Definitive Creative Impasse-Breaking Techniques in Mediation

Editor

Molly Klapper, J.D., Ph.D.

Authors

Simeon H. Baum, Esq. **Professor Vivian Berger** John DeGroote, Esq. Julie Denny Hon. William A. Dreier **Professor Dwight Golann** Elayne E. Greenberg, Esq. Stephen A. Hochman, Esq. Professor Jonathan M. Hyman Laura A. Kaster, Esq. Molly Klapper, J.D., Ph.D. J. Anderson Little, Esq. Julia Morelli **Daniel Rainey** Ruth D. Raisfeld, Esq. Terri Roth Reicher, Esq. James F. Ring, Esq. Irene C. Warshauer, Esq. Hon. Barbara Byrd Wecker



New York State Bar Association Continuing Legal Education publications are intended to provide current and accurate information to help attorneys maintain their professional competence. Publications are distributed with the understanding that NYSBA does not render any legal, accounting or other professional service. Attorneys using publications or orally conveyed information in dealing with a specific client's or their own legal matters should also research original sources of authority.

We consider the publication of any NYSBA practice book as the beginning of a dialogue with our readers. Periodic updates to this book will give us the opportunity to incorporate your suggestions regarding additions or corrections. Please send your comments to: CLE Publications Director, New York State Bar Association, One Elk Street, Albany, NY 12207.

Copyright 2011
New York State Bar Association
All rights reserved
ISBN: 1-57969-324-5
Product Number: 41229

CONTENTS

Chapter 1	Impasse Prevention Strategies <i>Prior to</i> and <i>Upon</i> Commencement of the Mediation <i>Molly Klapper, J.D., Ph.D.</i>	1
Chapter 2	Starting Here, Starting Now: Using the Lawyer as Impasse Breaker During the Pre-Mediation Phase Elayne E. Greenberg, Esq.	15
Chapter 3	Avoiding Impasse: A Mediator's Rules to Live By Hon. Barbara Byrd Wecker	25
Chapter 4	The Roots of Impasse in the Mind of the Mediator <i>Professor Jonathan M. Hyman</i>	33
Chapter 5	Addressing Impasse by Helping the Parties Value the Case Laura A. Kaster, Esq.	53
Chapter 6	Bloqueo, Ausweglose Situation, Impasse: Culture and Breaking Impasse in Dispute Resolution	63
Chapter 7	Using Emotions in Mediation to Avoid or Get Through Impasse Julie Denny	97
Chapter 8	Blowing Off Steam: Venting as a Catalyst or Impediment to Resolution	107
Chapter 9	"Insulting" First Offers: Why Lawyers Make Them, and How to Respond	121
Chapter 10	When They Want to Walk Out: How to Deal With the Predictable Pitfalls of Positional Bargaining	133
Chapter 11	Changing Faces to Change Positions	145
Chapter 12	Get a Bigger Bang for Fewer Bucks: Pick Meaningful Numbers Professor Vivian Berger	155

Chapter 13	Creative Mediated Solutions to Avoid Impasse	163
Chapter 14	High-Low Agreements and More: Definitive Tools to Break Impasse in Mediation	177
Chapter 15	Sausage Making Laid Bare: The Consensus-Based Risk Allocation Model and Other Impasse Breakers and Approaches to Multi-Party Naysayers (When Each One Points the Finger at the Other as the More Culpable Party)	195
Chapter 16	A Mediator's Proposal—Whether, When and How It Should Be Used	223
Chapter 17	Resolving Impasses in Personal Injury Mediations <i>Hon. William A. Dreier</i>	237
Chapter 18	Using Game Theory to Break Impasse in Disputes Between Joint Owners of Property	253
Chapter 19	The Technique of No Technique: A Paean to the <i>Tao-te Ching</i> and Penultimate Word on Breaking Impasse	263

DETAILED TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Acknowledgment Introduction.		
Chapte	er 1	Impasse Prevention Strategies <i>Prior to</i> and <i>Upon</i> Commencement of the Mediation Molly Klapper, J.D., Ph.D.	
[1.0] [1.1]	I.	The Pre-Mediation Telephone Conference Call A. Identify the Parties on Each Side Who Have Full, Complete, and Unconditional Authority to Resolve the Matter; Get Firm Commitments for Those Parties to Be Present During the Live Mediation	3
[1.2]		B. Engage Defaulting Parties	3
[1.3]		C. Explain Mediation as a Learning Process	4
[1.4]		D. Explain That Mediation Is an Evolving Process; Therefore It Takes Time	4
[1.5]		E. Impress Upon Participants That Mediation Provides a Rare Opportunity to Air All Issues, Even If They Are Only Collateral to	4
[1.6]		the Complaint or Even Irrelevant F. Very Few Cases Make It to Trial	4 5
[1.7]		G. Make Their Mouths Water About the Advantages	3
		of Mediation	5
[1.8]	II.	"Must-Ask" Questions Upon Commencement of	_
[1.9]		the Mediation	6
[1.10]		B. Urge the Parties to Put All the Cards on the Table	6
[1.11]		C. Encourage the Parties and Attorneys to Widen Their Horizons and Think Out of the Box	7
[1.12]		D. Assure the Parties of Fairness in the Procedural Process	7
[1.13]		E. Display Lots of Empathy	7
[1.14]	III.	How the Mediator Can Counter Some Typical	•
[]	•	Arguments Made by Parties	8

