

FOIA MARKER

This is not a textual record. This is used as an administrative marker by the Clinton Presidential Library Staff.

Folder Title:

Community Reinvestment Act (ACORN)

Staff Office-Individual:

Public Liaison-Herman, Alexis

Original OA/ID Number:

CF 218

Row:	Section:	Shelf:	Position:	Stack:
21	5	10	1	v

MEMORANDUM
OF CALL

Previous editions usable

TO:

AMH

☒ YOU WERE CALLED BY- ☐ YOU WERE VISITED BY-

Patty McHugh

OF (Organization)

☒ PLEASE PHONE ► ☐ FTS ☐ AUTOVON

61699

☐ WILL CALL AGAIN ☐ IS WAITING TO SEE YOU

☐ RETURNED YOUR CALL ☐ WISHES AN APPOINTMENT

MESSAGE

Mark got a call/memo from
Acorn & sent a copy to AMH.
He would like guidance. Will be
in today after 1:30.

RECEIVED BY

MW

DATE

4/19

TIME

10:01

50363-111 NSN 7540-00-634-4018
U.S. G.P.O.:1994-300-891/00003

OPTIONAL FORM 363 (Rev. 1-94)
Prescribed by GSA

FAX**DATE:** Wednesday, April 12, 1995**TO:** Mack McLarty, White House**FAX:** (202) 456-2215, 99031**FROM:** Zach Polett**PAGES:** 4**MEMO**

Mack: Here's the memo you requested.
Talk to you next week.
Zach

Ap 13 1995

Alexis - Cancel R

I need your guidance on this matter.

Pl. can I let's discuss. How do think
we should respond to Zach's request?

Thank —

need

1 126

MACK has
appt today 4/19
w/ This person.
He's called to
ask for your
guidance.

Mack's # 62000

MEMORANDUM

ACORN

523 W 15th St
Little Rock, AR 72202
(501) 376-7151
(501) 376-3952 (FAX)

DATE: April 12, 1995

TO: Mack McLarty
FROM: Zach Polett

RE: Community Reinvestment Act (CRA) -- A Proposal for
ACORN to Conduct an Event with President Clinton in
Support of CRA

The Community Reinvestment Act, a measure which President Clinton has long championed as a vehicle for rebuilding inner-city neighborhoods, is currently under serious attack. We are writing to suggest a plan for working jointly with the White House to preserve this important law.

The Threat

Two weeks ago bills were introduced in both the House and the Senate that would gut CRA, S. 650 (Shelby-Mack), and HR 1362 (Bereuter). Ominously, the bills were co-sponsored by the Chairmen of the Senate and House Banking Committees.

Both bills would, under the guise of "regulatory relief", eliminate more than 90% of all banks from the purview of the Community Reinvestment Act -- by exempting entirely from CRA the 88% of all banks that have assets under \$250 million, and by providing a "safe harbor" for the 95% of the banking industry that receives satisfactory or better CRA ratings.

These proposals would, if enacted into law, put an end to the dramatic progress that community groups and banks have made over the past 15 years in generating reinvestment in low and moderate income communities. And, as study after study has

onstrated (including a lengthy and detailed analysis in April 17, 1995 issue of US News and World Report),
ning by the banking industry remains a serious problem.

entum is currently building for quick action in Congress.
man D'Amato has indicated that he will be holding
ngs on CRA at the end of April, and moving to mark-up
thereafter.

re particularly concerned that the banking industry and
allies in Congress are planning to attach anti-CRA
lation to broader measures that have the support of the
inistration, such as Glass-Steagal reform. We think it is
al that a message be sent to members of the Banking
mittees that attaching an anti-CRA measure to Glass-
gal will jeopardize the latter measure.

Proposal to the President

would like the President to join ACORN in a public event
etime during the next month to six weeks to call attention
the threat to CRA, to celebrate CRA's successes, and to
l a message to Congress that the White House will not
ort measures to gut the CRA.

have two types of events in mind. First, the President
d join us on a visit to the homes of first-time
eowners who received their mortgages as a direct result of
Community Reinvestment Act. In 15 cities ACORN has
hed CRA agreements with banks which have produced
gages for several thousand new homeowners, and we can
y arrange visits with some of these families.

ond, the President could attend one of the Bank Fairs
h ACORN sponsors in most cities across the country, and
this forum to deliver a speech in support of CRA. In
e events ACORN and the banks with whom we have CRA
ements sponsor a full day's worth of workshops, classes,
information sessions on homebuying and the mortgage
ess for potential new first-time homebuyers. These Bank

Fairs are well-attended, popular events: our Philadelphia Bank Fair, for example, scheduled for Saturday, May 6, will probably draw over 10,000 participants.

