risk was lower among those who had been married (multivariate OR = 0.73; 95% CL = 0.41, 1.29). Assuming that never-married women were

less likely to be nulliparous because of an underlying ovarian problem than ever-married women, we assessed the effect of physical exercise on the breast cancer risk of women who had never married. Among never-married nulliparous women (71 case patients and 58 control subjects), participants in exercise activity had lower breast cancer risk (0.1-1.6 hours/wk, multivariate OR = 0.57; >1.7 hours/wk, multivariate OR = 0.48), although the results were not statistically significant (trend $P = 0.15$).

We found no evidence of modification of the effect of physical exercise on breast cancer risk by age at menarche (<13 years of age versus ≥ 13 years of age), months of oral contraceptive use (<36 months versus ≥ 36 months), or Quetelet's index at reference date (<23 years of age versus ≥ 23 years of age) or at age 18 (<21 years of age versus ≥ 21 years of age) (analyses not shown). For each of these factors, the trends in risk of breast cancer associated with increasing level of participation in physical exercise were statistically comparable and nearly identical to those shown in Table 2. Among parous women, there was no evidence of effect modification by age at first full-term pregnancy (<25 years versus ≥ 25 years), number of full-term pregnancies (one pregnancy versus two versus three or more pregnancies), or months of lactation (<6 months versus ≥ 6 months).

To further assess the possible impact of childbearing/child rearing on the protective effect of exercise in parous women, we created three additional variables: 1) months between first and last full-term pregnancy, 2) average number of months between full-term pregnancies, and 3) months since last full-term pregnancy. However, none of these factors was independently related to breast cancer risk. Furthermore, adjustment for these factors (in quartiles based on the distribution among control subjects) did not alter the results presented in Table 2.

We repeated all of the analyses, restricting subjects to those case-control pairs where the case patient had been diagnosed with invasive breast cancer (492/545 pairs). None of the results differed from those presented here. For example, in this subset of subjects, the risk of breast cancer declined significantly with increasing amounts of lifetime

Table 2. Univariate and multivariate ORs (95% CLs) for breast cancer associated with participation in physical exercise activities after menarche among women aged 40 years or younger

Hours of activity per week	No. of cases/No. of controls	Univariate OR (95% CL)	Multivariate OR (95% CL)*
Overall†			
None	194/154	1.0	1.0
0.1-0.7	103/90	0.92 (0.65, 1.32)	0.95 (0.64, 1.41)
0.8-1.6	84/102	0.86 (0.46, 0.95)	0.65 (0.45, 0.96)
1.7-3.7	103/100	0.82 (0.58, 1.17)	0.80 (0.54, 1.17)
>3.8	61/99	0.50 (0.34, 0.73)	0.42 (0.27, 0.64)
Test for linear trend across categories		$P = .0007$	$P = .0001$
Within the 10 years after menarche			
None	279/252	1.0	1.0
0.1-1.2	74/74	0.92 (0.64, 1.32)	0.93 (0.63, 1.38)
1.3-2.9	62/68	0.83 (0.57, 1.20)	0.78 (0.52, 1.19)
3.0-5.5	61/71	0.77 (0.52, 1.13)	0.69 (0.45, 1.05)
≥5.6	65/76	0.76 (0.53, 1.11)	0.70 (0.47, 1.06)
Test for linear trend across categories		$P = .073$	$P = .027$

*Adjusted for categories of age at menarche, age at first full-term pregnancy, number of full-term pregnancies, months of lactation, first-degree family history of breast cancer, Quetelet's index at reference date (12 months before the case patient's diagnosis), and total months of oral contraceptive use up to the reference date, as shown in Table 1.

†Average number of hours of participation per week between menarche and reference date.

physical exercise activity (P for trend = .0002). Among women who averaged at least 3.8 hours of activity per week during their reproductive lifetime, the multivariate relative odds of breast cancer were 0.40 (95% CL = 0.26, 0.62).

Discussion

Physical activity has demonstrable effects on the production of estrogen and other sex hormones. The acute hormonal response to exercise among untrained women includes increases in estradiol and progesterone levels, particularly in the luteal phase of the menstrual cycle, and an increase in the levels of follicle-stimulating hormone during the follicular

phase (19,20). Over the long term, however, exercise training reduces the length of the luteal phase (21,22), lowers follicle-stimulating hormone levels (19,21) and average luteal phase progesterone levels (22), and often causes secondary amenorrhea, particularly during adolescence (9-13).