[1.15]		A.	Party's Argument One: "I'd Rather Pay My	
			Attorney Than My Adversary"	8
[1.16]		B.	Party's Argument Two: "Believe Me, It Is Not	
			the Money, But the Principle"	8
[1.17]			1. Justice Has Not Only One Face	8
[1.18]			2. Pure Justice Does Not Necessarily Bring	
			Peace	9
[1.19]		C.	Party's Argument Three: "It Is Too Early—	
			We Need More Time to Conduct Formal	
			Discovery and Take Depositions"	10
[1.20]		D.	Party's Argument Four: "Mine Is a Slam-Dunk	
			Case and the Other Side Has Brought a	
			Frivolous Claim"	11
[1.21]	IV.	Otl	her Helpful Tips: Potpourri "A"	11
[1.22]		A.	Identify Gray Areas That Depend Purely on	
			Credibility	11
[1.23]		В.	The Focus of the Mediation Should Be to	
			Encourage Forward Movement, No Matter	
			How Small the Steps	11
[1.24]		C.	Perseverance, Patience, Persistence; the	
			Mediator Must Constantly Display and Maintain	
			Optimism and Assure the Parties That the Matter	
			Is Going to Get Resolved	12
[1.25]		D.	Thorough Preparation by the Mediator	12
[1.26]		E.	Distinguish Between Positions and Interests	12
[1.27]		F.	Distinguish Between Posturing and Puffing and	
			the Pursuit of Peace and Negotiation	12
[1.28]		G.	Enlist the Attorney's Assistance	12
[1.29]		H.	Devise a Method of Payment, or Payment	
			Plan If the Inability to Pay Right Up Front Is the	
			Issue	13
[1.30]		I.	BATNA (Best Alternative to a Negotiated	
			Agreement)	13
[1.31]		J.	Try Scheduling Another Mediation Session	13
[1.32]	V.	Pot	tpourri "B"	13
[1.33]		A.	Bring Along Snacks	13
[1.34]		B.	Humor Is Always Handy	13
[1.35]		C.	Call for a Break for Lunch or Coffee	14
[1.36]		D.	Get Consensus on the Easy Points First;	
			the More Difficult May Follow	14
[1.37]		E.	Separate, if Possible, the Future From the Past	14
[1.38]		F.	Keep the Mediation Alive	14

Chapte	er 2	Starting Here, Starting Now: Using The Lawyer as Impasse Breaker During the Pre-Mediation Phase Elayne E. Greenberg, Esq.	
[2.0] [2.1]	I. II.	Introduction	17 17
[2.1]	11.	A. What Is This Conflict Really About?	18
[2.3]		B. What Is Preventing This Case From Settling?	18
[2.4]		C. What Would Have to Happen for the Case to Settle?	19
[2.5]	III.	The Briefing Paper: An Impasse-Breaking Tool	20
[2.6]	IV.	The Client: The Conflict Expert and Impasse	
[2 7]		Breaker	22
[2.7]		A. Education B. Teamwork Between Lawyers and Clients Is	22
[2.8]		Critical in Overcoming Impasses	23
[2.9]	V.	Conclusion	23
[2.9]	٧.	Colletusion	23
Chapte	er 3	Avoiding Impasse: A Mediator's Rules to Live By <i>Hon. Barbara Byrd Wecker</i>	
[3.0]	I.	Rule 1: Never Give Up, Never Give	
FO 13	**	Up, Never Give Up!	27
[3.1]	II.	Rule 2: People Skills Count	27
[3.2]	III.	Rule 3: Prepare for a Successful Mediation	27
[3.3]	IV.	Rule 4: Create a Partnership With the Parties	27
[3.4]	V.	Rule 5: Reinforce the Parties'	
		Desire to Reach Agreement	28
[3.5]	VI.	Rule 6: Listen to the Parties	28
[3.6]	VII.	Rule 7: Do Not Let Any Party Draw a Line	28
[3.7]	VIII	in the Sand	20
[3.7]	V 111	Lose"	29
[3.8]	IX.	Rule 9: Do Not Be Afraid to Provide a Dose	
		of Reality	29
[3.9]	X.	Rule 10: Never Declare an Impasse	29
[3.10]	XI.	Rule 11: If an Impasse Does Threaten, Consider a Fresh Start	30
[3.11]	XII.	Rule 12: Give Each Party the Confidence to	
FO. 103	****	Continue	30
[3.12]	XIII	.Rule 13: Recognize When It's Time to Take a Break	20
[3.13]	XIV	Rule 14: Use the Break Constructively	30 31
[0.10]	1		- 1

[3.14]	XV.	Rule 15: Consider a Second Roundtable	21
[2 15]	3/3/1	Before a Break	
[3.15]		Rule 16: Keep in Touch	32
[3.16]	XVI	I.Rule 17: Fewer Than All Parties Can Settle in	32
[2 17]	VIII	Mediation	32
[3.17]	AVI	II. Rule 18: Consider a Partial or Temporary	32
[3.18]	VIV	Agreement	32
[3.10]	ΛΙΛ	Meeting	32
[3.19]	XX.	Rule 20: Keep the Door Open	32
Chant	om 4	The Doots of Impages in the Mind of the Mediaton	
Chapt	er 4	The Roots of Impasse in the Mind of the Mediator <i>Professor Jonathan M. Hyman</i>	
[4.0]	I.	Introduction	35
[4.1]	II.	An Example of a Mediator's Choices and the	
[]		Four Modes of Mediation	36
[4.2]		A. Explore the Motivations Behind Extreme	
[]		Positions	37
[4.3]		B. Search for Options That Create More Value	38
[4.4]		C. Why Did the Dispute Arise?	38
[4.5]		D. How Does Each Party View the Situation?	39
[4.6]	III.	How a Mediator Can Contribute to Impasse	42
[4.7]	IV.	The Variability of Modes and the Mediator's	
		Opportunity	43
[4.8]	V.	The Seven Key Subject Matters for Mediation Talk	46
[4.9]	VI.	Listening Beyond the Music That's Playing	48
	Tabl	e 1: A Proposed Relationship Between Cognitive	
		Frameworks and Subjects of Talk in Mediation	51
Chapt	er 5	Addressing Impasse by Helping the Parties Value	
		the Case	
		Laura A. Kaster, Esq.	
[5.0]	I.	Is There a Problem?	55
[5.1]	II.	Barriers to Valuing the Case	
[5.2]		Mediator's Techniques	
Chapt	er 6	Bloqueo, Ausweglose Situation, Impasse:	
•		Culture and Breaking Impasse in Dispute Resolution	on
		Julia Morelli and Daniel Rainey	
[6.0]	I.	Part One: Definitions of Impasse and Culture	65
[6.1]		A. Introduction	
[6.2]		B. What Is Impasse?	

