

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	James Baker to James Seaton, re: National Space Policy (2 pages)	01/31/1996	P1/b(1)
002. email	Robert G. Bell to Kristen K. Cicio at 17:05. Subject: Re: Space Policy DC. (2 pages)	04/10/1996	P5
003. email	James Seaton to James Baker, re: [Department of Defense Counsel] (2 pages)	05/08/1996	P1/b(1), P5
004. email	James Seaton to James Baker, re: [Department of Defense Counsel] (2 pages)	05/17/1996	P1/b(1)
005. email	Robert Bell to James Seaton, re: Space Policy (2 pages)	05/29/1996	P1/b(1), P5
006. email	James Seaton to Steven Andreasen, re: John Holum (2 pages)	06/05/1996	P1/b(1)
007. email	James Seaton to Robert Bell and George Reed, re: Space Policy (25 pages)	06/08/1996	P1/b(1)
008. email	James Seaton to Peter Bass, et al., re: Berger (2 pages)	06/13/1996	P1/b(1)
009. email	Katherine Veit to Peter Bass, et al., re: National Space Policy (3 pages)	06/18/1996	P1/b(1), P5
010. email	James Seaton to Robert Bell, re: OSTP/NSC (1 page)	06/18/1996	P1/b(1)
011. email	Sandra Kelly to James Seaton, re: Space Policy mtng [partial] (1 page)	06/20/1996	P3/b(3)
012. email	James Seaton to George Reed, re: Draft briefing paper (3 pages)	06/24/1996	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
MSMail - Record (Sept 94 - Sept 97) ([National Space Policy])
OA/Box Number: 590000

FOLDER TITLE:

[01/18/1996 - 06/25/1996]

2008-0830-F

ke1173

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
013. email	James Seaton to George Reed, re: tomorrow's briefing (3 pages)	06/24/1996	P1/b(1)
014. email	James Seaton to Robert Bell, re: [language] (1 page)	06/25/1996	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
MSMail - Record (Sept 94 - Sept 97) ([National Space Policy])
OA/Box Number: 590000

FOLDER TITLE:

[01/18/1996 - 06/25/1996]

2008-0830-F

ke1173

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

M S M a i l

DATE-TIME 18 January 96 15:17
FROM Seaton, James B.
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT RE: PDD-2 on IWGs [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Bell, Robert G.
CARBON_COPY NO CC's on THIS MESSAGE
TEXT_BODY

Recommend we:

DELETE: Excess Ballistic Missiles, since both policies (foreign and excess) have been decided.

ADD: National Space Policy...OSTP/NSC...Goal is PDD on National Space Policy...Effective

We might suggest that the "National Space Policy" and "GPS" IWGs be moved to our Directorate (from the OSTP/NSC Directorate) -- even though NSC and OSTP co-chair them.

From: Bell, Robert G.
To: @DEFENSE - Defense Policy
CC: /R, Record at A1
Subject: FW: PDD-2 on IWGs [UNCLASSIFIED]
Date: Thursday, January 18, 1996 02:53 PM

<<Attached File: IWG2A.DOC>>
<<Attached File: IWGS.DOC>>

Dear Loyal Directors: pls. advise me what I left off when I responded (hastily) to this request last year. Thanks.

From: Kessinger, Jodi
To: Bassett, Leslie A.; Heslin, Sheila N.; McCormick, Shawn H.; @SENIORS - Senior Directors
CC: /R, Record at A1; Cooper, Kathleen H.; Edwards, Joan K.; Gorsuch, Robert P.; Hawkins, Ardenia R.; Hill, Roseanne M.; Maxfield, Nancy H.; Roundtree, Beverly J.; Witkowsky, Anne A.; @UP - APNSA Special Assistants
Subject: RE: PDD-2 on IWGs [UNCLASSIFIED]
Date: Thursday, January 18, 1996 12:36 PM

the documents might help!!

From: Kessinger, Jodi

To: @SENIORS - Senior Directors; McCormick, Shawn H.; Heslin, Sheila N.; Bassett, Leslie A.

