Disability Law and Practice

Special Education, Assistive Technology and Vocational Rehabilitation

Editors

Professor Nancy Maurer Simeon Goldman, Esq. New York State Bar Association Continuing Legal Education publications are intended to provide current and accurate information to help attorneys maintain their professional competence. Publications are distributed with the understanding that NYSBA does not render any legal, accounting or other professional service. Attorneys using publications or orally conveyed information in dealing with a specific client's or their own legal matters should also research original sources of authority.

We consider the publication of any NYSBA practice book as the beginning of a dialogue with our readers. Periodic updates to this book will give us the opportunity to incorporate your suggestions regarding additions or corrections. Please send your comments to: CLE Publications Director, New York State Bar Association, One Elk Street, Albany, NY 12207.

> Copyright 2013 New York State Bar Association All rights reserved ISBN:1-57969-382-2 Product Number: 42153-1

DISABILITY LAW AND PRACTICE

EDITORS-IN-CHIEF

Professor Nancy M. Maurer Simeon Goldman, Esq.

CONTRIBUTING AUTHORS—BOOK ONE

Professor Bridgit M. Burke Ronald M. Hager, Esq.
Professor Nancy M. Maurer Tara L. Moffett, Esq.
Jennifer J. Monthie, Esq.
Karen S. Norlander, Esq.
Melinda R. Saran, Esq.
James R. Sheldon, Jr., Esq.
Jo Anne Simon, Esq.
Kathleen E. Surgalla, Esq.
Susan M. Young, Esq.

REVIEWERS

Simeon Goldman, Esq. Professor Nancy M. Maurer Jennifer J. Monthie, Esq. Melinda R. Saran, Esq.

COORDINATOR OF SPECIAL EDUCATION CHAPTERS

Jennifer J. Monthie, Esq.

Special thanks to the past editors of *Representing People With Disabilities*: Peter Danziger, Esq., Patricia W. Johnson, Esq. and Kathleen E. Surgalla, Esq.

Co-sponsored by the Committee on Continuing Legal Education and the Committee on Issues Affecting People with Disabilities of the New York State Bar Association.

CONTENTS

| Chapter 1 | Ethical Issues in Representing Clients With Diminished Capacity Professor Nancy M. Maurer | 1 |
|-----------|---|-----|
| Chapter 2 | Making a Law Office Accessible Jo Anne Simon, Esq. | 47 |
| Chapter 3 | Special Education: Legal Requirements Jennifer J. Monthie, Esq. | 67 |
| Chapter 4 | Special Education: Challenging and Defending Special Education Decisions <i>Tara L. Moffett, Esq.</i> <i>Susan M. Young, Esq.</i> <i>Karen S. Norlander, Esq.</i> | 125 |
| Chapter 5 | Discipline of Students With Disabilities Attending Public Schools in New York State <i>Melinda R. Saran, Esq.</i> <i>Kathleen E. Surgalla, Esq.</i> | 181 |
| Chapter 6 | Work, Assistive Technology and Transition-Aged Youth: Funding for Work-Related Assistive Technology Through Special Education Programs, State Vocational Rehabilitation Agencies, Medicaid, Medicare and SSI's Plan for Achieving Self-Support <i>Ronald M. Hager, Esq.</i> <i>James R. Sheldon, Jr., Esq.</i> | 223 |
| Chapter 7 | Vocational Rehabilitation Services for People With Disabilities Ronald M. Hager, Esq. James R. Sheldon, Jr., Esq. | 273 |
| Chapter 8 | Disability Law: Internet Research and Resources Professor Bridgit M. Burke | 309 |

DETAILED TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | | xvii xix |
|--------|-------|--|-------------|
| Diogra | pines | | ЛІЛ |
| Chapt | er 1 | Ethical Issues in Representing Clients With | |
| | | Diminished Capacity | |
| | | Professor Nancy M. Maurer | |
| [1.0] | I. | Introduction | 3 |
| [1.1] | II. | Access to Legal Services | 4 |
| [1.2] | | A. Nondiscrimination | 5 |
| [1.3] | | 1. N.Y. Rules of Professional Conduct | 5 |
| [1.4] | | 2. Statutory Prohibitions Against | |
| | | Discrimination | 7 |
| [1.5] | | a. N.Y. Human Rights Law | 7 |
| [1.6] | | b. Americans With Disabilities Act | |
| | | (ADA) | 8 |
| [1.7] | | B. Withdrawal From Representation Based | |
| | | on Client Capacity | 9 |
| [1.8] | | C. Pro Bono Obligations | 11 |
| [1.9] | III. | Lawyer-Client Relationship | 13 |
| [1.10] | | A. Client Autonomy | 13 |
| [1.11] | | B. Lawyer's Responsibilities to Clients | |
| | | With Diminished Capacity | 15 |
| [1.12] | | 1. Rule 1.14 | 15 |
| [1.13] | | 2. Other Guidance | 17 |
| [1.14] | | C. Determination of Client Capacity to Make | |
| | | Decisions | 18 |
| [1.15] | | D. Representing Incapacitated Clients | |
| | | in Emergency Situations | 22 |
| [1.16] | | E. Protection and Advocacy Authority | 25 |
| [1.17] | | F. Advocacy for Expressed Wishes Versus | |
| | | Best Interests | 25 |
| [1.18] | IV. | Exercising Independent Judgment and Avoiding | |
| | | Conflicts of Interest | 28 |
| [1.19] | | A. Determining Who Is the Client | 29 |
| [1.20] | | B. Interests of Third Parties | 30 |
| [1.21] | | C. Appointment of a Guardian <i>ad Litem</i> | |
| - | | in Court Proceedings | 32 |
| [1.22] | V. | Confidentiality | |
| [1.23] | | · · | |
| | | | 43 |