It has been reported that premenarcheal girls who engage in strenuous physical exercise regimens, such as regular ballet dancing, running, or swimming, may experience delay in the onset of menses (11,23,24). A prospective study (25) conducted in the Federal Republic of Germany demonstrated a statistically significant delayed menarche among girls who were more active in school and

leisure-time sports. We (6) have previously shown that, in addition to age at menarche and gynecologic age, participation in moderate physical activity (at least 600 kcal of energy expenditure per week) significantly increases the likelihood that regularly menstruating adolescent girls will experience anovulatory menstrual cycles.

In this case-control study, we found a striking protective effect on breast cancer risk of continued participation in physical exercise activities during a woman's reproductive years, particularly among women of proven fertility. The effect among parous women is consistent with our hypothesis that habitual exercise should reduce the risk of breast cancer by

Table 3. Multivariate OR* (95% CL) for breast cancer associated with participation in physical exercise activities after menarche among nulliparous and parous women

Hours of activity per week†	Nulliparous women		Parous women	
	No. of cases/ No. of controls	OR (95% CL)	No. of cases/ No. of controls	OR (95% CL)
None	59/53	1.0	135/101	1.0
0.1-0.7	36/35	0.81 (0.42, 1.57)	67/55	1.06 (0.65, 1.74)
0.8-1.6	27/38	0.65 (0.35, 1.21)	57/64	0.65 (0.40, 1.06)
1.7-3.7	46/41	0.94 (0.53, 1.67)	57/59	0.70 (0.42, 1.18)
≥3.8	32/33	0.73 (0.38, 1.41)	29/66	0.28 (0.16, 0.50)
Likelihood ratio test‡		$P = .14$		
Test for linear trend across categories		$P = .43$		$P < .0001$
Test for homogeneity of trends		$P = .029$		

*ORs are estimated simultaneously for parous and nulliparous women and are adjusted for categories of age at menarche, age at first full-term pregnancy, number of full-term pregnancies, months of lactation, first-degree family history of breast cancer, Quetelet's index at reference date (12 months before the case patient's diagnosis), and total months of oral contraceptive use up to the reference date, as shown in Table 1.

†Average number of hours of participation per week between menarche and reference date.

‡To assess whether fit of multivariate logistic regression model is improved by fitting separate activity categories for nulliparous and parous women (compared with overall model in Table 1).

altering menstrual function either by reducing the frequency of ovulatory menstrual cycles or by reducing the length of the luteal phase, thereby reducing the cumulative exposure to progesterone and estradiol. The protective effect of physical exercise did not appear to be mediated by an effect on body mass as, consistent with other studies of premenopausal breast cancer, there was no increased risk of breast cancer with increased Quetelet's index or other obesity indices. The effects of exercise were not modified appreciably by measures of childbearing or child rearing, such as age at first full-term pregnancy, number of full-term pregnancies, months of lactation, average spacing of births, time between first and last birth, or time since last birth. Our data suggest that women who maintain an activity level of 1-3 hours/wk could reduce their risk of premenopausal breast cancer by about 30% relative to inactive women and that those who maintain an activity level of at least 4 hours per week could reduce their risk by more than 50%.

Our inability to demonstrate a dose-response effect among nulliparous women may be due to the inclusion in this group of a subset of women who have an underlying ovarian problem. Using marital status as a surrogate to differentiate between two groups of nulliparous women with different likelihoods of having an ovarian problem, we observed that physical exercise appears to protect against breast cancer among never-married, nulliparous women, the group that is less likely to include women with such problems. Among women with anovulatory menstrual cycles or impaired luteal function, we might not expect an effect of physical activity on breast cancer risk, as this is the likely mechanism for the observed protection.

The protective effect of exercise on breast cancer risk in the women whom we studied was more pronounced when we considered the woman's complete exercise history than when we restricted our analyses to the activities during the 10 years after menarche. This finding emphasizes the importance of establishing lifelong patterns of physical activity.

The few epidemiologic studies that have assessed the relationship between physical activity and breast cancer risk have primarily used indirect measures of

activity levels or have incompletely assessed breast cancer occurrence. Frisch et al. (14) compared the prevalence of breast cancer among female college athletes and nonathletes in a follow-up study of college alumni from the classes of 1925-1981; they relied on self-reports of cancer and excluded women who had died. Nonathletes had an 86% greater subsequent risk of breast cancer than did athletes. Among ever-pregnant women, the effect was more pronounced with nonathletes having a twofold greater risk than athletes, consistent with our observation among parous women.

Vena et al. (15) conducted a study of mortality by occupation among female residents in Washington State between 1974 and 1979. They evaluated differences in physical activity associated with the "usual" occupation recorded on death certificates. The proportionate mortality ratio (PMR) for breast cancer was significantly elevated (PMR = 115) for women in the lowest category of occupational activity and significantly decreased for those in the three highest activity levels (PMR = 85).