CC: /R, Record at A1; @UP - APNSA Special Assistants; Cooper, Kathleen H.; Maxfield, Nancy H.; Gorsuch, Robert P.; Hawkins, Ardenia R.; Roundtree, Beverly J.; Edwards, Joan K.; Witkowsky, Anne A.; Hill, Roseanne M.

Subject: PDD-2 on IWGs [UNCLASSIFIED]

Date: Thursday, January 18, 1996 12:23 PM

TL/NES would like everyone to update (if necessary) the IWG summary that we accomplished in September according to PDD-2 guidelines. PDD-2 directs that strict guidelines be established to govern the operation of IWGs, including participants, terms of reference, decision making path and time frame. Please define these categories for each IWG in your area and send it to me by COB Thursday, Jan 25th. The attached document is what we accomplished in the fall. I will change the format to include the appropriate guidelines once I have received everyone's inputs.

M S M a i l

DATE-TIME 22 January 96 10:45
FROM Andreassen, Steven P.
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT RE: PDD-2 on IWGs [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Bell, Robert G.
CARBON_COPY NO CC's on THIS MESSAGE
TEXT_BODY

Bob:

Seems to me that -- after glancing through your experience and the experience of other senior directors -- two conclusions are inescapable with respect to IWGs: First, NSC must chair in order for them to be effective vehicles for policy development and implementation. Any other arrangement inevitably breaks down. Second, not only must NSC chair, but I would argue that the NSC should DRAFT all pertinent papers for consideration at the DC and PC. This is inherently time consuming, but it can and should be done. I really don't mean to blow our own horn, but the reason why your office has completed more prds and pdds than any other office and has the most effective IWG is that you, Anne and I take the time to do this. I can tell you from my own experience elsewhere in the government that this is not common -- but I'm convinced it's the only way to make an IWG work. Whether you wish to communicate this to upper echelons, I leave to you.

From: Bell, Robert G.
To: Kessinger, Jodi
CC: /R, Record at A1; @DEFENSE - Defense Policy
Subject: RE: PDD-2 on IWGs [UNCLASSIFIED]
Date: Monday, January 22, 1996 09:49 AM

Final changes from my Directorate:

1. Document #1 (Summary Chart):

I. In Def Plcy/Arms section change heading to read "Def Pol/Arms Control" and:

a. Change "Enduring Continuity of Gov't" to read, "Continuity of Government"

b. After "Legislative Strategy Group" strike "co-chair - should meet more -ineffective" and insert in lieu thereof: "NSC/State - now meeting more often - effective"

c. Add: "National Space Policy NSC/OSTP - Goal is PDD in 1996 - effective"

d. Add: "Landmine Control and Demining State (OSD is Vice Chair) - meets rarely - ineffective"

2. In OSTP/NSC section, strike reference to GPS IWG (PDD to be issued next month, work of IWG is done).

2. Document #2 (Detailed Write-Up)

strike entire text and insert in lieu thereof the following:

IWG on Arms Control

Bell chairs

Has proven a very effective forum for developing policy guidance on critical issues ranging from nuclear testing to ABM/TMD demarcation to CFE. No changes needed, other than to encourage more consistent attendance by IWG principals.

IWG on Continuity of Government

Bell chairs

This highly classified IWG has proven very effective in promulgating (in 1993) basic administration policy in this area and in initiating (in 1995) a fundamental review and updating of this policy.

No changes recommended.

IWG on Legislative Strategy

Bell co-chairs with Asst. Sec. of State Wendy Sherman

Has been meeting more frequently of late as the Senate has finally cleared the way to ratify START II, CWC, Law of Seas, etc. Will need to continue to meet often to ensure these and other treaty ratifications are accomplished this year.

No changes recommended.

IWG on National Space Policy

Jim Seaton co-chairs with OSTP

IWG has set goal of completing a new PDD this year.

No changes recommended this year, but in second Clinton term we will need to think-through overall White House organization with regard to space policy.

Record is very mixed in first term, as we have not adequately filled void created by disestablishment of the National Space Council.