| Chapter 2 | | Making a Law Office Accessible Jo Anne Simon, Esq. | | | | | |
|-----------|------|---|----|--|--|--|--|
| [2.0] | I. | Introduction | 49 | | | | |
| [2.1] | II. | Overview of Regulations for ADA Titles II and III | 51 | | | | |
| [2.2] | | A. Physical Access | 52 | | | | |
| [2.3] | | B. Accessible Communications | 54 | | | | |
| [2.4] | III. | Guiding Principles | 56 | | | | |
| [2.5] | IV. | Key Issues in Representing Clients With | | | | | |
| | | Disabilities | 58 | | | | |
| [2.6] | V. | What to Do First? | 59 | | | | |
| [2.7] | VI. | Suggestions for Accommodating Clients With | | | | | |
| | | Disabilities | 62 | | | | |
| Chapt | er 3 | Special Education: Legal Requirements Jennifer J. Monthie, Esq. | | | | | |
| [3.0] | I. | Introduction | 69 | | | | |
| [3.1] | II. | History of Special Education Legislation | 69 | | | | |
| [3.2] | III. | Individuals With Disabilities Education Act | 70 | | | | |
| [3.3] | | A. Free Appropriate Public Education | | | | | |
| [3.4] | | B. Least Restrictive Environment | 77 | | | | |
| [3.5] | | C. Obtaining Special Education Services in | | | | | |
| [] | | New York State: New York Education Law | 79 | | | | |
| [3.6] | | 1. Referral Process | 79 | | | | |
| [3.7] | | 2. Evaluation | 80 | | | | |
| [3.8] | | 3. Parental Refusal to Consent | 82 | | | | |
| [3.9] | | 4. Committee on Special Education (CSE) | 83 | | | | |
| [3.10] | | a. Excusal of Members of the CSE | 86 | | | | |
| [3.11] | | b. The CSE Meeting—Developing the | | | | | |
| | | IEP | 86 | | | | |
| [3.12] | | c. Notice of CSE Meeting | 87 | | | | |
| [3.13] | | D. Eligibility for Special Education | 87 | | | | |
| [3.14] | | 1. IEP Requirements | 88 | | | | |
| [3.15] | | 2. Annual Review | 91 | | | | |
| [3.16] | | 3. Reevaluation | 91 | | | | |
| [3.17] | | 4. Notice of CSE Recommendation and | | | | | |
| | | Board of Education Implementation | 92 | | | | |
| [3.18] | | 5. Independent Evaluation at District | | | | | |
| | | Expense | 93 | | | | |
| [3.19] | | E. Placement Requirements | 94 | | | | |
| [3.20] | | 1. General Guidelines | 94 | | | | |
| [3.21] | | 2. Specific Requirements | 95 | | | | |
| [3.22] | | 3. Placement Based on Similarity of Needs | 95 | | | | |

| [3.23] | | 4. Resource Room and Consultant Teacher 98 | 3 |
|--------|-----|---|----|
| [3.24] | | 5. Self-Contained Classes | 8 |
| [3.25] | | 6. Private School Placement Procedures 10 | 00 |
| [3.26] | | 7. Placement in State-Operated or | |
| | | State-Supported Schools 10 | 01 |
| [3.27] | | 8. Home or Hospital Instruction 10 | 02 |
| [3.28] | | F. Specific Elements of the IEP 10 | 02 |
| [3.29] | | 1. Twelve-Month Educational Programs 10 | 02 |
| [3.30] | | 2. Related Services 10 | 03 |
| [3.31] | | 3. School Health Services 10 | 04 |
| [3.32] | | 4. Psychiatric Services 10 | 05 |
| [3.33] | | 5. Assistive Technology 10 | 05 |
| [3.34] | | | 06 |
| [3.35] | | 7. Annual Goals 10 | 08 |
| [3.36] | | G. Other Major Special Education Provisions 10 | 09 |
| [3.37] | | 1. Confidentiality of Records 10 | 09 |
| [3.38] | | 2. Dual Enrollment for Students With | |
| | | Disabilities Parentally Placed in Nonpublic | |
| | | Schools Where FAPE Is Not at Issue 10 | 09 |
| [3.39] | | 3. Surrogate Parents 11 | 11 |
| [3.40] | | H. Special Education Services for Children From | |
| | | Birth Through Five Years 11 | 12 |
| [3.41] | | 1. Early Intervention Services 11 | 12 |
| [3.42] | | 2. Preschool Special Education 11 | 13 |
| [3.43] | IV. | Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act 11 | 15 |
| [3.44] | | A. Basic Statutory and Regulatory Provisions 11 | 15 |
| [3.45] | | B. Otherwise Qualified Individual With a | |
| | | Disability 11 | 17 |
| [3.46] | | C. Program or Activity 11 | 17 |
| [3.47] | | D. Special Education Eligibility 11 | 18 |
| [3.48] | | E. Regulations 11 | 19 |
| [3.49] | | F. Available Services 11 | 19 |
| [3.50] | V. | | 22 |
| [3.51] | VI. | | 23 |
| [3.52] | | 6 | 23 |
| [3.53] | | B. New York Anti-Discrimination Regulations 12 | 24 |