In the NIAHES I (National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, National Center for Health Statistics) Epidemiologic Follow-up Study (16), in which participants rated both their usual nonrecreational activity and their usual recreational exercise on a 3-point ordinal scale, the risk of postmenopausal breast cancer was nonsignificantly elevated among "inactive" women. A retrospective follow-up study (17) of physical education and language teachers in Finland showed that language teachers had higher breast cancer risk than physical education teachers prior to menopause. Among these women, the differences in physical activity levels declined with increasing age. The postmenopausal breast cancer risk of physical education teachers was only slightly lower than that of the language teachers.

Data on the health benefits of physical activity continue to accumulate as physical activity appears to have a protective effect against a number of chronic conditions, including coronary heart disease, diabetes mellitus, osteoporosis, and colon cancer risk (26-28), and possibly, as suggested by recent evidence (29), against endometrial cancer risk (29). Our data

strongly suggest that continued participation in a physical exercise regimen can markedly reduce the risk of breast cancer in premenopausal women and emphasize the importance of beginning an exercise regimen early in life and maintaining it during adulthood.

Despite these important benefits of physical activity, surveys of high school students (30,31) and adults (32) in the United States and adolescents in Britain (33) suggest that few young people, particularly few young women, are physically active. In the United States, for example, the 1990 Youth Risk Behavior Survey indicated that fewer than 40% of young women in their junior and senior years of high school were enrolled in physical education classes (30); and only 20% of such students participated in vigorous physical activity three or more times a week (31). Our results strongly support the need for educational policies that require participation in physical education classes and that encourage lifelong participation in exercise programs.

References

- (1) Henderson BE, Ross RK, Judd HL, et al: Do regular ovulatory cycles increase breast cancer risk? *Cancer* 56:1206-1208, 1985
- (2) Henderson BE, Ross RK, Bernstein L: Estrogens as a cause of human cancer: the Richard and Hinda Rosenthal Foundation award lecture. *Cancer Res* 48:246-253, 1988
- (3) Kelsey JL, Gammon MD, John EM: Reproductive factors and breast cancer. *Epidemiol Rev* 15:6-47, 1993
- (4) MacMahon B, Trichopoulos D, Brown J, et al: Age at menarche, probability of ovulation and breast cancer risk. *Int J Cancer* 29:13-16, 1982
- (5) Apter D, Vihko R: Early menarche, a risk factor for breast cancer, indicates early onset of ovulatory cycles. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 57:82-86, 1983
- (6) Demstein L, Ross RK, Lobo RA, et al: The effects of moderate physical activity on menstrual cycle patterns in adolescence: implications for breast cancer prevention. *Br J Cancer* 55:681-685, 1987
- (7) Henderson BE, Pike MC, Casagrande JT: Breast cancer and the oestrogen window hypothesis [letter]. *Lancet* 2:363-364, 1981
- (8) Bernstein L, Ross RK, Henderson BE: Prospects for the primary prevention of breast cancer. *Am J Epidemiol* 135:142-152, 1992
- (9) Feicht CB, Johnson TS, Martin BJ, et al: Secondary amenorrhea in athletes. *Lancet* 2:1145-1146, 1978
- (10) Frisch RE, Wyshak G, Vincent L: Delayed menarche and amenorrhea in ballet dancers. *N Engl J Med* 303:17-19, 1980
- (11) Frisch RE, Gotz-Welbergen AV, McArthur JW, et al: Delayed menarche and amenorrhea of college athletes in relation to age at onset of training. *JAMA* 246:1559-1563, 1981