IWG on Landmine Control and Demining

Stae (Asst Sec McNamara) chairs with OSD vice-chair. NSC rep: Anne Witkowski

Meets rarely. Most often used only to update participants. Decisions usually made in small group of one per agency with State chair or, in some cases, just among key players (State, NSC and JCS). IWG has sub-groups

chaired by State, which range from effective to practically non-existent. Process generally works. Flaws: because NSC does not chair, it is often hard to ensure that agency disagreements receive timely vetting at senior levels, thus outcomes are frequently the lowest common-denominator position. Also, because State does not have the wherewithall to press DoD for timely responses, policy development has often been very slow.

From: Kessinger, Jodi
To: Bassett, Leslie A.; Heslin, Sheila N.; McCormick, Shawn H.; @SENIORS - Senior Directors
CC: /R, Record at A1; Cooper, Kathleen H.; Edwards, Joan K.; Gorsuch, Robert P.; Hawkins, Ardenia R.; Hill, Roseanne M.; Maxfield, Nancy H.; Roundtree, Beverly J.; Witkowsky, Anne A.; @UP - APNSA Special Assistants
Subject: RE: PDD-2 on IWGs [UNCLASSIFIED]
Date: Thursday, January 18, 1996 12:36 PM

<<Attached File: IWG2A.DOC>>
<<Attached File: IWGS.DOC>>

the documents might help!!

From: Kessinger, Jodi
To: @SENIORS - Senior Directors; McCormick, Shawn H.; Heslin, Sheila N.; Bassett, Leslie A.
CC: /R, Record at A1; @UP - APNSA Special Assistants; Cooper, Kathleen H.; Maxfield, Nancy H.; Gorsuch, Robert P.; Hawkins, Ardenia R.; Roundtree, Beverly J.; Edwards, Joan K.; Witkowsky, Anne A.; Hill, Roseanne M.
Subject: PDD-2 on IWGs [UNCLASSIFIED]
Date: Thursday, January 18, 1996 12:23 PM

TL/NES would like everyone to update (if necessary) the IWG summary that we accomplished in September according to PDD-2 guidelines. PDD-2 directs that strict guidelines be established to govern the operation of IWGs, including participants, terms of reference, decision making path and time frame. Please define these categories for each IWG in your area and send it to me by COB Thursday, Jan 25th. The attached document is what we accomplished in the fall. I will change the format to include the appropriate guidelines once I have received everyone's inputs.

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
001. email	James Baker to James Seaton, re: National Space Policy (2 pages)	01/31/1996	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
MSMail - Record (Sept 94 - Sept 97) ([National Space Policy])
OA/Box Number: 590000

FOLDER TITLE:

[01/18/1996 - 06/25/1996]

2008-0830-F

ke1173

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

M S M a i l

DATE-TIME 26 February 96 15:59

FROM Seaton, James B.

CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED

SUBJECT phone Holum/Gibbons [UNCLASSIFIED]

TO Kelly, Sandra L.

CARBON_COPY NO CC's on THIS MESSAGE

TEXT_BODY

Sandie,

Bob asked that you schedule opportunities for Bob to go talk to John Holum (ACDA) and Jack Gibbons (OSTP) to discuss the Space Control segment of the pending National Space Policy. Thanks.

M S M a i l

DATE-TIME 05 April 96 11:05
FROM Ficklin, John W.
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT RE: National Space Policy [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Leary, William H.
CARBON_COPY NO CC's on THIS MESSAGE
TEXT_BODY

Both are on Dan's list. Seaton responded that NSD-30 is active pending revision and NSD-46 is inactive.

There is nothing I can think of that precludes the issuance of two directives through separate channels. There is precedence for this. However, I cannot justify the double effort...

From: Leary, William H.
To: Ficklin, John W.
CC: /N, NonRecord at A1
Subject: FW: National Space Policy [UNCLASSIFIED]
Date: Friday, April 05, 1996 10:49 AM

John,

Are these on Dan's list? What's the answer to Jim's question? I would think that nothing precludes it, but is it wise to have such overlapping directives?