| Chapter 4 | | Special Education: Challenging and Defending Special Education Decisions <i>Tara L. Moffett, Esq.</i> | |
|-----------|------|---|-----|
| | | Susan M. Young, Esq. | |
| | | Karen S. Norlander, Esq. | |
| [4.0] | I. | Introduction | 127 |
| [4.1] | II. | Procedural Safeguards | 127 |
| [4.2] | III. | Mediation | 129 |
| [4.3] | IV. | Due Process Hearing | 131 |
| [4.4] | | A. Initiating Due Process Hearing: The Complaint | 131 |
| [4.5] | | 1. Sufficiency of Complaint | 131 |
| [4.6] | | 2. Response to Complaint | 132 |
| [4.7] | | B. Statute of Limitations for Filing a Due Process | |
| | | Complaint | 134 |
| [4.8] | | C. Standing to Bring Due Process Complaint | 134 |
| [4.9] | | D. Mootness | 136 |
| [4.10] | | E. The Resolution Process | 138 |
| [4.11] | | F. Preparing for Hearing | 140 |
| [4.12] | | 1. Appointing Impartial Hearing Officer; | |
| | | Prehearing Role of Impartial Hearing | |
| | | Officer | 140 |
| [4.13] | | 2. Prehearing Conferences | 141 |
| [4.14] | | 3. Records and Evidence | 142 |
| [4.15] | | 4. Hearing | |
| [4.16] | | 5. Burden of Proof | 145 |
| [4.17] | | 6. Pendency and Status Quo | 145 |
| [4.18] | | 7. Decision of the Impartial Hearing Officer | 146 |
| [4.19] | V. | Administrative Appeals | 147 |
| [4.20] | | A. Initiating an Appeal to the State Review | |
| | | Officer | 147 |
| [4.21] | | 1. Timing of the Appeal | 148 |
| [4.22] | | 2. Calculating the Timeliness for Commencing | |
| | | an Appeal | 148 |
| [4.23] | | 3. Late Appeals | 148 |
| [4.24] | | 4. Service of Notice of Intent to Seek | |
| | | Review | 149 |
| [4.25] | | B. Preparing the Petition | 150 |
| [4.26] | | C. Preparing the Answer | 152 |
| [4.27] | | D. Memorandum of Law | 154 |
| [4.28] | VI. | Litigation | 155 |
| [4.29] | | A. Jurisdiction and Statute of Limitations | 155 |
| [4.30] | | B. Scope of Review | 156 |