[6.3]		C. Culture: What Is It and Why Does It Matter?	68
[6.4]	II.	Part Two: The Relationship Between Culture and	
		Impasse	75
[6.5]		A. How Is Culture Related to Impasse?	75
[6.6]		B. Individualist Versus Collectivist Tendencies	77
[6.7]		C. High-Context Versus Low-Context	
		Tendencies	80
[6.8]		D. Gender Differences	82
[6.9]		E. Views of Power and Status	83
[6.10]		F. Respect, Trust, and Communication	84
[6.11]	III.	Strategies: How Can Mediators Use Their	
		Knowledge of Cultural Dynamics to Break	
		Impasse?	85
[6.12]		A. Fight, Flight, or Flex?	85
[6.13]		B. Nosce Te Ipsum—Know Thyself	86
[6.14]		C. Listen to the Parties	88
[6.15]		D. Be Overt and Question Assumptions	89
[6.16]		E. Use Curiosity and Creative Questions	90
[6.17]		F. Discuss and Define Who Is "at the Table"	91
[6.18]		G. Look for "Culture Clusters"	92
[6.19]	IV.	Conclusion	93
Chapte	er 7	Using Emotions in Mediation to Avoid or Get	
F		Through Impasse	
		Julie Denny	
[7.0]	I.	Introduction	99
[7.1]	II.	Obsession With Position	101
[7.2]	III.	Non-Verbal Signals	102
[7.3]	IV.	Cultural Differences	102
[7.4]	V.	Withdrawal	103
[7.5]	VI.	Excessive Aggression	103
[7.6]	VII.	Defensiveness	104
[7.7]	VIII	. Trust Your Instincts	104
[7.8]	IX.	Put Yourself, Cautiously, in Their Place	104
[7.9]		A. Acknowledge Emotions	105
[7.10]		B. Coach the Parties	105
[7.11]		C. Test Your <i>Own</i> Assumptions	105
[7.12]		D. Have the Parties Switch Seats	105
г. ј			

Chapte	er 8	Blowing Off Steam: Venting as a Catalyst or Impediment to Resolution	
		Terri Roth Reicher, Esq.	
[8.0]	I.	Overview of Venting	109
[8.1]	II.	"Controlled Venting" at the Joint Session	111
[8.2]		A. Strategies	111
[8.3]		B. Venting and Its Role in Caucus	113
[8.4]		C. Venting and Other Considerations	116
[8.5]		1. Status	116
[8.6]		2. Balance of Power	117
[8.7]		3. Gender	117
[8.8]		4 Ethnicity	117
[8.9]		5. Ripeness	118
[8.10]	III.	Conclusion	118
	Refe	erences	119
Chapte	-r Q	"Insulting" First Offers: Why Lawyers Make Then	1
Спари	,	and How to Respond	1,
		Professor Dwight Golann	
[9.0]	I.	Why Do Bargainers Make "Insulting" Offers?	123
[9.1]		A. Tactics	123
[9.2]		B. Strong Emotions	124
[9.3]		C. Misevaluation of the Legal Case	124
[9.4]		D. Poor Bargaining Decisions	125
[9.5]		E. Disagreement Within a Team	125
[9.6]	II.	But Is It a Good Tactic?	125
[9.7]	III.	How to Respond to an Insulting Offer	127
[9.8]		A. Options to Deal With Extreme Offers	127
[9.9]		B. Ask About the Reasoning and the Message	127
[9.10]		C. Ask About or Predict the Response	128
[9.11]		D. Advise or Bargain	128
[9.12]		E. Ask for Private Information	129
[9.13]		F. Focus on Other Issues	130
[9.14]	IV.	How to Transmit an Extreme Offer	130
[9.15]		A. Deliver It, With Context	130
[9.16]		B. Describe It in General Terms	131
[9.17]		C. Suggest Ignoring It	131
[9.18]		D. Delay	131
[9.19]		E. Suggest a Process	132

Chapter 10	When They Want to Walk Out: How to Deal With the Predictable Pitfalls of Positional Bargaining	
	J. Anderson Little, Esq.	
[10.0] I. [10.1] II.	Positional Bargaining: An Overview	135 136
[10.2] [10.3] [10.4]	A. Recognize Signs of ReactivityB. Acknowledge the Party's DilemmaC. Help Expand Their Range of Options	136 137 139
[10.5] [10.6] [10.7]	D. Help Clarify the Message in the ProposalE. Help Them Plan Their MovementF. The Tougher Negotiation	140 142 143
Chapter 11	Changing Faces to Change Positions Ruth D. Raisfeld, Esq.	
[11.0] I. [11.1] II.	Traditional First Approach: Mediator and Counsel Joint Session: Mediator, Counsel, Client	147
[11 0] HI	Representatives	148
[11.2] III.	Separate Caucuses: Mediator, Counsel, Clients	149 149
[11.3] [11.4]	A. Mediator Takes Counsel Aside, SeparatelyB. Mediator Takes Counsel Aside, Together	150
	C. Clients Talk to Each Other, With or Without	130
[11.5]	Counsel, With or Without the Mediator	150
[11.6]	Clients Talk to Each Other, Without Counsel or the Mediator	151
[11.7]	2. Clients Talk to Each Other, With Counsel and the Mediator Present	151
[11.8] IV.	Participants Consult With an Outsider	152
[11.9]	A. Mediator Talks to a Third Person, Who Is Not in Attendance at the Mediation Setting	152
[11.10]	B. A Subject Matter Expert Helps With a Disputed Issue	153
[11.11] V.	Conclusion	153
Chapter 12	Get a Bigger Bang for Fewer Bucks: Pick Meaningful Numbers Professor Vivian Berger	
[12.0] I.	Impasse Looms	157
[12.1] II.	Numbers With Mainly Practical Significance	158
[12.2] III.	Numbers With Mainly Psychological Significance	160
[12.3] IV.	Conclusion	162