From: Seaton, James B.
To: Baker, James E.; Leary, William H.; Merchant, Brian T.
CC: /R, Record at A1
Subject: National Space Policy [UNCLASSIFIED]
Date: Friday, April 05, 1996 10:43 AM

We are nearing completion of a joint NSC/OSTP-chaired review of the national space policy, as directed by NSTC PRD-2. This policy supersedes various NSC and National Space Council directives -- NSD 30 (National Space Policy), NSD 46, National Space Policy 1 (NSPD-1), NSDD 257, and National Space Policy Directives 2,3,4,5, 6, and 7.

NSD 30 and NSPD-1 are the current national space policies. They were approved in 1989, with the unclassified NSPD having a wider audience than the classified NSD. I am uncomfortable with releasing the forthcoming space

policy solely as an NSTC/PDD. The NSTC procedure is to release their PDDs to all members of the NSTC, regardless of the applicability of a policy to a particular agency. For example, NIH and Education are members of the NSTC and have no need to know about the national security dimensions of the national space policy. Several other agencies fall into the same category.

Is there anything that precludes us from doing an unclassified NSTC/PDD and a classified NSC PDD for the new national space policy? The NSC PDD would include all the elements of the NSTC PDD along with various classified passages/sections.

Please advise. Thanks, Jim

M S M a i l

DATE-TIME 05 April 96 17:16
FROM Baker, James E.
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT FW: National Space Policy [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Leary, William H.
Seaton, James B.
CARBON_COPY NO CC's on THIS MESSAGE
TEXT_BODY

Makes sense to me.

From: Leary, William H.
To: Seaton, James B.
CC: /R, Record at A1; Baker, James E.; Ficklin, John W.; Merchant, Brian T.
Subject: RE: National Space Policy [UNCLASSIFIED]
Date: Friday, April 05, 1996 11:20 AM

Jim,

There is nothing to preclude the issuance of overlapping directives as you outlined; it has been done before. However, it's probably worth considering how to minimize the inevitable confusion. What is THE space policy? For example, you could issue a comprehensive classified PDD as THE space policy, and also issue an unclassified version of that PDD that includes all the unclassified portions.

From: Seaton, James B.
To: Baker, James E.; Leary, William H.; Merchant, Brian T.
CC: /R, Record at A1
Subject: National Space Policy [UNCLASSIFIED]
Date: Friday, April 05, 1996 10:43 AM

We are nearing completion of a joint NSC/OSTP-chaired review of the national space policy, as directed by NSTC PRD-2. This policy supersedes various NSC and National Space Council directives -- NSD 30 (National Space Policy), NSD 46, National Space Policy 1 (NSPD-1), NSDD 257, and National Space Policy Directives 2,3,4,5, 6, and 7.

NSD 30 and NSPD-1 are the current national space policies. They were approved in 1989, with the unclassified NSPD having a wider audience than the classified NSD. I am uncomfortable with releasing the forthcoming space policy solely as an NSTC/PDD. The NSTC procedure is to release their PDDs to all members of the NSTC, regardless of the applicability of a policy to a

particular agency. For example, NIH and Education are members of the NSTC and have no need to know about the national security dimensions of the national space policy. Several other agencies fall into the same category.

Is there anything that precludes us from doing an unclassified NSTC/PDD and a classified NSC PDD for the new national space policy? The NSC PDD would include all the elements of the NSTC PDD along with various classified passages/sections.

Please advise. Thanks, Jim

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
002. email	Robert G. Bell to Kristen K. Cicio at 17:05. Subject: Re: Space Policy DC. (2 pages)	04/10/1996	P5

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
MSMail - Record (Sept 94 - Sept 97) ([National Space Policy])
OA/Box Number: 590000

FOLDER TITLE:

[01/18/1996 - 06/25/1996]

2008-0830-F
ke1173

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

M S M a i l

DATE-TIME 10 April 96 17:05
FROM Bell, Robert G.
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT RE: Space Policy DC [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Cicio, Kristen K.
CARBON_COPY NO CC's on THIS MESSAGE
TEXT_BODY