We have a number of Bank Fairs scheduled over the next six weeks, in Chicago, St. Paul, and other cities as well as Philadelphia.

We would of course be happy to work with the President's schedulers to organize one of these events at a place and time that works best for the White House.

Thanks for your help on this. We will be in touch.

If you or scheduling need any further information, please call me in Little Rock at (501) 376-7151.

**Welfare Reform Daily Talking Points
Wednesday, April 12, 1995**

REINVENTING CHILD SUPPORT ENFORCEMENT

From the start, the Clinton Administration has insisted on stronger child support enforcement in welfare reform, recognizing that unpaid child support is a major reason many people go on welfare in the first place. And we're pleased that the House finally included all the tough measures we've been calling for -- including license revocation -- in its welfare bill.

But while Congress continues to debate, the Clinton Administration is already taking action. This week, Secretary Shalala announced the launch of a new initiative to improve performance and service in our nation's child support enforcement program -- another way in which we're working with the states to create real, lasting reform of the welfare system.

- o Reinventing government. HHS is now working with thirty state and local child support programs to find new ways to increase paternity establishment and child support collections from parents who fail to pay. An *Associated Press* article on the program quotes Nancy Ebb of the Children's Defense Fund as saying that this initiative is an "important and appropriate rethinking of what the federal government can do to help states out in this area."
- o Laboratories of reform. Many states are already finding new ways to enforce parental responsibility -- to give children the support they need. Missouri, for example, is working with communities to increase paternity establishment by enlisting the support of government agencies, schools, churches and local groups. And Iowa is centralizing its operation to review and adjust the amounts of child support orders. This project will also employ AFDC and JOBS recipients for training and staffing -- another way to help people move from welfare to work.
- o Another proven tool. As the *Chicago Tribune* reported this week, the state of Maine has already proven that license revocation programs can significantly increase child support payments -- and "Maine is inspiring imitation nationwide." Nineteen states now have license revocation programs in place -- and they work. In the nine states with collection statistics, the threat of license revocation alone has raised nearly \$35 million.
- o The strongest possible message. As the President said in last week's radio address, "The welfare reform plan I sent to Congress last year included the toughest possible child support enforcement. And now the Speaker and his colleagues in the House have taken our child support measures and put them into their bill -- including our plan to ask states to deny drivers' licenses and professional licenses to deadbeat parents." We're committed to working with Congress and the states to send the strongest possible message that parents cannot walk away from the children they bring into this world.

FAX**DATE:** Wednesday, April 12, 1995**TO:** Mack McLarty, White House**FAX:** (202) 456-2215, 99031**FROM:** Zach Polett**PAGES:** 4**MEMO**

Mack: Here's the memo you requested.
Talk to you next week.
Zach

APR 14 1995

Apr 13 1995

Alexis - Cancel R

I need your guidance on this matter.

Pl. can I let's discuss. How do think
we should respond to Zach's request?

Thank —

Mack1 146I need your guidance
on this matter.

Pl. can (we) — let's
discuss. How do (you)
think we should
respond to Zach's
request?

Thank —

Mack

MEMORANDUM

ACORN

523 W 15th St
Little Rock, AR 72202
(501) 376-7151
(501) 376-3952 (FAX)

DATE: April 12, 1995

TO: Mack McLarty
FROM: Zach Polett

RE: Community Reinvestment Act (CRA) -- A Proposal for
ACORN to Conduct an Event with President Clinton in
Support of CRA

The Community Reinvestment Act, a measure which President Clinton has long championed as a vehicle for rebuilding inner-city neighborhoods, is currently under serious attack. We are writing to suggest a plan for working jointly with the White House to preserve this important law.

The Threat

Two weeks ago bills were introduced in both the House and the Senate that would gut CRA, S. 650 (Shelby-Mack), and HR 1362 (Bereuter). Ominously, the bills were co-sponsored by the Chairmen of the Senate and House Banking Committees.

Both bills would, under the guise of "regulatory relief", eliminate more than 90% of all banks from the purview of the Community Reinvestment Act -- by exempting entirely from CRA the 88% of all banks that have assets under \$250 million, and by providing a "safe harbor" for the 95% of the banking industry that receives satisfactory or better CRA ratings.