Chapter 13	Irene C. Warshauer, Esq.	
[13.0] I.	Examples of Creative Mediated Resolutions	165
[13.1]	A. Death of a Baby in a Stroller	165
[13.2]	B. Distributor, You're Fired	166
[13.3]	C. Shifty Middleman	167
[13.4]	D. Real Estate Plus	167
[13.5]	E. Hotel Employee, Be Gone	167
[13.6]	F. Extra Perks Resolve a Brokerage Dispute	168
[13.7] II.	Use of Mediation to Resolve Medical Issues	168
[13.8]	A. Placement of an IV	168
[13.9]	B. Misdiagnosis Leads to Death	169
[13.10] III.	Use of Mediation to Dissolve Relationships and	
	Divide Assets	169
[13.11]	A. Dissolution of a 10-Year Relationship	170
[13.12]	B. Allocating Inherited Valuables	171
[13.13]	C. Splitting Marital Assets Fairly	172
[13.14] IV.	Mediation of Employment Disputes	172
[13.15]	A. Restoration of Dignity	172
[13.16]	B. Tribute to the Claimant	173
[13.17]	C. One-Time Only Perks	173
[13.18]	1. Enabling Schmoozing	173
[13.19]	2. Transfer of a Government Employee	174
[13.20] V.	Creativity in Resolving Landlord and Tenant	
	Disputes	174
[13.21]	A. Embarrassment Caused by the Landlord	174
[13.22]	B. Barter or Exchange of Services	174
[13.23] VI.	Conclusion	174
Chapter 14	High-Low Agreements and More: Definitive Tools to Break Impasse in Mediation John DeGroote, Esq.	
[14.0] I.	Bridging the Gap	179
[14.1] II.	Proposal Structures: Techniques to Resolve the	
	Dispute During (or Even Before) the Mediation	190
[14.2]	Session	180 180
		182
[14.3]		
[14.4] [14.5]	C. Mediator's Proposals	182 183
[14.3]	D. Double-Blind Ranges	184

[14.7]	III.	Input Structures: Techniques to Achieve Third-Party	
		Perspectives	185
[14.8]		A. Post-Session Presentations	186
[14.9]		B. Non-Binding Arbitration	187
[14.10]		C. Expert Fact Finding	187
[14.11]		D. Shared-Focus Study/Mock Trial	188
[14.12]		E. Binding Arbitration	188
[14.13]	IV.	Discovery Structures: Techniques to Limit	
		Discovery Expenses	189
[14.14]		A. Stay for Specific Discovery	189
[14.15]		B. Discovery Limits	190
[14.16]	V.	Settlement Structures: Techniques to Limit the	
		Scope of the Dispute	190
[14.17]		A. Settle Halfway	191
[14.18]		B. Bifurcation	192
[14.19]		C. High-Low Agreement	192
[14.20]	VI.	Mix and Match: The Use of Multiple Tools to Resolve	2
		Your Dispute	193
Cnapte	EI 13	Sausage Making Laid Bare: The Consensus-Based Risk Allocation Model and Other Impasse Breaker	'S
Cnapto	ei 13	Risk Allocation Model and Other Impasse Breaker and Approaches to Multi-Party Naysayers (When Each One Points the Finger at the Other as the More Culpable Party)	rs
		Risk Allocation Model and Other Impasse Breaker and Approaches to Multi-Party Naysayers (When Each One Points the Finger at the Other as the More Culpable Party) Simeon H. Baum, Esq.	
[15.0]	I.	Risk Allocation Model and Other Impasse Breaker and Approaches to Multi-Party Naysayers (When Each One Points the Finger at the Other as the More Culpable Party) Simeon H. Baum, Esq. Multi-Party Mediations	197
[15.0] [15.1]		Risk Allocation Model and Other Impasse Breaker and Approaches to Multi-Party Naysayers (When Each One Points the Finger at the Other as the More Culpable Party) Simeon H. Baum, Esq. Multi-Party Mediations	197 199
[15.0] [15.1] [15.2]	I.	Risk Allocation Model and Other Impasse Breaker and Approaches to Multi-Party Naysayers (When Each One Points the Finger at the Other as the More Culpable Party) Simeon H. Baum, Esq. Multi-Party Mediations The Consensus-Based Risk Allocation Model	197 199 201
[15.0] [15.1] [15.2] [15.3]	I.	Risk Allocation Model and Other Impasse Breaker and Approaches to Multi-Party Naysayers (When Each One Points the Finger at the Other as the More Culpable Party) Simeon H. Baum, Esq. Multi-Party Mediations	197 199 201 202
[15.0] [15.1] [15.2] [15.3] [15.4]	I. II.	Risk Allocation Model and Other Impasse Breaker and Approaches to Multi-Party Naysayers (When Each One Points the Finger at the Other as the More Culpable Party) Simeon H. Baum, Esq. Multi-Party Mediations The Consensus-Based Risk Allocation Model	197 199 201 202 203
[15.0] [15.1] [15.2] [15.3]	I. II.	Risk Allocation Model and Other Impasse Breaker and Approaches to Multi-Party Naysayers (When Each One Points the Finger at the Other as the More Culpable Party) Simeon H. Baum, Esq. Multi-Party Mediations The Consensus-Based Risk Allocation Model	197 199 201 202 203 206
[15.0] [15.1] [15.2] [15.3] [15.4]	I. II. III. Tabl	Risk Allocation Model and Other Impasse Breaker and Approaches to Multi-Party Naysayers (When Each One Points the Finger at the Other as the More Culpable Party) Simeon H. Baum, Esq. Multi-Party Mediations The Consensus-Based Risk Allocation Model	197 199 201 202 203 206 209
[15.0] [15.1] [15.2] [15.3] [15.4]	I. II. III. Tabl	Risk Allocation Model and Other Impasse Breaker and Approaches to Multi-Party Naysayers (When Each One Points the Finger at the Other as the More Culpable Party) Simeon H. Baum, Esq. Multi-Party Mediations The Consensus-Based Risk Allocation Model	197 199 201 202 203 206 209 210
[15.0] [15.1] [15.2] [15.3] [15.4]	I. III. Tabi	Risk Allocation Model and Other Impasse Breaker and Approaches to Multi-Party Naysayers (When Each One Points the Finger at the Other as the More Culpable Party) Simeon H. Baum, Esq. Multi-Party Mediations The Consensus-Based Risk Allocation Model	197 199 201 202 203 206 209 210 211
[15.0] [15.1] [15.2] [15.3] [15.4]	I. III. Tabl	Risk Allocation Model and Other Impasse Breaker and Approaches to Multi-Party Naysayers (When Each One Points the Finger at the Other as the More Culpable Party) Simeon H. Baum, Esq. Multi-Party Mediations The Consensus-Based Risk Allocation Model A. Trial Outcome and Transaction Costs B. Probable Settlement Number C. Graduated, Lesser Offer Pot (GLOP) The Joint Defendants' Conference Call e 1 e 2 e 3	197 199 201 202 203 206 209 210 211 212
[15.0] [15.1] [15.2] [15.3] [15.4]	I. III. Tabl	Risk Allocation Model and Other Impasse Breaker and Approaches to Multi-Party Naysayers (When Each One Points the Finger at the Other as the More Culpable Party) Simeon H. Baum, Esq. Multi-Party Mediations The Consensus-Based Risk Allocation Model A. Trial Outcome and Transaction Costs B. Probable Settlement Number C. Graduated, Lesser Offer Pot (GLOP) The Joint Defendants' Conference Call et a	197 199 201 202 203 206 209 210 211 212 213
[15.0] [15.1] [15.2] [15.3] [15.4]	I. III. Tabi Tabi Tabi Tabi Tabi	Risk Allocation Model and Other Impasse Breaker and Approaches to Multi-Party Naysayers (When Each One Points the Finger at the Other as the More Culpable Party) Simeon H. Baum, Esq. Multi-Party Mediations The Consensus-Based Risk Allocation Model A. Trial Outcome and Transaction Costs B. Probable Settlement Number C. Graduated, Lesser Offer Pot (GLOP) The Joint Defendants' Conference Call e 2 e 3 e 4 e 5 e 6	197 199 201 202 203 206 209 210 211 212 213 214
[15.0] [15.1] [15.2] [15.3] [15.4]	I. III. Tabi Tabi Tabi Tabi Tabi Tabi	Risk Allocation Model and Other Impasse Breaker and Approaches to Multi-Party Naysayers (When Each One Points the Finger at the Other as the More Culpable Party) Simeon H. Baum, Esq. Multi-Party Mediations The Consensus-Based Risk Allocation Model A. Trial Outcome and Transaction Costs B. Probable Settlement Number C. Graduated, Lesser Offer Pot (GLOP) The Joint Defendants' Conference Call te 2 te 3 te 4 te 5 te 6 te 7	197 199 201 202 203 206 209 210 211 212 213 214 215
[15.0] [15.1] [15.2] [15.3] [15.4]	I. III. Tabl Tabl Tabl Tabl Tabl Tabl	Risk Allocation Model and Other Impasse Breaker and Approaches to Multi-Party Naysayers (When Each One Points the Finger at the Other as the More Culpable Party) Simeon H. Baum, Esq. Multi-Party Mediations The Consensus-Based Risk Allocation Model A. Trial Outcome and Transaction Costs B. Probable Settlement Number C. Graduated, Lesser Offer Pot (GLOP) The Joint Defendants' Conference Call le 1 le 2 le 3 le 4 le 5 le 6 le 7 le 8	197 199 201 202 203 206 209 210 211 212 213 214 215 216
[15.0] [15.1] [15.2] [15.3] [15.4]	I. III. Tabl Tabl Tabl Tabl Tabl Tabl Tabl	Risk Allocation Model and Other Impasse Breaker and Approaches to Multi-Party Naysayers (When Each One Points the Finger at the Other as the More Culpable Party) Simeon H. Baum, Esq. Multi-Party Mediations The Consensus-Based Risk Allocation Model A. Trial Outcome and Transaction Costs B. Probable Settlement Number C. Graduated, Lesser Offer Pot (GLOP) The Joint Defendants' Conference Call le 1 le 2 le 3 le 4 le 5 le 6 le 7 le 8 le 9	197 199 201 202 203 206 209 210 211 212 213 214 215 216 217
[15.0] [15.1] [15.2] [15.3] [15.4]	I. III. Tabl Tabl Tabl Tabl Tabl Tabl Tabl Tabl	Risk Allocation Model and Other Impasse Breaker and Approaches to Multi-Party Naysayers (When Each One Points the Finger at the Other as the More Culpable Party) Simeon H. Baum, Esq. Multi-Party Mediations The Consensus-Based Risk Allocation Model A. Trial Outcome and Transaction Costs B. Probable Settlement Number C. Graduated, Lesser Offer Pot (GLOP) The Joint Defendants' Conference Call le 1 le 2 le 3 le 4 le 5 le 6 le 7 le 8	197 199 201 202 203 206 209 210 211 212 213 214 215 216