PLEASE PASS TO SANDY:

1. This DC does not have to be done next week; it could wait till late the next week or even the next week.
2. Two of the 3 issues pit Leon/Jack Gibbons/Holum versus OSD/JCS, so even though Leon is a wise man and a Great American, we had really hoped you would be the impartial arbiter

From: Cicio, Kristen K.
To: Fuerth, Leon S.
CC: /R, Record at A1; @NSA - Nat'l Security Advisor; @DEFENSE - Defense Policy; @VP - VP Nat'l Security Affairs
Subject: FW: Space Policy DC [UNCLASSIFIED]
Date: Wednesday, April 10, 1996 04:31 PM

Leon,

Sandy asks...."would you chair?"

Kris

From: Seaton, James B.
To: Cicio, Kristen K.
CC: /R, Record at A1; Bell, Robert G.
Subject: Space Policy DC [UNCLASSIFIED]
Date: Wednesday, April 10, 1996 02:47 PM

PLEASE PASS TO SANDY BERGER

We recommend that you chair a DC to resolve remaining issues in the National Space Policy PDD during the week following your return from the Asia trip (April 24-26). We've nearly completed the first post-Cold War review of our national space policies (NSD-30 was signed in 1989 and reflected "Star Wars/SDI" guidelines, etc.). We received written deputies concurrence/comments from appropriate agencies, but there are a few

remaining issues that are probably best resolved in a meeting of IC, OSD, Joint Staff, OVP, ACDA, and OSTP deputies.

Thanks, Jim

M S M a i l

DATE-TIME 12 April 96 17:29
FROM Merchant, Brian
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT RE: National Space Policy [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Baker, James E.
Leary, William H.
Seaton, James B.
CARBON_COPY NO CC's on THIS MESSAGE
TEXT_BODY

I have no comments about issuance of an unclassified PDD/NSTC. However, I have several observations to make about the classified PDD. I strongly believe that a classified PDD should be issued as a NSC document and not as NSTC document.

My reasons follow: 1) it would be better for any PDD which recinds earlier NSC policy documents to be controlled by NSC , 2) classification and proper security procedures re access to classified information - - NSTC/PDDs seem to sent to all members w/o regard to agency equity or responsibility for topic of PDD. Eg, PDD/NSTC-6 on GPS was sent to NIH (and others) whose equity in Space Policy issues Does Not exist. This would be more true for classified PDD, ie, need-to-know as well as agency equity. 3) FOI requests and concerns. This may be a significant issue. Most of the staff and perhaps, the public seem not to be aware of the fact that THREE types of PDDs exist; NSC, NSTC and NEC. This has caused confusion when FOI requests for a PDD which IS not NSC document but is NSTC or NEC document. Example, recent PDD/NSTC-6 on GPS. 4) protection and proper access control - - NEC and NSTC use the same format as NSC. As you are aware, NSC follows certain procedures whenever a PDD is declassified and/or released. My experience is that NSTC and NEC procedures either Do Not exist or are very very basic. This may be significant, esp, if Congress seeks the

PDD document - - What are their procedures on this issue?

In sum, I strongly believe that the classified PDD be NSC and the unclassified PDD be NSTC.

From: Seaton, James B.

To: Baker, James E.; Leary, William H.; Merchant, Brian T.

CC: /R, Record at A1

Subject: National Space Policy [UNCLASSIFIED]

Date: Friday, April 05, 1996 10:43 AM

We are nearing completion of a joint NSC/OSTP-chaired review of the national space policy, as directed by NSTC PRD-2. This policy supersedes various NSC and National Space Council directives -- NSD 30 (National Space Policy), NSD 46, National Space Policy 1 (NSPD-1), NSDD 257, and National Space Policy Directives 2,3,4,5, 6, and 7.

NSD 30 and NSPD-1 are the current national space policies. They were approved in 1989, with the unclassified NSPD having a wider audience than the classified NSD. I am uncomfortable with releasing the forthcoming space policy solely as an NSTC/PDD. The NSTC procedure is to release their PDDs to all members of the NSTC, regardless of the applicability of a policy to a particular agency. For example, NIH and Education are members of the NSTC and have no need to know about the national security dimensions of the national space policy. Several other agencies fall into the same category.