These proposals would, if enacted into law, put an end to the dramatic progress that community groups and banks have made over the past 15 years in generating reinvestment in low and moderate income communities. And, as study after study has

demonstrated (including a lengthy and detailed analysis in the April 17, 1995 issue of US News and World Report), redlining by the banking industry remains a serious problem.

Momentum is currently building for quick action in Congress. Chairman D'Amato has indicated that he will be holding hearings on CRA at the end of April, and moving to mark-up soon thereafter.

We are particularly concerned that the banking industry and their allies in Congress are planning to attach anti-CRA legislation to broader measures that have the support of the Administration, such as Glass-Steagal reform. We think it is crucial that a message be sent to members of the Banking Committees that attaching an anti-CRA measure to Glass-Steagal will jeopardize the latter measure.

Our Proposal to the President

We would like the President to join ACORN in a public event sometime during the next month to six weeks to call attention to the threat to CRA, to celebrate CRA's successes, and to send a message to Congress that the White House will not support measures to gut the CRA.

We have two types of events in mind. First, the President could join us on a visit to the homes of first-time homeowners who received their mortgages as a direct result of the Community Reinvestment Act. In 15 cities ACORN has reached CRA agreements with banks which have produced mortgages for several thousand new homeowners, and we can easily arrange visits with some of these families.

Second, the President could attend one of the Bank Fairs which ACORN sponsors in most cities across the country, and use this forum to deliver a speech in support of CRA. In these events ACORN and the banks with whom we have CRA agreements sponsor a full day's worth of workshops, classes, and information sessions on homebuying and the mortgage process for potential new first-time homebuyers. These Bank

Fairs are well-attended, popular events: our Philadelphia Bank Fair, for example, scheduled for Saturday, May 6, will probably draw over 10,000 participants.

We have a number of Bank Fairs scheduled over the next six weeks, in Chicago, St. Paul, and other cities as well as Philadelphia.

We would of course be happy to work with the President's schedulers to organize one of these events at a place and time that works best for the White House.

Thanks for your help on this. We will be in touch.

If you or scheduling need any further information, please call me in Little Rock at (501) 376-7151.

**Welfare Reform Daily Talking Points
Wednesday, April 12, 1995**

REINVENTING CHILD SUPPORT ENFORCEMENT

From the start, the Clinton Administration has insisted on stronger child support enforcement in welfare reform, recognizing that unpaid child support is a major reason many people go on welfare in the first place. And we're pleased that the House finally included all the tough measures we've been calling for -- including license revocation -- in its welfare bill.

But while Congress continues to debate, the Clinton Administration is already taking action. This week, Secretary Shalala announced the launch of a new initiative to improve performance and service in our nation's child support enforcement program -- another way in which we're working with the states to create real, lasting reform of the welfare system.

- o **Reinventing government.** HHS is now working with thirty state and local child support programs to find new ways to increase paternity establishment and child support collections from parents who fail to pay. An *Associated Press* article on the program quotes Nancy Ebb of the Children's Defense Fund as saying that this initiative is an "important and appropriate rethinking of what the federal government can do to help states out in this area."
- o **Laboratories of reform.** Many states are already finding new ways to enforce parental responsibility -- to give children the support they need. Missouri, for example, is working with communities to increase paternity establishment by enlisting the support of government agencies, schools, churches and local groups. And Iowa is centralizing its operation to review and adjust the amounts of child support orders. This project will also employ AFDC and JOBS recipients for training and staffing -- another way to help people move from welfare to work.
- o **Another proven tool.** As the *Chicago Tribune* reported this week, the state of Maine has already proven that license revocation programs can significantly increase child support payments -- and "Maine is inspiring imitation nationwide." Nineteen states now have license revocation programs in place -- and they work. In the nine states with collection statistics, the threat of license revocation alone has raised nearly \$35 million.
- o **The strongest possible message.** As the President said in last week's radio address, "The welfare reform plan I sent to Congress last year included the toughest possible child support enforcement. And now the Speaker and his colleagues in the House have taken our child support measures and put them into their bill -- including our plan to ask states to deny drivers' licenses and professional licenses to deadbeat parents." We're committed to working with Congress and the states to send the strongest possible message that parents cannot walk away from the children they bring into this world.