	Tabl	e 12	221
Chapte	er 16	A Mediator's Proposal—Whether, When and How Should Be Used Stephen A. Hochman, Esq.	It
[16.0]	I.	When Should a Mediator's Proposal Be Used?	225
[16.1]	II.	When Should a Mediator's Proposal Not	223
		Be Used?	227
[16.2]	III.	What Are the Possible Disadvantages of Making	
		a Mediator's Proposal?	227
[16.3]	IV.	How Should the Mediator Prepare the Parties for a	
		Mediator's Proposal?	228
[16.4]	V.	What Criteria Should the Mediator Use in	
		Formulating a Mediator's Proposal?	229
[16.5]	VI.	What Are the Advantages of a Mediator's	
		Proposal?	231
[16.6]	VII.	Is There Anything a Mediator Can Do If Only	
F1 6 5 3	* ****	One Party Accepts the Mediator's Proposal?	231
[16.7]	VIII	.How Should The Parties Be Instructed to Respond	222
F1 C 01	137	to a Mediator's Proposal?	232
[16.8]	IX.	Conclusion	233
	App	endix	235
Chapte	er 17	Resolving Impasses in Personal Injury Mediations $Hon.\ William\ A.\ Dreier$	
[17.0]	I.	The Dynamics of a Personal Injury Mediation	239
[17.1]	II.	Techniques for Resolving Impasses in Personal	
		Injury Cases	241
[17.2]		A. Face Reality—Legal and Factual Analysis,	
		Aided by the Mediator	241
[17.3]		B. Annuities and Other Benefits in Later Life	241
[17.4]		C. Discussion of Pitfalls in a Judicial	
		(or Arbitrated) Resolution	243
[17.5]		D. Damage Estimations	243
[17.6]		E. Focus on Forward-Looking Interests; But Let the	
		Parties Vent	244
[17.7]		F. Fears of Precedent	244
[17.8]		G. Abandonment of Principles	245
[17.9]		H. Outside-the-Box Factors	246
[17.10]		I. Probability Analysis	246