| [4.31] | | C. 1 | Exhaustion of Administrative Remedies | 158 |
|--|------------|--|--|---|
| [4.32] | | D. 1 | Res Judicata/Collateral Estoppel | 160 |
| [4.33] | | E. I | Remedies | 161 |
| [4.34] | | | 1. IDEA | 161 |
| [4.35] | | | a. Injunctive Relief | 161 |
| [4.36] | | | b. Monetary Damages | 162 |
| [4.37] | | | c. Tuition Reimbursement | 163 |
| [4.38] | | | d. Compensatory Education | 168 |
| [4.39] | | | e. Interest | 170 |
| [4.40] | | | f. Punitive Damages | 170 |
| [4.41] | | | 2. Remedies for Violations of Federal and/or | |
| | | | Constitutional Rights Under Section 1983 | 171 |
| [4.42] | | F. \$ | Section 504 and ADA Claims | 171 |
| [4.43] | VII. | Atte | orneys' Fees | 173 |
| [4.44] | | | The Statutory Framework | 173 |
| [4.45] | | B. (| Calculating Attorneys' Fees | 175 |
| [4.46] | | | 1. Prevailing Party Status | 175 |
| [4.47] | | | 2. Determining the Reasonable Hourly Rate | 177 |
| [4.48] | | - | 3. Documentation Required and Reduction | |
| | | | of Fees | 179 |
| ~ | _ | _ | | |
| Chapte | er 5 | P | Discipline of Students With Disabilities Attendin Public Schools in New York State Melinda R. Saran, Esq. | ıg |
| Chapte | er 5 | P N | Public Schools in New York State | ıg |
| Chapt [5.0] | er 5 I. | P N K | Public Schools in New York State <i>Melinda R. Saran, Esq.</i> <i>Kathleen E. Surgalla, Esq.</i> | ng 183 |
| [5.0] | | P N K Intro | Public Schools in New York State Melinda R. Saran, Esq. Kathleen E. Surgalla, Esq. poduction | _ |
| [5.0] [5.1] | I. | P M K Intro Disc | Public Schools in New York StateMelinda R. Saran, Esq.Kathleen E. Surgalla, Esq.Oductioncipline of Public School Students | 183 |
| [5.0] | I. | P <i>M</i> <i>K</i> Intro Disc A. | Public Schools in New York StateMelinda R. Saran, Esq.Kathleen E. Surgalla, Esq.coductioncipline of Public School StudentsRight to Attend Public School. | 183 183 |
| [5.0] [5.1] [5.2] | I. | P M K Intro Disc A. 1 B. 4 | Public Schools in New York StateMelinda R. Saran, Esq.Kathleen E. Surgalla, Esq.Oductioncipline of Public School Students | 183 183 183 |
| [5.0] [5.1] [5.2] [5.3] | I. | P M K Intro Disc A. 1 B. 4 | Public Schools in New York StateMelinda R. Saran, Esq.Kathleen E. Surgalla, Esq.oductioncipline of Public School StudentsRight to Attend Public School StudentsAuthority to Discipline Public School Students1. Authority to Search Students | 183 183 183 184 |
| [5.0] [5.1] [5.2] [5.3] [5.4] | I. | P M K Intro Disc A. 1 B. 4 | Public Schools in New York State Aelinda R. Saran, Esq. Kathleen E. Surgalla, Esq. oduction cipline of Public School Students Right to Attend Public School. Authority to Discipline Public School Students 1. Authority to Search Students | 183 183 183 184 186 |
| [5.0] [5.1] [5.2] [5.3] [5.4] [5.5] | I. | P M K Intro Disc A. 1 B. 4 | Public Schools in New York StateMelinda R. Saran, Esq.Kathleen E. Surgalla, Esq.coductioncipline of Public School StudentsRight to Attend Public School StudentsAuthority to Discipline Public School Students1. Authority to Search Students2. Teacher Removal of Disruptive Students | 183 183 183 184 186 187 |
| [5.0] [5.1] [5.2] [5.3] [5.4] [5.5] [5.6] | I. | P M K Intro Disc A. 1 B. 4 | Public Schools in New York StateMelinda R. Saran, Esq.Kathleen E. Surgalla, Esq.coductioncipline of Public School StudentsRight to Attend Public SchoolAuthority to Discipline Public School Students1. Authority to Search Students2. Teacher Removal of Disruptive Students3. Suspension | 183 183 183 184 186 187 188 |
| [5.0] [5.1] [5.2] [5.3] [5.4] [5.5] [5.6] [5.7] | I. | P M K Intro Disc A. 1 B. 4 | Public Schools in New York StateMelinda R. Saran, Esq.Kathleen E. Surgalla, Esq.oductioncipline of Public School StudentsRight to Attend Public SchoolAuthority to Discipline Public School Students1. Authority to Search Students2. Teacher Removal of Disruptive Students3. Suspension | 183 183 183 184 186 187 188 189 |
| [5.0] [5.1] [5.2] [5.3] [5.4] [5.5] [5.6] [5.7] [5.8] | I. | P M K Intro Disc A. 1 B. 4 | Public Schools in New York StateAelinda R. Saran, Esq.Kathleen E. Surgalla, Esq.oduction | 183 183 183 184 186 187 188 189 191 |
| [5.0] [5.1] [5.2] [5.3] [5.4] [5.5] [5.6] [5.7] [5.8] [5.9] | I. | P M K Intro Disc A. 1 B. 4 | Public Schools in New York State Melinda R. Saran, Esq. Kathleen E. Surgalla, Esq. oduction cipline of Public School Students Right to Attend Public School Authority to Discipline Public School Students 1. Authority to Search Students 2. Teacher Removal of Disruptive Students 3. Suspension a. Short-Term Suspension b. Long-Term Suspension c. Immediate Alternative Instruction d. Gun-Free Schools Act | 183 183 183 184 186 187 188 189 191 192 |
| [5.0] [5.1] [5.2] [5.3] [5.4] [5.5] [5.6] [5.7] [5.8] [5.9] [5.10] | I. | P M K Intro Disc A. 1 B. 4 | Public Schools in New York State Melinda R. Saran, Esq. Kathleen E. Surgalla, Esq. oduction cipline of Public School Students Right to Attend Public School Authority to Discipline Public School Students 1. Authority to Search Students 2. Teacher Removal of Disruptive Students 3. Suspension a. Short-Term Suspension b. Long-Term Suspension c. Immediate Alternative Instruction | 183 183 183 184 186 187 188 187 188 189 191 192 193 |
| [5.0] [5.1] [5.2] [5.3] [5.4] [5.5] [5.6] [5.7] [5.8] [5.9] [5.10] [5.11] | I. | P M K Intro Disc A. 1 B. 4 C. 4 D. 4 | Public Schools in New York State Melinda R. Saran, Esq. Kathleen E. Surgalla, Esq. oduction cipline of Public School Students Right to Attend Public School Authority to Discipline Public School Students 1. Authority to Search Students 2. Teacher Removal of Disruptive Students 3. Suspension a. Short-Term Suspension b. Long-Term Suspension c. Immediate Alternative Instruction d. Gun-Free Schools Act Authority to Petition Family Court for Public School Students | 183 183 183 184 186 187 188 187 188 189 191 192 193 |
| [5.0] [5.1] [5.2] [5.3] [5.4] [5.5] [5.6] [5.7] [5.8] [5.9] [5.10] [5.11] | I. | P M K Intro Disc A. 1 B. 4 C. 4 D. 4 | Public Schools in New York State Aelinda R. Saran, Esq. Kathleen E. Surgalla, Esq. oduction cipline of Public School Students Right to Attend Public School Authority to Discipline Public School Students 1. Authority to Search Students 2. Teacher Removal of Disruptive Students 3. Suspension a. Short-Term Suspension b. Long-Term Suspension c. Immediate Alternative Instruction d. Gun-Free Schools Act Authority to Petition Family Court for Public | 183 183 183 184 186 187 188 189 191 192 193 194 |