Is there anything that precludes us from doing an unclassified NSTC/PDD and a classified NSC PDD for the new national space policy? The NSC PDD would include all the elements of the NSTC PDD along with various classified passages/sections.

Please advise. Thanks, Jim

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
003. email	James Seaton to James Baker, re: [Department of Defense Counsel] (2 pages)	05/08/1996	P1/b(1), P5

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
MSMail - Record (Sept 94 - Sept 97) ([National Space Policy])
OA/Box Number: 590000

FOLDER TITLE:

[01/18/1996 - 06/25/1996]

2008-0830-F
ke1173

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

M S M a i l

DATE-TIME 15 May 96 09:06
FROM Van Tassel, David S.
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT RE: National Space Policy [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Seaton, James B.
CARBON_COPY Leary, William H.
TEXT_BODY

Jim,

According to our records, the NSC has never released even in part the actual NSD 30 document. Perhaps DoD is referring to the portions of the document that were originally unclassified or to the unclassified fact sheet and appended information that was released when the policy was signed. I will put a copy of the fact sheet package in your mailbox. If we were to answer the pending FOIA requests today, we would likely, at the least, release the unclassified portions and whatever else could be construed as already released in the fact sheet package.

We have three unanswered FOIA requests, all from requesters who ask for all policy papers as a matter of routine, none of them having shown any particular interest in space policy. In the case mentioned by DoD, F92-1436, we referred NSD 30 to DoD, CIA, State, and NASA and asked them to make a recommendation to us concerning their interests before the NSC makes a final determination on release. None of the agencies has made any release recommendation yet.

From: Seaton, James B.
To: VanTassel, David S.
CC: /R, Record at A1
Subject: National Space Policy [UNCLASSIFIED]
Date: Tuesday, May 14, 1996 05:06 PM

Dave,

I just received another call from DoD regarding a FOIA request for NSD-30 (National Space Policy) -- case #F9201436. Apparently the DoD FOIA folks are sending the request back to you at this time. The person who called me said he was told that the NSC had previously declassified portions of NSD-30.

I talked to Bill Leary earlier today on this same subject. He mentioned

that the last request to declassify portions of NSD-30 was in 1991-- and that request was denied. Could you please enlighten me where we stand on the status of declassification requests for NSD-30? We are nearing completion of the new National Space Policy and if things have already been declassified in the old policy it may impact how we classify things in the new PDD.

Thanks, Jim

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
004. email	James Seaton to James Baker, re: [Department of Defense Counsel] (2 pages)	05/17/1996	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
MSMail - Record (Sept 94 - Sept 97) ([National Space Policy])
OA/Box Number: 590000

FOLDER TITLE:

[01/18/1996 - 06/25/1996]

2008-0830-F
ke1173

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
005. email	Robert Bell to James Seaton, re: Space Policy (2 pages)	05/29/1996	P1/b(1), P5

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
MSMail - Record (Sept 94 - Sept 97) ([National Space Policy])
OA/Box Number: 590000

FOLDER TITLE:

[01/18/1996 - 06/25/1996]

2008-0830-F
kel173

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

M S M a i l

DATE-TIME 04 June 96 10:35
FROM Seaton, James B.
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT Deutch/Space Policy [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Bell, Robert G.
CARBON_COPY Beers, Rand R.
Miscik, Judith A.

TEXT_BODY

Bob Davis (DoD) is briefing the DCI Thursday morning on the DoD sections of the draft national space policy. I have asked to sit in on the briefing. In addition, I am hearing that the two parties appear to be closing on satisfactory language on the declassification authority issue. Perhaps we can put this thing to rest after Thursday. (Didn't I say that in February, March, April, and May?)