- (12) Wakat DK, Sweeney KA, Rogol AD: Reproductive system function in women cross-country runners. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 14:263-269, 1982
- (13) Russell JB, Mitchell D, Musey PL, et al: The relationship of exercise to anovulatory cycles in female athletes: hormonal and physical characteristics. *Obstet Gynecol* 63:452-456, 1984
- (14) Frisch RE, Wyshak G, Albright NI, et al: Lower prevalence of breast cancer and cancers of the reproductive system among former college athletes compared to non-athletes. *Br J Cancer* 52:885-891, 1985
- (15) Vena JE, Graham S, Zielezny M, et al: Occupational exercise and risk of cancer. *Am J Clin Nutr* 45:318-327, 1987
- (16) Albunes D, Blair A, Taylor PR: Physical activity and risk of cancer in the NHANES I population. *Am J Public Health* 79:744-750, 1989
- (17) Viikari VJ, Apter DL, Pukkala EI, et al: Risk of breast cancer among female teachers of physical education and languages. *Acta Oncol* 31:201-204, 1992
- (18) Breslow NE, Day NE: *Statistical Methods in Cancer Research*, Vol. I. IARC Sci Publ No. 32. Lyon, France: IARC, 1980
- (19) Bonen A, Long WY, MacIntyre KP, et al: Effects of exercise on the serum concentrations of FSH, LH, progesterone, and estradiol. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 42:15-23, 1979
- (20) Jurkowski JE, Jones NI, Walker WC, et al: Ovarian hormonal responses to exercise. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 13:109-114, 1981
- (21) Bonen A, Belcastro AN, Ling WY, et al: Profiles of selected hormones during menstrual cycles of teenage athletes. *J Appl Physiol* 50:545-551, 1981
- (22) Ellison PT, Lager C: Moderate recreational running is associated with lowered salivary progesterone profiles in women. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 143:1000-1003, 1986
- (23) Warren M: The effects of exercise on pubertal progression and reproductive function in girls. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 51:1150-1157, 1980
- (24) Moisan J, Meyer F, Gingras S: Leisure physical activity and age at menarche. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 23:1170-1175, 1991
- (25) Merzenich H, Boeing H, Walendow J: Dietary fat and sports activity as determinants for age at menarche. *Am J Epidemiol* 138:217-224, 1993
- (26) Powell KE, Caspersen CJ, Koplan JP, et al: Physical activity and chronic diseases. *Am J Clin Nutr* 49:999-1006, 1989
- (27) Helmrich SP, Ragland DR, Leung RW: Physical activity and reduced occurrence of non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus [see comment citation in Medline]. *N Engl J Med* 325:147-152, 1991
- (28) Sternfeld B: Cancer and the protective effect of physical activity: the epidemiological evidence. *Med Sci Sports Med* 24:1195-1209, 1992
- (29) Levi F, La Vecchia C, Negri F: Selected physical activities and the risk of endometrial cancer. *Br J Cancer* 67:846-851, 1993
- (30) Participation of high school students in school physical education — United States, 1990. *Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 40:613-615, 1991
- (31) Vigorous physical activity among high school students — United States, 1990. *Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 41:33-35, 1992
- (32) Caspersen CJ, Christenson GM, Pollard RA: Status of the 1990 physical fitness and exercise objectives—evidence from NHIL 1985. *Public Health Rep* 101:587-592, 1986
- (33) Armstrong N, Balding J, Gentle P, et al: Patterns of physical activity among 11- to 16-year old British children. *Br Med J* 301:203-205, 1990

Notes

Supported by Public Health Service grants CA44546 and CA17054 from the National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Department of Health and Human Services; and by the California Public Health Foundation, subcontract 050-F-8709, which is supported by the California Department of Health Services as part of its statewide cancer-reporting program mandated by Health and Safety Code Sections 210 and 211.3.

We express our gratitude to all of the women who participated in this study and to the physicians who allowed us to contact their patients.

The ideas and opinions expressed herein are those of the authors, and no endorsement by the state of California, Department of Health Services, or the California Public Health Foundation is intended or should be inferred.

Manuscript received February 17, 1994; revised May 27, 1994; accepted June 29, 1994.

BIOLOGICALS AVAILABLE FROM THE NATIONAL CANCER INSTITUTE

The repository of the Biological Response Modifiers Program (BRMP), Division of Cancer Treatment (DCT), NCI, NIH, announces the availability of recombinant human lymphokines IL-1 α , IL-1 β , and IL-2; the monoclonal antibody 11B.11 against mouse IL-4; and the monoclonal antibody 3ZD against human IL-1 β .

Use of these materials is limited solely to *in vivo* and *in vitro* basic research studies and is **not** intended for administration to humans.

The lymphokine materials are aliquoted in 100 μ g amounts ($>10^6$ units) and are available to investigators with peer-reviewed support. However, manufacturers' restrictions prohibit distribution of these materials to for-profit institutions or commercial establishments.

The monoclonal antibodies are available to peer-reviewed investigators, for-profit institutions or commercial establishments. The 11B.11 antibody is available in either 3 or 20 mg vials. The 3ZD antibody is available in 5 or 20 mg amounts.

Investigators wishing to obtain any of these materials should send requests to:

Dr. Craig W. Reynolds
Biological Response Modifiers Program
NCI-FCRDC
Building 1052, Room 253
Frederick, MD 21702-1201
FAX: 301-846-5429

All requests should be accompanied by:

(1) A brief paragraph outlining the purpose for which materials are to be used, (2) the amount desired, (3) description of investigator's peer-reviewed support. Recipients will be required to sign a Materials Transfer Agreement and to pay shipping and handling costs. Please allow 4 to 6 weeks for delivery.

**NATIONAL CANCER INSTITUTE—
FREDERICK CANCER RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT CENTER**