| [5.15] III. | Dis | scipl | ine of Public School Students With | |
|-------------|-----|-------|---|-----|
| | Dis | sabil | ities | 200 |
| [5.16] | А. | Rig | to a Free Appropriate Public Education | 201 |
| [5.17] | Β. | Stu | dent With a Disability | 201 |
| [5.18] | | 1. | Student Presumed to Have a Disability | |
| | | | for Discipline Purposes | 201 |
| [5.19] | | 2. | Students With a Disability Under | |
| | | | Section 504 and the ADA | 202 |
| [5.20] | C. | Fui | nctional Behavioral Assessment (FBA) and | |
| | | | havioral Intervention Plan (BIP) | 203 |
| [5.21] | D. | | thority to Discipline Public School Students | |
| | | | th Disabilities | 205 |
| [5.22] | | 1. | Suspension of Students With Disabilities | |
| | | | and Students Presumed to Have a Disability | |
| | | | for Discipline Purposes | 205 |
| [5.23] | | | a. Short-Term Suspensions | 206 |
| [5.24] | | | b. Cumulative Short-Term Suspensions | 206 |
| [5.25] | | | c. Long-Term Suspension | 207 |
| [5.26] | | 2. | Manifestation Determination | 208 |
| [5.27] | | | a. Procedures When Behavior Is Not a | |
| | | | Manifestation of Disability | 209 |
| [5.28] | | | b. Procedures When Behavior Is a | |
| [-··] | | | Manifestation of Disability | 211 |
| [5.29] | | | c. Special Circumstances and Placement | |
| | | | in an Interim Alternative Educational | |
| | | | Setting | 211 |
| [5.30] | E. | Au | thority to Remove Dangerous Public School | |
| [] | | | dents With Disabilities | 213 |
| [5.31] | | 1. | Removal by Order of an Impartial Hearing | |
| | | | Officer | 213 |
| [5.32] | | 2. | Honig Injunction | 214 |
| [5.33] | F. | | thority to Refer Student With a Disability to | |
| [0.00] | | | w Enforcement | 215 |
| [5.34] IV. | Co | | usion | |
| | | | | |
| Appendix B | | | | |
| Appendix C | | | | |
| TT TO TO T | | | | |

| Chapt | er 6 | Work, Assistive Technology and Transition-Age Youth: Funding for Work-Related Assistive Technology Through Special Education Program State Vocational Rehabilitation Agencies, Medic Medicare and SSI's Plan for Achieving Self-Support Ronald M. Hager, Esq. James R. Sheldon, Jr., Esq. | ns, |
|---------|-------|--|-----|
| Publica | ation | Credits and Disclaimer | 225 |
| Part Or | ne—C | Overview | 227 |
| [6.0] | I. | Introduction | 227 |
| [6.1] | II. | Purpose of This Chapter | 227 |
| [6.2] | III. | AT Definitions and Other Terminology | 228 |
| [6.3] | IV. | Case Scenario | 230 |
| Part Ty | vo—I | Discussion of Funding Sources for AT | 232 |
| [6.4] | I. | The Public Schools: Special Education Programs | |
| | | and Section 504 Obligations | 233 |
| [6.5] | | A. The Special Education System | 233 |
| [6.6] | | 1. Eligibility for Special Education Services | 233 |
| [6.7] | | 2. Eligibility for AT Through Special Education | |
| | | Programs | 234 |
| [6.8] | | 3. Sharon's Need for AT | 235 |
| [6.9] | | 4. Appeals—What if the Parent Disagrees | |
| | | With the IEP Team? | 237 |
| [6.10] | | B. The Public Schools and Section 504 | 237 |
| [6.11] | II. | Vocational Rehabilitation Agencies | 238 |
| [6.12] | | A. Eligibility for VR Services | 238 |
| [6.13] | | B. Eligibility for AT | 241 |
| [6.14] | | C. Hearing and Appeal Rights | 242 |
| [6.15] | III. | Medicaid | 243 |
| [6.16] | | A. Eligibility for Medicaid | 243 |
| [6.17] | | B. Eligibility for AT Through Medicaid | 248 |
| [6.18] | | C. Sharon's Eligibility for AT | 249 |
| [6.19] | | D. Appealing Medicaid Decisions | 251 |
| [6.20] | IV. | Medicare | 252 |
| [6.21] | | A. Eligibility for Medicare | 252 |
| [6.22] | | B. Sharon's Eligibility for AT Through Medicare | 254 |
| [6.23] | | C. Appealing Medicare Decisions | 258 |
| [6.24] | | 1. Appeals in the Traditional Medicare | |
| | | System | 259 |
| [6.25] | | 2. Appeals in the Medicare Advantage | |
| | | System | 260 |