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
006. email	James Seaton to Steven Andreasen, re: John Holum (2 pages)	06/05/1996	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
MSMail - Record (Sept 94 - Sept 97) ([National Space Policy])
OA/Box Number: 590000

FOLDER TITLE:

[01/18/1996 - 06/25/1996]

2008-0830-F
ke1173

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
007. email	James Seaton to Robert Bell and George Reed, re: Space Policy (25 pages)	06/08/1996	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
MSMail - Record (Sept 94 - Sept 97) ([National Space Policy])
OA/Box Number: 590000

FOLDER TITLE:

[01/18/1996 - 06/25/1996]

2008-0830-F
kel173

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
008. email	James Seaton to Peter Bass, et al., re: Berger (2 pages)	06/13/1996	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
MSMail - Record (Sept 94 - Sept 97) ([National Space Policy])
OA/Box Number: 590000

FOLDER TITLE:

[01/18/1996 - 06/25/1996]

2008-0830-F

ke1173

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
009. email	Katherine Veit to Peter Bass, et al., re: National Space Policy (3 pages)	06/18/1996	P1/b(1), P5

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
MSMail - Record (Sept 94 - Sept 97) ([National Space Policy])
OA/Box Number: 590000

FOLDER TITLE:

[01/18/1996 - 06/25/1996]

2008-0830-F
ke1173

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
010. email	James Seaton to Robert Bell, re: OSTP/NSC (1 page)	06/18/1996	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
MSMail - Record (Sept 94 - Sept 97) ([National Space Policy])
OA/Box Number: 590000

FOLDER TITLE:

[01/18/1996 - 06/25/1996]

2008-0830-F

ke1173

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
011. email	Sandra Kelly to James Seaton, re: Space Policy mtng [partial] (1 page)	06/20/1996	P3/b(3)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
MSMail - Record (Sept 94 - Sept 97) ([National Space Policy])
OA/Box Number: 590000

FOLDER TITLE:

[01/18/1996 - 06/25/1996]

2008-0830-F
kel173

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

M S M a i l

DATE-TIME 20 June 96 11:37
FROM Kelly, Sandra L.
CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
SUBJECT RE: Space Policy mtng [UNCLASSIFIED]
TO Seaton, James B.
CARBON_COPY Moody, Angelyn D.
TEXT_BODY

Subject meeting is scheduled for Monday, June 24, 11:00am.

From: Seaton, James B.
To: Kelly, Sandra L.
CC: /R, Record at A1; Moody, Angelyn D.
Subject: Space Policy mtng [UNCLASSIFIED]
Date: Thursday, June 20, 1996 08:54 AM

Sandie,

Could you please try to set up a meeting here between Bob Bell, P3/(b)(3) [010]
P3/(b)(3) and Bob Davis (DoD) on Monday? Please try to push for Monday, but if
that day is impossible then try for another time early next week.

Bob Davis (Deputy Undersecretary of Defense for Space) (703)695-5747

P3/(b)(3)

The purpose of the meeting is to try and close on the "space control portion
of the draft national space policy."

Thanks, Jim

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
012. email	James Seaton to George Reed, re: Draft briefing paper (3 pages)	06/24/1996	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
MSMail - Record (Sept 94 - Sept 97) ([National Space Policy])
OA/Box Number: 590000

FOLDER TITLE:

[01/18/1996 - 06/25/1996]

2008-0830-F
ke1173

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
013. email	James Seaton to George Reed, re: tomorrow's briefing (3 pages)	06/24/1996	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
MSMail - Record (Sept 94 - Sept 97) ([National Space Policy])
OA/Box Number: 590000

FOLDER TITLE:

[01/18/1996 - 06/25/1996]

2008-0830-F
ke1173

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Withdrawal/Redaction Marker

Clinton Library

DOCUMENT NO. AND TYPE	SUBJECT/TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
014. email	James Seaton to Robert Bell, re: [language] (1 page)	06/25/1996	P1/b(1)

COLLECTION:

Clinton Presidential Records
NSC Emails
MSMail - Record (Sept 94 - Sept 97) ([National Space Policy])
OA/Box Number: 590000

FOLDER TITLE:

[01/18/1996 - 06/25/1996]

2008-0830-F

kel173

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

RR. Document will be reviewed upon request.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]