[17.11]		J. Gambling Analogy	247
[17.12]		K. "Black Box" Analysis	247
[17.13]		L. When You Are Nearly There—Last-Minute	
		Holdouts	248
[17.14]		1. Split the Difference	248
[17.15]		2. "There Is a Number"	248
[17.16]		3. "If I Could Get \$X?"	249
[17.17]		4. "This Far, But No Further"	249
[17.18]		5. The Mediator Number	250
[17.19]		6. Separate Settlements With Particular	
		Parties	251
[17.20]		7. Best and Final Offer/Demand	251
[17.21]		8. Separate Parties from Counsel	251
[17.22]	III.	The "Failed" Mediation—or Is It?	252
Cl 4 -	10	II-i Come The come to Direct Liver on the Direct Come	
Спари	er 10	Using Game Theory to Break Impasse in Disputes	
		Between Joint Owners of Property	
		James F. Ring, Esq.	
[18.0]	I.	Introduction	
[18.1]	II.	Summary of Traditional Buy-Sell Arrangements	255
[18.2]	III.	The Problem with Traditional Buy-Sell	
		Arrangements	256
[18.3]	IV.	Breaking Impasse Through a Radical Improvement	
		to Traditional Buy-Sell	257
[18.4]	V.	Examples	259
[18.5]	VI.	Conclusion	262
Chante	r 19	The Technique of No Technique:	
Спари		A Paean to the <i>Tao-te Ching</i> and	
		Penultimate Word on Breaking Impasse	
		Simeon H. Baum, Esq.	
[19.0]	I.	Techniques	265
[19.0]	II.	No Technique	
[19.1]	11.	A. Attitude	
[19.2]		B. Non-Doing	
[19.3]		C. Stepping Aside	
[17.4]		c. Suppling Aside	209
Bibliography			275
About the Contributors			
About t	he Co	ontributors	-283

ABOUT THE EDITOR

MOLLY KLAPPER, J.D., PH.D.

Molly Klapper is a Harvard-trained mediator, with over a decade of successful Alternate Dispute Resolution (ADR) experience in the greater New York metropolitan area. Her practice serves courts, private ADR providers, including the American Arbitration Association, Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, and private clients, and encompasses a broad range of fields, including all aspects of employment law, construction, insurance, securities, partnerships, real estate, and professional malpractice. She is a director of the New Jersey State Bar Association's Dispute Resolution Section, was its program chair, is active on the ADR Day faculty, and now also serves on the Garibaldi Inn of Court program committee, and on New York State Bar Association's Mediation Committee. Her published articles have won prestigious prizes and her book reviews appear in the New York Law Journal. She has lectured in the United States and Europe, and co-chaired a full-day CLE Seminar for the New York City Bar. Prior to becoming a full-time mediator, Dr. Klapper was a litigator for one of New York City's largest law firms, taught at Touro Law School, and published two well-received books on The German Literary Influence on Byron and Shelley.

ABOUT THE AUTHORS

SIMEON H. BAUM, ESQ.

Simeon H. Baum, President of Resolve Mediation Services, Inc. (www.mediators.com), was the first Chair of New York State Bar Association's Dispute Resolution Section. Mr. Baum has mediated more than 900 disputes, including the Studio Daniel Libeskind-Silverstein Properties dispute over architectural fees relating to the redevelopment of the World Trade Center site and Trump's \$1 billion lawsuit over the West Side Hudson River development. He was selected for *New York Magazine*'s 2005–2011 "Best Lawyers" and "New York Super Lawyers" listings for ADR, and Best Lawyers' "Lawyer of the Year" for ADR in New York for 2011. He teaches Negotiation Theory & Skills at Benjamin N. Cardozo School of Law and is a frequent speaker and trainer on ADR. For more than a decade he has trained mediators for the Commercial Division of various counties of the New York Supreme Court, and more recently through the NYSBA's Dispute Resolution Section.

PROFESSOR VIVIAN BERGER

Vivian Berger is the Nash Professor of Law Emerita at Columbia Law School. She has conducted hundreds of mediations and been designated an Advanced Practitioner in employment mediation by the Association for Conflict Resolution. In addition to mediating privately, she is a member of the American Arbitration Association Panel on Employment Mediation and the mediator panels of the U.S. District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York, the New Jersey state court system, and the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission. She has also conducted arbitrations and independent workplace investigations, served as special master in a sexual harassment case in federal court and was on the New York State Unified Court System ADR Advisory Committee. She is a member of the ADR Committee of the Association of the Bar of the City of New York and the American Bar Association and New York State Bar Association Dispute Resolution Sections. Prof. Berger co-chairs the Legislation Committee of the NYSBA's Labor and Employment Law Section and is a member of that section's Executive Committee. She is widely published and a frequent speaker on mediation and employmentrelated topics.

JOHN DEGROOTE, ESQ.

John DeGroote serves as the court-appointed trustee or receiver to significant corporate and probate estates, including the BearingPoint, Inc. Liquidating Trust and other interests, through Dallas-based John DeGroote Services, LLC. He served at global consulting firm Bearing-Point, Inc. and its predecessor, KPMG Consulting, LLC, from 2000 through 2009, first as its Chief Litigation Counsel and later as its Chief Legal Officer and, ultimately, as its President. Mr. DeGroote has served as the lead settlement negotiator for hundreds of cases and has mediated disputes in over 20 states. In addition to his formal duties, he maintains a blog on settlement techniques and negotiation strategies at settlementperspectives.com. Mr. DeGroote received his J.D. from the Duke University School of Law and his B.A. from Mississippi State University; he received his mediation training from Pepperdine University's Straus Institute for Dispute Resolution in 2005.

JULIE DENNY

Julie Denny spent 15 years in marketing and business development for Dow Jones, McGraw-Hill and the Associated Press and four years with the Alliance for Mediation & Conflict Resolution before founding Resolutions in 1998. She works with individuals and organizations, fostering

constructive communication. Organizational clients include Draft Worldwide, American Express, Roundabout Theatre, Girl Scouts of the USA, U.S. Air Force and a number of small family-owned businesses. Ms. Denny is an Advanced Practitioner member of the Workplace and Family Sections of the Association for Conflict Resolution, a mediation panelist for the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, U.S. Postal Service, Transportation Security Authority (TSA) and Key Bridge Foundation ADA program. She a certified mediator and former Associate of the Institute for the Study of Conflict Transformation, Ms. Denny regularly reviews books on conflict resolution and mediation for *Library Journal*. She has been featured in Court-TV, Bloomberg Network and NY1 segments on mediation.