| [6.26] | V. | SSI's Pass | 261 |
|--------|--------|--|-----|
| [6.27] | | A. Eligibility for a PASS | 261 |
| [6.28] | | B. Using a PASS to Fund AT | 262 |
| [6.29] | | C. Using the PASS for Sharon | 264 |
| [6.30] | | D. Using "Deemed Income" to Fund a Vocational | |
| | | Objective: PASSes for Spouses and Children | 267 |
| [6.31] | | E. Appeals—What if a PASS Proposal Is | |
| | | Denied? | 268 |
| [6.32] | VI. | Conclusion | 269 |
| Append | dix: A | bbreviations and Acronyms Used in This Chapter | 271 |
| Chapte | or 7 | Vocational Rehabilitation Services for People | |
| Chapu | | With Disabilities | |
| | | Ronald M. Hager, Esq. | |
| | | James R. Sheldon, Jr., Esq. | |
| [7.0] | I. | Introduction | 275 |
| [7.1] | II. | Eligibility for VR Services | 276 |
| [7.2] | | A. Basic Eligibility Criteria | 276 |
| [7.3] | | B. Evaluation of Eligibility | |
| [7.4] | III. | Individualized Plan for Employment | |
| [7.5] | | A. Informed Choice | |
| [7.6] | | B. Developing the Individualized Plan for | |
| | | Employment | 281 |
| [7.7] | IV. | Available Services | 282 |
| [7.8] | | A. Required Services | 282 |
| [7.9] | | B. Assistive Technology | 285 |
| [7.10] | | C. Post-Employment Services | 286 |
| [7.11] | | D. Out-of-State Services | |
| [7.12] | V. | Financial Need Criteria | 288 |
| [7.13] | VI. | Maximizing Employment | 289 |
| [7.14] | | A. Pre-1986 Standard | 289 |
| [7.15] | | B. Post-1986 Maximization Requirements | 290 |
| [7.16] | | C. Rehabilitation Services Administration Policy | |
| | | Directive | 291 |
| [7.17] | | D. Judicial Decisions | 293 |
| [7.18] | VII. | Comparable Services Requirement | 297 |
| [7.19] | VIII | .VR Services For Special Education Students in | |
| | | Transition | 299 |
| [7.20] | | A. Transition Services Under the Individuals | |
| | | With Disabilities Education Act | 299 |

| [7.21] | | B. Transition Obligations Under the Rehabilitation | |
|----------|-------|--|-----|
| | | Act | 301 |
| [7.22] | | C. Reading the Special Education and VR Laws | |
| | | Together | 303 |
| [7.23] | IX. | Services for the College Student: Who Pays? | 303 |
| [7.24] | Х. | Appeal And Hearing Rights | 305 |
| Chapte | er 8 | Disability Law: Internet Research and Resource | es |
| | | Professor Bridgit M. Burke | |
| [8.0] | I. | Introduction | 311 |
| [8.1] | II. | Websites | 313 |
| [8.2] | | A. Legal Research | 313 |
| [8.3] | | B. Government Websites | 315 |
| [8.4] | | C. Public Policy | 317 |
| [8.5] | | D. Medical, Health and Disability | 319 |
| [8.6] | | E. Statistical | 320 |
| [8.7] | III. | Advocacy Resources Listing | 321 |
| [8.8] | | A. Advocacy Listings | 321 |
| [8.9] | | 1. Protection and Advocacy for Individuals | |
| | | With Developmental Disabilities (PADD) | 323 |
| 8.10] | | 2. Mental Hygiene Legal Service | 326 |
| 8.11] | | B. Support Organizations | 332 |
| Table of | of Au | thorities | 335 |

PREFACE

We are pleased to bring to you the first book in the New York State Bar Association's new series *Disability Law and Practice*. This first book focuses on Special Education, Assistive Technology and Vocational Rehabilitation. The second will cover Financial and Health Care Benefits and Future Planning; and the third will discuss the Civil Rights of People With Disabilities. Together, all three books will offer a comprehensive overview of the many facets of disability-related law relevant to the practice of New York attorneys.

This first book has been a collective (volunteer) effort, relying upon the expertise and dedication of the authors and reviewers who have generously shared their considerable knowledge in preparing their chapters. We thank them all, as well as the former editors and authors of the three editions of *Representing People With Disabilities*, without whom this would have been an even more monumental undertaking. This also would not be possible without the publications staff of the New York State Bar Association, who have spent much time and effort making this book a reality.

Disability law is remarkably diverse, the issues often involving legal areas that, at first glance, do not appear to be germane to the field. The authors bring their unique perspectives to their chapters and we hope you will find both the subject matter and their perspectives to be valuable in your practice. We welcome your feedback so that future editions of the *Disability Law and Practice* series will be the best and most useful publication possible.

Both of us have dedicated much of our careers to the practice of disability law, and we cannot imagine an area of law where an attorney has the opportunity to accomplish more for people who, individually or as a group, have traditionally faced incredible barriers in all areas of life. We hope this book will be a resource for all practitioners, but especially for those of you undertaking representation of people with disabilities, either as part of your practices or in providing pro bono assistance. As practitioners in the field, working on the *Disability Law and Practice* series has been a great opportunity for us. We thank you for your readership.

Sincerely,

Nancy Maurer and Simeon Goldman Co-editors

EDITORS-IN-CHIEF

SIMEON GOLDMAN, ESQ.

Simeon Goldman is Senior Staff Attorney at Disability Rights New York (formerly Disability Advocates, Inc.) in Albany, where he has worked to enforce the employment, housing, community integration and other civil rights of people with disabilities since 1991. DRNY is the designated independent protection and advocacy agency for New Yorkers with disabilities, and it is nationally recognized as one of the leading civil rights law firms for people with disabilities. Prior to joining DRNY, Mr. Goldman was Director of Advocacy at the Capital District Center for Independence. He has successfully litigated employment and housing discrimination claims under the Americans With Disabilities Act, the Fair Housing Act, and the NYS Human Rights Law, and he has advised elected officials, employers, housing providers and others on complying with these laws. Mr. Goldman is an Adjunct Professor at Albany Law School, where he teaches a seminar class on Mental Disability Law, and he has lectured extensively on the rights of persons with disabilities for the New York State Bar Association, the New York City Bar Association, the New York State Division for Human Rights, Cornell University, the National Lawyers' Guild, and numerous other organizations. Mr. Goldman is a 1986 graduate of SUNY Buffalo Law School, and he has authored the chapter on housing discrimination in the NYSBA's Representing People With Disabilities, 3rd Edition.

PROFESSOR NANCY M. MAURER

Nancy Maurer is a Clinical Professor of Law, Co-Director of the Albany Law Clinic & Justice Center and Director of Field Placement Clinics at Albany Law School. She has taught a variety of clinical, skills, substantive, and interdisciplinary courses at Albany, including Disability Law, Negotiating for Lawyers, Fact Investigation, Trial Practice, and Legal Issues in Medicine. Prof. Maurer founded and for many years directed the Civil Rights and Disabilities Law Clinic—a program in which law students, working under faculty supervision, represented clients with disabilities in cases involving discrimination, public entitlements, education or other disability rights matters. She writes and lectures in the areas of legal education and disability law. Prior to joining the faculty of Albany Law School, Prof. Maurer served as a staff attorney with Neighborhood Legal Services in Charleston, South Carolina, and as an attorney with the New York State Commission on Quality of Care and Advocacy for Persons with Disabilities. Prof. Maurer is a member of the NYSBA Committee on Issues Affecting People with Disabilities, and she was co-editor of the NYSBA publication, *Representing People with Disabilities*. She is a graduate of Middlebury College and George Washington University Law School.

AUTHORS

PROFESSOR BRIDGIT M. BURKE

Bridgit Burke is one of the Co-Directors of the Albany Law School Law Clinic & Justice Center and the Director of the Civil Rights and Disabilities Law Clinic. As a clinical professor, she supervises law students who are admitted to the limited practice of law and representing individuals with disabilities. Through both her work in the clinic and as a legal services attorney, she has been practicing public interest law for over 20 years. Prof. Burke has been honored with an Albany City human rights award in 2013, the Clinical Legal Education Associations Award for Excellence in a Public Interest Case in 2011 and in 2007 she was the recipient of a Community Leader of the Year award.

RONALD M. HAGER, ESQ.

Ron Hager is a Senior Staff Attorney at the National Disability Rights Network in Washington, D.C. Mr. Hager provides training and technical assistance to the P&A/CAP network on special education and assists in overseeing training and technical assistance to CAP. He has specialized in disability law, particularly special education, since 1979, when he started his legal career in Buffalo as a VISTA attorney. After that, he was a Clinical Professor at the State University of New York at Buffalo Law School for nine years, supervising the Education Law Clinic. In 1991, Mr. Hager moved to Neighborhood Legal Services (NLS), where he represented clients in a wide variety of disability-related cases. As part of NLS's National AT Advocacy Project, Mr. Hager also was a frequent author on disability law-related issues. He was co-chair of the New York State Bar Association's Committee on the Rights of People with Disabilities for four years, and he was the President of the Board of Directors of Autistic Services, Inc., in Western New York, for 10 years. Mr. Hager earned a B.A. in Psychology from the State University of New York at Binghamton and a J.D. from the State University of New York at Buffalo Law School.

TARA L. MOFFETT, ESQ.

Tara Moffett, an associate with the firm of Girvin & Ferlazzo, P.C., concentrates her practice in the area of education law with a focus on spe-

cial education. She is experienced in the field of special education, including Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act and the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act. Her practice includes consultation, negotiation, mediation, litigation and appellate work in state administrative proceedings and federal court. She is a frequent presenter on special education law and current issues affecting students with disabilities. Ms. Moffett also provides guidance and training to school districts on general education matters such as confidentiality of student records, residency issues, bullying and discipline. She also provides training and guidance on issues related to school district board policies, employment policies, investigation of discrimination and sexual harassment, and related concerns.

Ms. Moffett is a *cum laude* graduate of the State University of New York at Albany and a graduate of Albany Law School of Union University. In law school, she completed a concentration in international law and received the Disabilities Law Clinic Prize.

Ms. Moffett is a member of the New York State Bar Association, the Schenectady County Bar Association and the Albany County Bar Association. She serves as a member of NYSBA's Committee on Issues Affecting Individuals with Disabilities and is a member of the New York Capital Region Special Education Task Force. She is admitted to the courts of New York and the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York.

Ms. Moffett is also an adjunct professor with Empire State College and has taught Business Law and Employment law since 2006. She serves as a member of the Colonie Youth Center board and a member of the Community Hospice Walk Board. Ms. Moffett participates annually in fundraising for the Legal Aid Society of Northeastern New York, the Juvenile Diabetes Research Foundation, the Leukemia and Lymphoma Society, and the Community Hospice. Ms. Moffett is a member of the Albany-Colonie Chamber of Commerce Capital Leadership Alumni Association and is a graduate of the Leadership Program.

JENNIFER J. MONTHIE, ESQ.

Jennifer Monthie is a senior staff attorney at Disability Advocates, Inc., in Albany, New York, where she has worked since 2003. Ms. Monthie practices in the areas of education, community integration, and civil rights of individuals with developmental disabilities. She is a founding member and current chair of the Special Education Task Force, which educates parents, advocates and service providers on Special Education. She is a frequent trainer at attorney continuing legal education events regarding Special Education Law, and she organizes an annual conference to train parents, lay advocates and service providers to effectively advocate for individuals with disabilities. She has also spearheaded Disability Advocates efforts to promote community integration of individuals in New York State institutions who could live more integrated lives in the community. Ms. Monthie received a J.D., *cum laude*, from Albany Law School, and a B.A. in English and Political Science, *summa cum laude*, from the State University of New York College at Fredonia.

KAREN S. NORLANDER, ESQ.