HON. WILLIAM A. DREIER

Judge William A. Dreier, a member of the firm, heads the Norris McLaughlin & Marcus worldwide products liability practice, as well as supervising litigation, mediation, and arbitration. He served 25 years in the New Jersey State Judiciary, where he was a judge of the Appellate Division from 1983 through 1998, and Presiding Judge from 1994 to 1998. He also served in the Chancery Division and the Law Division of the Superior Court from 1973 to 1983. and is the author of approximately 380 published legal opinions, as well as several books and over 45 published articles. As an arbitrator and mediator, Judge Dreier has been chosen as a member of the New Jersey Panel of Distinguished Neutrals, International Institute for Conflict Prevention and Resolution (CPR), and the AAA National Panels of Mediators and Commercial, Complex Cases, Class Actions, and Wireless Telecommunications Arbitrators, and has served as an International Chamber of Commerce (ICC) and International Centre for Dispute Resolution (ICDR) Arbitrator. He is a Certified Mediator for the U.S. District Court, District of New Jersey, and a member of the International Mediation Institute, the New Jersey Association of Professional Mediators, and has been elected to the College of Commercial Arbitrators. He was chosen as the recipient of the New Jersey Bar Association Dispute Resolution Section's ADR Practitioner of the Year award for 2010.

PROFESSOR DWIGHT GOLANN

Dwight Golann is Professor of Law at Suffolk University in Boston and an active mediator of legal disputes. He has led seminars for courts, the American Bar Association, the European Union, the Peoples' Republic of China, and law firms in the United States and Europe. Professor

Golann is the author of *Mediating Legal Disputes* (ABA) and a DVD, *The Skills of a Legal Mediator*, and is co-author of *Resolving Disputes* (Aspen). He also authored the European Union's ebook on advocacy, *How to Borrow a Mediator's Powers*. Professor Golann was formerly a private litigator and served as Chief of the Trial Division of the Attorney General of Massachusetts and is an Honorary Member of the American College of Civil Mediators. He can be reached at dgolann@suffolk.edu or golannadr.com.

ELAYNE E. GREENBERG, M.S., ESQ.

Elayne E. Greenberg is Director of the Hugh L. Carey Center for Dispute Resolution at St. John's University School of Law. She is a mediator and conflict management consultant who has developed programs, educated, trained, written and lectured internationally on the subject of mediation, hybrid dispute resolution process, dispute resolution ethics and advocacy in mediation. Professor Greenberg's deep involvement in the field is evidenced by the innovative dispute resolution programs that she has developed and implemented including ABI-St. John's Bankruptcy Mediation Training (forthcoming in December 2011) and the Ghana Mediation Training (summer 2011). Her mediation training is the very first mediation training to be approved in New York State under Part 146 by the New York State Unified Court System's Office of ADR. Professor Greenberg has helped shape the development of ADR by serving on several Dispute Resolution Boards including serving as the Chair of the New York State Bar Committee on ADR. She has been recognized by Best Lawyers in America as among the top New York lawyers in the field of Alternative Dispute Resolution every year since 2005.

STEPHEN A. HOCHMAN, ESQ.

Mr. Hochman practiced law for over 40 years, specializing in corporate, commercial and securities law. He was a founding partner in the firm now known as Kramer, Levin, Naftalis & Frankel. Prior to 1968, he was a partner in Kramer, Nessen & Hochman and an associate at Proskauer, Rose, Goetz & Mendelsohn, where he began the practice of law following his graduation from Cornell Law School in 1959. Mr. Hochman has mediated over 350 commercial and other types of disputes, including class actions and financial, employment, insurance, real estate and partnership disputes, approximately 98% of which have settled. Mr. Hochman now practices almost exclusively as a mediator and arbitrator and writes, consults and lectures frequently on ADR subjects. For over a decade he has trained mediators for the Commercial Division in New York County and

various other counties of the New York State Supreme Court as well for the NYSBA's Dispute Resolution Section.

PROFESSOR JONATHAN M. HYMAN

Jonathan M. Hyman is Professor of Law and Alfred C. Clapp Public Interest Scholar at Rutgers Law School in Newark, New Jersey. Specializing in litigation and alternative dispute resolution, he has served as administrative director of the Rutgers Constitutional Litigation Clinic and as co-director of the Rutgers Certificate Program in Conflict Management, and teaches both the introductory ADR course and a mediation clinic. He has written extensively on mediation, including "Four Ways of Looking at a Lawsuit: How Lawyers Can Use the Cognitive Frameworks of Mediation," 34 Washington University Journal of Law and Policy 11 (2010) and "If Portia Were a Mediator: An Inquiry into Justice in Mediation," 9 Clinical Law Review 157 (2002) (with Lela Love). He is an arbitrator and mediator, and in 2007 was named the Professor James B. Boskey ADR Practitioner of the Year by the Dispute Resolution Section of the New Jersey State Bar Association.

LAURA A. KASTER, ESQ.

Laura A. Kaster, Esq. is an arbitrator and mediator working in the greater New York metropolitan area (www.AppropriateDisputeSolutions.com). She is the Chair of the New Jersey State Bar Association Dispute Resolution Section, and co-editor of the New York State Bar Association's *Dispute Resolution Lawyer*. She has published widely on topics relating to both arbitration and mediation. She is a member of the executive committee of the Marie Garibaldi Inn of Court devoted exclusively to ADR. She teaches dispute resolution processes at Seton Hall Law School. Before establishing her practice as a neutral, she was chief litigation counsel for AT&T and handled all of its patent and intellectual property matters as well as complex litigation and class actions. Prior to her work at AT&T, she was a partner at the national law firm Jenner & Block. She has presented at the American Bar Association annual Dispute Resolution meetings, Practising Law Institute, NJSBA and for corporations and law firms.