Karen Norlander began practicing law as general counsel to Student Advocacy in Westchester County. In 1989, she moved with her family to the Capitol Region, where she joined the Office of Counsel at the State Education Department as a managing attorney. After five years working in government, Ms. Norlander became general counsel to Statewide Youth Advocacy and resumed work as a certified impartial hearing officer and lawyer for children in Family Court matters. In 1999, Ms. Norlander affiliated as special counsel with Girvin & Ferlazzo, P.C., a law firm located in Albany, New York, that represents over 60 school districts. During her years of practice, Ms. Norlander has represented both parents and districts in administrative hearings as well as state and federal courts, primarily in matters involving the rights of children with disabilities. Ms. Norlander has published numerous articles, developed extensive training materials for parents, educators and attorneys, and is a frequent lecturer, both regionally and statewide, on topics involving children and the law, and special education, in particular. Ms. Norlander is admitted to the federal courts in New York, the Second Circuit Court of Appeals and the U.S. Supreme Court. In 2010, she was recognized as an attorney of the year for her work in education law.

She is a longtime and active member of the New York State Bar Association and is also a member of the Capitol Region Women's Bar Association.

MELINDA R. SARAN, ESQ.

Melinda Saran is Vice Dean for Student Affairs at the University at Buffalo Law School, State University of New York. She is also member of the teaching faculty, teaching Legal Profession and Ethics, the Law and Social Work Clinic and Colloquium, and Special Education Law and Practice. Prior to her current position, Ms. Saran was the supervising attorney of the Education Law Clinic at the University at Buffalo Law School. She frequently lectures for continuing legal education programs and for professionals on special education and disability law, including ethical implications for clients with disabilities. Ms. Saran received her Juris Doctor degree from the University at Buffalo and also earned a Master in Public Health degree from the University of Rochester. She began her law career with Neighborhood Legal Service in the Disability Rights Unit. She is active in many membership organizations, including the New York State Bar Association Committee on Legal Education and Admission to the Bar and Committee on Issues Affecting People with Disabilities; the Bar Association of Erie County; and the Women's Bar Association of the State of New York (WBASNY), where she is co-chair of the Amicus Curiae Committee and is a past president of the Western New York Chapter. Ms. Saran is a past chair of the Committee on Issues Affecting People with Disabilities of the New York State Bar Association.

JAMES R. SHELDON, JR., ESQ.

James R. Sheldon, Jr., is a 1978 graduate of the University of Buffalo Law School and the Supervising Attorney of the Disability Law Unit at Neighborhood Legal Services in Buffalo, New York. He also supervises nine separate disability-related projects, including a statewide assistive technology (AT) advocacy project, a national AT advocacy project, three projects related to Social Security and SSI work incentives, and four Protection and Advocacy projects.

Since the 1990s, Mr. Sheldon's primary work has involved funding of AT and Social Security and SSI work incentives. He has worked, lectured and written extensively on the issues of special education, Medicaid, Medicare, vocational rehabilitation, employment discrimination, and rights of persons with disabilities in general. Among these, he is author and co-editor of *Benefits Management for Working People With Disabilities: An Advocate's Manual* and author of *Work Incentives for Persons With Disabilities Under the Social Security and SSI Programs*; he also developed and presented training programs on work incentives for persons with disabilities. Since 2000, he has supervised a 16-county Work Incentives Planning and Assistance Project to provide benefits advisment to Social Security and SSI recipients planning to go to work.

JO ANNE SIMON, ESQ.

Jo Anne Simon is in private practice in Brooklyn, New York, concentrating in disability civil rights in high-stakes standardized testing, higher education law and employment discrimination. Previously, Ms. Simon was the staff attorney at Hofstra University School of Law's Disabilities Law Clinic, and she now is an adjunct associate professor of law at Fordham University.

A graduate of Fordham University School of Law, Ms. Simon holds a master's degree in the education of the deaf from Gallaudet University and was a certified sign language interpreter (1978–2000) and a founding member of the Association on Higher Education and Disability (AHEAD).

She is a former Chair of the Association of the Bar of the City of New York's Committee on Legal Issues Affecting the Rights of Persons with Disabilities and a member of the New York State Bar Association's Committee on Issues Affecting People with Disabilities.

KATHLEEN E. SURGALLA, ESQ.

Kathleen Surgalla, now retired, was assistant counsel for the New York State Education Department Office of Counsel. She received her J.D. degree in 1979 from the State University of New York at Buffalo, where she also received her Ph.D. in Political Science in 1984. Her doctoral dissertation examined the impact of lawyers and advocacy organizations on implementation of special education law in New York. Prior to joining the State Education Department, she was Director of Legal Services for the New York State Association for Retarded Children, Inc./Erie County Chapter, then senior attorney with the New York State Mental Hygiene Legal Service. She chaired the New York State Bar Association's Committee on Issues Affecting People with Disabilities from 2000 to 2004 and was a frequent speaker at continuing legal education programs on special education law. She was also an editor of NYSBA's *Representing People With Disabilities*.

SUSAN M. YOUNG, ESQ.

A graduate of the Washington College of Law at American University, Susan Young has been an attorney at Legal Services of Central New York since the 1990s. As a Protection and Advocacy attorney, she represents both children and adults with disabilities in administrative proceedings as well as state and federal court. Representing students and parents in special education proceedings is a large part of her practice. In addition, Ms. Young represents persons with disabilities in the areas of employment discrimination, substitute decision making, financial abuse, Medicaid home care services, eligibility for OPWDD services, and care and treatment in facilities.