J. ANDERSON LITTLE, ESQ.

J. Anderson (Andy) Little is a mediator and mediation trainer in North Carolina who is credited with leading that state's efforts to incorporate mediation into its courts. He later chaired the Dispute Resolution Commission, the agency charged with certifying and regulating mediators for court programs, by appointment of the Chief Justice. Mr. Little is a graduate of Davidson College, Union Theological Seminary, and the University of North Carolina School of Law. He was a trial lawyer for 17 years, has been a full-time mediator for 17 years, and has mediated over 4,500 cases, mostly in the context of civil litigation. His book, *Making Money Talk*, which describes a model of the mediation process for the positional bargaining found in the settlement of civil litigation, was published by the American Bar Association in 2007. Mr. Little can be reached at andy@mediationincNC.com or at www.mediationincNC.com.

JULIA MORELLI

Julia Morelli has extensive experience as a mediator, manager and consultant. As President of Holistic Solutions, Inc. (HSI) she provides mediation, facilitation, and organizational development services; and training in dispute resolution, diversity, communication, and stress management. HSI's client list includes government agencies, corporations, associations and nonprofit organizations. She is Director of Operations for the George Mason University Instructional Foundation, Inc., serves as a trainer and workplace coach for GMU's Department of Human Resources, and conducts individual and group mediations through the VA Department of Employment Dispute Resolution.

DANIEL RAINEY

Daniel Rainey is Chief of Staff for the National Mediation Board, the federal agency charged with labor-management mediation in the airline and railroad industries. He is past Chair of the Online Dispute Resolution Section of the Association for Conflict Resolution, and he is currently a member of the editorial board of *Conflict Resolution Quarterly*. He is also a member of the ABA Section of Dispute Resolution, and Co-Chair of their ODR interest group. He is a fellow of the National Center for Technology and Dispute Resolution, and an adjunct faculty member in the graduate dispute resolution programs at Creighton University and Southern Methodist University.

RUTH D. RAISFELD, ESQ.

Ruth D. Raisfeld has over 30 years' experience providing ADR services (including mediation, arbitration, workplace investigations, and training) to law firms, corporations, and neutral panels. Ms. Raisfeld is knowledgeable regarding in all aspects of employment claims of wrongful termination, employment discrimination, sexual harassment, breach of employment contract and other commercial matters. Her clients include

the financial services industry, higher education, not-for-profit organizations, manufacturing, garment industry and hospitality industries. Ms. Raisfeld holds a B.S. in Industrial & Labor Relations from Cornell University and a J.D. from Fordham School of Law. She received training in mediation from the Harvard School of Law Mediation Workshop. Ms. Raisfeld was an in-house Labor Attorney at New York Telephone and later served Of Counsel at Orrick Herrington & Sutcliffe, LLP. She holds leadership roles at various bar associations, including Co-Chair of the ADR Committee of the Westchester County Bar Association, and is a frequent speaker and author on ADR topics. She is a fellow in the College of Labor & Employment Lawyers and a Super Lawyer in the category of ADR, achieving Top 25 Lawyer status in Westchester County, 2010. She can be reached at rdradr@optonline.net.

TERRI ROTH REICHER, ESQ.

Terri Roth Reicher maintains an active commercial mediation and arbitration practice in New Jersey. She has taught Health Law at the Thomas Jefferson University School of Medicine, Dispute Resolution Processes at Seton Hall University School of Law, and Legal Environments of Business at the Cotsakos School of Business, William Paterson University. She is a frequent trainer and lecturer in the ADR community. Currently Ms. Reicher serves as Programming Chair of the Garibaldi Inn of Court for the study of ADR, is an officer in the New Jersey State Bar Association Dispute Resolution Section and an active member in the New Jersey Association of Professional Mediators. She received her B.S., magna cum laude, from the Wharton School of the University of Pennsylvania, and her J.D. from Vanderbilt University. She was law clerk to the Honorable Ray Brock, Tennessee Supreme Court, and the Honorable Gilbert Merrit of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit. Additionally, she earned an LL.M. in Health and Hospital Law from the Seton Hall University School of Law.

JAMES F. RING, ESQ.

James F. Ring is a partner in the Boston law firm of Chu, Ring & Hazel LLP. He is also a principal of Fair Outcomes, Inc., a company founded by a small group of game theorists, computer scientists, and practicing attorneys that provides parties involved in disputes or difficult negotiations with access to game-theoretic bargaining mechanisms. Mr. Ring has been practicing law since 1983, primarily working for business clients and serving as lead trial counsel in a variety of multi-million dollar business cases. He has also been deeply involved in the design and development of

systems that allow a party involved in a conflict to unilaterally place itself and its adversary in a position where reasonableness becomes the most sensible strategy for each side.

IRENE C. WARSHAUER, ESQ.

Irene C. Warshauer has extensive experience arbitrating and mediating business/commercial, contract, securities, employment and product liability disputes. She is an arbitrator and mediator for the American Arbitra-Association. International Centre for Dispute Resolution. International Institute for Conflict Prevention and Resolution (CPR), Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (FINRA), and state and federal courts. Ms. Warshauer is a Fellow of the College of Commercial Arbitrators and an International Mediation Institute (IMI) certified mediator. A graduate of the University of Michigan and Columbia Law School, she has written numerous articles and lectured extensively on mediation and arbitration. She demonstrates a Mediation in Action in the CPR videotape and has facilitated mediation training for the New York State Supreme Court, the U.S. Bankruptcy Court, the Nassau County Bar Association and elsewhere.

HON, BARBARA BYRD WECKER

Barbara Byrd Wecker, a retired Judge of the New Jersey Superior Court Appellate Division, has served as a private mediator and arbitrator since 2007. She is Of Counsel to the law firm Greenberg Dauber Epstein & Tucker, PC, in Newark, New Jersey, where she chairs the Alternate Dispute Resolution Department. She is a member of the American Arbitration Association's national panels of mediators and commercial and complex case arbitrators and a New Jersey court-approved mediator under Rule 1:40. In 21 years on the bench, Judge Wecker handled commercial, employment-related, professional negligence, product liability, healthcare, insurance coverage and family matters and has mediated cases in those fields since. She is a member of the New Jersey Supreme Court Committee on Complementary Dispute Resolution, the Garibaldi American Inn of Court for Alternate Dispute Resolution and the Sections on Dispute Resolution of the American and New Jersey State Bar Associations. She is a graduate of Cornell University and Rutgers Law School (Newark, NJ) and has a Master's degree in Counseling from the University of Missouri and a Master of Laws from the University of Virginia School of Law.